



[COUNTRY: Guatemala]

**PROJECT HALF YEARLY PROGRESS UPDATE**

**PERIOD COVERED: JANUARY – JUNE 2017**

<b>Project No &amp; Title:</b>	PBF/IRF-145:1325: Empowering women survivors of conflict and post-conflict related sexual and gender-based violence in Guatemala		
<b>Recipient Organization(s)<sup>1</sup>:</b>	UN Women; UNDP; UNFPA		
<b>Implementing Partners (Government, UN agencies, NGOs etc):</b>	Main State Partner: General Prosecutor’s Office (Public Ministry) CSO Partners: Agenda Global de Mujeres Indígenas; Asociación Política de Mujeres Mayas Moloj; Bufete Jurídico Rabinal; CICAM; CONAVIGUA; Consorcio Rompiendo el Silencio (ECAP, MTM and UNAMG); Foro Nacional de la Mujer; Fundación Myrna Mack; GAM; Ixmucané; ODHAG Other State Partners: Human Rights Ombudsperson’s Office (PDH); Office for the Defense of Indigenous Women (DEMI); Other partners: Inter-Institutional Table on Women, Peace and Security (MIMPAZ), and 14 Municipalities of the Verapaces and Quiché		
<b>Total Approved Budget :<sup>2</sup></b>	1000000		
<b>Preliminary data on funds committed :<sup>3</sup></b>	UN Women \$USD25,593.49 UNDP \$USD110,083.35 UNFPA \$USD125,000	<b>% of funds committed / total approved budget:</b>	UN Women 5.11% UNDP 45.69% UNFPA 50%
<b>Expenditure<sup>4</sup>:</b>	UN Women \$USD58,903 UNDP \$USD114,225	<b>% of expenditure / total budget: (Delivery rate)</b>	UN Women 11,78% UNDP 45.69% UNFPA 0%

<sup>1</sup> Please note that where there are multiple agencies, only one consolidated project report should be submitted.

<sup>2</sup> Approved budget is the amount transferred to Recipient Organisations.

<sup>3</sup> Funds committed are defined as the commitments made through legal contracts for services and works according to the financial regulations and procedures of the Recipient Organisations. Provide preliminary data only.

<sup>4</sup> Actual payments (contracts, services, works) made on commitments.

5 PBF focus areas are:

PBF Focus Areas are:

1: Support the implementation of peace agreements and political dialogue (Priority Area 1):

(1.1) SSR, (1.2) RoL; (1.3) DDR; (1.4) Political Dialogue;

2: Promote coexistence and peaceful resolution of conflicts (Priority Area 2):

(2.1) National reconciliation; (2.2) Democratic Governance; (2.3) Conflict prevention/management;

3: Revitalise the economy and generate immediate peace dividends (Priority Area 3);

(3.1) Employment; (3.2) Equitable access to social services

4) (Re)-establish essential administrative services (Priority Area 4)

(4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity; (4.2) extension of state authority/local administration; (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including JSC/ PBF Secretariats)

	UNFPA \$0USD		
<b>Project Approval Date:</b>	10 October 2016	<b>Possible delay in operational closure date (Number of months)</b>	
<b>Project Start Date:</b>	November 2016		
<b>Expected Operational Project Closure Date:</b>	May 2018		
<b>Project Outcomes:</b>	<p>Outcome 1: 75 women survivors of conflict and post-conflict related SGBV, particularly indigenous women, are empowered in their rights to restorative justice and transformative reparation and three state institutions, strengthened to incorporate a women’s human rights perspective in their justice, comprehensive care, and reparation responses.</p> <p>Outcome 2: 300 women survivors of conflict and post-conflict related SGBV, particularly indigenous women, are empowered in their right to equal participation in peace building, the rule of law, and political decision-making, and 15 state institutions adopt regular mechanisms for increasing their participation in the design and monitoring of norms and policies to advance Peace Accords’ commitments towards women, in line with the Women, Peace and Security Global Agenda;</p> <p>Outcome 3: 1,225 women survivors of conflict and post-conflict related SGBV, particularly indigenous women, are empowered in their right to a life free of the continuum of violence against women, three state institutions and 20 community/municipal authorities implement coordinated WHR-based and culturally pertinent SGBV prevention, comprehensive care and response actions.</p>		
<b>PBF Focus Area<sup>5</sup></b> (select one of the Focus Areas listed below)	PBF Priority 1.2 : Rule of Law and PBF Priority 1.4 : Political Dialogue PBF Priority 2. 3 : Conflict prevention/management		

## Qualitative assessment of progress

<p><i>For each intended outcome, provide <b>evidence</b> of progress during the reporting period.</i></p> <p><i>In addition, for each outcome include the outputs achieved. (1000 characters max per outcome.)</i></p>	<p><b>Outcome 1:</b> 59 women SGBV survivors of sexual violence during the internal armed conflict received legal and psycho-social support to strengthen their participation in 3 cases. Husbands of some of the women were included in the psycho-social processes, to ensure their understanding of and support for the women as the investigation of the cases progresses. Guidelines for interviewing husbands of survivors of sexual violence were drafted to assist this work in the future.</p> <p><b>Outcome 2:</b> The WPS Inter-institutional Table, MIMPAZ, finalized 1325 NAP in-depth review and elaborated an inter-institutional agreement for its implementation. 1325 trainings were delivered to MIMPAZ and the GPI supported the Technical Secretariat of the National Security Council and the Ministry of Interior in their internal analysis of 1325 and related UNSC Resolutions. The UNCT submitted its CEDAW Confidential Complementary Report, which was shared with CRC and CERD Committees. and provided training on CEDAW to membes of the UNCT and the Inter-Agency Group on Gender. Supported compilation of CEDAW complementary report has been supported, including a consultation with 20 women survivors of conflict and post-conflict related sexual violence. Commemorating one year of the Sepur Zarco sentence, the II Feminist Encounter analyzed WPS Agenda and the legacy of survivors.</p> <p><b>Outcome 3:</b> Activities under outcome 3 which focus on actions in coordination with Sate entities have not been executed due to the pending approval by the Presidential Planning Secretariat, which is required to formalize annual workplans.</p> <p><b>Outcome 4:</b> N/A</p>
<p><i>Do you see evidence that the project is having a positive</i></p>	<p>The project enables a continuation of support for women survivors of conflict-related sexual violence. The ongoing process of empowerment women to exercise their rights to truth, justice and reparations;</p>

<p><i>impact on peacebuilding? (1000 characters max.)</i></p>	<p>to political participation, and to a life free of the continuum of VAW, which, reaches out to and includes their husbands, families and communities, contributes to peacebuilding by enabling deeply entrenched inequalities between men and women - evidenced by violations of women's most fundamental rights in the conflict and the post-conflict, and the ensuing decades of impunity - to be contested and transformed. Support and training to MIMPAZ for the adoption and implementation of 1325 NAP and the strengthening of women's political leadership directly contributes to implementing the Peace Accords linked to the WPS Global Agenda and the national policy for the advancement of women.</p>
<p><i>Were there catalytic effects from the project in the period reported, including additional funding commitments or unleashing/ unblocking of any peace relevant processes? (1000 characters max.)</i></p>	<p>10 additional women survivors of sexual violence were identified in relation to the Ixil case, and ECAP will now commence providing them legal and psycho-social support. The willingness of women to speak out about their experiences, is a result of the trust built within the communities and of the willingness of other women already receiving support, to encourage and accompany them. This is a catalytic effect. Partner IEAPADES received Swedish funding to strengthen the support to MIMPAZ and the 1325 NAP for which support has been affirmed by the Presidential Secretariat for Women. IW will support a side event on Guatemala and Burundi's peace process in this year's 1325 commemoration.</p>
<p><i>If progress has been slow or inadequate, provide main reasons and what is being done to address them. (1000 characters max.)</i></p>	<p>Project has been delayed by the prolonged process of passing the ProDoc through the Presidential Planning Secretariat (SEGEPLAN). Because of new compliance requirements in the law regarding the state budget, there are difficulties for projects where a large portion of the budget is allocated as grants to civil society. The delay has meant that the activities to be jointly executed with state entities such as the General Prosecutor's Office and the Office for the Defense of Indigenous Women (DEMI) have been on hold until the approval from SEGEPLAN.</p> <p>To address this delay, in coordination with SEGEPLAN and the General Prosecutor, the ProDoc has been adjusted, in compliance with the state requirements. The situation is expected to be resolved by the beginning of next quarter.</p>
<p><i>What are the main activities/expected results for the rest of the year? (1000 characters max.)</i></p>	<p>With SEGEPLAN's ProDoc approval, the hiring of national UNV experts to consolidate the 1325 team and the support to indigenous women human rights advocates at the community level and the state institutions that implement coordinated WHR-based and culturally pertinent SGBV prevention, comprehensive care, and response actions.</p> <p>Legal and psycho-social support to women involved in the 3 current cases will be continued, with a view to expanding to include an additional, 4th case. Expert consultants will also be hired to assist the</p>

	Prosecutor's Office to develop this 4th case, and to assist both prosecutors and civil society organizations with the development of transformative reparations methodologies and proposals with respect to the cases. A strategy to address obstacles for women's equal access to political participation will be developed, and grants to implement actions in the communities will be allocated.
<i>Is there any need to adjust project strategies/ duration/budget etc.? (1000 characters max.)</i>	As stated above SEGEPLAN has not yet approved the ProDoc which has delayed several activities. Once favorable dictum is received a request for a non-cost extension of the project will be formalized.
<i>What is the project budget expenditure to date (percentage of allocated project budget expensed by the date of the report) – preliminary figures only? (1000 characters max.)</i>	The preliminary figures on project expenditure to date indicate that 17,31% of the total allocated budget, equivalent to US\$173,128 has been spent and 26% equivalent to 260,676.84 has been committed.
<i>Any other information that the project needs to convey to PBSO (and JSC) at this stage? (1500 characters max.)</i>	The recruitment of expert support through UNV staff has not been feasible due to the delay in obtaining SEGEPLAN's positive dictum. In coordination with the UNV office, a plan for rapid recruitment has been designed to address the delays. The recruitment of the international volunteer has been successful and the GPI program coordinator is in place.

**INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT:** *Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document- provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at both the outcome and output level in the table below. Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation in the qualitative text above. (250 characters max per entry)*

	<b>Performance Indicators</b>	<b>Indicator Baseline</b>	<b>End of project Indicator Target</b>	<b>Current indicator progress</b>	<b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</b>	<b>Adjustment of target (if any)</b>
<b>Outcome 1</b> 75 women survivors of conflict and post-conflict related SGBV, particularly indigenous women, are empowered in their rights to restorative justice and transformative reparation, and three state institutions incorporate a women's human rights	Indicator 1.1 Number of women survivors of conflict and post-conflict related SGBV, particularly indigenous women involved in restorative justice and transforming reparation processes supported by the project, disaggregated by age and ethnic origin	49	75	59	N/A	N/A
	Indicator 1.2 Number of SGBV cases prepared for trial by the	1	4	1	N/A	N/A

perspect	General Prosecutor's Office applying WHR's standards as per knowledge acquired through the project					
	Indicator 1.3					
Output 1.1 Access to restorative justice for women survivors of SGBV strengthened	Indicator 1.1.1 # of cases in which pre-charge investigations have been issued for the arrest warrants by the General Prosecutor's Office with project support.	1	4	1	N/A	N/A
	Indicator 1.1.2 # of women survivors involved in 3 prioritized cases receiving legal and psychosocial assistance.	49	75	59	N/A	N/A
	Indicator 1.1.3 % of women survivors involved	0	1			

	in 3 prioritized cases with positive perceptions on access to justice.					
Output 1.2 Access of women survivors to transformative reparations improved via construction of methodologies and proposals.	Indicator 1.2.1 # of women survivors participating in construction of transformative reparations proposals	36	75	36	N/A	N/A
	Indicator 1.2.2 # of transformative reparations petitions prepared by the GPO for the 3 prioritized cases	0	3	0	N/A	N/A
Output 1.3	Indicator 1.3.1					
	Indicator 1.3.2					
<b>Outcome 2</b> 300 women survivors of conflict and post-conflict related SGBV, particularly	Indicator 2.1 Number of knowledge-based proposals designed by women for advancing Peace Accords implementation	1	2	1	N/A	N/A

indigenous women, are empowered in their right to equal participation in peace building, the rule of law, and political decision-making, and 15 state institutions adopt regu	Indicator 2.2 Number of women representatives of WCSOs involved in designing state reform proposals	5	10	6	N/A	N/A
	Indicator 2.3 Number of common strategies designed and implemented by women to advance their right to political decision making	1	2	1		
Output 2.1 100 women peacebuilders and 14 state institutions have enhanced their capacities to	Indicator 2.1.1 Number of knowledge-based proposals for the adoption of laws/normative in line with Peace Accords and the WPS Global Agenda advanced by organized women	1	4	1	N/A	N/A
	Indicator 2.1.2 Number of women peacebuilders who	2	5	2		

	have enhanced their capacities to prepare knowledge-based proposals					
Output 2.2 100 organized women human rights advocates, peace and justice institutions have strengthened their voices and participation in the state reforms initiatives to advance the rule of law in accordance to the 1996 Peace Accords commitments and the WPS	Indicator 2.2.1 Number of representatives of WHR advocates with sits at the State reforms negotiating tables	4	8	4	N/A	N/A
	Indicator 2.2.2 Number of knowledge-based CEDAW alternative reports by women advocates	7	9		N/A	N/A
	Indicator 2.2.3 Number of knowledge products produced by organized women human rights advocates	2	4			

GI						
Output 2.3 100 organized women specialized in political participation and two Congress Commissions have strengthened their voices and participation in the political and public power reform initiatives to advance parity in the representation of women	Indicator 2.3.1 Number of knowledge-based political reform initiatives elaborated by partner women organizations	2	4	2	N/A	N/A
	Indicator 2.3.2 Number of political reform proposals by women organizations incorporated in Congress Commissions for their promotion	1	3	1	N/A	N/A
	Indicator 2.3.3 Number of Congresswomen involved in promoting parity proposals designed by GPI partners	5	10	5		
<b>Outcome 3</b> 1,225 women survivors of	Indicator 3.1 Number of communities/muni	13	20	13	N/A	N/A

<p>conflict and post-conflict related SGBV, particularly indigenous women, are empowered in their right to a life free of the continuum of violence against women, three state institutions, namely, the General Prosecut</p>	<p>icipalities implementing SGBV prevention and response plans</p>					
	<p>Indicator 3.2 Percentage of increase on number of SGBV cases assisted by DEMI in prioritized territories applying the comprehensive care model</p> <p>Indicator 3.3 Number of women who have enhanced their knowledge on the denouncing routes in prioritized territories</p>	<p>0</p> <p>750</p>	<p>10%</p> <p>1500</p>	<p>0</p> <p>750</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>Output 3.1 100 indigenous women have strengthened their capacities to denounce</p>	<p>Indicator 3.1.1 Number of women who have increased their knowledge on the SGBV denounce route with GPI support</p>	<p>750</p>	<p>850</p>	<p>750</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>N/A</p>

sexual violence and demand transformative reparation and non-repetition measures	Indicator 3.1.2					
Output 3.2 The capacities of the Office for the Defense of Indigenous Women (DEMI) have been strengthened for the delivery of comprehensive care services to SGBV survivors with cultural and linguistic pertinence and a human rights	Indicator 3.2.1 Number of cases supported by DEMI in Quiché and Las Verapaces applying the holistic support model	62	75	62	N/A	N/A
	Indicator 3.2.2 DEMI reports evidence advances in provision of comprehensive care services with cultural and linguistic pertinence and a human rights perspective in Quiché and Las Verapaces	0	2	0	N/A	N/A

perspective						
Output 3.3 Women participate in the design, negotiation and follow-up to the prevention, referral and transformative reparation of conflict and post-conflict sexual violence	Indicator 3.3.1 Number of dialogue mechanisms established in communities/municipalities of the Departments of Guatemala, Quiché and Las Verapaces	6	12	6	N/A	N/A
	Indicator 3.3.2 Number of women participating in dialogue mechanisms established in Quiché and Las Verapaces	750	850	750	N/A	N/A
	Indicator 3.3.3 Number of transforming reparation proposals designed by women from Guatemala, Quiché and Las Verapaces discussed in dialogue tables	0	3	0		

<b>Outcome 4</b>	Indicator 4.1					
	Indicator 4.2					
Output 4.1	Indicator 4.1.1					
	Indicator 4.1.2					
Output 4.2	Indicator 4.2.1					
	Indicator 4.2.2					
Output 4.3	Indicator 4.3.1					
	Indicator 4.3.2					