

**SECRETARY-GENERAL'S PEACEBUILDING FUND  
PBF PROJECT PROGRESS REPORT TEMPLATE**



**PBF PROJECT PROGRESS REPORT**

**COUNTRY:** Bosnia and Herzegovina

**TYPE OF REPORT:** SEMI-ANNUAL, ANNUAL OR FINAL Annual

**DATE OF REPORT:** 15 November 2018

<b>Project Title:</b> A More Equitable Society: Promoting Social Cohesion and Diversity in BiH (Dialogue for the Future II)	
<b>Project Number from MPTF-O Gateway:</b> 00108015	
<b>PBF project modality:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRF <input type="checkbox"/> PRF	<b>If funding is disbursed into a national or regional trust fund:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Country Trust Fund <input type="checkbox"/> Regional Trust Fund <b>Name of Recipient Fund:</b>
<b>List all direct project recipient organizations (starting with Convening Agency), followed type of organization (UN, CSO etc):</b> UNICEF, UNESCO, UNDP	
<b>List additional implementing partners, Governmental and non-Governmental:</b> Presidency of Bosnia and Herzegovina (main partner), municipal authorities, CSOs	
<b>Project commencement date<sup>1</sup>:</b> 6 December 2017	
<b>Project duration in months:<sup>2</sup></b> 18 Months	
<b>Does the project fall under one of the specific PBF priority windows below:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Gender promotion initiative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Youth promotion initiative <input type="checkbox"/> Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions <input type="checkbox"/> Cross-border or regional project	
<b>Total PBF approved project budget* (by recipient organization):</b> UNICEF: \$ 783,475 UNDP : \$ 645,766 UNESCO : \$ 570,759 : \$ Total: 2,000,000 <small>*The overall approved budget and the release of the second and any subsequent tranche are conditional and subject to PBSO's approval and subject to availability of funds in the PBF account</small>	
<b>How many tranches have been received so far:</b> 1	
<b>Report preparation:</b> Project report prepared by: Renata Gojak, UN Joint Project Coordinator, UNICEF Project report approved by: Geetanjali Narayan, Representative, UNICEF (Convening Agency) Did PBF Secretariat clear the report: Yes Any comments from PBF Secretariat on the report: No Has the project undertaken any evaluation exercises? Please specify and attach: No (final evaluation in 2019)	

<sup>1</sup> Note: commencement date will be the date of first funds transfer.

<sup>2</sup> Maximum project duration for IRF projects is 18 months, for PRF projects – 36 months.

#### **NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:**

- *Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general / common language.*
- *Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.*
- *Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.*

### **PART 1: RESULTS PROGRESS**

#### **1.1 Overall project progress to date**

Briefly explain the **status of the project** in terms of its implementation cycle, including whether all preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (1500 character limit):

In the first nine months of joint implementation the DFF project successfully established cooperation with all 28 mayors of municipalities bordering external and/or internal entity boundaries with the population of cca. 1.5 mil people, i.e. almost half of the population of the country. The Project Board decided to increase the number of municipalities from 6-9 to 28, which presented a number of challenges in the implementation plan. All preparatory actions re.methodological approach in capacity development of stakeholders to participate in Local Dialogue Platforms are close to completion. In BiH 2018 yielded a particularly complex and contentious general election year; thus significant challenges were encountered around project timelines in this regard. The project team planned for, and executed, mitigation actions including shifting focus on grassroots stakeholders and their involvement in the peace-building processes and leaving the vertical integration of the field results for a later stage of the implementation. Simultaneously, the project secured the sub-regional leadership for the MC proposal aiming to expand the peacebuilding ‘dialogue’ in the sub-region and positioning BiH as a ‘leader by example’ in the region. Following an open call for Small Grants Facility proposals, a joint technical evaluation was officially approved by the National Counterparts. The SGF will assist 28,171 direct beneficiaries through inter-ethnic, cross-entity and cross-border interventions.

Given the recent/current political/peacebuilding/ transition situation/ needs in the country, has the project been/ does it continue to be **relevant** and well placed to address potential conflict factors/ sources of tensions/ risks to country’s sustaining peace progress? Please illustrate. If project is still ongoing, are any adjustments required? (1500 character limit)

The project gained ground in this reporting period as a point of reference for the novel approach in an extremely complex and sensitive context, with a two-tiered approach. While the exigencies of the general elections did not allow the national government counterpart, the BiH Presidency, to schedule a BiH-level dialogue platform yet, this ensured that the peacebuilding project would not be politicized by any stakeholders during a particularly volatile period in the country. Nevertheless, the Presidency approved the DFF workplan and enabled local governments to act responsibly, take ownership, and pursue sustainable approaches. They also approved the Multi-Country project aiming at scaling up the DFF initiative in the region. Finally, they actively engaged in providing important feedback on the Small Grant Facility. This enabled the project staff to kick-off important process-based activities that allow for considered stakeholder analyses and local assessments; quality trainings in peacebuilding skills for target groups; and the buy-in of municipal coordinators around the notion/approach/value of setting up conducive spaces for local dialogue platforms - to foster constructive interaction and peacebuilding among youth as leaders and other socially vulnerable groups; as well as to strengthen social cohesion through collaborative approaches around identifying joint priorities, needs and challenges as well as solutions.

In a few sentences, summarize **what is unique/ innovative/ interesting** about what this project is trying/ has tried to achieve or its approach (rather than listing activity progress) (1500 character limit).

The project has been institutionalising the mechanism of 'local dialogue platforms' (LDPs). These will enable new spaces for dialogue to address common challenges within local communities, including youth - as key agents of change - as well as women's groups, religious leaders, local media and municipal administrations. Sustainability is being promoted through LDP methodology, to be adopted by municipal councils and tailored to local needs. Another innovative approach is the roll-out of the U-Report, an online polling platform that allows registered U-Reporters (adolescents and youth throughout the country with the focus on having equal numbers of males/females) to give their views about issues concerning their lives, through real-time responses to selected questions. The vertical integration of these 'voices' will be supported through organising high-profile BiH-level dialogue platforms, focusing on stronger and more structured approach to dialogue with the BiH Presidency. This means, sectoral issues of divides, such as education, lack of opportunities for mobility, lack of youth strategies, lack of social protection for the most vulnerable and other will be presented through 'integrated report' of the local multi-stakeholder group with youth leading the process. The process will be supported by the DFF Communications and Advocacy Strategy focusing on social divides and individual's engagement in promoting common values.

Considering the project's implementation cycle, please **rate this project's overall progress towards results to date:**

on track

In a few sentences summarize **major project peacebuilding progress/results** (with evidence), which PBSO can use in public communications to highlight the project (1500 character limit): Mayors in all 28 municipalities signed the commitment to local dialogue, promoting peace and social cohesion. They also welcomed the inclusion of adolescents, a transformative age group, in trainings on peacebuilding competencies. They appointed DFF coordinators in each municipality and this team of coordinators is becoming a cohesive group that already acted on assignments for coordinating schools for promoting joint cultural heritage and mobility of schools across entity boundaries; they acted as focal points for CSO partners conducting local assessments of the key obstacles in peacebuilding in their local communities. The project's criteria of inter-ethnic and inter-entity cooperation as the prime parameter for receiving grants was assessed positively by the coordinators and BiH Presidency Advisers approved the list of 25 projects fully based on technical evaluation criteria. Meanwhile, U-Report was 'soft launched' in October 2018, and already attracted over 300 youth. Another milestone was that the mayors of Sarajevo and East Sarajevo signed the commitment to revitalise the Olympic Museum, considered by many as a cornerstone of cooperation in sports among the divided parts of Sarajevo.

In a few sentences, explain how the project has made **real human impact**, that is, how did it affect the lives of any people in the country – where possible, use direct quotes that PBSO can use in public communications to highlight the project (1500 character limit):

The real human impact will be documented at a later stage, but since the start there are several positive (or relevance) perceptions about DFF 2, as evidenced by feedback from target groups around the country: Quote (1) from 31 October 2018, by municipal coordinator, city of Trebinje, Republika Srpska, Bosnia and Herzegovina officially appointed by the mayor : This project is our future, if we do not engage in local

dialogue platforms and if we do not propose changes and if we do not commit to placing our voices, we can all pack our bags and leave (Bosnia) forever. Quote (2) from the field during local assessments (Sokolac, Republika Srpska): 'Are we allowed to discuss these issues in our local communities? we don't need 'social cohesion', we need transparency, we need justice, we need opportunities in our community, this is what our problem is...so (upon explaining the concept of social cohesion in plain words 'Oh, but this project is exactly what we need!'. Quote (3) Sejo Sexon, local (famous) musician from local newspapers: What would have happened if everybody had an opportunity to leave the country? Would anybody stay here? (...) Politicians everywhere (across entity lines in BiH) speak about the (entity) GDP growth and the statistics indicators that prove their policies are a success, but ultimately the only thing that describes their success is whether people are leaving their country or not.

If the project progress assessment is **on-track**, please explain what the key **challenges** (if any) have been and which measures were taken to address them (1500 character limit).

If the assessment is **off-track**, please list main reasons/ **challenges** and explain what impact this has had/will have on project duration or strategy and what **measures** have been taken/ will be taken to address the challenges/ rectify project progress (1500 character limit):

The project is not off-track, it is somewhat delayed. As described in the status section, a couple of factors contributed to the delay. The DFF team was prepared to work on the design of implementation considering the delay and considering mitigation tools as means to properly allocate time for upcoming activities on structured dialogue and SGF implementation. The roll-out of grassroots peacebuilding and social cohesion projects designed by local stakeholders will commence early next year, as approved with some delay by national stakeholders, who were busy in the intensive elections period. The challenges in delay were addressed, by patient step by step approach, not compromising on the design of the peacebuilding process affecting local multistakeholder communities. The UN team will put effort in the new government Presidency to bring positive notion to upcoming quality input to the country-wide national Dialogue platforms, the forums where local government stakeholders and multistakeholder participation will inform the Presidency about their common vision of the local communities that aim at improved social cohesion and take joint steps in peacebuilding to overcome ethnic and entity divides.

Please attach as a separate document(s) any materials highlighting or providing more evidence for project progress (for example: publications, photos, videos, monitoring reports, evaluation reports etc.). List below what has been attached to the report, including purpose and audience.

- o Manual on peacebuilding skills for adolescents (local language available only);
- o Manual on gender equality in peacebuilding processes for women and associations of women (local language available only)
- o Stakeholder analysis and local assessment report for 28 municipalities/local communities (local language available only)
- o Photos from joint meetings with the cohort of municipal coordinators in charge of the local dialogue platforms
- o Photos from joint meetings with mayors
- o Guidelines for the Public Call for Project Proposals related to Small Grant Facility
- o List of media outlets, TV, radio and newspapers referencing the Public Call

## 1.2 Result progress by project outcome

*The space in the template allows for up to four project outcomes. If your project has more approved outcomes, contact PBSO for template modification.*

**Outcome 1:** Increased interaction and collaboration between different groups at the local level (within and between municipalities).

### **Rate the current status of the outcome progress: on track**

**Progress summary:** *Describe main progress under this Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration), including major output progress (not all individual activities). If the project is starting to make/ has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context. Where possible, provide specific examples of change the project has supported/ contributed to as well as, where available and relevant, quotes from partners or beneficiaries about the project and their experience. (3000 character limit)?*

Progress towards increased interaction and collaboration between different groups at the local level (within and between municipalities) was achieved through a number of implemented activities. All three UN agencies agreed at the outset to implement jointly as many of the activities as possible, including joint capacity development for establishment of local dialogue platforms and the joint work in peacebuilding skills development for diverse social groups in all local communities (28 municipalities in 9 clusters). Very positive feedback was received from all municipalities. "This dialogue and the inclusion of adolescents in learning about peacebuilding competencies should be the priority for our country; without more efforts of this nature we will end up with a generation of total strangers", said a municipal official, reflecting the views of many officials. All 28 mayors signed the Letter of Cooperation outlining four key commitments:

- o Appointment of coordinators responsible for coordinating activities during the project, including participation in relevant meetings and progress monitoring;
- o Adoption by the Municipal Council of the methodology and TORs for the dialogue platforms (LDPs).
- o Ensuring the sustainability of LDPs through financial and in-kind support;
- o Promoting and supporting local initiatives that contribute to improving community dialogue and better social cohesion.
- o Joint capacity development for the establishment of LDPs started with the stakeholder mapping and local assessments in communities. The reports are finalised and fine-tuning for improved participation by all identified stakeholder groups is in progress. The skills development in peacebuilding competencies, including critical thinking, empathy, self-confidence, leadership and consensus building, gender equality for selected target groups is in progress.
- o Public Call for Grants in peacebuilding and social cohesion was finalised. 56 media outlets, 5 Open door sessions, UN website ensured transparency and publicity. Applicants also had access to online tutorial that was published on the project's Facebook page. 125 project proposals by NGOs and 20 project proposals by individuals were jointly technically assessed by the UN agencies. Presidency engaged and approved the technical evaluation process without political influencing.
- o The contract for the revitalisation of the Olympic museum between the museum and the City of Sarajevo was signed. This initiative was identified through work on

establishment of regional Olympic academy within the broader cooperation on European Youth Olympic Festival 2019, City of Sarajevo and East Sarajevo.

o The Communication & Advocacy Strategy including detailed information about the proposed influencers, social media approach and tailor-made online platform for tackling ethnic divides has been prepared. These Strategy will be presented to the new Program Board, once political nominations become official.

**Outcome 2:** Increased interaction and dialogue between different groups at the national level.

**Rate the current status of the outcome progress:** off track

Progress summary: *(see guiding questions under Outcome 1)*

The U-report was launched in October 2018 and currently accounts for 300 members. It is expected the number will sharply increase, once project activities in schools gain speed in implementation.

o The project's contribution to localising SDG 16 and SDG 4 process involved DFF partner's participation in the SDG MAPS process, with the facilitation of the 'Peace and Partnerships' and 'People' working groups.

o The first meeting with the coordinators representing 10 Cantonal Ministries of Education, District Brcko Education Department, Federal Ministry of Education and Science, Republika Srpska Ministry of Education and Culture and BiH Ministry of Civil Affairs in the UNESCO Associated Schools Network in BiH with the aim to revitalise the network. Currently 50 schools are the member of the network and more are expected to join. This network links educational institutions across the world around a common goal: to build the defences of peace in the minds of children and young people and is a driver for innovation and quality in education - as recognized tool for reaching target 4.7.

o The European Youth Parliament and the No border orchestra, whose activities focused on mobility of youth in the region and mixing the ethnicities across WB countries were supported in their annual gathering through DFF;

o New indicators for Culture under 2030 Agenda are being developed, emphasizing that culture has a major impact on development and intercultural understanding;

o Through cooperation with the Faculty of Political studies and the cohort of young diplomats ready to partake in DFF local dialogue platforms, the important segment of involvement with young decision makers and influencers will add value to LDPs.

o Schools from 28 municipalities participate in the competition titled 'Our Heritage' contest where UN agencies team up with the 28 mayors and municipal coordinators to encourage schools and youth groups in competing for the most successful presentation of their local heritage.

o The internationally approved standard of quality in education (PISA) w/shop allowed to align BiH with advanced countries.

o The revised approach to introducing comprehensive Ethics Code in Schools (elementary and high schools) started with informing ministries and other referenced institutions in education sector.

o Through cooperation with the Faculty of Political studies and the cohort of young diplomats ready to partake in DFF local dialogue platforms, the important segment of involvement with young decision makers and influencers will add value to LDPs.

Multi-country DFF initiative: UN teams from BiH, Croatia, Serbia and Montenegro, with the guidance from PBSO and 'Peace Nexus' completed the project proposal which at the time of reporting has been approved and signed by BiH Presidency and other three countries are making effort to do the same in the next month.

**Outcome 3:** Not applicable

**Rate the current status of the outcome progress:** Please select one

Progress summary: *(see guiding questions under Outcome 1)*

**Outcome 4:** Not applicable

**Rate the current status of the outcome progress:** Please select one

Progress summary: *(see guiding questions under Outcome 1)*

### 1.3 Cross-cutting issues

<p><b>National ownership:</b> How has the national government demonstrated ownership/ commitment to the project results and activities? Give specific examples. (1500 character limit)</p>	<p>The Presidency of Bosnia and Herzegovina, as the highest-level national counterpart, was at the forefront of the design of DFF 2 and committed to lead Dialogue Platforms while also committing to joint resource mobilisation for the DFF 2 initiative. To enhance ownership at the local level, the project's approach includes municipalities as key conveners in backstopping the local dialogue platforms. This approach was welcomed by BiH Presidency and effectively transferred responsibilities for the success of peacebuilding process to local communities. These will eventually identify common priorities, needs and challenges across local communities and the Presidency will take part in the vertical integration and upscaling of the identified common issues for overcoming divides in BiH society. The Letters of Cooperation were signed by all 28 municipalities allowing for the institutionalisation of the peacebuilding process. This demonstrates a high level of commitment by the local governments. A second aspect of ownership is linked to activities that the project undertook in localising targets under SDG 16 and future linkages with the municipal and cantonal strategic plans.</p>
<p><b>Monitoring:</b> Is the project M&amp;E plan on track? What monitoring methods and sources of evidence are being/ have been used? Please attach any monitoring-related reports for the reporting period. (1500 character limit)?</p>	<p>The M&amp;E Plan is on track while some targets have been adjusted. Regular monitoring is ensured, through detailed annual workplan, regular technical meetings of the three participating agencies, on-needs basis meetings with the senior management of the three participating agencies and the Joint Project Coordinator's role in monitoring of the project implementation. The Baseline perceptions survey started with quantitative and qualitative research at the time</p>

	of the reporting, after encountering delays in contracting the research company. The quantitative research will work on a sample of 1,100 randomly selected interviewees across the 9 locations and will cross-reference the results with the 3 control municipalities outside the scope of the project.
<b>Evaluation:</b> Provide an update on the preparations for the external evaluation for the project, especially if within last 6 months of implementation or final report. Confirm available budget for evaluation. <i>(1500 character limit)</i>	The project will engage in (external) evaluation at the end of the implementation of the project. For this activity, a dedicated budget line is set aside under the coordination budget.
<b>Catalytic effects (financial):</b> Did the project lead to any specific non-PBF funding commitments? If yes, from whom and how much? If not, have any specific attempts been made to attract additional financial contributions to the project and beyond? <i>(1500 character limit)</i>	The project secured local co-funding for the running costs of the local dialogue platforms however no substantial donor contributions were yet negotiated. BiH national counterparts are exploring innovative approaches to donors to effectively build on the momentum of the country-wide dialogue/peace platforms as effective tools for sustaining peace in the country and the region. Other bilateral donors will be approached once dialogue platforms become fully operational and the national/local commitment succeeds in being publicly acknowledged. The evidence of strong commitment to the process and the peacebuilding dialogue exists, the local counterparts are highly aware of the pressing need for the rhetoric and systems of division to change, and the project will build on these pillars to proceed with resource mobilisation.
<b>Catalytic effects (non-financial):</b> Did the project create favourable conditions for additional peacebuilding activities by Government/ other donors? If yes, please specify. <i>(1500 character limit)</i>	Catalytic non-financial effects are expected at a later stage, building on the premise that we believe that once youth and other groups get involved and provide their inputs, many trickle-down initiatives will follow. Similarly, with the engagement of media, for example in promoting positive storytelling and offsetting negative rhetoric.
<b>Exit strategy/ sustainability:</b> What steps have been taken to prepare for end of project and help ensure sustainability of the project results beyond PBF support for this project? <i>(1500 character limit)</i>	Institutionalising the local dialogue platforms at the municipal level, municipal commitment to support and co-finance projects from the grant facility are the two steps so far towards the sustainability of the initiative. Several activities are implemented through relevant government counterparts such as ministries of education and culture to ensure the sustainability of the important peacebuilding activities initiated through DFF. The BiH Presidency confirmed, approved and signed off on the participation in the Multi-country DFF programme.
<b>Risk taking:</b> Describe how the project has responded to risks that threatened the achievement of results. Identify any new risks that have emerged since the last report. <i>(1500 character limit)</i>	The risks identified in the project's risk matrix remain valid. It was agreed to accelerate the activities regarding the Small Grants Facility to avoid overlap with the elections campaign. The most important mitigation tool on election-related risks has been to directly engage local

	<p>communities for the Outcome 1 of the project. The establishment of the Joint Programme Board right at the beginning enabled the project to make key decisions including on the selection of locations (municipalities), approval of the Annual Work Plan and the adoption of the ToR for the Board itself, allowing for electronic decision-making if necessary. Pre-election campaigning limited availability of the Presidency to directly engage in activities, and the Project team is aware that new BiH Presidency setup will need to be engaged and supported to absorb more quickly the demand for the vertical integration of activities taking place at the local level through a first country-wide dialogue platform with identified stakeholders, local authorities and inclusion of the SDG agenda to the national platform.</p>
<p><b>Gender equality:</b> In the reporting period, which activities have taken place with a specific focus on addressing issues of gender equality or women’s empowerment? <i>(1500 character limit)</i></p>	<p>Gender equality is being addressed in many ways. Firstly, the experienced Association of Women ('Vive Zene') was selected as a partner in capacity development of the specific target group: women and associations of women. 'Vive Zene' will lend support in articulating and prioritising the specific needs of this target group aiming at finding solutions for integrating the needs of women into an overall local dialogue framework. Furthermore, women and girls will be targeted with tailored messages during the communication campaign and will receive special attention in U-Report, the IT platform for youth engagement. The Public Call's criteria on gender equality and women’s empowerment was part of the Small Grant Facility. Equal participation of women in local dialogue platforms and project events will be considered a strong reference point. The Public Call's criteria on gender equality was part of the Small Grant Facility and approved projects have over 50% of participation of women. Equal participation of women in local dialogue platforms will be considered while out of 28 municipal coordinators, 17 are women.</p>
<p><b>Other:</b> Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations? <i>(1500 character limit)</i></p>	<p>The DFF BiH team, including local stakeholders from local government and NGO sector participated in a joint training on innovative practices in international and national collaboration on peacebuilding in early November. The BiH DFF team in 'The Conducive Space for Peace Accelerator' was one of the cohorts invited to present the project’s strategy, identify vulnerabilities in implementation and prepare the team's strategy to improve the approach based on collective thinking, strategizing and interaction with the other teams from Syria, Mali, South Sudan and South Africa.</p>



**1.3 INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT:** *Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at both the outcome and output level in the table below (if your project has more indicators than provided in the table, select the most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight). Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)*

	<b>Performance Indicators</b>	<b>Indicator Baseline</b>	<b>End of project Indicator Target</b>	<b>Current indicator progress</b>	<b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</b>	<b>Adjustment of target (if any)</b>
<b>Outcome 1</b> Increased interaction and collaboration between different groups at the local level (within and between municipalities)	Indicator 1.1 Percentage of local leaders and community representatives, including youth leaders, indicate increased collaboration between different groups	Minimal interaction and collaboration between different groups including local leaders, youth, religious networks and civil society.	75% of target groups report increased interaction after 12 months of project implementation. 50% of target groups report change in attitude towards collaboration 12 months from programme inception	The target groups started interacting through stakeholder local assessments.	9.5 months into project, the groups still need to develop interaction and attitude towards collaboration. Considering the target is set for 12 month period, the results will start shaping up in the coming months of implementation. Thorough analysis of stakeholders and the local needs was completed.	
	Indicator 1.2 Increased level of collaboration	Young people in BiH have	In 75% of the selected locations,	Young people prepared a number of project		

	<b>Performance Indicators</b>	<b>Indicator Baseline</b>	<b>End of project Indicator Target</b>	<b>Current indicator progress</b>	<b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</b>	<b>Adjustment of target (if any)</b>
	between youth from different groups; as well as between youth and local leaders	Very little interaction with other young people from different groups; and youth are seldom included in municipal decision-making processes	Young people have jointly identified priorities on issues affecting them, and are jointly implementing initiatives related to sustaining peace in their communities	proposals identifying needs in their local communities and The list of approved projects is adopted by the Program Board including national stakeholders. The list ranked projects with highest score based on SGF criteria and geographic distribution.		
	Indicator 1.3 Percentage of target groups report increased interaction between members of community and their local representatives;	Very little interaction between local communities and their leaders, community members,	75% of target locations report increased interaction between community members and local leaders;	Mayors in local communities and municipal coordinators are part of the design of the local dialogue platforms and they will be those facilitating		

	<b>Performance Indicators</b>	<b>Indicator Baseline</b>	<b>End of project Indicator Target</b>	<b>Current indicator progress</b>	<b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</b>	<b>Adjustment of target (if any)</b>
		and youth;		dialogue and listening directly to the perceptions and needs of target groups in local communities.		
Output 1.1 Local communities lead local assessments on common problems and priority issues of concern.	Indicator 1.1.1 Number of locations selected for the local peacebuilding initiatives.	Limited number of locally led peacebuilding programmes being implemented throughout the country.	6-9 locations selected for the locally led dialogue and social cohesion initiatives.	Fully achieved. Selection was done by the Joint Programme Board based on a transparent process taking into account the criteria agreed in the project document.		
	Indicator 1.1.2 percentage of local conveners demonstrate capacity to facilitate multi-stakeholder processes.	Young facilitators, are not regularly engaged in local peacebuilding initiatives, and no	80% of selected conveners and facilitators demonstrate ability to regularly convene local stakeholders.	As of November 2018 selected conveners and facilitators at local level have conducted local assessments of stakeholders.		

	<b>Performance Indicators</b>	<b>Indicator Baseline</b>	<b>End of project Indicator Target</b>	<b>Current indicator progress</b>	<b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</b>	<b>Adjustment of target (if any)</b>
		testing on their legitimacy in their communities.				
Output 1.2 Social cohesion at the local level enhanced through establishment of local dialogue platforms/peacebuilding mechanisms	Indicator 1.2.1 Number of programme locations hold regular dialogues and/establish local structures for peacebuilding	Limited number of communities have institutionalized dialogue mechanisms	75% of selected locations have a platform or another local mechanism that meets regularly and leads local social cohesion initiatives	Letters of Cooperation signed by all Mayors of selected municipalities for DFF 2, explicitly stating the commitment to institutionalise local dialogue platforms.		
	Indicator 1.2.2 number of youth leaders connect across different groups and lead dialogues on issues of common concern (locally	There are limited numbers of young intellectuals, leaders and opinion makers with	Group of young generation leaders from local level supported through capacity	Specific target groups including young leaders have been identified to take part in the local dialogue platforms. The evaluation of		

	<b>Performance Indicators</b>	<b>Indicator Baseline</b>	<b>End of project Indicator Target</b>	<b>Current indicator progress</b>	<b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</b>	<b>Adjustment of target (if any)</b>
	and regionally), including outside of activities implemented through this programme	the capacity to resist and overcome divisive rhetoric and narratives. Some municipalities have Municipal Youth Officers; however, their capacity to coordinate and network is weak.	building and small grants.	project proposals through Small Grant Facility is finalised and the process of contracting selected NGOs is to start soon.		
Output 1.3	Indicator 1.3.1					
	Indicator 1.3.2					
<b>Outcome 2</b> Increased interaction and dialogue	Indicator 2.1 Programme partners and beneficiaries	Young people have very limited interaction	50% of target groups report increased interaction	No dialogue yet convened between Presidency and the local authorities		

	<b>Performance Indicators</b>	<b>Indicator Baseline</b>	<b>End of project Indicator Target</b>	<b>Current indicator progress</b>	<b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</b>	<b>Adjustment of target (if any)</b>
between different groups at the BiH level	indicate increased interaction between the Presidency, local authorities, and youth .	and opportunities to engage with the Presidency in addition to local authorities.	between the Presidency, local authorities and youth.	and youth		
	Indicator 2.2 [INDICATOR DOES NOT EXIST IN FINAL PRODOC SO REMOVE THIS] Basis for regional cooperation established through number of consultations and completion and agreement on the regional initiative.	No regional, initiatives in peacebuilding based	Regional Proposal cleared by national counterparts and joint multi-country initiative on sustaining peace in the region prepared.	The regional proposal was cleared by BiH counterparts, three more countries are to sign off soon.		
	Indicator 2.3 Number of provocative/negati	Elections related competition	Reduced likelihood of elections	The elections did not stop communities		

	<b>Performance Indicators</b>	<b>Indicator Baseline</b>	<b>End of project Indicator Target</b>	<b>Current indicator progress</b>	<b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</b>	<b>Adjustment of target (if any)</b>
	ve statements in the mainstream media about the ‘other’ groups during elections period.	has a tendency to increase divisive rhetoric throughout the country.	period having negative effects on relationships at the community level.	working on the development of local dialogue platforms, The media is not directly involved yet to provide evidence of this indicator.		
Output 2.1 Youth leaders from BiH are capacitated to become conveners and peacebuilders	Indicator 2.1.1 number of youth with enhanced skills in collaborative leadership and peacebuilding	Limited knowledge/skills in the area of collaborative leadership and peacebuilding among youth in the selected municipalities (programme partners will further assess	Representative group of youth (including girls and boys) in target locations demonstrate competence on collaborative leadership and peacebuilding	This target is partially achieved. Tailored methodologies for youth (including boys and girls) improved youth skills and competencies on collaborative leadership and peacebuilding.		

	<b>Performance Indicators</b>	<b>Indicator Baseline</b>	<b>End of project Indicator Target</b>	<b>Current indicator progress</b>	<b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</b>	<b>Adjustment of target (if any)</b>
		these gaps and address them)				
	Indicator 2.1.2 number of instances that youth facilitate dialogues on issues of common concern in BiH	3 large scale conferences organized by the DFF partners with youth, however, very small number of dialogue initiatives have been led by youth themselves	Youth from different groups meet and dialogue on common priorities at least quarterly during programme implementation	Youth has met twice and discussed local situation. In the coming months youth from different groups will be meeting and leading dialogue on common priorities.		
Output 2.2 Dialogue between relevant authorities and institutions,	Indicator 2.2.1 Percentage of relevant entity and cantonal level action plans localize peacebuilding components of the	no entity or cantonal level action plans	At least one BiH- level dialogue utilizing SDGs as the entry point, and at least two entity and	DFF 2 project team members actively participated in 29-30 May 2018 SDG Consultations among a wide variety of		

	<b>Performance Indicators</b>	<b>Indicator Baseline</b>	<b>End of project Indicator Target</b>	<b>Current indicator progress</b>	<b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</b>	<b>Adjustment of target (if any)</b>
and citizens, facilitated at BiH level	SDGs in BiH		cantonal level action plans integrate relevant peacebuilding elements through SDGs into action plans	stakeholders in BiH, incl. government partners, CSOs, private sector, UN and donors. The Peace & Partnerships Working Group (covering SDG 16) was facilitated by the DFF team.		
	Indicator 2.2.2 Number of dialogues; number of key mainstream and social media outlets engaged in dialogue; media articles with positive messages of tolerance and peacebuilding	#1 targeted Communications Strategy produced for DFF1 implementation; Media plays a very divisive role in the country with most of the	Revised and adjusted Comms strategy to include specific targeting (e.g. as defined in the narrative, including approach for independent media outlets	The Communication and Advocacy strategy is developed and will be shared with the new Program Board for adoption and implementation.		

	<b>Performance Indicators</b>	<b>Indicator Baseline</b>	<b>End of project Indicator Target</b>	<b>Current indicator progress</b>	<b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</b>	<b>Adjustment of target (if any)</b>
		media reporting focusing on the negative news and very little media coverage for the positive cross-group collaborative initiatives.	and young women). At least two dialogues bringing together mainstream and social media outlets to discuss the role of media in promoting social cohesi			
Output 2.3 BiH leadership and youth leaders connected to leaders in the neighboring countries	Indicator 2.3.1 Representative number of youth covering different groups from BiH and the neighbouring countries participate in the regional consultation for the development of	no consultation held on the regional initiative	Youth representing different groups in BiH and the neighboring countries participate in the consultation for the regional	Regional workshops with UN partners from Serbia, Croatia and Montenegro held in May, July and November 2018. The regional (multi-country) project proposal was developed taking into account		

	<b>Performance Indicators</b>	<b>Indicator Baseline</b>	<b>End of project Indicator Target</b>	<b>Current indicator progress</b>	<b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</b>	<b>Adjustment of target (if any)</b>
	the regional initiative		initiative.	the consultations with youth.		
	Indicator 2.3.2					
<b>Outcome 3</b>	Indicator 3.1					
	Indicator 3.2					
	Indicator 3.3					
Output 3.1	Indicator 3.1.1					
	Indicator 3.1.2					
Output 3.2	Indicator 3.2.1					
	Indicator 3.2.2					
Output 3.3	Indicator 3.3.1					
	Indicator 3.3.2					
<b>Outcome 4</b>	Indicator 4.1					

	<b>Performance Indicators</b>	<b>Indicator Baseline</b>	<b>End of project Indicator Target</b>	<b>Current indicator progress</b>	<b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</b>	<b>Adjustment of target (if any)</b>
	Indicator 4.2					
	Indicator 4.3					
Output 4.1	Indicator 4.1.1					
	Indicator 4.1.2					
Output 4.2	Indicator 4.2.1					
	Indicator 4.2.2					
Output 4.3	Indicator 4.3.1					
	Indicator 4.3.2					

## PART 2: INDICATIVE PROJECT FINANCIAL PROGRESS

### 2.1 Comments on the overall state of financial expenditures

Please rate whether project financial expenditures are on track, delayed, or off track, vis-à-vis project plans and by recipient organization: *delayed*

How many project budget tranches have been received to date and what is the overall level of expenditure against the total budget and against the tranche(s) received so far (500 characters limit): The first tranche of USD 1,400,000.56 was received at the beginning of the project. The level of expenditure + commitments against the first tranche amounts to 45%. We expect to commit/spend around \$450,000 during the next 45 days, especially through the disbursement of funds for the Small Grants Facility. 25 projects are approved by the Program Board and the contracts with NGOs will be signed in the next 30 days.

When do you expect to seek the next tranche, if any tranches are outstanding: We currently expect that we will be able to seek the next tranche in December 2018.

If expenditure is delayed or off track, please provide a brief explanation (500 characters limit): The SGF disbursement was delayed due to the delayed National stakeholder's response and approval of the proposed projects for the Small Grant Facility. This delay was somehow anticipated due to the elections period and the business of the national stakeholders. However, as of the time of reporting, this obstacle is now solved and as such, the project can proceed with a reliable schedule on contracting the grantees and committing/expending the SGF in the amount of 460,000 USD.

Please state what \$ amount was planned (in the project document) to be allocated to activities focussed on gender equality or women's empowerment and how much has been actually allocated to date: An analysis of the gender equality in the programming activities provides an estimate for approximately 48% of programmable budget to be expended on gender equality and targeted activities on women's and girls' empowerment. This amounts to 898,960 USD. To date, 299,660.55 USD is allocated.

Please fill out and attach Annex A on project financial progress **with detail on expenditures/ commitments to date using the original project budget table in Excel**, even though the \$ amounts are indicative only.