



[LIBERIA]

PROJECT HALF YEARLY PROGRESS UPDATE

PERIOD COVERED: JANUARY – JUNE 2014

Project No & Title:	Programme Title: Enhancing Access to Security and Justice at the Decentralized Level – Harper Hub, covering Maryland, Grand Kru and River Gee; Zwedru Hub, servicing Grand Gedeh and Sinoe Counties. MPTF Office Project ID: 00088191 (PBF/LBR/B-2)		
Recipient Organization(s)¹:	UNDP		
Implementing Partners (Government, UN agencies, NGOs etc):	The Liberian Judiciary; Ministry of Justice and its law enforcement agencies including the Liberia National Police, Bureau for Immigration and Naturalization, Solicitor General’s Office, Bureau for Corrections and Rehabilitation; Probation Program; Juvenile Diversion Program; SGBV Crimes Unit; Independent National Commission on Human Rights		
Location:	Liberia		
Total Approved Budget :²	US\$ 3,062,405		
Preliminary data on funds committed :³	US\$ 3,062,405	% of funds committed / total approved budget:	63%
Expenditure⁴:	US\$ 79,472	% of expenditure / total budget: (Delivery rate)	3%
Project Approval Date:	17 August 2013	Possible delay in operational closure date (Number of months)	
Project Start Date:	22 November 2013		
Expected Operational Project Closure Date:	31 December 2015		
Project Outcomes:	Enhanced access to justice and security at regional and county level in preparation for UNMIL transition		
PBF Focus Area	1.1 Security Sector Reform; 1.2 Rule of Law		

¹ Please note that where there are multiple agencies, only one consolidated project report should be submitted.

² Approved budget is the amount transferred to Recipient Organisations.

³ Funds committed are defined as the commitments made through legal contracts for services and works according to the financial regulations and procedures of the Recipient Organisations. Provide preliminary data only.

⁴ Actual payments (contracts, services, works) made on commitments.

Qualitative assessment of progress

<p><i>For each intended outcome, provide evidence of progress during the reporting period.</i></p> <p><i>In addition, for each outcome include the outputs achieved. (500 words max.)</i></p>	<p>Outcome 1: People in Maryland, River Gee and Grand Kru (Hub 2) and Grand Gedeh and Sinoe (Hub 3) Counties have increased access to fair and accountable justice services</p> <p>The Board’s decision to relocate hub services to town center locations for easy access to justice gave rise to the approval for UNOPS to renovate the dilapidated Telecommunications Building in Harper (Hub 2) for the provision of security services in its 28th March 2014 meeting and the instruction to identify town land to construct the court facility for the provision of justice and judicial services.</p> <p>17,212 community members in eight counties of three hub regions received information on current and future services to be provided at each Hub. Awareness on hub services was led by seasoned public outreach officers from Hub 1 (x3) in addition to the newly hired, trained and deployed PSO officers (x5) of hubs 2 and 3. Community meetings and interactive radio talk shows were the methodology used to enable community dwellers an opportunity to seek clarification on how the services provided at the Hub could be accessed.</p> <p>The recruitment, training and deployment of five public defenders, five public outreach officers/coordinators, nine legal counsels and 10 human rights monitors to augment the strength of existing personnel in the counties was accomplished. The deployment of these personnel will improve the representation of indigent persons, increase prosecution and reduce human rights violations within the criminal justice system, thereby enhancing access to justice at the regional and county levels.</p> <p>Output 1.1: Justice sector institutions have adequate human capacity to provide key justice services</p> <p>Four of the six priority services approved by the Board in May 2013 for roll out into Hubs 2 and 3 have been rolled out. In addition to Public Outreach officers (x3) and Coordinators (x2), Public Defenders (x5), legal counsels (x9) and human rights monitors (x10) have been recruited, trained, deployed and are currently providing services in Hubs 2 and 3. The recruitment process and development of pre-deployment training for staff required for the roll out of services through the SGBV Crimes Unit is currently ongoing. Although deployed during the May 2014 term of Court, the presence of legal counsels assigned in Maryland was notable, as in conjunction with the resident County Attorney, they ably prosecuted and won three cases to include one rape, one criminal attempt to commit rape and a burglary and theft of property case.</p>
---	---

Output 1.2: Infrastructure and operational arrangements in place to provide key justice services

Several key decisions were made by the Board during this reporting period that affected the move to implement phase II of hubs 2 and 3. In the 31st January 2014 meeting, the Board approved the division of responsibility regarding the construction – dedicating the construction of Hub 2 to UNOPS and using Government of Liberia “seed funding”, the Ministry of Public Works to lead the construction of Hub 3. Due to reduced funding and the need to improve access to justice by providing services from town centers, the Board also approved that UNOPS renovate the dilapidated Telecommunications Building in Harper to provide dormitory facility for LNP PSU officers, regional offices for BIN and LNP and offices for other services to be provided from the Hub at its 28th March meeting. In its 6th June meeting, it was confirmed that land identified in the town center, opposite the Telecommunications Building be surveyed and purchased by the Judiciary for the construction of the Court Facility.

Draft construction plans were developed by UNOPS for the renovation of the Telecommunications Building, vetted with receiving agencies (BIN and LNP) and approved by the Board at their 28th March 214 meeting. The Ministry of Public Works provided draft preliminary plans for Hub 3 to the Ministry of Finance for funding.

The procurement of mobility and logistical equipment required for effective service delivery is underway.

Output 1.4: Justice and security community based justice & accountability services available to citizens

Newly recruited, trained and deployed public outreach coordinators/officers for Hubs 2 and 3, joined forces with seasoned PSO Coordinator and officers from Hub 1 to conduct awareness on hub services in 240 communities in all three (3) hub regions to 17,212 community members and criminal justice actors. Of this number, 9,214 (53.5%) were males, while 7,998 (46.5%) were females. Additionally, 18 interactive radio talk shows were held in the eight counties (Bong, Lofa, Nimba (Hub 1); Maryland, Grand Kru, River Gee (Hub 2); Grand Gedeh and Sinoe (Hub 3) reaching an unspecified number of residents who called in to discuss services provided by the Hub. Through the provision of information on hub services, citizens are now empowered on how to access services and report complaints in the justice system.

Output 5.2: Justice advisory, human rights monitoring, advocacy and support services provided by CSOs to communities in hubs 2 and 3 Counties

	<p>The legal education and justice advisory project was challenged during this reporting period due to delays in procuring needed logistics and releasing of funds by UNDP.</p>
<p><i>Do you see evidence that the project is having a positive impact on peacebuilding? (250 words max.)</i></p>	<p>With the rolling out of priority services in Hubs 2 and 3 during this reporting period, already citizens are benefiting from information on hub services and how to make complaints about justice and security actors who may go against their ethical obligations. It is reported that the strengthening of the Country Attorney office through the provision of additional legal counsel in Maryland successfully increased the number of cases prosecuted and assisted with the maintenance of peace as citizens received redress faster through the prosecution of their cases.</p>
<p><i>Were there catalytic effects from the project in the period reported, including additional funding commitments or unleashing/ unblocking of any peace relevant processes? (250 words max.)</i></p>	<p>SIDA (Swedish International Development Agency) contributed an un-earmarked amount of US\$ 1.5 million to the Justice and Security Trust Fund. This funding will be used to support different projects in the sector.</p>
<p><i>If progress has been slow or inadequate, provide main reasons and what is being done to address them. (250 words max.)</i></p>	<p>The reporting period has experienced some delay in implementation relating to the infrastructure component of Hubs 2 and 3. Two factors drove the delay – access to justice and limited resources.</p> <p>In hub 2, both factors played an important role, as the Board decided that building on the hub land provided by the Government would decrease access due to its distance from the town center and owing to limited funding, it was important that existing, unused buildings be renovated to cut cost.</p> <p>To address this delay, the Board approved the renovation of the Telecommunications Building for the provision of security services in Harper and the Judiciary is in the process of finalizing negotiation for the purchase of private land opposite the Telecommunications building so as to capitalize on resource sharing, thereby limiting cost and providing improved access to justice to citizens of the county.</p> <p>Hub 3, on the other hand, experienced its delay due to the late engagement of the Ministry of Public Works, who was designated by the Board as the Government lead coupled the budgetary shortfall regarding the provision of “seed” funding by the Government. In solution to this problem and using the joint letter sent by the Assistant Secretary General of the UN and the SRS of UNMIL, the co-chairs of the Board have written to</p>

	<p>the Vice President's office for resolution to this matter.</p> <p>Therefore, resolutions and speedy implementation of these projects are expected to resume in the third quarter of the calendar year.</p>
<p><i>What are the main activities/expected results for the rest of the year?</i> (250 words max.)</p>	<p>Several projects are expected to be implemented during the course of the year. However, the main activities include the following:</p> <p>Through the strengthening of institutions to provide improved access to justice, the recruitment, training and deployment of staff for the Sexual Gender Based Violence Unit; the procurement and delivery of mobility and logistical equipment for criminal justice actors and the procurement of (BIN) uniforms.</p> <p>Similarly, it is expected that the construction of hubs 2 and 3 infrastructure initiates, as the need for these key infrastructure is required to provide much needed security presence in the regions.</p> <p>The combined delivery of these services or construction will collectively improve access to justice and security services in the 3 hub regions.</p>
<p><i>Is there any need to adjust project strategies/ duration/budget etc.?</i> (500 words max.)</p>	<p>The project did not start at the 1st September 2013 as was originally scheduled. Funding to start the implementation was made available to national partners only in March 2014, a six month delay. Equally, giving that Liberia is experiencing a health crisis with the outbreak of Ebola and most people are curtailing their interactions with others, thereby minimizing meetings, the recommendation is that the project be extended (non-cost extension) at least to 31 December 2015, as this will allow for this situation and also take into account the delayed start date.</p>
<p><i>Are there any lessons learned from the project in the period reported?</i> (500 words max.)</p>	<p>One main lesson learned from the implementation of projects in hubs 2 and 3 is the timely procurement of mobility and logistics for those deployed to the region. Reports have been forwarded that personnel deployed are unable to effectively perform their respective tasks due to the absence of much needed equipment and mobility.</p>
<p><i>What is the project budget expenditure to date (percentage of allocated project budget expensed by the</i></p>	<p>US\$ 79,472 (3%)</p>

<i>date of the report) – preliminary figures only? (250 words max.)</i>	
<i>Any other information that the project needs to convey to PBSO (and JSC) at this stage? (250 words max.)</i>	-

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT: *Using the Programme Results Framework as per the approved Project Document - provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at both the outcome and output level in the table below. Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation in the qualitative text above (250 characters max per entry).*

Outcome/ Outputs	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	End of Project Indicator Targets	Current Indicator Progress	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)	Adjustment of targets (If any)
<p>Outcome 1: Enhanced access to justice and security at regional and county level in preparation for UNMIL transition</p>	<p>Indicator 1.1 - % of justice and security services provided by the regional hubs (disaggregated by Gbarnga, Harper and Zwedru Hubs) Gbarnga: 15 services in total Harper: 15 services in total Zwedru: 15 services in total</p>	<p>Gbarnga 2011: 0% Harper 2011: 0% Zwedru 2011: 0%</p>		<p>January – June 2014 Gbarnga : 100% Harper : 60% Zwedru : 60%</p>	<p>In May 2013, the Board approved 6 priority services that do not require infrastructure be rolled out. Of the 6 services, 4 have been rolled out.</p> <p>The recruitment, training and deployment of Public Defenders (x5); legal counsels (x9); human rights monitors (x10) and Public Outreach Officers (x3) and Public Outreach Coordinators (x2) are completed.</p> <p>The shortlisting of SGBV Crimes Unit staff (x8) is completed. Final interview and pre-</p>	

Outcome/ Outputs	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	End of Project Indicator Targets	Current Indicator Progress	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)	Adjustment of targets (If any)
					<p>deployment training is scheduled for end July 2014.</p> <p>Advertised terms of reference for the baseline perception survey of sexual violence in hubs 2 and 3 region to begin end July 2014.</p> <p>UNDP advertised RFP to solicit applications from suitably qualified CSOs in the hub regions for the provision of services for grant administration in Gbarnga Regional Hub 1 (Bong, Lofa and Nimba Counties), identification, training of CSOs and grant administration in Hub 2 (Maryland, Grand Kru and River Gee Counties) and Hub 3</p>	

Outcome/ Outputs	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	End of Project Indicator Targets	Current Indicator Progress	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)	Adjustment of targets (If any)
					(Sinoe and Grand Gedehe Counties). Deadline for receipt of applications is 18 July 2014.	
	Indicator 1.2 - % of people who feel safe or very safe in their community (disaggregated by county in the Hub region)	<p>Gbarnga Hub: Bong, Lofa and Nimba counties, June 2012: 65% MoV: Public Perception Survey, PBO, June 2012</p> <p>Harper Hub: Maryland, Grand Kru and River Gee, April 2013: 88% MoV: Public Perception Survey, PBO, April 2013</p> <p>Zwedru Hub: Grand Gedehe and Sinoe, April 2013: 85% MoV: Public Perception Survey, PBO, April 2013</p>		<p>Gbarnga Hub: Bong, Lofa and Nimba counties, June 2012:</p> <p>Total for 3 counties: 80% (urban 71%; rural 83%; male 77%; female 83%)</p> <p>Bong: 76% Lofa: 77% Nimba: 85%</p> <p>MoV: Public Perception Survey, PBO, June 2014</p>	<p>The Peacebuilding Office together with LISGIS conducted a Public Perception Survey on Justice and Security in Bong, Lofa and Nimba counties in June 2014 as scheduled. The report is expected by September 2014.</p> <p>A follow-up survey in Grand Gedehe, Sinoe, Maryland, Grand Kru and River Gee is expected to be conducted in 2015 (<i>still tbc</i>)</p>	
	Indicator 1.3 - % of people who trust the court system (disaggregated by county in the Hub region)	<p>Gbarnga Hub: Bong, Lofa and Nimba, June 2012: 37%</p> <p>MoV: Public Perception</p>		<p>Gbarnga Hub: Bong, Lofa and Nimba counties, June 2012:</p> <p>Total for 3 counties: 45%</p>	<p>The Peacebuilding Office together with LISGIS conducted a Public Perception Survey on Justice and</p>	

Outcome/ Outputs	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	End of Project Indicator Targets	Current Indicator Progress	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)	Adjustment of targets (If any)
	region)	Survey, PBO, June 2012 Harper Hub: Maryland, Grand Kru, River Gee, April 2013: 57% Zwedru Hub: Grand Gedeh and Sinoe, April 2013: 57% MoV: Public Perception Survey, PBO, April 2013		(urban 40%; rural 47%; male 46%; female 44%) Bong: 57% Lofa: 52% Nimba: 32% MoV: Public Perception Survey, PBO, June 2014	Security in Bong, Lofa and Nimba counties in June 2014 as scheduled. The report is expected by September 2014. A follow-up survey in Grand Gedeh, Sinoe, Maryland, Grand Kru and River Gee is expected to be conducted in 2015 <i>(still tbc)</i>	
	Indicator 1.4 - % of criminal cases adjudicated per court term (CT) (disaggregated by type of case and by county)	Gbarnga Hub: Bong: Feb. 2013 CT – 50% (murder) May 2013 CT – 85% (statutory rape and theft) Aug. 2013 CT – 100% (statutory rape, theft & probation) Lofa Feb. 2013 CT – 0% May 2013 CT – 100% (statutory rape & theft) Aug. 2013 CT – 100%		January to June 2014 Gbarnga Regional Hub Bong: Nov 2013 CT – 100% (criminal mischief, arm robbery & criminal solicitation) Feb 2014 CT: 100% - (murder, statutory rape, theft & arm robbery) Lofa: Nov 2013 CT – 60% (statutory rape, theft &		

Outcome/ Outputs	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	End of Project Indicator Targets	Current Indicator Progress	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)	Adjustment of targets (If any)
		<p>(murder, statutory rape, theft & probation)</p> <p>Nimba Feb. 2013 CT – 100% (murder, rape & theft) May 2013 CT – 86% (statutory rape & theft) Aug. 2013 CT – 100% (murder & theft)</p> <p>Harper Hub: Maryland Feb. 2013 CT – 0% May 2013 CT – 0% Aug. 2013 CT – 0%</p> <p>Grand Kru Feb. 2013 CT – 0% May 2013 CT – 0% Aug. 2013 CT – 0%</p> <p>River Gee Feb. 2013 CT – 0% May 2013 CT – 0% Aug. 2013 CT – 0%</p> <p>Zwedru Hub: Grand Gedeh</p>		<p>aggravated assault) Feb 2014 CT – 90% (murder, theft, criminal mischief, manslaughter & reckless burning)</p> <p>Nimba: Nov 2013 CT – 4.3% (murder & statutory rape) Feb 2014 CT : 4% (murder)</p> <p>Harper Regional Hub Maryland: Nov 2013 CT: 60% (statutory rape & theft) Feb 2014 CT: 100% - (statutory rape, theft & burglary, kidnapping, arson & rioting)</p> <p>Grand Kru: Nov 2013 CT: 25% (statutory rape, theft) Feb 2014 CT: 75% (statutory rape, theft, burglary & manslaughter)</p> <p>RiverGee: Nov 2013 CT: 31%</p>		

Outcome/ Outputs	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	End of Project Indicator Targets	Current Indicator Progress	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)	Adjustment of targets (If any)
		Feb. 2013 CT – 0% May 2013 CT – 0% Aug. 2013 CT – 0% Sinoe Feb. 2013 CT – 0% May 2013 CT – 0% Aug. 2013 CT – 0%		(statutory rape, theft) Feb 2014 CT: 40% (murder, statutory rape, theft) Zwedru Regional Hub Grand Gedeh: Nov 2013 CT: 89% (statutory rape & theft) Feb 2014 CT: 100% (statutory rape & theft) Sinoe: Nov 2013 CT: 100% (theft) Feb 2014 CT: 100% (murder, statutory rape & theft)		
	Indicator – 1.5 – # of trials on SGBV cases held in the Hub regions (disaggregated by county and by court term)	Gbarnga Hub – Bong Feb. 2013 CT: 2 May 2013 CT: 2 August 2013 CT: 2 Lofa Feb. 2013 CT: 0 May 2013 CT: 3 August 2013 CT: 4 November 2013 CT: 1 Nimba Feb. 2013 CT: 0 May 2013 CT: 0		Gbarnga Hub Bong: Feb 2014 CT : 1 May 2014 CT : 2 Aug 2014 CT : Nov 2014 CT: Lofa Feb 2014 CT : 1 May 2014 CT : 3 Aug 2014 CT : Nov 2014 CT :	The roll out of SGBV Crimes Unit services in Harper and Zwedru regions are scheduled for end July 2014. The training of newly deployed prosecutors and public defenders on the M&E monitoring log for indicators on hubs 2 and 3 is scheduled	

Outcome/ Outputs	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	End of Project Indicator Targets	Current Indicator Progress	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)	Adjustment of targets (If any)
		August 2013 CT: 0 November 2013: 1 Harper Hub: Maryland Feb. 2013 CT – 0% May 2013 CT – 0% Aug 2013 CT – 0% Grand Kru Feb 2013 CT – 0% May 2013 CT – 0% Aug 2013 CT – 0% River Gee Feb 2013 CT – 0% May 2013 CT – 0% Aug 2013 CT – 0% Zwedru Hub: Grand Gedeh Feb 2013 CT – 0% May 2013 CT – 0% Aug 2013 CT – 0% Sinoe Feb 2013 CT – 0% May 2013 CT – 0% Aug 2013 CT – 0%		Nimba Feb 2014 CT : 0 May 2014 CT : 0 Aug 2014 CT: Nov 2014 CT Harper Regional Hub Maryland Feb 2014 CT: 2 RiverGee: Feb 2014 CT: 0 Grand Kru Feb 2014 CT: 0 Zwedru Regional Hub Grand Gedeh Feb 2014 CT: 0 Sinoe Feb 2014 CT: 0	September 2014. In the meantime, statistics required for the baseline indicators for 2013 are being collected and will be reflected in the end of year report.	
	Indicator – 1.6 – # of cases prosecuted by the	Gbarnga Hub – Bong Feb. 2013 CT: 2		Gbarnga Regional Hub Bong: 2 Nimba: 0	The roll out of SGBV Crimes Unit services in Harper and Zwedru	

Outcome/ Outputs	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	End of Project Indicator Targets	Current Indicator Progress	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)	Adjustment of targets (If any)
	SGBV CU	May 2013 CT: 2 August 2013 CT: 1 November 2013 CT: 0 Lofa Feb. 2013 CT: 0 May 2013 CT: 2 August 2013 CT: 3 November 2013 CT: 1 Nimba Feb. 2013 CT: 0 May 2013 CT: 0 August 2013 CT: 0 November 2013: 1		Lofa: 2 Harper Regional Hub Maryland: 0 RiverGee: 0 Grand Kru: 0 Zwedru Regional Hub Grand Gedeh: 0 Sinoe:0	regions are scheduled for end July 2014.	
Output 1.1: Infrastructure, equipment, and systems critical for command, control and operational response put in place for the Regional Hub	Indicator 1.1.1 - % of facilities completed (disaggregated by Gbarnga, Harper and Zwedru Hub)	Gbarnga Hub As of December 2013 – 97% completed facilities. Harper Hub as of December 2013 – 0% Zwedru Hub as of December 2013 – 0%	Gbarnga Hub December 2014 – 100% Harper Hub – December 2014 – 20% Zwedru Hub – December 2014 – 20%	Gbarnga Hub: As at June 2014 – 98% completed facilities. Zwedru Regional Hub: As at June 2014 -0% Harper Regional Hub: As at June 2014 – 0%	The completion of the boundary wall and the water facility are not yet completed.	
	Indicator 1.1.2 – % of shared regional command, control and communication	Gbarnga Regional Hub: Dec. 2013: 75%	Dec. 2014 = 100%	Gbarnga Regional Hub: June 2014: 1. Automated Record case management is at 75%.	The roll out of the automated case management system is delayed due to	

Outcome/ Outputs	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	End of Project Indicator Targets	Current Indicator Progress	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)	Adjustment of targets (If any)
	<p>structures and systems of LNP, BIN and BCR functional at the Gbarnga Regional Hub, disaggregated by 5 factors:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. infrastructure to house the LNP and BIN regional commanders and their staff 2. Pilot automated records management system 3. Operational Guide for institution with presence at the Hub 4. SOPs of each agency 5. Communications network 			<p>(Software completed and final rollout is scheduled for August 2014.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. SOP for each agency – due to lessons learned, a draft amendment of the SOP is developed and currently being vetted by LNP & BIN. Validation is due September 2014. – 75% 3. 61% of the installation of the communications network equipment completed. Equipment installed on 21 of 34 Cellcom GSM towers. 	<p>”restructuring of the JSJP” taking priority, Restructuring completed, so roll out scheduled for early August 2014.</p> <p>The completion of the communications network has experienced some delay due to negotiations between GOL and Cellcom GSM. The problem has been elevated to the President’s office for resolution.</p> <p>Based on discussion at the Lessons Learned Work, a redrafting of the SOP was recommended. That document is now with agencies for vetting.</p> <p>The second phase of the Hub Operational</p>	

Outcome/ Outputs	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	End of Project Indicator Targets	Current Indicator Progress	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)	Adjustment of targets (If any)
	1.1.3 - # of border entry posts operational (with BIN officers and operational equipment)	<p>December 2013:</p> <p>Gbarnga Hub region: Bong (2 official; 3 unofficial) Nimba (7 official; 2 unofficial) Lofa (8 official, 4 unofficial)</p> <p>Harper Hub region: Maryland (5 official, 19 unofficial) Grand Kru (0 unofficial) River Gee - (3 official, 4 unofficial)</p> <p>Zwedru Hub region: Grand Gedeh (4 official, 3 unofficial) Sinoe (1 official, 3 unofficial)</p>		<p>Gbarnga Hub Region Bong (3 official; 5 unofficial) Nimba (12 official; 34 unofficial) Lofa (8 official; 32 unofficial)</p> <p>Harper Hub Maryland (4 official; 11 unofficial) RiverGee (3 official; 5 unofficial)</p> <p>Zwedru Hub Grand Gedeh (4 official; 9 unofficial) Sinoe 1 (seaport)</p>	Guide is being drafted. Completion due end 2014.	
Output 1.2: Justice and security service providers at the regional hubs	Indicator 1.2.1 - # of PSU officers deployed in the Hub regions (disaggregated by Hub)	<p>Gbarnga Hub 2013: 77 officers in the region based on a rotational or quarterly basis.</p> <p>Gbarnga Hub – 52 PSU</p>		<p>Gbarnga Hub @ June 2014: 77 officers in the region based on a rotational or quarterly basis.</p> <p>Gbarnga Hub – 52 PSU</p>	Hubs 2 and 3 approved for phased implementation – justice and judicial services – phase 1. Phase 2 – security	

Outcome/ Outputs	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	End of Project Indicator Targets	Current Indicator Progress	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)	Adjustment of targets (If any)
level able to provide fair and accountable professional services		officers Nimba – 10 PSU officers Lofa – 15 PSU officers Harper Hub Region: December 2013: 0 Zwedru Hub Region: December 2013: 0		officers Nimba – 10 PSU officers Lofa – 15 PSU officers Harper Hub Region: June 2014 - 0 Zwedru Hub Region: June 2014: 0	services and construction of key infrastructure. So, the deployment of PSU officers in Harper and Zwedru Hubs are dependent on the completion of infrastructure to hosts them.	
	Indicator 1.2.2 - # of confidence patrols undertaken by the PSU annually	December 2013: Gbarnga Hub region: 11 confidence patrols conducted in the region.		January –June 2014 Gbarnga Regional Hub 13 confidence patrols carried in 74 communities - Nimba (26) Bong (27) and Lofa (21) in the Gbarnga Hub Region by PSU.		
	Indicator 1.2.3 – # of security incidents (definition – mob violence, kidnappings, tribal feuds, violent demonstrations, prison riots, social unrest) responded to by the PSU at the regional hubs (disaggregated by Gbarnga,	Gbarnga Regional Hub: As of Dec. 2013: 24 Bong - 17; Gbarmu Town – 22nd January; Cuttington Campus – 28th January; Gbarnga – 10th March and 2nd May; Gbarnga City – 10th-11th July; Totota – 10th-12 July		January –June 2014 Gbarnga Regional Hub PSU officers responded to 14 security incidents including riots by concession workers and aggrieved teachers and others. Of the 14 incidents, (9) were responded to in Bong, Nimba (3), Lofa (1) and Margibi (1)		

Outcome/ Outputs	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	End of Project Indicator Targets	Current Indicator Progress	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)	Adjustment of targets (If any)
	Harper, Zwedru hubs and by communities)	<p>Gbarnga City – 14th July</p> <p>Bong Mines – 3rd – 5th September</p> <p>Salala – 9th September</p> <p>Gbarnga City – 13th – 15th September</p> <p>Gbarnga City – 21st-27th September</p> <p>Zoweinta – 28th September</p> <p>Bong Mines - 29th September – 18th December Suakoko – 18th November</p> <p>Gbarnga City – 22nd-24th November</p> <p>Kpatawee Waterfall – 29th November</p> <p>Gbarnga Central Prison – 27th December</p> <p>Lofa:5</p> <p>Zorzor District, Kpayea Town – 3rd February, Zegedah – 19th March; Varhum – 20th March; 20th-24th October – Manawu;</p>				

Outcome/ Outputs	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	End of Project Indicator Targets	Current Indicator Progress	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)	Adjustment of targets (If any)
		18th-24th December – Voinjama City Nimba:2 Cocopa Planation – 12th May; Nyekeikpa – 9th-16th December				
	Indicator 1.2.4 - # of BPU/BIN officers deployed at strategic border locations (disaggregated by location)	December 2013: Gbarnga Hub Dec. 2012: 0 Feb. 2013: 50 Harper Hub Region and Zwedru Hub Region Dec. 2012: 103			Data collection from BIN has been challenging. The M&E Workshop to re-emphasize the importance of data collection and reporting is scheduled for mid July 2014 with support from PBO, UNMIL and LDA.	
	Indicator 1.2.5 - # of BCR officers deployed in the regions (disaggregated by county)	As of December 2013: Gbarnga Hub Region: Bong: 26 Lofa: 15 Nimba: 35 Harper Hub Region: Maryland: 5 Grand Kru: 1 River Gee: 2		January –June 2014 Gbarnga Hub region : 62 Bong : 20 Nimba: 28 Lofa: 14 Harper Hub region: 9 Maryland: 6 River Gee: 2 Grand Kru : 1		

Outcome/ Outputs	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	End of Project Indicator Targets	Current Indicator Progress	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)	Adjustment of targets (If any)
		Zwedru Hub Region: Grand Gedeh: 35 Sinoe: 4		Zwedru: 31 Grand Gedeh: 25 Sinoe: 6		
	Indicator 1.2.6 - # of assistant county attorneys deployed to the Hub regions (disaggregated by county, male/female and source of funding)	As of December 2013: Gbarnga Hub Region: 5 assistant county attorneys, all male, were deployed in April 2013. This project was funded through PBF, but with effect from July 2013, GOL has assumed their salaries and operational cost. Bong – 3 Lofa – 1 Nimba – 1 Harper Hub Region: Maryland: 0 Grand Kru: 0 River Gee: 0 Zwedru Hub Region: Grand Gedeh – 0 Sinoe - 0		January to June 2014 Gbarnga Regional Hub Bong: 2 Nimba: 1 Lofa: 1 Harper Regional Hub Maryland: 3 (Males) RiverGee: 1 (Male) Grand Kru:1 (Male) Zwedru Regional Hub Grand Gedeh: 3 (Males) Sinoe: 1 (Male)	The reduction in assistant county attorneys/legal counsels in Bong is due to the death of the County Attorney in Margibi. Therefore, one of the assistant county attorney/legal counsel from Bong was reassigned to Margibi.	

Outcome/ Outputs	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	End of Project Indicator Targets	Current Indicator Progress	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)	Adjustment of targets (If any)
	Indicator 1.2.7 - # of public defenders deployed in the hub regions (disaggregated by county, male /female and source of funding)	<p>As of December 2013: Gbarnga Hub Region: 3 additional public defenders were deployed to the Gbarnga Hub region in September 2012. This project was funded through PBF for a year, but with effect from July 2013, GOL has assumed their salaries and operational cost. Bong – 2 Lofa – 2 Nimba – 2</p> <p>Harper Hub Region: Maryland: 1 Grand Kru: 1 River Gee: 1</p> <p>Zwedru Hub Region: Grand Gedeh: 1 Sinoe: 1</p>		<p>January- June 2014</p> <p>Gbarnga Regional Hub Bong: 2 Lofa: 2 Nimba: 2</p> <p>Harper Regional Hub Maryland: 2 River Gee : 2 Grand Kru: 2</p> <p>Zwedru Regional Hub Grand Gedeh: 2 Sinoe: 2</p> <p>All public defenders are male.</p>		
	Indicator 1.2.8 - # of joint in service trainings delivered by justice and security agencies in	Dec. 2013: 10 – these joint trainings are usually held with criminal justice participants from the three counties in the		<p>Gbarnga Hub region PMU: 1 LNP : 3 BCR: 2 INCHR:2</p>		

Outcome/ Outputs	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	End of Project Indicator Targets	Current Indicator Progress	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)	Adjustment of targets (If any)
	the hub regions (disaggregated by county and agency)	region. Gbarnga Regional Hub: LNP – 18th May SGBV Crimes Unit – 27th-31st May INCHR – 5th June PBO – 20th-21st June SGBV Crimes Unit – 26th-28th June PBO & PMU – 28th June LNP – 13th July PMU – 15th July – 31st August Judiciary – 7th-12th October BIN – 7th-11th October Harper Regional Hub – 0 Zwedru Regional Hub - 0		PBO:2 SGBV-CU:1 Judiciary: 2		
	Indicator 1.2.9 - # of justice and security personnel trained (disaggregated by institution, male and female and county)	As of December 2013: Gbarnga Regional Hub: LNP – 18th May – 86; 60(m); 26 (f) SGBV Crimes Unit – 27th-31st May – 50; 37(m); 13(f)		Gbarnga Regional Hub: LNP: 3 rd -7 th Feb 2014: 24(m) 3(f) (Bong) = 27 PMU-6 th -7 th Feb 2014: 98(m) 11(f) (Bong) = 109	Training component for Hubs 2& 3 has not started yet as there is no funding for such activity yet	

Outcome/ Outputs	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	End of Project Indicator Targets	Current Indicator Progress	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)	Adjustment of targets (If any)
		INCHR – 5th June – 41; 31(m); 10(f) PBO – 20th-21st June – 32; 18(m); 4(f) SGBV Crimes Unit – 26th-28th June – 45; 26(m); 19(f) PBO & PMU – 28th June – 54; 51(m); 3(f) LNP – 13th July – 22; 19(m); 3(f) PMU – 15th July – 31st August – 13; 11(m); 2(f) Judiciary – 7th-12th October – 30; 26(m); 4(f) BIN – 7th-11th October – 28; 24(m); 4(f) Harper Regional Hub – 0 Zwedru Regional Hub - 0		LNP 24 th 28 Feb 2014: 25(m) 2(f) (Bong) = 27 BCR 18 th -21 March 2014: 23(m) 11(f) (Bong) = 34 BCR/LNP 20 th March 2014: 19(m) 6 (f) (Bong) = 25 Judiciary 18 th Apr. 2014: 24(m) 6(f) (Bong) = 30 SGBV-CU 4 th -6 th June 2014: 29(m) 11 (f) = 40 Judiciary 26 th -27 th June 2014: 37 (m) 0(f) (Bong) Harper Regional Hub: 0 Zwedru Regional Hub: 0		
	Indicator 1.2.10 - % increase in the number of criminal cases prosecuted by the County attorney’s office in one Court Term	Dec. 2012: Gbarnga Hub region: Bong – Lofa –S Nimba – August 2013 CT Harper Hub region:			Data collection from MOJ Prosecution has been challenging. The M&E Workshop to re-emphasize the importance of data collection and	

Outcome/ Outputs	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	End of Project Indicator Targets	Current Indicator Progress	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)	Adjustment of targets (If any)
	from one year to the next (disaggregated by county)	Maryland – Grand Kru – River Gee – August 2013 CT Zwedru Hub region: Grand Gedeh – Sinoe –			reporting is scheduled for mid July 2014 with support from PBO, UNMIL and LDA.	
	Indicator 1.2.11 - % increase in the number of criminal cases handled by the public defender’s office in one Court Term from one year to the next (disaggregated by county)	Dec. 2012 CT Gbarnga Hub region: Bong – Lofa – Nimba – August 2013 CT Harper Hub region: Maryland – Grand Kru – River Gee – August 2013 CT Zwedru Hub region: Grand Gedeh – Sinoe			Data collection from the Judiciary has been challenging. The M&E Workshop to re-emphasize the importance of data collection and reporting is scheduled for mid July 2014 with support from PBO, UNMIL and LDA.	
	Indicator 1.2.12 – % of offenders under Probation officers’ supervision who reoffended within the 6 months probationary period (disaggregated by	As of December 2013 – 0.05% - Of the 17 offenders placed on probation during this reporting period, 1 person in Lofa		January – June Gbarnga Regional Hub Gbarnga: 0 Lofa: 3% Nimba: 8% Harper Regional Hub	In Hubs 2 & 3 Regions probation	

Outcome/ Outputs	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	End of Project Indicator Targets	Current Indicator Progress	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)	Adjustment of targets (If any)
	county).	county absconded. Gbarnga Regional Hub: Bong: 0% Lofa: 0.05% Nimba: 0% Harper Hub region: Dec. 2013 Maryland –0% Grand Kru –0% River Gee –0% Zwedru Hub region: Dec. 2013 Grand Gedeh –0% Sinoe – 0%		Maryland: 0 RiverGee : 0 Grand Kru : 0 Zwedru Hub Region Grand Gedeh: 0 Sinoe: 0	services have not been established	
	Indicator 1.2.13 - # of SGBV survivors who received psychosocial and medical services through the SGBV CU at the regional hubs	As of December 2013: Gbarnga Regional Hub: Bong: 519 Lofa: 143 Nimba: 285 Harper Hub region: Dec. 2013 Maryland – 0 Grand Kru – 0 River Gee – 0		January –June 2014 Gbarnga Regional Hub Bong: 24 Lofa: 12 Nimba: 17 Harper Hub region: Maryland – 0 Grand Kru – 0 River Gee – 0 Zwedru Hub region:	The reduction in service is due to staffing shortage and restructuring of the SGBV Crimes Unit at the Gbarnga Hub. The roll out of the services in Hubs 2 and 3 is scheduled for end July 2014 / early August 2014.	

Outcome/ Outputs	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	End of Project Indicator Targets	Current Indicator Progress	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)	Adjustment of targets (If any)
		Zwedru Hub region: Dec. 2013 Grand Gedeh – 0 Sinoe – 0		Grand Gedeh – 0 Sinoe – 0		
	Indicator 1.2.14 – # of complaints referred to the Public Services Offices in the Hub regions to the justice and security institutions (disaggregated by institution against which the complaint was lodged)	As of December 2013: 19 complaints were filed. Gbarnga Hub region: Bong – 15 (1 LNP; 13 Judiciary, 1 MOJ) Lofa – 1 (1 Judiciary) Nimba – 3 (3 Judiciary) Harper Hub region: Maryland – 0 Grand Kru – 0 River Gee – 0 Zwedru Hub region: Grand Gedeh – 0 Sinoe – 0		January – June 2014 Gbarnga Regional Hub Bong : 5 (Judiciary) Nimba: 4 (1 LNP) (3 Judiciary) Lofa: 0 Harper Regional Hub Maryland: 0 RiverGee : 0 Grand Kru : 0 Zwedru Hub Region Grand Gedeh: 0 Sinoe: 0	Public Outreach officers and coordinators for Hubs 2 and 3 were only deployed in mid June 2014.	
Output 1.3: Justice and security service providers are responsive to community	Indicator 3.1.1 - # of people sensitized by PBF-funded community based actors about their rights and how to access the justice	Gbarnga Hub region: As of December 2013 – 0 Gbarnga Regional Hub: 0 Harper Regional Hub: 0 Zwedru Regional Hub: 0	Gbarnga Hub Region: 15 CSOs trained and awaiting small grants to commence operations (Bong 5,	Hubs 2&3 Regions : 0	Community Based Organizations have been identified and trained. However they are waiting for funding to be disbursed to carry out	

Outcome/ Outputs	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	End of Project Indicator Targets	Current Indicator Progress	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)	Adjustment of targets (If any)
concerns	system (disaggregated by county)		Lofa 5, and Nimba 3)		their community sensitization.	
	Indicator 1.3.2 – # of referrals by the PSO to existing CBO services (disaggregated by justice advisory, human rights monitoring, advocacy, ADR and support services and by county)	Gbarnga Hub region: 1 Dec. 2013 Bong – 1 – JPC Gbarnga – resolution of domestic problem Lofa – 0 Nimba – 0 Harper Hub region: Dec. 2013 Maryland – 0 Grand Kru – 0 River Gee – 0 Zwedru Hub region: Dec. 2013 Grand Gedeh – 0 Sinoe – 0		Gbarnga Regional Hub Bong : 1 JPC Nimba: 0 Lofa: 0 Harper Regional Hub Maryland: 0 RiverGee : 0 Grand Kru : 0 Zwedru Hub Region Grand Gedeh: 0 Sinoe: 0		
	Indicator 1.3.3 – # of county justice and security councils operational in the hub regions	As of December 2013: Gbarnga Hub region: Bong – 0 Lofa – 1 Nimba – Harper Hub region: Maryland – 0		Gbarnga Regional Hub Bong : 0 Nimba: 0 Lofa: 1 Harper Regional Hub Maryland: 0 RiverGee : 0 Grand Kru : 0		

Outcome/ Outputs	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	End of Project Indicator Targets	Current Indicator Progress	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)	Adjustment of targets (If any)
		Grand Kru – 0 River Gee – 0 Zwedru Hub region: Grand Gedeh – 1 Sinoe – 0		Zwedru Hub Region Grand Gedeh: 1 Sinoe: 0		
	Indicator 1.3.4 - # of human rights monitors deployed in the Hub regions (disaggregated by county)	As of December 2013: 3 Gbarnga Hub region: Dec. 2013 Bong – 1 Lofa – 1 Nimba – 1 Harper Hub region: Dec. 2013 Maryland – 0 Grand Kru – 0 River Gee – 0 Zwedru Hub region: Dec. 2013 Grand Gedeh – 0 Sinoe – 0		Gbarnga Regional Hub Bong : 1 Nimba:2 Lofa: 1 Harper Regional Hub Maryland: 2 RiverGee : 2 Grand Kru : 2 Zwedru Hub Region Grand Gedeh:2 Sinoe: 2	The INCHR increased the number of monitors in Nimba due to the size of the county and the need for an additional monitor.	
	Indicator 1.3.5 - # of beneficiaries who receive information	Gbarnga Hub region: Dec. 2011 Bong –			The availability of this data from the INCHR was not	

Outcome/ Outputs	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	End of Project Indicator Targets	Current Indicator Progress	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)	Adjustment of targets (If any)
	and advice from human rights monitors (disaggregated by type of case, age and sex)	Lofa – Nimba – Harper Hub region: Dec. 2012 Maryland – 0 Grand Kru – 0 River Gee – 0 Zwedru Hub region: Dec. 2012 Grand Gedeh – 0 Sinoe – 0			forthcoming by the completion of this report. Training on the data collection and use of monitoring logs will be administered in mid July 2014 to all agencies with presence at the Hubs.	
Output 1.4: Legal an policy frameworks in place that enable national authorities to better perform their duties in the justice and security sector	Indicator 1.4.1 – Legislation relating to the Jury Law and the jurisdiction of magistrates developed and passed into Law	December 2013 - The Jury Law was passed into Law during this reporting period.	Jury Law passed into Law	Jury Law passed into Law	The Jury Bill has been passed into Law. The Government of Liberia has made provision in its 2014/2015 budget for the implementation of the Law.	
	Indicator 1.4.2 – Prison Law	Baseline (Dec 2011) – the Bill was		No change in the status of this Bill since 2011.		

Outcome/ Outputs	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	End of Project Indicator Targets	Current Indicator Progress	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)	Adjustment of targets (If any)
	developed and passed into Law	developed and submitted to the Legislature				
	Indicator 1.4.3 – Gun Control Law developed and passed into Law	Baseline (Dec 2011) – Bill developed and submitted to the Legislature		No change in the status of this Bill since 2011.		
	Indicator 1.4.4 – National Security Reform Law developed and passed into Law	Baseline (Dec 2011) – Bill developed and submitted to the Legislature		No change in the status of this Bill since 2011.		
	Indicator 1.4.5 - # of cases adjudicated through Bail or alternative sentencing (disaggregated by county and hub region)	<p>As of December 2013:</p> <p>Gbarnga Hub region: Bong – 0 Lofa – 0 Nimba – 0</p> <p>Harper Hub region: Maryland – 0 Grand Kru – 0 River Gee – 0</p> <p>Zwedru Hub region: Grand Gedeh – 0 Sinoe – 0</p>			The Solicitor General’s office has had several working sessions on the possibility of instituting this measure as a means to reduce pre-trial detention rate. As a part of the restructuring of the JSJP, it is approved that a working group – Pre-trial Detention Program Implementation Team	

Outcome/ Outputs	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	End of Project Indicator Targets	Current Indicator Progress	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)	Adjustment of targets (If any)
					<p>is formed to handle mechanisms to reduce pretrial detention rate. This measure will be introduced for the development of strategies on its introduction into the system.</p>	