

**Low Emissions Climate Resident Development (LECRd)
MPTF OFFICE GENERIC ANNUAL PROGRAMME¹ NARRATIVE PROGRESS REPORT
REPORTING PERIOD: 1 JANUARY – 31 DECEMBER 2013**

<p align="center">Programme Title & Project Number</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Programme Title: LECReD Programme Number 00088009 MPTF Office Project Reference Number:³ 00088009 	<p align="center">Country, Locality(s), Priority Area(s) / Strategic Results²</p> <p><i>Republic of Maldives, Laamu Atoll</i></p>
<p align="center">Participating Organization(s)</p> <p>UNDP, UNICEF, UNFPA, UNOPS, WHO, UN Women, FAO</p>	<p>UNDAF Outcome 9: Enhanced capacities at national and local levels to support low carbon life-styles, climate change adaptation, and disaster risk reduction</p>
<p align="center">Programme/Project Cost (US\$)</p> <p>Total approved budget as per project document: \$9.2 million MPTF /JP Contribution⁴: \$9.2 million</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> by Agency (if applicable) Agency Contribution by Agency (if applicable) Government Contribution (if applicable) Other Contributions (donors) (if applicable) <p>TOTAL: \$9.2 Million</p>	<p align="center">Implementing Partners</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> National counterparts (government, private, NGOs & others) and other International Organizations
<p align="center">Programme Assessment/Review/Mid-Term Eval.</p> <p>Assessment/Review - if applicable <i>please attach</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Date: <i>dd.mm.yyyy</i> Mid-Term Evaluation Report – if applicable <i>please attach</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Date: <i>dd.mm.yyyy</i></p>	<p align="center">Programme Duration</p> <p>Overall Duration <i>36 months</i></p> <p>Start Date⁵: 8 November 2013</p> <p>Original End Date⁶ (<i>01.11.2016</i>)</p> <p>Current End date⁷ (<i>dd.mm.yyyy</i>)</p>
	<p align="center">Report Submitted By</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Name: Dr Igor Pokanevych Title: Medical Officer Participating Organization (Lead): WHO Email address: pokanevychi@who.int

¹ The term “programme” is used for programmes, joint programmes and projects.

² Strategic Results, as formulated in the Strategic UN Planning Framework (e.g. UNDAF) or project document;

³ The MPTF Office Project Reference Number is the same number as the one on the Notification message. It is also referred to as “Project ID” on the project’s factsheet page the [MPTF Office GATEWAY](#)

⁴ The MPTF or JP Contribution, refers to the amount transferred to the Participating UN Organizations, which is available on the [MPTF Office GATEWAY](#)

⁵ The start date is the date of the first transfer of the funds from the MPTF Office as Administrative Agent. Transfer date is available on the [MPTF Office GATEWAY](#)

⁶ As per approval of the original project document by the relevant decision-making body/Steering Committee.

⁷ If there has been an extension, then the revised, approved end date should be reflected here. If there has been no extension approved, then the current end date is the same as the original end date. The end date is the same as the operational closure date which is when all activities for which a Participating Organization is responsible under an approved MPTF / JP have been completed. As per the MOU, agencies are to notify the MPTF Office when a programme completes its operational activities.

NARRATIVE REPORT FORMAT

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- On November 11, 2013 UN Trust Fund transferred USD 331,914.00 to WHO account for the 1st phase of implementation during 2013-2014;
- Funds were awarded, distributed against six categories and linked to WHO/Maldives workplan;
- USD 120,054.00 is supposed to be transferred for the last year of implementation at the end of 2014;
- Discussion with national counterpart was initiated to develop the set of sub activities per each activity;
- TORs for budget item “personnel” were developed;

I. Purpose

- Provide the main objectives and expected outcomes of the programme in relation to the appropriate **Strategic UN Planning Framework (e.g. UNDAF) and project document (if applicable) or Annual Work Plan (AWP).**

II. Results

- This section is the **most important in the Report** and particular attention should be given to reporting on **results / and changes** that have taken place rather than on activities. It has three parts to help capture this information in different ways (i. Narrative section; ii. Indicator based performance assessment; and iii. A specific story).

i) Narrative reporting on results:

From January to December 2013, respond to the guiding questions indicated below to provide a narrative summary of the results achieved. The aim here is to tell the **story of change** that your Programme has achieved in 2013. Make reference to the implementation mechanism utilized and key partnerships.

- **Outcomes:** Outcomes are the strategic, higher level of change that your Programme is aiming to contribute towards. Provide a summary of progress made by the Programme in relation to **planned outcomes from the Project Document / AWP**, with reference to the relevant indicator(s) in these documents. Describe if any targets were achieved, or explain any variance in achieved versus planned results during the reporting period. Explain who the main beneficiaries were.
- **Outputs:** Outputs are the more immediate results that your Programme is responsible for achieving. Report on the key outputs achieved in the reporting period, in relation to **planned outputs from the Project Document**, with reference to the relevant indicator(s) in these documents. Describe if any targets were achieved, or explain any variance in achieved versus planned results during the reporting period. If possible, include the percentage of completion of the outputs and the type and number of beneficiaries.
- **Describe any delays in implementation, challenges, lessons learned & best practices:** If there were delays, explain the nature of the constraints and challenges, actions taken to mitigate future delays and lessons learned in the process. Provide an updated risk analysis (have any of the risks identified during the project design materialized or changed? Are there any new risks?). Were there any programmatic revisions undertaken during the reporting period? Please also include experiences of failure, which often are the richest source of lessons learned.

- **Qualitative assessment:** Provide a qualitative assessment of the level of overall achievement of the Programme. Highlight key partnerships and explain how such relationships impacted on the achievement of results. Explain cross-cutting issues pertinent to the results being reported on. For Joint Programmes, highlight how UN coordination has been affected in support of achievement of results.

ii) Indicator Based Performance Assessment:

Using the **Programme Results Framework from the Project Document / AWP** - provide an update on the achievement of indicators at both the output and outcome level in the table below. Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, clear explanation should be given explaining why, as well as plans on how and when this data will be collected.

	<u>Achieved</u> Indicator Targets	Reasons for Variance with Planned Target (if any)	Source of Verification
Outcome 1: Partnership, coordination and participation platform for local LECReD planning and action is strengthened Indicator 1.1, 1.2, 1.3; Baseline: Planned Target:	In progress on track		
Output 2: Data and knowledge systems established or identified to support evidence-based planning and policy development for LECReD at the local level Indicator 2.1, 2.2, 2.3; Baseline: Planned Target:	In progress on track		
Output 3: Improved Local Level Planning and Management for LECReD Indicator 3.1, 3.2, 3.3; Baseline: Planned Target:	In progress on track		
Output 4: Practical local experience in LECReDs interventions leads to learning and promotes replication Indicator 4.1, 4.2, 4.3; Baseline: Planned Target:	In progress on track		

iii) A Specific Story (Optional)

- This could be a success or human story. It does not have to be a success story – often the most interesting and useful lessons learned are from experiences that have not worked. The point is to highlight a concrete example with a story that has been important to your Programme in the reporting period.
- In ¼ to ½ a page, provide details on a specific achievement or lesson learned of the Programme. Attachment of supporting documents, including photos with captions, news items etc, is strongly encouraged. The MPTF Office will select stories and photos to feature in the Consolidated Annual Report, the GATEWAY and the MPTF Office Newsletter.

Problem / Challenge faced: Describe the specific problem or challenge faced by the subject of your story (this could be a problem experienced by an individual, community or government).

Programme Interventions: How was the problem or challenged addressed through the Programme interventions?

Result (if applicable): Describe the observable *change* that occurred so far as a result of the Programme interventions. For example, how did community lives change or how was the government better able to deal with the initial problem?

Lessons Learned: What did you (and/or other partners) learn from this situation that has helped inform and/or improve Programme (or other) interventions?

III. Other Assessments or Evaluations (if applicable)

- Report on any assessments, evaluations or studies undertaken.

IV. Programmatic Revisions (if applicable)

- Indicate any major adjustments in strategies, targets or key outcomes and outputs that took place.

V. Resources (Optional)

- Provide any information on financial management, procurement and human resources.
- Indicate if the Programme mobilized any additional resources or interventions from other partners.