NEPAL SUN SELF-ASSESSMENT WORKSHOP REPORT
27 May, 2014
Kathmandu, Nepal

Background

In order to measure the progress and efficiency of the Scaling Up Nutrition Movement (SUN) at a global and national level, a SUN Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) Framework was developed in 2013. In order to ensure mutual accountability, a comprehensive SUN Movement M&E Framework was agreed in 2012 to measure whether the Movement was delivering results. The format for information collection is organised around the four SUN processes. The processes are summarized as **1) Bringing people into a shared space for action 2) Ensuring a coherent policy and legal framework, 3) Aligning programmes around common goals 4) Financial tracking and resource mobilization**

In this context, 2014 is the first year that the SUN Movement is inviting all national multi-stakeholder platforms to organise self-assessment workshops in order to contribute to the annual reporting on progress. The monitoring of national progress forms part of the monitoring routine of all SUN countries Platforms. It is envisioned the outcomes of workshop will contribute to an annual process as a method of ensuring accountability and so that pathways of change can be identified and shared as learning opportunities. To help SUN Country National Focal Points to facilitate this self-assessment, the facilitation notes were provided and explained with greater details through teleconference meetings.

Purpose	To review national progress of the country against the four SUN processes, to feed into the 2014 State of the SUN Movement Progress Report
Expected Result	Validated scoring of progress 2014 (April 2013-April 2014) of the national platform on all 4 SUN processes.
Participants	A range of stakeholders from government and networks (donors, civil society, UN, business)

Methodology for measuring the progress

Progress on each of the four processes is measured by examining the behavioural characteristics of SUN stakeholders at country level. For each of the four SUN processes, the level of behaviour is determined with the use of a pathway of change. Each pathway has steps, called Progress Markers (PM). The PMs indicate progressive levels of behaviour that can be expected as the SUN Movement evolves. Each PM is further detailed with examples ("signs") of behaviour to help score whether this step is getting started (score 1), on-going (score 2), nearly completed (3), or completed (4) in the country. The use of PMs is grounded in an Outcome Mapping methodology: a well-known method for

tracking behavioural changes as a vehicle for progress in complex and non-linear development environments.

Workshop proceedings in summary

- The SUN self-assessment workshop was inaugurated by Rt. Hon'ble Member of National Planning Commission Dr. Yagya Bahadur Karki and the purpose of this workshop was highlighted by Mr. Bishnu Prasad Nepal, Joint Secretary, Social Development Division, National Planning Commission Secretariat, Government of Nepal.

- The brief overview of SUN (at national as well as global level) was presented and facilitated by Mr. Radhakrishna Pradhan, Programme Director, National Planning Commission Secretariat. The update also included Nepal's participation and sharing of country progress in the SUN Global Gathering in 2011, 2012 and 2013.

- The SUN M & E Framework and a brief overview of measuring the progress on **4 processes** was presented and facilitated by Jhabindra Bhandari, National REACH Facilitator, National Nutrition and Food Security Secretariat, National Planning Commission, and highlighted the reporting processes as required by SUN Movement Secretariat.

- In the morning, the **four** mixed groups were formed who actually reviewed processes (one process for one group) based on the scoring guidelines; and details of their scoring was presented in the larger group with their explanations on comments and suggestions. The individual group work was facilitated by a team of National Planning Commission and National Nutrition Food Security Secretariat.

- In the afternoon, **5** network groups (government, civil society, donor, UN, business) were formed to work on each processes based on the reporting format. In order to solicit inputs from larger group and ensure validation, each network presented the scoring with further explanations on comments and suggestions for further improvement.

- At the closing of the workshop, Mr. Radhakrishna Pradhan thanked all the participants for their time and active participation. He also appreciated their inputs while scoring the progress and the suggestions as well as ways to improve this further.

Some of the key reflections

- The level of participation and inputs from several networks (government, civil society, donors, UN and business platform) was quite encouraging. While the business platform is yet to be initiated formally, their interest for participation and engagement in SUN movement has been expressed which is appreciated by all stakeholders.

- The workshop provided an important opportunity to update about SUN movement in general and **the ways to track progress in 4 processes.**

- As this monitoring exercise was new to many stakeholders, the needs for common understanding and clarity in some of the steps of **4 processes** were discussed in the context of country situation.

- The stakeholders pointed out that the outcomes of this workshop would be a baseline progress in 2014 to measure similar progress in 2015.

- The participants from business platform showed keen interest to engage in SUN movement and requested government (NPC) to facilitate the establishment process of business platform for SUN movement.

- Monitoring of **4 processes** are perceived as important pathways to ensure scaling up nutrition where government's leadership and commitments are further enhanced and subsequently, the engagement of multi-sector platforms e.g. civil society, donors, business, UN agencies etc. is strengthened.

NEPAL – Self Assessment Workshop
Report with final scores

Process 1: Bringing people in the same space
Score each step: 0 (not applicable); 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
1. Select/develop coordinating mechanisms at country level	**3**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**2**	**1**
*Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this **scoring**?* (Copy post-its written during workshop)	• National Nutrition and Food Security Security Secretariat has been established since May 2013. The three multi-sectoral working groups, Capacity development (CDWG), Advocacay and Communication (ACWG) and Monitoring and Evaluation and Management Information System(MEMIS) and academia platform has been coordinating and collobarating with government agencies, EDPS, INGOs/NGOs,	• High level Steering coordination committee National Nutrition and Food Security Secretariat has been established. To provide technical supports to these two committees and NPC, National Nutrition and Food Security	• Yet to be fully sustained • NNFSS has been established. • UN agencies are also the members of the three technical working groups formed by NNFSS, NPC.The meetings of HLNFSCC	• High level steering committee, coordination committee & other tech committees formed, regular. • Meeting happening, stakeholders meeting • NNFSS has been established. • Donor agencies are also the members of the three technical working groups	• Mapping of civil society organizations working in the nutrition sensitive and intervention was done. • Alliance already established and started. • It has been 2 months. coordination with national stakeholder, NPC, CHD is ongoing but regional, district & community level	• Signing declaration only • Get partly involvement of district chambers in Nutrition policy development

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
	and academia in common plateformto deal with nutrition specify and sensitive issues of the country. • mechanism has been developed /established but not all the structure are fully institutionalized within government system eg: secretariat (NNFSS) • The r meetings of High level Nutrition and Food Security Coordination Committes and all three working groups are held on periodic basis	Secretariat has been established which is operating since May 2013.The three multi-sectoral working groups, Capacity development (CDWG), Advocacay and Communication (ACWG) and Monitoring and Evaluation and Management Information System (MEMIS) and academia platform has been formed to provide common platform to deal with issues related with	and three working groups are held on regular basis.	formed by NNFSS, NPC. The meetings of NNFSHLCC and three working groups is conducting regulary.	coordination mechanism need to be develop. • Within these 2 months of establishement of Alliance, it has been coordinating with NPC, CHD and other relevant stakeholders at the national level.	

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
		nutrition and food security. • National Food Security Secretaraite				
Suggestions made for improvements?	Though NNFSS is under, the staffs of EDPs are providing technical support. It was suggested that NNFSS should be fully intitutionlized by the government.	• Meeting regular intervals • interlizati on of NNFSS in government • There needs frequent meetings. • The government should be in position to institionalize NNFSS fully with own human and financial resources.	• The established mechanism need to be made more sustainable for long term. • Governmen t has to own it in real sense •	• Institutanzlizatio n of NNFSS Govtotally ownership needed • The government will own NNFSS fully in long term.	• Better coordination mechanism and information dissemination need to be done • The coordination level need to be expanded and mechanism need to be established at regional, district & community level	• Representatio n in National level mechanism • Policy advocacy
2. Coordinate						

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
internally and broaden membership/engage with other actors for broader influence	**1**	**2**	**2**	**2**	**2**	**1**
*Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this **scoring**?* (Copy post-its written during workshop)	Started :Recently Ministry of Women & children joined the membership • Internal coordination among various ministries staated • Local level & community level involvement and feedback lacks behind • Though MSNP is primarily owned by NPC, seven sectoral ministries, UN agencies, EDPs, INGOs and private sectors, the document highlighted only about the five key ministries, MoHP, MoAD, MoFALD, MoUD and MoE. In the course of exploring	• Flexibility in involvement of UN in steering /coordinating committee • The members of three multi-sectoral working groups are from the government, UN and donor agencies, EDPs, INGOs and	• The initiatives are progressing in positive manner • Being a member of three multi-sectoral working groups, the representatives of UN agencies are attending the meetings regularly and providing valuable inputs. • While being	• Being a member of three multi-sectoral working groups, the representatives of donor agencies are attending the meetings regularly and providing valuable inputs. While being invitees of NNFSHLSC, the representatives of UN agencies are attending the meetings regularly	• CSANN established, TOR developed. • Conducting regular meeting among members • Membership form circulation for wider alliance of CSOs for better networking.	• Especially in SUN coordination is poor. However food security & food security and food supply chain regularise in coordination.1

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
	internal membership and engagement, Ministry of Women, Children and Social Welfare (MoWCSW) has been involved with the decision of NNFSHLCC. During the revision process of MSNP M&E Framework, the sectoralM&E framework of MoWCSW has been developed. Meanwhile, to deal with communication and advocacay related components, the official letter has been dispatched from NPC to Ministry of Information and Communication for their membership in multisectoral CAWG.	academia. • While the representative from UN and donor agencies, EDPs, INGOs and academia are involving in NNFSHLSC as invitees.	invitees of NNFSHLSC, the representatives of UN agencies are attending the meetings regularly			
Suggestions made for improvements?		• Advocate and communica	• Still the multi-sectoral coordination	• Involvment of local communities • Broader	• Involvements of media need to be explored.	• Govt. Involvement of PSO (Private

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
		te to political leadership • Need to further explore opportunity of engagement of executive level political leadership and business sectors.	needs to be materialised fully.	memberships such the NGOs civil Society, Businessman communities, etc. • Diversity membership	• Better and effective dessimination of the information needed.	Sector Organization) • Coordination other stakeholder (representation in all level of Govt)
3. Engage within / contribute to MSP	**1**	**2**	**3**	**1**	**2**	**0**
*Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this **scoring**?* (Copy post-its written during workshop)	• Started : • Most of the activities within MSP just started and results has not been seen so	• Different MSP formed /WGS	• UN participation & contribution is at high and active level	• Regular meeting happening • No joint conections	• Regular meetings & discussion update	• No involvement of PSO

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
	dar except coordination platform at national level. • With the establishment of NNFSS since 2013, the MSP has been created. The formation of the three working groups and academia platform provide opportunity to discuss nutrition specific and sensitive relatedissues among different stakeholders. The platform is using for developing common understanding, exploration of joint efforts, identifyingchallenges and collective ways to come out, sharing and dessimination the information. However, as it is in the initial					

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
	year of establishment, the results need to be achieved many more in the upcoming years.					
Suggestions made for improvements?		• Needs to be strengthen	• Better coordination joint planning within the UN agencies is required	• Result based advocacy	• Should broaden regional & district level alliances • effective and regular meeting should be continued	• But now onwards it has be consider to make PSO contribution
4. Track and report on own contribution to MSP	**1**	**1**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**0**
*Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this **scoring**?* (Copy post-its written during workshop)	Started: Report on quantative data not seen so far to tract the progress/Contribution	• Regular sharing of experiences in national and internation al events.	• UNDAF has incorporated and contributions to MSP	• Result not shared	• Yet to be done	• No track and report contributed in MSP. As it was not involved or conduct any activities in regards of MSNP
Suggestions made for improvements?		• Regular report	• Improvmen t in tracking	• Identification of rights	• Better reporting mechanisum to be	• PSO role should be aware

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
		• publication • Web based reporting system	and reporting to MSP is necessary	representative at decision making	developed.	and it has to be in action MSNP
5. Sustain Impact of the MSP	**2**	**2**	**2**	**1**	**1**	**0**
*Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this **scoring**?* (Copy post-its written during workshop)	• Ongoing : Existing political leadership irrespective of turnover • MSP approach on nutrition is under process of various ministries development Planning	• MSP integrated in periodic planning process	• UN is supporting the sustainable impact of MSP	• Just started of discussion among • Not integrated only health section involvement and not all the sectors	• Plateform already formed	• Does not apply has it is mention in above coloum
Suggestions made for improvements?	•	• Too early to discuss about impact	• UN can initiate dialogues for sustainability	• High level political commitment • Incorporate of nutrition in all level of ministries.	• Resources should be available for better advocacy and networking.	•

Process 2: Coherent policy and legal framework

Score each step: 0 (not applicable); 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
1. Analyse existing nutrition-relevant policies and programmes	**3**	**3**	**3**	**2**	**2**	**0**
*Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this **scoring**?* (Copy post-its written during workshop)	• Still some of policies are in finalization process – Agriculture Development Strategy (ADS) and AgriclutureFood Security and Nutrition plan • MSNP already finalized & endorsed (4 Strategy) • WASH Master	• NAGA Report in 2009/10 and MSNP launching in 2012	• A lot of review has been undertaken as part of MSNP development and beyond.	• Not all policies are formed in all sectors	• Regular analysis of the policies & programme	• Not get involved

	Plan – Completed • MIYCN, Multi year Plan – only in Plan • Maternal nutrition policy – already completed					
Suggestions made for improvements?		• For the streamling on the basis of experience • Need to streamelin e the analysises of the program and polices based upon experience .	• Effective implementatio n or incorporation of the review findings	• Policies should be finalized from all sectors	• Disseminatio n should done • Analysis, recommendati on & monitoring	• Need to make involvement of Buisness group
2. Mainstream nutrition in own policies and strategies	**2**	**2**	**4**	**2**	**0.5**	**0**

*Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this **scoring**?* (Copy post-its written during workshop)	• National health policy – Poverty mentioned • Other policies- clearly mentioned • MSNP- Mainstreamed • Other sectors – Just started to incorporate nutrition agenda in the policies	• Mainstreamed in sectoral ministries' policies and strategies	• Overall, all UN agencies have reflected nutrition in own policies & strategies		• Internalization by different sectors on importance of nutrition and SCOs engagement through CSANN • series of advocacy meetings by CSANN.	• Not get involved
Suggestions made for improvements?		• Policy review and dissemination	• Each and every programme of the agencies needs to be viewed /aligned through the nutrition sensitive lens.		• Still need to mainstream in policies & programme	• Get involved in all forum
3. Coordinate / harmonise member inputs in policy / legal framework	**2.5**	**2**	**3**	**1**	**1**	**1**

development						
*Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this **scoring**?* (Copy post-its written during workshop)	• Focal person already assigned in different ministries • Coordination committee already formed in each district • Planned activities included in line ministries work plan • Still not well strenthenged and ongoing	• Coordinated with UN agencies with Development partners for input comments	• All the agencies are ensuring the process through the respective line agencies	• Lack in coordination with harmonization	• Only HANCI evidences	
Suggestions made for improvements?		• More effective coordination with main stakeholders	• It should be continued and strengthend			• Except Nutrition part PSO, Get well involvement in policy legal frame development
4. Influence policy/legal framework development through	**2**	**2**	**2**	**1**	**1**	**1**

advocacy/contribution						
*Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this **scoring**?* (Copy post-its written during workshop)	• Ongoing	• Harmonization of various policies through advocacy	• Support is ongoing	• Activities not started but policies/ strategies are updatedActivities not started but policies/ strategies are updated • Sector policies not in place	• Regular advocacy meeting with CHD, DoHs & NPC	• Involved in different policy legal framework development
Suggestions made for improvements?		• Revise the sectoral policies strategies if needed	• Much needs to be done and supported/ facilitated		• Increase gradually the no. of meeting	• Need to make involvement of PSO
5. Disseminate policy and operationalize / Enforce legal framework	**2**	**2**	**2**	**1**	**1**	**1**
*Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this **scoring**?* (Copy post-its written during workshop)	• Ongoing process • Still some of policies are on process of finalization & endorsement	• Policies are dessiminated to the wider stakeholders	• Dissemination is supported by UN	• Policies not in place so dissemination not happening	• Only HANCI and Generation Nutrition evidences shared among CSANN members	• Workshop /Seminar, Sentitization

Suggestions made for improvements?			• Further support for dissemination need to be continued		• Need to be shared	• Need to make involvement of PSO
6. Track and report results for steering and learning / Sustain policy impact	**2**	**1**	**2**	**1**	**1**	**0**
*Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this **scoring**?* (Copy post-its written during workshop)	Ongoing	• Takes time to measure the impact of the programme	• All related activities are being supported and ongoing.	• Gap in learning and sustain policies	• Joint initiated	• Not in place
Suggestions made for improvements?			• Much more needs to be done e.g. is maternaity protection issues			

Process 3: Common Results Framework for National Nutrition Plan (aligned programming)
Score each step: 0 (not applicable); 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network

	SCORE (Morning Session)					
1. Align own programmes to national nutrition-relevant policies	3	**2**	**3**	**3**	**3**	**0**
*Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this **scoring**?* (Copy post-its written during workshop)	Aligned at national level only	• Sectoral ministries are aligning their policies in line with MSNP	• Effort are made for programmatic alignment	• Most of the programme are aligned with MSP	• All CSOs have developed programme allined with national nutrition	• Not in place
Suggestions made for improvements?		• Through study required for better screening of the policies	• However, UN HR policies line that of maternity leave/ provision need to be aligne with the maternal nutrition strategy (with ILO)	•	• On going	• Need involment of PSO in all level of MSNP
2. Translate policy / legal framework in Common Results	**2**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**0.5**	**0**

Framework (CRF) for SUN						
*Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this **scoring**?* (Copy post-its written during workshop)	• Limited budget allocation • Limited coordination • Limited capacity • Adaptable in VDC	• SUN M&E framework available /MSNP M&E framework in progress of revision	• REACH is supporting CRF, M&E framework. • UNDAF even it to some extent	• CRF on going • M&E framework not finalized	• Organizations have started the programme related to some nutrition policies	• Not in place
Suggestions made for improvements?		• M&E framework finalization adaptation	• Push for endorsement	• CRF to be developed & finalized soon	•	• Need involment of PSO in all level of MSNP
3. Organise implementation of CRF	**2**	**1**	**2**	**1**	**1**	**0**
*Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this **scoring**?* (Copy post-its written during workshop)	• Resources allocation minimal • Limited coordination between line ministries • Minimal capacity of stakeholders	• M&E framework still to be finalized • But existing M&E operational	• Taking UNDAF as CRF for UN, all agencies responsiable for CRF	• CRF framework not formed	• Joint strated (IYCF, Maternal nutrition)	• Not in place

	• Local good					
Suggestions made for improvements?						• Need involment of PSO in all level of MSNP
4. Manage implementation of CRF	**2**	**1**	**2**	**1**	**1**	**0**
*Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this **scoring**?* (Copy post-its written during workshop)	• Just completed MSNPM&E framework revision)		• SUN has introduced CRF recently through REACH need to further understand.	• CRF on going • MSNP sectoral M&E framework has been finalized	• Joint Intervention just started	• Not in place
Suggestions made for improvements?						• Need involvement of PSO in all level of MSNP
5. Track and report implementation results for steering and learning/evaluate to sustain impact	**0**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**0 (N/A)**	**0**
*Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this **scoring**?* (Copy post-its written during workshop)	• Limited coverage • NA	• Needs to strengthen the operation	• The relvency of CRF is yet to be comprehended, given that MSNP has already have	• CRF on going • M&E framework not finalized	•	• Not in place

			a comprehensive M&E framework with results from all sectors.			
Suggestions made for improvements?						• Need involment of PSO in all level of MSNP

Process 4: Financial tracking and resource mobilisation

Score each step: 0 (not applicable); 1 (started); 2 (on-going); 3 (nearly completed); 4 (completed)

STEP	FINAL PLATFORM SCORE (Morning Session)	Score for Government	Score for UN network	Score for Donor network	Score for CSO network	Score for Business network
1. Assess financial feasibility	**1**	**2**	**1**	**2**	**1**	**1**
Explanations: What activities/interventions	• Only planning	• Each sector has	• Faciliate introduction of	• Costed plan is on place but	• We are assessing (	• Cetain fund is mobilized

*underly this **scoring**?* (Copy post-its written during workshop)	on MSNP and that also not applied	increased budget	budget code for both Nutrition Specific & Sensitive sectors as suggested by financial review	activities not started	ongoing)	for CFR
Suggestions made for improvements?		• Targeted/ coverage to be increased	• This need to further lobbyed and supported	• Activities should be implemented so that cost plan be reviewed	• CSANN is trying to make collaborative effort for searching financial feasibility	• PPP model programme design
2. Track and (transparently) accounting of spending	**3**	**2**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**3**
*Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this **scoring**?* (Copy post-its written during workshop)	• Financial Reporting and trackingis in place • Lack of capture and sharing lesson learnt	• The process of transprency accounting functional in this existing developed	• Individual UN agencies do annual tracking with both specific and sensitive sectors needs to continue as before. • Only applicable for the funds supported by UN to Gov.	• Difficult to track individual donor's funding is a pool fund	• Ongoing. We have plan to track and initatiate the process	• PSO mobilized & channelized their funding according to organization system. So they maintain there track accordingly. In regards of MSNP –

						Nothings
Suggestions made for improvements?		• Spending by districts/ reporting mechanism	• Once the budget code is applicable in place, this will be ensured.	• Tracking mechanisum should be developed		• Considerati on needed to think in future involvement PSO.
3. Scale up and align resources (incl. filling the gaps)	**3**	**2**	**1**	**3**	**1**	**1**
*Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this **scoring**?* (Copy post-its written during workshop)	• Allocated sectoral scale-up (increasing) • Gap fulfil • Expendit ure low	• Governme nt is going to increase additional budget	• UN started fulfilling the GAP on MSNP to nutrition specific and sensitive sectors	• Gaps already identified	• Align resources – in progress	• Very low in nutrition related programme
Suggestions made for improvements?		• Coordinati on, Planning and funding need to increase				
4. Honour commitments (turn pledges into disbursements)	**3**	**2**	**3**	**3**	**1**	**1**
*Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this **scoring**?* (Copy post-its written during	• Allocated budget as of committed	• Initiate to increase budget from government	• Allocating Budget to GoN	• Commitment from EDP very positive	• We are exploring the possible resources	• Need to committed nutrition related

workshop)	of Govt. and EDP	side. • EDPs are willing to increase budget				programme
Suggestions made for improvements?			• GoN reluctant to show UN budget support to Nutrition through MoHP			• Employment generating promoting • Get involved in policy/strategy/ action plan
5. Ensure predictability / sustain impact / multi-year funding	**2**	**2**	**1**	**2**	**1**	**1**
*Explanations: What activities/interventions underly this **scoring**?* (Copy post-its written during workshop)	• Long term yes • Gap fufill yes (EDP)	• Multi years financial planning is reflected on MSP. • Existing budget to sectoral ministries will not decrease	• Pooled funds / increasingly available • Budget ceiling to nutrition should be waited	• Existence of multiyear finance /funding	• No funding assurance for long term	• Employment generating can reduce poverty in country and which will be impact on Nutrition
Suggestions made for improvements?				• Financial gaps should be reduced		• Network mobilization of DCCI-

				further. • Sustainable funding to be ensured • Government ownership • Local governance should be strengthened.		District Community Communication and Information and MCCI-Municipality Communication and information