PBF PROJECT PROGRESS REPORT
COUNTRY: Sri Lanka
TYPE OF REPORT: SEMI-ANNUAL, ANNUAL OR FINAL Report
DATE OF REPORT: 31st July 2019

Project Title: Empowering Women for an Inclusive and Susutable Transitional Justice and Reconcilaition Process in Sri Lanka **Project Number from MPTF-O Gateway:** IRF-154

PBF project modality: ☒ IRF ☐ PRF	**If funding is disbursed into a national or regional trust fund:** ☐ Country Trust Fund ☐ Regional Trust Fund **Name of Recipient Fund:**

List all direct project recipient organizations (starting with Convening Agency), followed type of organization (UN, CSO etc): Humanity & Inlcusion; HI (the former name Handicap International) **List additional implementing partners, Governmental and non-Governmental:** Search for Common Ground, Viluthu, Women Development Center

Project commencement date[1]: 03/04/2017 **Project duration in months:[2]** 24 month with No Cost Extention.

Does the project fall under one of the specific PBF priority windows below: ☒ Gender promotion initiative ☐ Youth promotion initiative ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions ☐ Cross-border or regional project

Total PBF approved project budget* (by recipient organization):
Humanity and Inclsuion (HI - Handicap International): $ 750,000
    : $
    : $
    : $
Total: 750,000
      *The overall approved budget and the release of the second and any subsequent tranche are conditional and subject to PBSO's approval and subject to availability of funds in the PBF account
How many tranches have been received so far:

Report preparation: Project report prepared by: Nishee Shrestha Adhikari, Program Coordinator, HI Project report approved by: Shanaka Fernando, Interim Country Director, HI Did PBF Secretariat clear the report: Any comments from PBF Secretariat on the report: Has the project undertaken any evaluation exercises? Please specify and attach: YES

---

[1] Note: commencement date will be the date of first funds transfer.
[2] Maximum project duration for IRF projects is 18 months, for PRF projects – 36 months.

PART 1: RESULTS PROGRESS

1.1   Overall project progress to date

Briefly explain the **status of the project** in terms of its implementation cycle, including whether all preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (1500 character limit):

> All the activities planned to be undertaken within the project period were completed except training on community reporting. By the end the project reached 3,413 women including 1,158 Sinhala speaking, 2,255 Tamil speaking (religion-wise: 1,144 Buddhist, 1,932 Hindu, 173 Muslim, 164 Christian). Likewise, 23 were women with disabilities.
>
> The project was successful in providing the perspective of women, including marginalized women by creating common space for diverse women, and to jointly decide on their issues to be addressed and to create impact on Transitional Justice and Reconciliation (TJR). Likewise, project through strengthened women's capacity and confidence, successfully enabled them to further develop agenda and do advocacy from community to national level. Project team carefully designed activities where women get exposed to different religion and culture, for example, district platforms ensured participation of women in every religious practices during exposure visit which supported develop understanding of rationale behind each practices. As a result, 80% women increased trust, willingness and capacities to work across the divide on issues that concern to war-affected population.
>
> Six months No Cost Extension was agreed in February 2019, to further promote TJR and be able to advocate with the Office of Missing Persons (OMP) as only established national stakeholder. Unfortunately, establishment of the OMP at the local level was not done by end the funding duration

Considering the project's implementation cycle, please **rate this project's overall progress towards results to date**:
on track

In a few sentences, summarize **what is unique/ innovative/ interesting** about what this project is trying/ has tried to achieve or its approach (rather than listing activity progress) (1500 character limit).

> Review and learning (RL) sessions: monthly RL sessions served as a common place for women from diverse background to strengthen their knowledge on TJR concept and mechanism. Discussion during the sessions, supported them to help understand the broader community situation, understanding existing TJR issues and decide on common issues to advocate.
>
> Exchange visits: women during exchange visit could gain first-hand information, witness the situation, exchange views with religious leaders and community leaders. This supported women in self-realization and internalization of different situations

which resulted in building trust among diverse group of women reducing negative perception towards religion and cultural practices.

Formation of platforms: both district and national platforms has supported women to strengthen further their skills and capacities to bridge between community and authorities to promote common perspective and recommendation to solve the issues that concerns affected women. The platform strengthened to organize the women to give a strong shape to their advocacy.

Provision of specific support: for the effective involvement of women with disabilities into project activities, specific support provisioned in the project proved helpful. The specific support like medical check-up and assistive devices, provision of travel allowances, choosing accessible locations allowed women with disabilities to actively participate in all project activities.

In a few sentences summarize **major project peacebuilding progress/results** (with evidence), which PBSO can use in public communications to highlight the project (1500 character limit):
Thanks to an increased trust, willingness and capacities to work across the divide, the project contributed to ongoing peace initiatives as follows:

National level platform organized a national advocacy event ensuring participation of representatives from six line ministries to discuss TJR related issues to be addressed at local level. At the event, a) Secretary from Ministry of Industry and Commerce, Resettlement of Protracted Displaced Persons ad Cooperative Development and b) Secretary from Ministry of Resettlement, Rehabilitation, Northern Development and Hindu Religious, committed to incorporate issues raised in the ministry activities

Jaffna district platform organized an event demanding Member of Parliament to provide livelihood support for families of missing persons and women headed households. Secretary, Ministry for National Policies, Economic Affairs, Resettlement and Rehabilitation of Norther Province Development and Youth Affairs committed to support the families through ministry.

Jaffna district platform submitted recommendations to provide monthly allowance for families of missing persons. Based on these, the President Office approved to provide LKR 10,000 per family and is budgeted by OMP for 2019.

Ampara district platform advocated and get confirmation from Ministry of Industry and Commerce, Resettlement of Protracted Displaced Persons ad Cooperative Development to support 17 families to rebuild their houses in 20

In a few sentences, explain how the project has made **real human impact**, that is, how did it affect the lives of any people in the country – where possible, use direct quotes that PBSO can use in public communications to highlight the project (1500 character limit):
The project could bring the women groups (heavily divided along linguistic, caste and religious lines) in one place through collaborative platform and make them realize they have joint perspective on TJR so to make their voice and claim stronger on similar grievances and challenges they face.

"We used to hate the Tamils, as all our lives in Kebitigollawa were ruined by LTTE during the war; we lost our family members and properties. I am happy that I am part of this TJR program which supported me to build close relationships with the Tamil people. I have understood very well that it is the terrorism that ruined our life and nothing to do with the innocent Tamils who are also victimized with same issues as ours". (Ms. Mallikawathi, Facilitator, Kebitigollawa, Anuradhapuraya)

The regular meetings and sharings contributed towards empowering the women in making decision on different issues that affect their life.

"I have never had connections with Muslims before. I met Fariya during the exchange visit organized at Weligama by the TJR project. We became close to each other during the event and later became business partners. I used to produce snacks and sell them in the market for my daily living. After knowing Fariya, she started buying the snacks I produce to sell them in her shop located at Weligma, Aluthweediya. We maintain our friendship and business. We visit each other and exchange our issues". (Ms. Shriyani Ruwanpathirana, Moodugamuwa Group Member, Moodugamuwa)

If the project progress assessment is **on-track**, please explain what the key **challenges** (if any) have been and which measures were taken to address them (1500 character limit).

Limited Capacity of Facilitators: the women selected as facilitators were part of existing women's group however given low education level, negligible facilitation and communication skills, it was too difficult for them to grasp TJR concept. To mitigate this challenge, training materials were further simplified, number of coaching sessions were increased focusing on single topic rather than multiple in each session.

Retention of Facilitators: considering the family commitment and earning, the facilitators started to leave which resulted loosing skilled facilitators. To mitigate, facilitators were supported to develop their plan as per their convenience including, dates and frequencies of group meeting, easy location for all to reduce travel time.

Participation of Marginalized Women: in the initial phase involvement of Muslim women and women with disabilities were too difficult. To increase participation, separate consultation organized with Muslim women group and DPOs.

Negative Comments: following April incident, few community leaders including member of political parties made comments blaming facilitators' work of reconciliation causes these situations. Additional discussion were made during monthly meetings to build confidence to deal with such comments.

Support from government: support from government TJR stakeholders was very limited including participation of ONUR in the project activities despite of extending invitation to several meetings by the project team.

If the assessment is **off-track**, please list main reasons/ **challenges** and explain what impact this has had/will have on project duration or strategy and what **measures** have been taken/ will be taken to address the challenges/ rectify project progress (1500 character limit):
N/A

Please attach as a separate document(s) any materials highlighting or providing more evidence for project progress (for example: publications, photos, videos, monitoring reports, evaluation reports etc.). List below what has been attached to the report, including purpose and audience.

> Annex 1 - Final project evaluation report
> Annex 2 - Intra district exchange event Ampara 22012018
> Annex 3 - Midline and end line reports
> Annex 4 - Newspaper clipping published in newspapers about project activities
> Annex 5 - Link for project related videos

1.2   Result progress by project outcome

The space in the template allows for up to four project outcomes. If your project has more approved outcomes, contact PBSO for template modification.

Outcome 1: Women including marginalized women, support a platform for the purpose of influencing the TJR process and mechanisms across the deciding lines

Rate the current status of the outcome progress: on track

Progress summary: *Describe main progress under this Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration), including major output progress (not all individual activities). If the project is starting to make/ has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context. Where possible, provide specific examples of change the project has supported/ contributed to as well as, where available and relevant, quotes from partners or beneficiaries about the project and their experience. (3000 character limit)?*

> Outcome 1 achieved as 80% women reported an increased willingness to work with women of different ethnicity, language and religion to address mutually recognized concerns. Similarly, 93% platform members reported, objectives and actions are defined and undertaken through effective collaboration between members of diverse ethnicity, language and religion. 76% of women expressed confidence that collaborative platform of women across the dividing lines on TJR contributes to an inclusive and sustainable TJR process.
>
> "Women with Disabilities were confined within their house only. TJR program, empowered us with skills to come closer and get recognized by our society." Ms. Anulawathi, Member of We are for Rights Organization Kandy
>
> This outcome was reached through following output level achievements:
>
> Output 1.1 (Local women's groups of diverse ethnicity, religion and language have increased knowledge and understanding on TJR through regular reflection and learning session) was achieved by designing and developing RL sessions materials and guidelines with inputs from the technical experts in TJR. 2,165 women from 77 groups were reached in 6 district through 857 RL sessions. The KAP survey confirmed 92% of women reported improved knowledge on TJR mechanisms and their participation within.

Output 1.2 (Women leaders of diverse ethnicity, religion, language and social situations are equipped with the skills to engage in advocacy and monitoring on TJR) was partially achieved by training 179 women group members on gender and disability, advocacy, media engagement and TJR. 135 out of 179 were selected as project facilitators through evaluation and further trained on evidence based advocacy, media engagement and in-depth training on TJR. All these trainings were proved beneficial for facilitators to a) identify and implement common advocacy initiatives; b) provide special attention to marginalized women including for their meaningful participation. Despite of these intense process, KAP survey showed 73% women group members demonstrated skills to carry out inclusive evidence-based advocacy activities against 80% target.

Output 1.3 (Women across the dividing lines have increased awareness of shared interests and differences with regards to issues not directly related to TJR) was achieved by organizing, 15 intra-district and 9 inter-district exchange visit for 1,847 women (incl. 18 Muslim and 4 with disabilities) focused on sensitizing on different situations, building relationships and trust among women from divers groups. As a result, 84% women report increased awareness of shared and divergent interest of different women groups.

"I even didn't like to sit with the Muslims before, but after listening to the Moulavi (a Muslim Scholar) from Diagana mosque during exchange visit, I had an opportunity to understand differences of culture and religions which changed by perception which I share with my family and neighbours too". Ms.Hemalatha-Vishaka WG Kandy.

Outcome 2: Women including marginalized women engage in collaborative platform to provide common perspective on TJR valued by relevant authorities and stakeholders from community to national level and exiting TJR specific stakeholders

Rate the current status of the outcome progress: on track

Progress summary: *(see guiding questions under Outcome 1)*

Outcome 2 partially achieved considering lack of establishment of TJR related agencies by the government at district level during project period. Amendment was made in February 2019, with an intention to work closely with the Office of Missing Persons (OMP) to be established at local level, which unfortunately did not take place.

"The women's Platform of Ampara successfully advocated for housing and infrastructure for 17 war victim families". Samanthi Udagedara, Coordinator, Diriya Kantha Forum, Ampara District

Output 2.1 (The women's platform has identified common views on the TJR process and mechanisms and defined advocacy and awareness messages) is achieved by establishing 8 district level platforms in 6 districts. Their structure vary as they have been linked with the existing district forums for sustainability. These platforms were introduced to stakeholders through launching events. 75 platform members from 6 districts were mobilized for experience collection, story sharing and selection of common issues as part of collecting evidences related to TJR process at district level.

17 focus dialogue session were carried out to collect views of non-members, government and non- government representatives. Based on the collected information, discussion in inter-district platforms led consensus on 9 common advocacy and awareness raising messages on TJR issues. In addition to district level platforms, a national one with 18 representatives from district platforms was formed. Based on a survey conducted among women group members, 98% women reported they agree with the 9 key messages selected.

Output 2.2 (The platform and the women's networks members engage in joint advocacy awareness and accountability actions) is achieved as platforms initiated advocacy actions after identifying the common TJR issues. 7 district advocacy actions, 1 inter-district and 1 National advocacy actions were completed during the project period. National platform was the base to develop consensus on women's most pressing concerns identified at inter district level (land-related matter and livelihood support for the missing person's families). In addition, six district platform also developed the "post-project activity plan" which explains process for platform members to follow up on the advocacy actions initiated by respective platforms until end of 2019.

25 district platform members participated in developing consensus training, 59 in selecting common issues and developing advocacy and awareness messages, 61 on media engagement for advocacy. As a result, the events related to advocacy action plans were published in local newspaper and telecasted by national news channels. 98% women agreed the identified topic are relevant during a survey done among women group members. Likewise, the stakeholders' survey shows 92% stakeholders (incl. government officials and CBOs) confirmed being aware on the women's inputs and acknowledged that they will consider at TJR process.

Outcome 3: N/A

Rate the current status of the outcome progress: Please select one

Progress summary: *(see guiding questions under Outcome 1)*

Outcome 4: N/A

Rate the current status of the outcome progress: Please select one

Progress summary: *(see guiding questions under Outcome 1)*

1.3 Cross-cutting issues

| **National ownership:** How has the national government demonstrated ownership/ commitment to the project results and activities? Give specific examples. (1500 character limit) | The OMP responded to a submission by the Trincomalee District Women Federation (project women groups are member of this federation) by including some of their concerns in the Interim Report of OMP (e.g. establishing district offices). Further, few representatives of this Women Federation held discussions with a Commissioner |

	of OMP on the concerns submitted. The submission included requests on appointing women officers in investigations to avoid possible harassments, concerns on reparations, legal status of certificate issued for missing persons, land issues of war-affected resettled families. The women federation also requested changes in the structure (establishment of offices in North etc.) and procedures of OMP.
	The Office for National Unity & Reconciliation (ONUR) agreed at a meeting in August 2018 to sit in the project Steering Committee. ONUR planned to visit the project activities and to provide relevant feedbacks as the government counterpart of the project to improve the project activities. However, despite of several meetings and invitations, contribution of the ONUR for the project implementation and the progress remained low.
Monitoring: Is the project M&E plan on track? What monitoring methods and sources of evidence are being/ have been used? Please attach any monitoring-related reports for the reporting period. *(1500 character limit)?*	Project provisioned for MEAL team consisting Monitoring, Evaluation, Accountability and Learning plan (MEAL) Manager and two Monitoring &Data Officers based in Jaffna and Kandy. MEAL framework was prepared and tools developed accordingly i.e action plan, PME box, and beneficiary synthesis tools, to monitor the progress of the project. Likewise, different data collection tools were developed to gather information (RL session data collections tool, formats for activity completion, monthly platform meeting and focus dialogue sessions).
	Monthly progress reports were collected from implementing partners and verify them with the monthly reports send by MEAL officers based in Jaffna and Kandy. Review meetings were conducted with implementing partners to discuss the project progress, review and revise activity plans, challenges faced during project implementation. Quarterly project reviews were conducted internally with project team
	Baseline, midline and end line survey were done in project districts to measure the main indicators achievements in the results framework. External enumerators were hired and trained on data collection. Additionally, a) Milestone and b) Stakeholder Survey were also done to ensure the indicators targets are achieved.
Evaluation: Provide an update on the preparations for the external evaluation for the project, especially if within last 6 months of implementation or final report. Confirm available budget for evaluation. *(1500 character limit)*	Final evaluation of the project was conducted through external independent consultant developing standard TOR. The participatory approach was used to conduct the evaluation with the beneficiaries of the project in March – April 2019. The evaluation was driven by the evaluation standards of OECD DAC and the UN Evaluation Group (UNEG). The main objective of the evaluation was to look

	into overall performance of the project, overall project design, and implementation processes, to measure the impact, relevance, efficiency, effectiveness, sustainability and gender aspect of the project.
	Final evaluation report confirmed that primary outcomes of the project have been substantively achieved. The evaluation clearly demonstrated, that as an impact of the project, women from different communities have come together successfully in a set of platforms to advocate for TJR related issues. The project was relevant as per the country context. Similarly, effectiveness of the project in satisfactory level and the efficiency can be considered as high. Likewise, building TJR knowledge of women from the women's forums and developing their linkages with existing women network could ensure sustainability.
Catalytic effects (financial): Did the project lead to any specific non-PBF funding commitments? If yes, from whom and how much? If not, have any specific attempts been made to attract additional financial contributions to the project and beyond? *(1500 character limit)*	HI was able to secure additional funds (493,825 USD) from the US State Department – Bureau of Democracy Human Rights and Labor to extend the project activities until February 2020. The project activities have also been extended through this funding to two additional districts in the Southern Province (Matara and Hambantota) which are predominantly Sinhala Buddhist areas
Catalytic effects (non-financial): Did the project create favourable conditions for additional peacebuilding activities by Government/ other donors? If yes, please specify. *(1500 character limit)*	
Exit strategy/ sustainability: What steps have been taken to prepare for end of project and help ensure sustainability of the project results beyond PBF support for this project? *(1500 character limit)*	Considering sustainability of the project actions, following steps were taken: # women's group for TJR were built on the existing women's group in the communities # district platforms were established with a composition of the women representatives from the diverse groups # groups were linked with existing women's network including Network of Women (NOW) which is national level women network formed by Women Development Center # confidence of project facilitators were strongly built by strengthening their capacity and knowledge, which has enabled them to seek their participation and make their voices heard at different level which resulted in recognition of the platform by government authorities
Risk taking: Describe how the project has responded to risks that threatened the achievement of results. Identify any new	Easter bombing in April 2019 has hampered completion of the project activities planned at the end of the project. All the works had to halt considering the chaotic situation all

risks that have emerged since the last report. *(1500 character limit)*	over the country. As a result, during end-line, the confidence level and willingness among women went lower from 89% (midline) to 80% (endline) and a significant increase in % for risk situation. The OMP is the only established government institution on TJR so far. But it is not fully functional yet, only the commissioners have been appointed; but the district level offices yet to be established. However, the project continuously guided the community to reach the OMP commissioners for related issues. In March 2018 situation became tense in Kandy and Ampara districts following attack at Muslim Mosques in Kandy. Project interventions could not be carried out for about two months.
Gender equality: In the reporting period, which activities have taken place with a specific focus on addressing issues of gender equality or women's empowerment? *(1500 character limit)*	The project activities strongly focus on women empowerment, thus contributing to increasing gender equality regarding political participation and advocacy. The project in the end reached 3,413 women including 1,158 Sinhala speaking, 2,255 Tamil speaking (religion-wise: 1,144 Buddhist, 1,932 Hindu, 173 Muslim, 164 Christian) through project activities. The project also includes 23 women with disabilities. The women have been provided with a space at community level through reflection and learning sessions to discuss their issues on TJR. These women are linked through women platforms (forums/ federations) which has strengthened them more to collectively advocate on their issues. These women platforms will continue to collect the data on the issues they are faced with and will formulate advocacy actions to address these issues through the platforms.
Other: Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations? *(1500 character limit)*	In October 2018, there was a change in government which hampered the project activities for almost 52 days affecting community level activities.

1.3 INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT*: Using the **Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments**- provide an update on the achievement of **key indicators** at both the outcome and output level in the table below (if your project has more indicators than provided in the table, select the most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight). Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation.* Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)	Adjustment of target (if any)
Outcome 1 Women, including marginalized women, support a platform for the purpose of influencing the TJR process and mechanisms across the dividing lines	Indicator 1.1 % of participating women who report increased willingness to work with women of different ethnicity, language and religion to address mutually recognized concerns	81%	80%	80%	Willingness of women working with diverse women groups was increased upto 89% in mid-line survey which is reduced to 80% in end-line survery due to April incident (Easter Bombings)	
	Indicator 1.2 % of women who express confidence that a collaborative platform of women across the dividing lines on TJR contributes to an inclusive and	73%	80%	76%	Confidence level of the platform was increased upto 79% in mid-line survey which decreased to 76% in end-line survery as women were concerned by potential risks and their own safety following April incident (Easter Bombings)	

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)	Adjustment of target (if any)
	sustainable TJR process					
	Indicator 1.3 % of platform members who report that platform objectives and actions are defined and undertaken through effective collaboration between members of diverse ethnicity, language and religion		90%	93%	The achievement is higher than targeted	
Output 1.1 Local women's groups of diverse ethnicity, religion and langugae have	Indicator 1.1.1 % of local women's group members in the target districts reporting an improved knowledge of knowing the TJR	50%	80%	92%	The project has achieved positive ouput interm of knowledge of TJR. Baseline results showed 50 % knowledge and at the endline it has reached 92%.	

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)	Adjustment of target (if any)
increased knoweldge and understanding on TJR through regular reflection and learning sessions	process and mechanisms as well as how they can participate therein					
	Indicator 1.1.2					
Output 1.2 Women leaders of diverse ethnicity, religion, language andsocial situation are equipped with the skills to engage in advocacy and	Indicator 1.2.1 % of women demonstrating skills with knowledge to carry out inclusive evidence-based advocacy		80%	73%	Pre and post results showed less than expected skills to carry out advocacy actions. However, continuous coaching sessions supported women leaders to improve their skills and complete the successful advocacy intiatives.	
	Indicator 1.2.2					

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)	Adjustment of target (if any)
moitoring on TJR						
Output 1.3 Women across the dividing lines have increased awareness of shared interests and differences with regards to issues not directly related to TJR	Indicator 1.3.1 Output Indicator 1.3.1 % of women participating in activity 1.3 events who report that they have increased awareness of shared and divergent interests of women of other ethnicity, language and religion as a result of exchange events		80%	84%	Successfully achieved	
	Indicator 1.3.2					
Output 1.4	Indicator 1.4.1					
	Indicator 1.4.2					
Outcome 2 Women,	Indicator 2.1 # of post-project		1	1	6 district platforms developed action plans how they will follow up advocacy	

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)	Adjustment of target (if any)
including marginalized women, engage in a collaborative platform to provide common perspectives on TJR valued by relevant authorities and stakeholders from community to national level and existing TJR specific stakeholders	activity plans of the women's platform.				action/ platform activities until end of 2019.	
	Indicator 2.2 % of relevant authorities and stakeholders surveyed who report being aware of women's inputs, and acknowledging that they should be taken into account to promote the TJR process		80%	92%	There is a significant achievement as stakeholders have well recognized the platforms and acknowledge the issues raised by the platform members	
	Indicator 2.3 Indicator 2c % of existing district level OMP representatives surveyed who report being aware of women's recommendations,				District level OPM repsresntatives are yet to be setup. Therefore, c and 2d indicators in the results frame work unable to complete.	

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)	Adjustment of target (if any)
	and acknowledging that they should be taken into account Indicator 2d Women group members who report that they are satisfied on their recommendation are taken up by OMP					
Output 2.1 The women's plaftform has identified common, views, advocacy strategies and awareness messages on the TJR process and	Indicator 2.1.1 % of women participants who agree with messages selected for advocacy and awareness		80%	98%	Successfully achieved as the women could develop consensus and agreed jointly on selecting the advocacy messages	
	Indicator 2.1.2					

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)	Adjustment of target (if any)
mechanisms						
Output 2.2 The platform and the women's networks members have undertaken joint advocacy, awareness and accountability actions	Indicator 2.2.1 % of advocacy, awareness and accountability actions, defined by the platform that have been carried out at district and national level targeting the OMP and other public stakeholders		10	9	8 district level advocacy actions and 1 National advocacy action completed. 1 action could not be done due to April incident as event was planned at end of April	
	Indicator 2.2.2 % of actions reported at least in one vernacular media outlet		40%	34%	The project activities were published in Sinhala and Tamil newspapers during the project period and the national event was broadcasted by the national TV stations	
Output 2.3	Indicator 2.3.1					
	Indicator 2.3.2					
	Indicator 2.4.1					

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)	Adjustment of target (if any)
Output 2.4	Indicator 2.4.2					
Outcome 3	Indicator 3.1					
	Indicator 3.2					
	Indicator 3.3					
Output 3.1	Indicator 3.1.1					
	Indicator 3.1.2					
Output 3.2	Indicator 3.2.1					
	Indicator 3.2.2					
Output 3.3	Indicator 3.3.1					
	Indicator 3.3.2					
Output 3.4	Indicator 3.4.1					
	Indicator 3.4.2					
Outcome 4	Indicator 4.1					

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)	Adjustment of target (if any)
	Indicator 4.2					
	Indicator 4.3					
Output 4.1	Indicator 4.1.1					
	Indicator 4.1.2					
Output 4.2	Indicator 4.2.1					
	Indicator 4.2.2					
Output 4.3	Indicator 4.3.1					
	Indicator 4.3.2					
Output 4.4	Indicator 4.4.1					
	Indicator 4.4.2					

PART 2: INDICATIVE PROJECT FINANCIAL PROGRESS

2.1 Comments on the overall state of financial expenditures

Please rate whether project financial expenditures are on track, delayed, or off track, vis-à-vis project plans and by recipient organization: *on track*

How many project budget tranches have been received to date and when do you expect to request the next tranche if applicable: 2 budget tranches received. Next tranche (final one) is expected to receive after submission of the project final report.

What is the overall level of expenditure/ commitment against the total budget and against the tranche(s) received so far: The total project budget is USD 750,000. By end of the project period, the total expenditure made is USD 710,915 i.e 95% of the total budget. The amount of both tranches received is USD 675,000. The expenses made is 105% against the total amount of both tranches received.

If expenditure is delayed or off track, please provide a brief explanation (500 characters limit): There is under expenditure of USD 39,085 (5.2%) in total project budget as one activity "community reporting training" planned at the end of the April could not take place due to April attack having approximately USD 9,000 underspent in the budget line. Likewise, for other activities, less budget were consumed to complete the activites compared to intial planned budget.

Please state what $ amount was planned (in the project document) to be allocated to activities focussed on gender equality or women's empowerment and how much has been actually allocated to date: 93%

Please fill out and attach the project document Excel budget Annex showing current project financial progress **(expenditures/ commitments to date),** using the original project budget table in Excel, even though the $ amounts are indicative only.