

Insert Logo for Participating  
UN Organisation(s)



Empowered lives.  
Resilient nations.

**[Name of Fund or Joint Programme]  
MPTF OFFICE GENERIC FINAL PROGRAMME<sup>1</sup> NARRATIVE REPORT  
REPORTING PERIOD: 30 JUNE 2014 – 30 APRIL 2017**

<p align="center"><b>Programme Title &amp; Project Number</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Programme Title: Mainstreaming Human Rights Based Approach in National Development Planning in Indonesia</li> <li>Programme Number (if applicable) 0087083</li> <li>MPTF Office Project Reference Number:<sup>3</sup></li> </ul>	<p align="center"><b>Country, Locality(s), Priority Area(s) / Strategic Results<sup>2</sup></b></p> <p>(if applicable) Country/Region <b>Indonesia</b></p> <hr/> <p>Priority area/ strategic results Human Rights Based Approach, Development Planning, SDGs.</p>
<p align="center"><b>Participating Organization(s)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Organizations that have received direct funding from the MPTF Office under this programme UNESCO (as chair of the UN Human Rights Working Group in Indonesia).</li> </ul>	<p align="center"><b>Implementing Partners</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>National counterparts (government, private, NGOs &amp; others) and other International Organizations Komnas HAM (National Human Rights Institution) BAPPENAS (National Development Planning Agency) SDG Secretariat (situated within BAPPENAS)</li> </ul>
<p align="center"><b>Programme/Project Cost (US\$)</b></p> <p>Total approved budget as per project document: MPTF /JP Contribution<sup>4</sup>: 99,510 USD</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>by Agency (if applicable) Agency Contribution</li> <li>by Agency (if applicable) Government Contribution (if applicable)</li> <li>Other Contributions (donors) (if applicable)</li> </ul> <p><b>TOTAL: 99,510</b></p>	<p align="center"><b>Programme Duration</b></p> <p>Overall Duration (months)                      12 Months</p> <p>Original End Date<sup>5</sup> (dd.mm.yyyy)              30 June 2014</p> <p>Current End date<sup>6</sup>(dd.mm.yyyy)              30 April 2017</p>
<p align="center"><b>Programme Assessment/Review/Mid-Term Eval.</b></p> <p>Assessment/Review - if applicable <i>please attach</i>  <input type="checkbox"/> Yes   <input type="checkbox"/> No   Date: dd.mm.yyyy          Mid-Term Evaluation Report – if applicable <i>please attach</i>  <input type="checkbox"/> Yes   <input type="checkbox"/> No   Date: dd.mm.yyyy</p>	<p align="center"><b>Report Submitted By</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Name: Irakli KHODELI</li> <li>Title: Programme Specialist, Social and Human Sciences Unit, UNESCO Jakarta Office</li> <li>Participating Organization (Lead): UNESCO</li> <li>Email address: i.khodeli@unesco.org</li> </ul>

<sup>1</sup> The term “programme” is used for programmes, joint programmes and projects.  
<sup>2</sup> Strategic Results, as formulated in the Strategic UN Planning Framework (e.g. UNDAF) or project document;  
<sup>3</sup> The MPTF Office Project Reference Number is the same number as the one on the Notification message. It is also referred to as “Project ID” on the project’s factsheet page the [MPTF Office GATEWAY](#)  
<sup>4</sup> The MPTF or JP Contribution, refers to the amount transferred to the Participating UN Organizations, which is available on the [MPTF Office GATEWAY](#)  
<sup>5</sup> As per approval of the original project document by the relevant decision-making body/Steering Committee.  
<sup>6</sup> If there has been an extension, then the revised, approved end date should be reflected here. If there has been no extension approved, then the current end date is the same as the original end date. The end date is the same as the operational closure date which is when all activities for which a Participating Organization is responsible under an approved MPTF / JP have been completed. As per the MOU, agencies are to notify the MPTF Office when a programme completes its operational activities.

# FINAL PROGRAMME REPORT

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The main goal of this project is to support the Government of Indonesia in the fulfillment of its human rights obligations, by linking them to the developmental priorities pursued by the country within the national (RPJMN) and global (SDGs) frameworks. Initially, the project focused on supporting the application of a human rights-based approach (HRBA) to the development of the National Medium Term Development Plan – RPJMN (2015-2019) by BAPPENAS – the national entity responsible for development planning. However, in the course of the implementation, the difficulties of exerting external influence on the process of the drafting of that document opened the opportunities to widen the focus of the project to include the newly adopted national SDG framework, for which the government expressed the need for a capacity-building assistance from the UN system.

As a result, UNESCO was able to facilitate a collaborative process that retained focus on the original target beneficiary agency (Bappenas) as the custodian of the national SDG framework, but also engaged with the national human rights institution (Komnas HAM), which was eager to use the government's strong commitment to the 2030 Agenda to highlight the positive synergies with various human rights obligations. In addition, the collaborative, SDGs-based approach opened an opportunity for direct engagement in the process for the UN Country Team in Indonesia. The collaborative process led to the co-design of the Analytical Frameworks for Linking SDGs and Human Rights, and an agreement to continue multi-stakeholder efforts of its application for the advocacy and monitoring of human rights commitments.

### I. Purpose

- Provide a brief introduction to the programme/ project (*one paragraph*).
- Provide the main objectives and expected outcomes of the programme in relation to the appropriate **Strategic UN Planning Framework (e.g. UNDAF) and project document (if applicable) or Annual Work Plans (AWPs) over the duration of the project.**

The project was originally designed to support the Government of Indonesia in the fulfillment of its human rights obligations by working with the national development planning Ministry (Bappenas), to develop human rights indicators for the National Medium Term Development Plan, RPJMN (2015-2019). The lack of the required openness on the part of Bappenas with regard to the elaboration of RPJMN rendered the focus on this single document obsolete. UNESCO, in collaboration with the RC office and other major stakeholders revised the project implementation strategy and refocused attention on the nationalization of the SDG framework (targets and indicators), as an equally important aspect of developmental planning where the integration of a human rights based approach was required.

To take advantage of the new developments in regards to Indonesia's efforts to be the leader in the adoption of the SDGs and the elaboration of the national indicators, the HRWG chaired by UNESCO, after a series of consultations with the OHCHR Regional Office (Bangkok), the UNCT Indonesia and the UNDG, reformulated the project and requested an extension based on the new objectives and the revised implementation plan.

While maintaining focus on the original aim to build the capacity of the Indonesian government and civil society to meet the national and international human rights commitments, the new proposal approved by the UNDG RMC centered on the following main actions:

- Production of an analytical framework on SDGs and human rights through participatory processes involving the UNCT, the Government of Indonesia and the National Human Rights Institutions;
- Delivery of a workshop for the national human rights institutions, BAPPENAS and the SDG Secretariat to validate the analytical framework and to support the national institutions by building their capacity to monitor the SDG implementation from the angle of Human Rights.

## II. Assessment of Programme Results

- This section is the **most important in the Report** and particular attention should be given to reporting on **results / and changes** that have taken place rather than on activities, **in comparison to the results / and changes that were outlined in the original proposal**. It has three parts to help capture this information in different ways (i. Narrative section; ii. Indicator based performance assessment; iii. Evaluation & Lessons learned; and iv. A specific story).

### i) Narrative reporting on results:

From January to December 2013, respond to the guiding questions, indicated below to provide a narrative summary of the results achieved. The aim here is to tell the **story of change** that your Programme has achieved over its entire duration. Make reference to the implementation mechanism utilized and key partnerships.

- **Outcomes:** Outcomes are the strategic, higher level of change that your Programme is aiming to contribute towards. Provide a summary of progress made by the Programme in relation to **planned outcomes from the Project Document / AWP**s, with reference to the relevant indicator(s) in these documents. Describe if final targets were achieved, or explain any variance in achieved versus planned results. Explain the overall contribution of the programme to the Strategy Planning Framework or other strategic documents as relevant, e.g.: MDGs, National Priorities, UNDAF outcomes, etc. Explain who the main beneficiaries were. Highlight any institutional and/ or behavioural changes amongst beneficiaries at the outcome level.

The Major outcome of the project is the capacity developed within the key national institutions (Komnas HAM as the national human rights institution and Bappenas as the custodian of SDGs in the country), through the workshop and the validated analytical framework, to link human rights obligations with the SDG nationalization and implementation. A critical development has been the ownership of the analytical framework by Komnas HAM, which has committed to using it as a reference point for future work.

The outcome is reinforced by the methodology developed in the framework of this project, which facilitates the use of SDG commitments for Human Rights advocacy and, conversely, helps contextualize and substantiate SDG targets and indicators by linking them to the specific human rights obligations. This methodology, based on the global best practices in this area, has also been transformed into a web-based tool, for easier and wider use by the various communities of practice in human rights and development fields.

The outcome also represents a platform for a long-term engagement between UN system and the Indonesian government on the issue of inclusive development, with a special focus on human rights.

- **Outputs:** Outputs are the more immediate results that your Programme is responsible for achieving. Report on the key outputs achieved over the duration of the Programme, in relation to **planned outputs from the Project Document / AWP**s, with reference to the relevant indicator(s) in these documents. Describe if final targets were achieved, or explain any variance in achieved versus planned results. If possible, include the number of beneficiaries. Report on how achieved outputs have contributed to the achievement of the outcomes and explain any variance in actual versus planned contributions to the outcomes.

The outputs of the project are directly linked to the major outcome. In this sense, the primary output is the Analytical Framework on Linking SDGs and Human Rights in Indonesia, both in terms of the methodology and the online tool. The major outputs of the project include:

- Numerous consultations with the Komnas HAM (NHRI), civil society organizations BAPPENAS and the UNCT on the design of a practical analytical tool linking SDGs and Human Rights, taking into account the real needs that have emerged in the ongoing process of the nationalization of SDGs in Indonesia.
- The preliminary draft of the Analytical Framework for SDGs and Human Rights.
- The web-based version of the Analytical Framework with the additional interactive elements for user-friendly presentation.

The key output of the project, also related to the Analytical Framework was a two-day Multi-Stakeholder Consultation and Workshop on Human Rights and SDGs in Jakarta, Indonesia on 26-27 April 2017, organized in close consultation with the RC Office, Komnas HAM and SDG Secretariat. The Consultation brought together a wide range of stakeholders, including:

#### The UN system

- The UNCT Indonesia (the Resident Coordinator, UNICEF, UN Women, UNFPA)
- Statistics Division of UN ESCAP Bangkok
- UN Development Group Bangkok

#### Indonesian Government:

- Ministry of National Development Planning of Indonesia and the SDG Secretariat
- National Bureau of Statistics/Badan Pusat Statistik
- National Human Rights Institutions (Komnas HAM and Komnas Perempuan)

#### Indonesian NGOs:

- Indigenous peoples' rights NGO (AMAN)
- Ensuring Accountability Through National Statistics
- OHANA (NGO for Promoting the Rights of Persons with Disabilities)
- Indonesian Working Group on Human Rights

#### International NGOs

- The Danish Institute for Human Rights

The workshop achieved the following objectives:

- Transferred the ownership of the primary output – the Analytical Framework – to Komnas HAM (the national human rights institution). Komnas HAM has been involved in this project from the beginning, and will be the main promoter of the tool to support advocacy and awareness raising and also programme work.
- Enriched the tool by integrating global good practices in similar domains, and engaged towards this end with the Danish Institute for Human Rights, which participated in the workshop.
- Presented a prototype of a web-based tool to make the analytical framework more user-friendly. Once operational – the aim is to release it by 30 October 2017 - , the tool will be a living platform, offering an effective and sustainable way for the human rights community to use the framework, in addition to a publication (which was also produced for the workshop).

In order to further deepen the relationship between UNESCO and Komnas HAM, and to promote and facilitate cooperation in the field of human rights in general and the application of Human Rights Based Approach to the Implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in particular, a Memorandum of Understanding was signed between the two Organizations on 12th of June 2017.

The analytical framework was translated into Indonesian and the web-based tool will include English and Indonesian as language options for users.

- **Qualitative assessment:** Provide a qualitative assessment of the level of overall achievement of the Programme, in particular in terms of:

*National environment:*

The approved revised project shifted the focus from the purely national planning document (RPJMN) to the global developmental framework (2030 Agenda), the adoption and “nationalization” of which became an important priority of the government. Being inherently based on the human rights principles and standards, and being closely linked with the UN system, the SDG framework provided a better opportunity for making impact on the national development planning in the country. In this area, the national partners sought the assistance on their own initiative from the UN system in making sense of complex matrix of Goals, Targets and Indicators. Since human rights provide insight and guidance for tackling the complex and multidimensional challenges associated with the goals of the 2030 Agenda, the reformulated project found a welcoming and collaborating partner in the National Development Planning Ministry (Bappenas).

An additional advantage of choosing SDGs as an entry point was the direct linkage between the RPJMN – the original target of this project, and the national SDG framework. The government has used RPJMN as a primary source for determining national targets and indicators. Therefore, the work done to build the government’s capacity to monitor progress towards SDGs by applying a human rights lens is by design also having impact on the RPJMN implementation.

*The work of the UNCT:*

The process of elaborating the analytical framework has been very time-intensive and tied to the progress made in the national processes of SDG indicators formulation. However, towards the end of the project, an active collaboration was established between the national human rights institution, the SDG Secretariat and the UNCT members engaged in the process. This iterated interaction has continued beyond the completion of the project as the challenge of identifying and understanding human rights implications of SDGs and monitoring the progress towards 2030 agenda will remain relevant until 2030. The Human Rights Working Group of the UNCT will continue to work closely with Komnas HAM on the socialization and sensitization of the tool. At the time of the drafting of this report, UNESCO, as the chair of the HRWG, is discussing concrete initiatives with Komnas HAM centered on the application of the Analytical Framework to address the human rights priorities that have emerged from the recently conducted UPR for Indonesia. There are some areas in the UPR outcome, such as Sexual and Reproductive Health Rights, which deserve special attention (most of the Recommendations on this theme were not accepted by the Indonesian delegation), and present a very interesting opportunity to our further focus in terms of developing practical linkages with the relevant SDGs.

In order to further deepen the relationship between UNESCO and Komnas HAM, and to promote and facilitate cooperation in the field of human rights in general and the application of Human Rights Based Approach to the Implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in particular, a Memorandum of Understanding was signed between the two Organizations on 12th of June 2017.

The implementation of the national SDG framework and the emergence of human rights considerations in this process will continue throughout the 2030 Agenda timeframe. Consequently, there will be a continuing need for a strong accountability mechanism in the hands of the civil society to monitor progress for all citizens of Indonesia from the human rights perspective. Towards this end, Komnas HAM, as the National Human Rights Institution and UNESCO, as the chair of the Human Rights Working Group of the UN Country Team, will continue to collaborate to raise funds and design

projects for promoting human rights based approach to developmental planning and policy implementation in Indonesia.

Highlight key partnerships and explain how such relationships impacted on the achievement of results.

The project was (re-)designed and implemented on the back of the strong partnerships built around the Analytical Framework on Linking SDGs and Human Rights. The cornerstone of this partnership was a tripartite collaboration between UNCT (and especially its HRWG), Bappenas (and especially its SDG Secretariat), and Komnas HAM (the national human rights institution). All three parties made direct contribution to charting a new course for the project once its original target of influencing the RPJMN became obsolete.

In the process of the project implementation, this partnership was expanded to include in it other national partners, including national and local NGOs, such as Komnas Perempuan (focusing on women's rights), PPDI (National Association of DPOs), Human Rights Working Group (civil society coalition of human rights NGOs, not to be confused with the UNCT/HRWG), AMAN (Aliansi Masyarakat Adat Nasional, indigenous people's rights NGO). Links were also established with the National Bureau of Statistics, as an important stakeholder in the nationalization, implementation and monitoring of progress of SDGs.

In order to link the Indonesian process, experience and knowledge produced through this exercise to the global efforts in the same area, and also to benefit from the global good practices, relations were established with the Danish Institute for Human Rights. As the Institute has established a similar online tool with global framework and indicators, their experience was crucial in the process of elaborating of a similar tool at the national level.

The application of the Analytical Framework, and its periodic revision based on the ongoing developments in the areas of SDG implementation and Human Rights promotion will further deepen these partnerships, and may create opportunities to expand them to include additional partners. Finally, this experience and the instruments in which it resulted may prove useful in the context of similar efforts in other countries.

## ii) Indicator Based Performance Assessment:

Using the **Programme Results Framework from the Project Document / AWP**s - provide details of the achievement of indicators at both the output and outcome level in the table below. Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, clear explanation should be given explaining why.

	<b><u>Achieved</u> Indicator Targets</b>	<b>Reasons for Variance with Planned Target (if any)</b>	<b>Source of Verification</b>
<p><b>Outcome 1<sup>7</sup> : BAPPENAS data collection, analysis and policy elaboration strengthened through the development of indicators to implement and measure human rights in the new RPJMN 2015-2019.</b></p> <p><b>Output 1.1 A training of HRBA for BAPPENAS officials</b></p> <p><b>Output 1.2 Indicators to measure and implement Human Rights in Indonesia's new RPJMN</b></p> <p><b>Indicator: Human Rights Indicators in the draft RPJMN 2015-2019.</b></p>	<p>Outcome 1<sup>8</sup> : BAPPENAS data collection, analysis and policy elaboration was strengthened <i>in regards to the national SDG framework</i>, through the development of linkages with the Human Rights obligations.</p> <p>Output 1.1 A training on HRBA and SDGs for BAPPENAS, as well as a broad range of other national stakeholders held.</p> <p>Output 1.2 Indicators to measure Human Rights implementation in Indonesia's new SDG framework suggested in the Analytical Framework.</p> <p>Indicator: Indicators included in the Analytical Framework.</p>	<p>Political and institutional obstacles in the implementation of the project in regards to the original target (RPJMN) necessitated shifting of the focus towards the national SDG framework, which influences RPJMN goals and targets.</p>	<p>The Analytical Framework on Linking SDGs and Human Rights (printed and online versions)</p>
<p><b>Outcome 2: HRBA in Development Planning Strengthened</b></p>	<p>Outcome 2: HRBA in Development Planning was strengthened, particularly in regards to the process of translating the global SDG framework into a national plan of action.</p>	<p>Political and institutional obstacles in the implementation of the project in regards to the original target (RPJMN) necessitated shifting of the focus towards the national SDG framework, which influences RPJMN goals and targets.</p>	<p>The Analytical Framework on Linking SDGs and Human Rights (printed and online versions)</p>

<sup>7</sup> Note: Outcomes, outputs, indicators and targets should be **as outlined in the Project Document** so that you report on your **actual achievements against planned targets**. Add rows as required for Outcome 2, 3 etc.

<sup>8</sup> Note: Outcomes, outputs, indicators and targets should be **as outlined in the Project Document** so that you report on your **actual achievements against planned targets**. Add rows as required for Outcome 2, 3 etc.

<p><b>Output 2.1: A thematic paper on Human Rights based Approach to Development</b></p> <p><b>Indicator: Paper on HRBA produced by Bappenas</b></p>	<p>Output 2.1: A thematic paper on the Human Rights based Approach to SDG nationalization and implementation was produced, and transformed into a capacity-building and advocacy tool</p> <p>Indicator: Paper produced in cooperation with Bappenas</p>		
<p><b>Outcome 3: Increased participation of Civil Society Organizations and other stakeholders in the development of the RPJMN 2015-2019 with focus on HRBA</b></p> <p><b>Output 3.1: Workshop on HRBA in the drafting process of the RPJMN</b></p> <p><b>Indicator: 2.1 Workshop on HRBA</b></p>	<p>Outcome 3: The project achieved increased participation of Civil Society Organizations and other stakeholders in the development of the national SDG indicators</p> <p>Output 3.1: Workshop on HRBA to SDG nationalization and implementation held</p> <p>Indicator: 2.1 Workshop Held</p>	<p>Political and institutional obstacles in the implementation of the project in regards to the original target (RPJMN) necessitated shifting of the focus towards the national SDG framework, which influences RPJMN goals and targets.</p>	<p>The Analytical Framework on Linking SDGs and Human Rights (printed and online versions); Programme of the Workshop.</p>

### iii) Evaluation, Best Practices and Lessons Learned

- Report on any assessments, evaluations or studies undertaken relating to the programme and how they were used during implementation. Has there been a final project evaluation and what are the key findings? Provide reasons if no programme evaluation have been done yet?
- Explain challenges such as delays in programme implementation, and the nature of the constraints such as management arrangements, human resources etc. What actions were taken to mitigate these challenges? How did such challenges and actions impact on the overall achievement of results? Have any of the risks identified during the project design materialized or were there unidentified risks that came up?
- Report key lessons learned and best practices that would facilitate future programme design and implementation, including issues related to management arrangements, human resources, resources, etc. Please also include experiences of failure, which often are the richest source of lessons learned.

**Problem / Challenge faced:** In the initial stages of project implementation, it became clear that due to a complex set of circumstances (the new government that came to power after the elections in 2014 shunned external influences on core domestic processes), cooperation was not forthcoming from Bappenas on HRBA mainstreaming during the elaboration of the national development planning document (RPJMN). Any open doors that may have existed when the project was designed, swiftly became shut. The final version of RPJMN was unveiled to the external audiences in January 2015 without a chance for the project to influence its elaboration, despite concerted efforts by UNESCO and the UNCT.

**Programme Interventions:** Under such circumstances, UNESCO, as the main implementing partner, and the RC Office as the facilitator of project implementation had to re-think the approach and devise a new strategy. We recognized the new possibilities that had emerged after the adoption of the 2030 Agenda and the 17 SDGs in September 2015 and the entry into force of the new UN Partnership Development Framework (UNPDF 2016-2020). The HRWG, after a series of consultations with the OHCHR Regional Office (Bangkok), the UNCT Indonesia and the UNDG, reformulated the project and requested an extension based on the new objectives and the revised implementation plan. In accordance with the revised project workplan, the focus of the project implementation from June 2016 onwards (approval of last extension request) was placed on:

- Development of the analytical framework for Human Rights and SDGs in Indonesia – following closely the ongoing processes within the national planning agency (Bappenas) on SDG nationalization and identification of national indicators.
- Consultations to enrich and validate the framework, involving UNESCO HQ and the UNCT Indonesia, and the national human rights institution – Komnas HAM.

**Result (if applicable):** Describe the observable *change* that occurred so far as a result of the Programme interventions. For example, how did community lives change or how was the government better able to deal with the initial problem?

The major result of the intervention is the development of technical capacity supported by appropriate and nationally-owned tools within the key national institutions (Komnas HAM as the national human rights institution and Bappenas as the custodian of SDGs in the country) to link human rights obligations with the SDG nationalization and implementation.

**Lessons Learned:** What did you (and/or other partners) learn from this situation that has helped inform and/or improve Programme (or other) interventions?

In the process of the implementation of this project, we learned an important lesson concerning the incentives needed for the national partners – in this case the Ministry responsible for the national

developmental planning – to cooperate in the introduction of HRBA elements in their internal processes. Without having a clear entry point, and tangible benefits for the national counterpart to enter into such collaboration, the project implementation will be fraught with the lack of responsiveness and the consequent delays. In this particular case, with the coming of a new, more domestically-oriented government to power at the outset of project implementation, any open doors that may have existed when the project was designed, swiftly became shut.

An important lesson of the implementation of this project concerned the importance of providing incentives to national partners – in this case the Ministry responsible for the national developmental planning – to foster cooperation in the introduction of HRBA elements in their internal processes. Without having a clear entry point, and tangible benefits for the national counterpart to enter into such collaboration, the project implementation would have been fraught with the lack of responsiveness and the consequent delays. The adoption of the 2030 Agenda and the interest of national authorities to promote the implementation of SDGs allowed forging a new partnership, fully taking advantage of the opportunity to support national priorities.

#### iv) A Specific Story (Optional)

- This could be a success or human story. It does not have to be a success story – often the most interesting and useful lessons learned are from experiences that have not worked. The point is to highlight a concrete example with a story that has been important to your Programme.
- In ¼ to ½ a page, provide details on a specific achievement or lesson learned of the Programme. Attachment of supporting documents, including photos with captions, news items etc, is strongly encouraged. The MPTF Office will select stories and photos to feature in the Consolidated Annual Report, the GATEWAY and the MPTF Office Newsletter.

**Problem / Challenge faced:** Describe the specific problem or challenge faced by the subject of your story (this could be a problem experienced by an individual, community or government).

**Programme Interventions:** How was the problem or challenged addressed through the Programme interventions?

**Result (if applicable):** Describe the observable *change* that occurred so far as a result of the Programme interventions. For example, how did community lives change or how was the government better able to deal with the initial problem?

**Lessons Learned:** What did you (and/or other partners) learn from this situation that has helped inform and/or improve Programme (or other) interventions?