

Project Title: Support to political dialogue and national reconciliation in
Guinea-Bissau

SECRETARY-GENERAL'S PEACEBUILDING FUND
PBF PROJECT PROGRESS REPORT TEMPLATE



PBF PROJECT PROGRESS REPORT
COUNTRY: GUINEA BISSAU
TYPE OF REPORT: SEMI-ANNUAL, ANNUAL OR FINAL SEMI-ANNUAL
DATE OF REPORT: 10 JUNE 2018

| | |
|--|---|
| Project Title: Support to political dialogue and national reconciliation in Guinea-Bissau | |
| Project Number from MPTF-O Gateway: 00108244 | |
| PBF project modality: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRF <input type="checkbox"/> PRF | If funding is disbursed into a national or regional trust fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Country Trust Fund <input type="checkbox"/> Regional Trust Fund Name of Recipient Fund: |
| List all direct project recipient organizations (starting with Convening Agency), followed type of organization (UN, CSO etc): UNDP | |
| List additional implementing partners, Governmental and non-Governmental: UNIOGBIS | |
| Project commencement date¹: 19 December 2017 Project duration in months:² 30 June 2019 | |
| Does the project fall under one of the specific PBF priority windows below: <input type="checkbox"/> Gender promotion initiative <input type="checkbox"/> Youth promotion initiative <input type="checkbox"/> Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions <input type="checkbox"/> Cross-border or regional project | |
| Total PBF approved project budget* (by recipient organization): UNDP: \$ 807,327.51 : \$: \$: \$ Total: <i>*The overall approved budget and the release of the second and any subsequent tranche are conditional and subject to PBSO's approval and subject to availability of funds in the PBF account</i> | |
| How many tranches have been received so far: 1 (565,129.26) | |
| Report preparation: Project report prepared by: Igor Marcialis Project report approved by: Fernando Cavalcante Did PBF Secretariat clear the report: Yes Any comments from PBF Secretariat on the report: Has the project undertaken any evaluation exercises? Please specify and attach: No | |

¹ Note: commencement date will be the date of first funds transfer.

² Maximum project duration for IRF projects is 18 months, for PRF projects – 36 months.

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- *Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general / common language.*
- *Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.*
- *Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.*

PART 1: RESULTS PROGRESS

1.1 Overall project progress to date

Briefly explain the **status of the project** in terms of its implementation cycle, including whether all preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (1500 character limit):
Project implementation is at full steam. The completion of preliminary/preparatory activities in the first quarter was slightly delayed since a review of the sequencing of project activities was required following the re-prioritization of the mandate of UNIOGBIS with the adoption of Security Council resolution 2404 (2018), in February, and the appointment by President Vaz of a Prime Minister of consensus, in accordance with the Conakry Agreement, in April.

An overview of implementation status in the project's four areas of intervention is as follows: i) the project created space for more than 800 women from across the country to express their views concerning the country's political situation and needs; ii) capacity-building initiatives on dialogue and mediation will be undertaken in the second semester of 2018; iii) preparations for activities aimed at strengthening social actors to engage with discussions on the implementation of urgent reforms are being finalized; and iv) the project is enabling the regular functioning of the commission tasked with advancing a national reconciliation process.

Given the recent/current political/peacebuilding/ transition situation/ needs in the country, has the project been/ does it continue to be **relevant** and well placed to address potential conflict factors/ sources of tensions/ risks to country's sustaining peace progress? Please illustrate. If project is still ongoing, are any adjustments required? (1500 character limit)

The continued relevance of the project to advancing peacebuilding and stabilization in Guinea-Bissau is undisputable. Although the appointment of a Prime Minister of consensus represents a positive development towards a solution to the crisis that has affected Guinea-Bissau since mid-2015, the country's record of instability indicates the need for sustained political dialogue to ensure that the Prime Minister and the inclusive Government are able to organize legislative elections within the timeframe stipulated by the applicable legislation. Moreover, the re-prioritization of the mandate of UNIOGBIS by resolution 2404 (2018), which placed the facilitation of an inclusive political dialogue and national reconciliation process as a top priority, attests to the continued relevance of the project as it did not affect the project's assumptions or goals, but only a shifting in the sequencing of project activities.

In a few sentences, summarize **what is unique/ innovative/ interesting** about what this project is trying/ has tried to achieve or its approach (rather than listing activity progress) (1500 character limit).

Considering the project's implementation cycle, please **rate this project's overall progress towards results to date:**

on track

In a few sentences summarize **major project peacebuilding progress/results** (with evidence), which PBSO can use in public communications to highlight the project. (1500 character limit):

The organization and holding of the National Forum for Women and Girls for Peace represents a major project result to date. The event, held in Bissau on 25 May (Africa Day) by the Bissau-Guinean Women's Council, brought together approximately 800 women from across the country to discuss pressing issues affecting the consolidation of peace in Guinea-Bissau. The Council was created earlier in the year as a standing structure emanating from the former Women's Facilitation Group, which had played a key role resuming dialogue among protagonists to the crisis in 2017 and is now extinct. It now comprises 25 women from various political and social segments across the country, including political parties, the business sector and women's groups in rural settings.

In preparation to the National Forum, the Council held a series of nine smaller conferences in all regions of the country, which served to disseminate information about the new Council and its mandate, spread messages about the need for social cohesion in Guinea-Bissau and gather the views of women's and youth groups on the impact of the recent political and institutional stalemate on their lives. The process contributed not only to consolidating and legitimizing the Council as a nationally grown women's peacebuilding network, but also to bringing the voices of vulnerable and marginalized groups from the countryside right to decision makers in the capital.

In a few sentences, explain how the project has made **real human impact**, that is, how did it affect the lives of any people in the country – where possible, use direct quotes that PBSO can use in public communications to highlight the project (1500 character limit):

If the project progress assessment is **on-track**, please explain what the key **challenges** (if any) have been and which measures were taken to address them (1500 character limit).

Project implementation was slightly delayed following two key political developments. The first was the adoption of Security Council resolution 2404 (2018) on 28 February, which requested UNIOGBIS to place as top priority the full implementation of the Conakry Agreement and the facilitation of an inclusive political dialogue and national reconciliation. The second was the agreement, reached in Lome, Togo, on 14 April, on the appointment of a Prime Minister of consensus by the President of the Republic. Both developments prompted a review of the sequencing of project activities since an opportunity was identified to quickly undertake and building upon project initiatives under Outcome 1 to ensure the consolidation of an environment favourable to peacebuilding and stabilization. The revision was undertaken in consultation with implementing partners, including entities in the United Nations system and national counterparts, and under the guidance of the leadership of UNIOGBIS.

If the assessment is **off-track**, please list main reasons/ **challenges** and explain what impact this has had/will have on project duration or strategy and what **measures** have been taken/ will be taken to address the challenges/ rectify project progress (1500 character limit):

Please attach as a separate document(s) any materials highlighting or providing more evidence for project progress (for example: publications, photos, videos, monitoring reports, evaluation reports etc.). List below what has been attached to the report, including purpose and audience.

1.2 Result progress by project outcome

The space in the template allows for up to four project outcomes. If your project has more approved outcomes, contact PBSO for template modification.

Outcome 1: Political stakeholders engage in dialogue to address the current crisis and root causes of instability through the strengthening of national capacities for dialogue on political and institutional reform issues

Rate the current status of the outcome progress: on track with significant peacebuilding results

Progress summary: Describe main progress under this Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration), including major output progress (not all individual activities). If the project is starting to make/ has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context. Where possible, provide specific examples of change the project has supported/ contributed to as well as, where available and relevant, quotes from partners or beneficiaries about the project and their experience. (3000 character limit)?

The project has contributed to strengthening the capacities of the newly created Bissau-Guinean Women's Council (Output 1.1.), a reconfiguration of the former Women's Facilitation Group that emanated from the Women's Forum for Peace and played a major role re-opening dialogue among protagonists to the country's political stalemate in 2017. Specifically, the project has enabled the holding of the National Forum for Women and Girls for Peace, held by the Council in Bissau on 25 May (Africa Day), and which brought together approximately 800 women (300 from Bissau and 400 from the regions) to discuss pressing issues affecting the consolidation of peace in Guinea-Bissau.

In preparation to the National Forum, the Council held a series of nine smaller conferences in all regions of Guinea-Bissau, which served to disseminate information about the new Council and its mandate, spread messages about the need for social cohesion in the country and gather the views of women's and youth groups on the impact of the recent political and institutional stalemate on their lives. The issues identified during the regional forums were brought to the fore at the National Forum, informing discussions and recommendations advanced by the participants to Bissau-Guinean women and youth, State and Government authorities, and international partners.

The process leading to both events contributed not only to consolidating and legitimizing the Council as a nationally grown women's peacebuilding network, but also to bringing the voices of vulnerable and marginalized groups from the countryside right to decision makers in the capital. Consequently, both activities are already contributing to Outcome 1 as the Council is in better position to continue promoting dialogue as a credible advocate for the inclusion of women in politics and peacebuilding in the country.

Owing to the sequencing review, activities under outputs 1.2 and 1.3 will now be undertaken in the second semester of 2018.

Two micro-grant agreements are being finalized with national implementing partners to set the terms of the capacity-building support that will enable their participation in the decision-making process regarding the implementation of urgent institutional reforms (Output 1.4). One of the agreements will enable on-the-job support to selected civil society organizations to develop the baseline and indicators for tracking the future implementation of the reforms, and to develop technical documents outlining key aspects relating to the substance and process of the reforms. These initiatives will benefit from the discussions held and

recommendations advanced at a workshop on the reforms co-organized in May in Bissau by UNIOGBIS and the Institute for Security Studies (ISS) [funded by a previous PBF-funded project in support of dialogue]. The second agreement will enable the production of an annotated Constitution that will serve as baseline and inform discussions about the review of the Constitution.

Outcome 2: Political and civil society actors representing various segments of the Bissau-Guinean society actively and jointly engage in the process of design of an institutionalized national reconciliation process as a unifying national objective

Rate the current status of the outcome progress: on track

Progress summary: (see guiding questions under Outcome 1)

The project has been strengthening the capacities of the Organizing Commission of the National Conference (OCNC) (Output 2.1) by supporting operational costs required for its regular functioning. The recruitment for an international UN Volunteer to provide on-the-job specialized support on national reconciliation and transitional justice issues had been finalized and the selected candidate is expected to initiate duties in mid-July.

Report finalized

Presentation of report

Outcome 3:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress: Please select one.

Progress summary: (see guiding questions under Outcome 1)

Outcome 4:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress: Please select one.

Progress summary: (see guiding questions under Outcome 1)

1.3 Cross-cutting issues

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>National ownership: How has the national government demonstrated ownership/ commitment to the project results and activities? Give specific examples. (1500 character limit)</p> | |
| <p>Monitoring: Is the project M&E plan on track? What monitoring methods and sources of evidence are being/ have been used? Please attach any monitoring-</p> | <p>The M&E plan for the project is being finalized. Regular monthly meetings by the Project Coordination Team (comprising representatives from multiple substantive components of UNIOGBIS, UNDP, UN-Women and the</p> |

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>related reports for the reporting period. (1500 character limit)?</p> | <p>PBF Secretariat in Bissau) serve as platform for coordination on and monitoring implementation progress, and for identifying implementation challenges and risks. Project leads also liaise regularly with national implementing partners to ensure a coordinated approach. The PBF Secretariat, through its M&E Specialist and in coordination with project leads, has undertaken monitoring missions to accompany project activities (for example, the regional forums and the National Forum held under project Outcome 1) and produce a baseline for evaluation.</p> |
| <p>Evaluation: Provide an update on the preparations for the external evaluation for the project, especially if within last 6 months of implementation or final report. Confirm available budget for evaluation. (1500 character limit)</p> | <p>Preparations for the external evaluation of the project will be initiated six months before the end of the project implementation phase. Dedicated funds are available to that end.</p> |
| <p>Catalytic effects (financial): Did the project lead to any specific non-PBF funding commitments? If yes, from whom and how much? If not, have any specific attempts been made to attract additional financial contributions to the project and beyond? (1500 character limit)</p> | <p>Upon the initiative of the OCNC, and with UNIOGBIS technical support, resources were mobilized with the Government of Guinea-Bissau (through its Ministry of Finance) and the Instituto Padre Vieira (Portugal) for a retreat with the OCNC technical secretariat to finalize the report presenting the findings and recommendations stemming from consultations held by the OCNC between 2009 and 2017 on the causes of conflict and instability in Guinea-Bissau. In addition to providing technical and logistical support for the retreat, held in Portugal in January, the Instituto Padre Vieira also supported the printing of the first batch (250 copies) of OCNC reports. The report is a key element in the sensitization campaign being undertaken by the project under Outcome 2.</p> |
| <p>Catalytic effects (non-financial): Did the project create favourable conditions for additional peacebuilding activities by Government/ other donors? If yes, please specify. (1500 character limit)</p> | <p>The preparatory work to the National Forum of Women and Girls for Peace, especially the regional forums across the country, revealed a greater interest of Bissau-Guinean women in the event than initially expected. Similarly, and as a result of UNIOGBIS support to enhancing the profile of the Women's Council abroad, an opportunity appeared for building upon national and international interest in the Forum to boost its impact. The project thus ended up supporting an increased number of participants (approximately 800, instead of the 450 initially planned) as well as the participation of the Under-Secretary-General for Policy, Prevention and Gender Parity in the event.</p> <p>The large numbers and the level of participants in the Forum (the Prime Minister and the USG delivered statements at the opening ceremony, and key social and political figures featured in the programme) demonstrated the capacity of Bissau-Guinean women to mobilize around and advocate for common peacebuilding goals. Internationally, the event raised awareness of UN</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| | Headquarters entities and other partners on the importance of promoting dialogue in Guinea-Bissau as a mean to enabling bottom-up peacebuilding initiatives. The visit of the USG to Bissau also conveyed a strong message to the country concerning the importance the UN attaches to promoting inclusivity (incidentally, the visit also offered the USG an opportunity to observe in loco the results of PBF-supported initiatives in Guinea-Bissau). |
| Exit strategy/ sustainability: What steps have been taken to prepare for end of project and help ensure sustainability of the project results beyond PBF support for this project? (1500 character limit) | Project activities are being undertaken with due consideration to sustainability. For example, the Women's Council has already proposed that the National Forum be undertaken yearly on 30 January (Bissau-Guinean women's day), starting in 2019, with support from the Government. |
| Risk taking: Describe how the project has responded to risks that threatened the achievement of results. Identify any new risks that have emerged since the last report. (1500 character limit) | The appointment of a Prime Minister of consensus, as outlined in the Conakry Agreement, represented a positive development towards a solution to the political and institutional stalemate in Guinea-Bissau. However, owing to the country's record of instability, there is a risk that animosities between key political forces re-emerge, jeopardizing the holding of legislative elections in November 2018, in accordance with the timeframe stipulated in the applicable legislation. Furthermore, the upcoming electoral period continue to represent a risk, as foreseen in the original project document. |
| Gender equality: In the reporting period, which activities have taken place with a specific focus on addressing issues of gender equality or women's empowerment? (1500 character limit) | |
| Other: Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations? (1500 character limit) | |

1.3 INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT: Using the *Project Results Framework* as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at both the outcome and output level in the table below (if your project has more indicators than provided in the table, select the most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight). Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

| | Performance Indicators | Indicator Baseline | End of project Indicator Target | Current indicator progress | Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) | Adjustment of target (if any) |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|-------------------------------|
| Outcome 1 Political stakeholders engage in dialogue to address the current crisis and root causes of instability through the strengthening of national capacities for dialogue on political and institutional reform issues | Indicator 1.1 At least one meeting between key political stakeholders facilitated by the Women's Forum for Peace | n/a | Concrete entry point created for political dialogue between key stakeholders | The former Women's Facilitation Group participated in meetings with the President of the Republic to find a solution to the political crisis. | | |
| | Indicator 1.2 At least one network of national mediators is operational | A group of 145 representatives of youth and women led civil society organizations were trained in 2016 on mediation and dialogue | A network of national mediators is operational to intervene at local and national level | Network yet to become fully operational. | The re-prioritization of UNIOGBIS mandate towards the implementation of the Conakry Agreement required a shifting in implementation sequencing. The project thus held the National Forum on Women and Girls for Peace in May 2018 and will focus on the operationalization of the network next. | N/a |

| | Performance Indicators | Indicator Baseline | End of project Indicator Target | Current indicator progress | Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) | Adjustment of target (if any) |
|---|------------------------|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | and formed a mediation network, but has not developed an operational framework and workplan and is not operational | | | | |
| Indicator 1.3 Technical reports based on the technical workshops are produced and disseminated | | no clarity on technical options for concretizing the five (5) key areas of reform | Technical reports are disseminated and available for consultation by civil society, national institutions and broader Bissau-Guinean population | The micro-grant with national partners that will enable the development of the technical documents is being finalized. | | |

| | Performance Indicators | Indicator Baseline | End of project Indicator Target | Current indicator progress | Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) | Adjustment of target (if any) |
|---|---|--------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Output 1.1 The capacities of the Women's Forum for Peace to create and foster space for dialogue between key political stakeholders are strengthened | Indicator 1.1.1 Four regional conferences held outside the capital | n/a | At least 280 (70 each) persons representing a broad spectrum of society, including women, youth, traditional leaders and local and regional political leaders, participate in the regional conferences. Disaggregated by sex and age. | Approximately 400 persons representing various social segments, including women, youth, traditional leaders and local/regional political leaders, participated in nine (9) regional forums held in all regions of Guinea-Bissau. | | |
| | Indicator 1.1.2 One conference at the national level | 0 | 1 conference held | One (1) conference (National Forum of Women and | | |

| Performance Indicators | Indicator Baseline | End of project Indicator Target | Current indicator progress | Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) | Adjustment of target (if any) |
|--|--------------------|--|--|--|-------------------------------|
| <p>on Women and Youth for Peace and Stability in Guinea-Bissau including 150 people representing a broad spectrum of women and youth (including the Women's Forum for Peace) from Bissau and other regions, with the par</p> | | | <p>Girls for Peace) held bringing together approximately 800 women, from Bissau and the regions.</p> | | |
| <p>Output 1.2 Existing skills of youth and women-led organizations in dialogue and mediation are strengthened</p> | <p>n/a</p> | <p>At least 70 local mediators (35 for each session) are trained in mediation and dialogue processes relevant to</p> | <p>Two training sessions being developed.</p> | <p>The re-prioritization of UNIOGBIS mandate towards the implementation of the Conakry Agreement required a shifting in implementation sequencing. The project thus held the National Forum on Women and Girls for Peace in May 2018 and will focus on the training sessions next.</p> | <p>N/a</p> |
| <p>Indicator 1.2.1 Two training sessions (in Bissau) for selected local mediators (coming from the regions) is organized, focusing on dialogue and</p> | | | | | |

| | Performance Indicators | Indicator Baseline | End of project Indicator Target | Current indicator progress | Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) | Adjustment of target (if any) |
|--|---|--------------------|---|--|--|-------------------------------|
| | mediation process design | | local issues, in regions outside Bissau | | | |
| | Indicator 1.2.2 One training session for 30 selected local community and national civil society leaders is organized, focusing on advocacy and leadership skills in the area of dialogue and mediation | n/a | 30 community and national civil society leaders are trained in advocacy and leadership skills in the area of dialogue and mediation and able to undertake advocacy and fundraising efforts. | Training under preparation | The re-prioritization of UNIOGBIS mandate towards the implementation of the Conakry Agreement required a shifting in implementation sequencing. The project thus held the National Forum on Women and Girls for Peace in May 2018 and will focus on the training session next. | N/a |
| Output 1.3 1.4: The capacity of social actors to participate in the decision- | Indicator 1.3.1 1.4.1: On-the-job support is provided to selected personnel in civil society organizations | N/a | 15 civil society representatives are trained in designing baselines and outlining indicators | The micro-grant with national partners that will enable the development of the technical | Political developments (re-prioritization of UNIOGBIS mandate and appointment of Prime Minister of consensus) caused a slight delay in implementation owing to the need to review implementation sequencing. On-the-job training expected | N/a |

| | Performance Indicators | Indicator Baseline | End of project Indicator Target | Current indicator progress | Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) | Adjustment of target (if any) |
|--|--|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| making process regarding the implementation of key State and institutional reforms is strengthened | <p>focusing on the development of baselines and indicators for assessing progress in the implementation of reforms</p> <p>Indicator 1.3.2 1.4.2: Five (5) technical documents produced outlining technical aspects, legal options and road map activities to implement key and State institutional reforms</p> | <p>Technical aspects of the reforms not identified in any of the key reform area</p> | <p>Five (5) technical documents produced</p> | <p>documents is being finalized.</p> | <p>to start in July.</p> | |
| Outcome 2 Political and civil society actors representing various | <p>Indicator 2.1 At least one consultation session across diverse and diverging political</p> | | | <p>Development of technical documents to be initiated following the signature of micro-grant, which is being finalized. Commencement of annotated Constitution expected by September.</p> | | |

| | Performance Indicators | Indicator Baseline | End of project Indicator Target | Current indicator progress | Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) | Adjustment of target (if any) |
|--|--|--|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| segments of the Bissau-Guinean society actively and jointly engage in the process of design of an institutionalized national reconciliation process as a unifying national objective | groups on the National Reconciliation conference organized by the OCNC Indicator 2.2 Indicator 2.3 | | | | | |
| Output 2.1 Strengthened capacity of the Organizing Commission to develop a fully-fledged | Indicator 2.1.1 Number of members of the OCNC successfully trained to design reconciliation processes | No members of the OCNC are trained specifically on design of reconciliation processes, or on lessons | At least 25 OCNC members, including four women, trained | | | |

| | Performance Indicators | Indicator Baseline | End of project Indicator Target | Current indicator progress | Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) | Adjustment of target (if any) |
|--|--|--|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| proposal of national reconciliation process for adoption at the National Conference to be socialized among a broad spectrum of political actors and the wider population | <p>Indicator 2.1.2</p> <p>Draft document outlining process, format and programme for the national reconciliation conference produced</p> | <p>learned from similar processes in the region or worldwide</p> <p>No clarity on content, format and programme for the national reconciliation conference</p> | <p>Draft document available</p> | | | |
| Output 2.2 Broad segments of the Bissau-Guinean population, including the | <p>Indicator 2.2.1</p> <p>Information socialized via newspapers, TV and radios, as well as peace marches</p> | <p>No regular public information campaign held; one peace march held in Bissau</p> | <p>Weekly spots and announcements made in radios, TV and newspapers, and five peace</p> | | | |

| | Performance Indicators | Indicator Baseline | End of project Indicator Target | Current indicator progress | Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) | Adjustment of target (if any) |
|--|--|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| diaspora, sensitized about national reconciliation and OCNC activities | Indicator 2.2.2 Final report of regional consultations undertaken by the OCNC, including possible reconciliation models, published and audio summary (in Creole) produced | No report published, no audio summary produced | Report published and audio summary produced in the regions | Report published and first batch printed with external resources; details for printing of additional batch being finalized with OCNC. Key report messages being identified by OCNC for subsequent production of audio summary. | | |
| | Indicator 2.3.1 | | | | | |
| Output 2.3 | Indicator 2.3.2 | | | | | |
| Outcome 3 | Indicator 3.1 | | | | | |
| | Indicator 3.2 | | | | | |
| | Indicator 3.3 | | | | | |

| | Performance Indicators | Indicator Baseline | End of project Indicator Target | Current indicator progress | Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) | Adjustment of target (if any) |
|------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Output 3.1 | Indicator 3.1.1 | | | | | |
| | Indicator 3.1.2 | | | | | |
| Output 3.2 | Indicator 3.2.1 | | | | | |
| | Indicator 3.2.2 | | | | | |
| Output 3.3 | Indicator 3.3.1 | | | | | |
| | Indicator 3.3.2 | | | | | |
| Outcome 4 | Indicator 4.1 | | | | | |
| | Indicator 4.2 | | | | | |
| | Indicator 4.3 | | | | | |
| Output 4.1 | Indicator 4.1.1 | | | | | |
| | Indicator 4.1.2 | | | | | |
| Output 4.2 | Indicator 4.2.1 | | | | | |
| | Indicator 4.2.2 | | | | | |

| | Performance Indicators | Indicator Baseline | End of project Indicator Target | Current indicator progress | Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) | Adjustment of target (if any) |
|------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Output 4.3 | Indicator 4.3.1 | | | | | |
| | Indicator 4.3.2 | | | | | |

PART 2: INDICATIVE PROJECT FINANCIAL PROGRESS

2.1 Comments on the overall state of financial expenditures

Please rate whether project financial expenditures are on track, delayed, or off track, vis-à-vis project plans and by recipient organization: *on track*

How many project budget tranches have been received to date and what is the overall level of expenditure against the total budget and against the tranche(s) received so far (500 characters limit): The project received only one tranche of the amount of approximately 565 thousand USD, which corresponds to 70% of the total budget of about 807 thousand USD. The overall level of expenditures against the total budget is of about 8%, approximately 70 thousand USD, and the level of the same expenditures against the tranche received is of about 12%. Exact amount is not yet available as accounting procedures of latest important activities are still under completion and amounts are estimated.

When do you expect to seek the next tranche, if any tranches are outstanding: December 2018

If expenditure is delayed or off track, please provide a brief explanation (500 characters limit):

Please state what \$ amount was planned (in the project document) to be allocated to activities focussed on gender equality or women's empowerment and how much has been actually allocated to date: It was planned an amount of ca. \$ 85,220, and it was afterwards allocated the same amount, and actually used an amount of ca \$ 95,220, due of the extra number of women involved nationwide and to the related target which was reached beyond expectations.

Please fill out and attach Annex A on project financial progress **with detail on expenditures/ commitments to date using the original project budget table in Excel**, even though the \$ amounts are indicative only.