

**SECRETARY-GENERAL'S PEACEBUILDING FUND  
PBF PROJECT PROGRESS REPORT TEMPLATE**



**PBF PROJECT PROGRESS REPORT**  
**COUNTRY:** Papua New Guinea  
**TYPE OF REPORT:** SEMI-ANNUAL, ANNUAL OR FINAL FINAL  
**DATE OF REPORT:** 27 February 2020

<b>Project Title:</b> Empower women and youth for a free, fair, transparent and violence-free Referendum	
<b>Project Number from MPTF-O Gateway:</b> 00108531	
<b>PBF project modality:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IRF <input type="checkbox"/> PRF	<b>If funding is disbursed into a national or regional trust fund:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Country Trust Fund <input type="checkbox"/> Regional Trust Fund <b>Name of Recipient Fund:</b> PNG UN Country Fund
<b>List all direct project recipient organizations (starting with Convening Agency), followed type of organization (UN, CSO etc):</b> UN: UN Women, UNFPA, UNOHCHR <b>List additional implementing partners, Governmental and non-Governmental:</b> Government: Department of Peace Agreement Implementation (DPAI), Department for Community Development, Department of the President and the Bougainville Executive Council (Office for Gender Equality), Department for Community Government and District Affairs, Bougainville House of Representatives (BHOR), Department of Police, Correctional Services and Justice CSO: Bougainville Women's Federation (BWF), Bougainville Youth Federation (BYF), Nazareth Centre for Rehabilitation (NCFR) Communication and Media: Public Affairs, Media and Communications Bureau	
<b>Project commencement date</b> <sup>1</sup> : 19 December 2017 <b>Project duration in months</b> <sup>2</sup> : 24 months	
<b>Does the project fall under one of the specific PBF priority windows below:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Gender promotion initiative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Youth promotion initiative <input type="checkbox"/> Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions <input type="checkbox"/> Cross-border or regional project	
<b>Total PBF approved project budget* (by recipient organization):</b> : \$ UN Women : \$ 867,296 UNFPA : \$ 746,432 OHCHR : \$ 386,332 Total: \$2,000,000 <i>*The overall approved budget and the release of the second and any subsequent tranche are conditional and subject to PBSO's approval and subject to availability of funds in the PBF account</i>	
<b>How many tranches have been received so far:</b> 2	

<sup>1</sup> Note: commencement date will be the date of first funds transfer.

<sup>2</sup> Maximum project duration for IRF projects is 18 months, for PRF projects – 36 months.

**Report preparation:**

Project report prepared by: Gabrielle John, Steven Paniu and Kedar Poudyal

Project report approved by: Susan Ferguson

Did PBF Secretariat clear the report:

Any comments from PBF Secretariat on the report:

Has the project undertaken any evaluation exercises? Please specify and attach: Yes, a Baseline Survey was completed in August 2018.

## **NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:**

- *Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general / common language.*
- *Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.*
- *Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.*

## **PART 1: RESULTS PROGRESS**

### **1.1 Overall project progress to date**

Briefly explain the **status of the project** in terms of its implementation cycle, including whether all preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (1500 character limit):

The Gender and Youth Promotion Initiative (the Project) is 100 percent through its implementation cycle. The third and final monitoring found success stories of Women, youth and PLWDs who were empowered and have participated in the referendum process and most importantly building resilient and cohesive peaceful communities. The engagement of project stakeholders increased community advocacy on weapons disposal and ongoing reconciliations as keys to the sustenance of the peace process and a credible and peaceful referendum outcome has been a critical component of building community resilience and cohesion. The monitoring mission revealed that beneficiaries have outstanding questions regarding what will happen upon completion of the project. Implementation over the course of the project was challenging mainly due to prolonged delays with the referendum process, with referendum funding only released to the ABG in May 2019, and general partner and key stakeholder capacity constraints. Despite these challenges and operating in a highly complex and fluid political environment, the project was able to adapt by operating through the One-UN modality and leveraging on each agency's comparative strengths, drawing on referendum progress and broader political developments. The main aim of the project to support a free, fair and peaceful referendum was successful, with the completion of violence-free polling and a credible result.

Considering the project's implementation cycle, please **rate this project's overall progress towards results to date:**

on track

In a few sentences, summarize **what is unique/ innovative/ interesting** about what this project is trying/ has tried to achieve or its approach (rather than listing activity progress) (1500 character limit).

Perhaps one of the most unique and innovative aspects of this project was its successful delivery in an incredibly challenging and complex environment. In Bougainville where approximately 90% of the population live in rural communities, there is no television or radio network that covers the entire population and close to half of the population are illiterate. Engaging with key women, youth and PLWD leaders was critical to the success of this project. Identifying alternative ways to disseminate information through drama, radio and interactive exercises was essential to ensure the accessibility of awareness efforts. Given infrastructure, cost and human capacity challenges in widening the scope of this project, RUNOs focused on quality engagement with smaller cohorts of committed individuals who had established networks with which they could further disseminate referendum key messages. Additionally, being able to leverage the work of each RUNO operating in Bougainville, including established relationships at the political and local level, contributed to the delivery of key outcomes.

In a few sentences summarize **major project peacebuilding progress/results** (with evidence), which PBSO can use in public communications to highlight the project (1500 character limit):

This project has contributed to a number of positive peacebuilding outcomes, not only as a result of joint work among the project RUNOs but also as a result of project RUNOs engaging with other UN partners in Bougainville (including UNDP and DPPA). One of the most obvious peacebuilding results achieved that is directly related to financial and technical support provided by UN Women and liaison support from DPPA was the inclusion of two women representatives on the Post-Referendum Planning Taskforce. Through the WPS technical working group, UN Women were able to support the members to develop a position statement, which they used to advocate for their inclusion in the joint government discussions. Youths from Kon'nou Constituency and Motuna-Huyono Tokunutui Constituency (difficult areas to access) in South Bougainville, Ioro Constituency in Central Bougainville and Keriaka in North Bougainville gave info about the referendum; a major achievement and the first of its kind. These are politically and geographically isolated Me'ekamui stronghold areas that have experienced prolonged conflict with a strong ex-combatant presence and weapons. In total, more than 400 youth from 17 youth associations have been trained, with indirect beneficiaries of the training being the 354 affiliated youth groups with more than 27,000 registered youth. OHCHR human rights trainings were able to deliver further human rights messages to HRDs and now have tools to reduce abuses in their communities.

In a few sentences, explain how the project has made **real human impact**, that is, how did it affect the lives of any people in the country – where possible, use direct quotes that PBSO can use in public communications to highlight the project (1500 character limit):

Five key youth leaders participated in the leadership trainings are now recognized leaders in their communities. Youth leaders engaged in political process both with the ABG and their local communities. The inclusion of Kon'nou youth in the Core Groups negotiations on weapons disposal is evidence of this recognition. Human rights interventions including trainings and allocation of resources have also received positive feedback from participants. One such participant in the training for police officers in Bougainville, which included community auxiliary police, Mr. Roland Lou, speaking on behalf of participants, expressed: "The training has been very helpful in educating us about our rights and victim's rights. I will share this information and knowledge with the CAPs members and in Nissan Island where I will conduct a training next week." Trainings on gender and peacebuilding, conducted by UN Women, demonstrated an increase in knowledge among the participants, and enhanced understanding of key peacebuilding concepts. As well, following a joint RUNO workshop with CSOs on referendum awareness, one participant remarked, "[The workshop] has directed me to make my individual and personal commitment to participate in spreading information to people who matter – Bougainvillians. I can only do this now that I have the information."

If the project progress assessment is **on-track**, please explain what the key **challenges** (if any) have been and which measures were taken to address them (1500 character limit).

Prior to the 6-month no cost extension, a number of components of the project were off-track as a result of staffing issues, and delays in the establishment of the BRC and joint referendum key messages. The NCE allowed for the project to implement the outstanding activities, however some RUNOs had to alter their original plan of

activities due to a number of challenges. In the final run up to the referendum, there was an increase in the number of international actors working in Bougainville, all of whom work with the same partners as this project. These partners have limited administrative, financial and human capacity and were therefore overstretched in their commitments to various external actors (and demonstrating donor fatigue). As one example, UN Women had plans to work closely with the Department of Community Government to conduct a training of trainers on gender and peacebuilding. However, with the loss of a key partner within the department it became unresponsive, and given limited time for activity implementation, UN Women had to develop a new plan of activities (focused on working with responsive partners). Local government partners also shared that staff turnover within the project negatively impacted partner dynamics. Working with a project document developed almost 3 years prior to this six-month period also proved challenging in such a fluid context. To address this RUNOs had to be flexible and have multiple contingency plans in place for any given activity.

If the assessment is **off-track**, please list main reasons/ **challenges** and explain what impact this has had/will have on project duration or strategy and what **measures** have been taken/ will be taken to address the challenges/ rectify project progress (1500 character limit):

Please attach as a separate document(s) any materials highlighting or providing more evidence for project progress (for example: publications, photos, videos, monitoring reports, evaluation reports etc.). List below what has been attached to the report, including purpose and audience.

## 1.2 Result progress by project outcome

*The space in the template allows for up to four project outcomes. If your project has more approved outcomes, contact PBSO for template modification.*

**Outcome 1:** ABG institutions are increasingly accountable to women and youth for a free and fair Referendum.

**Rate the current status of the outcome progress: on track**

**Progress summary:** Describe main progress under this Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration), including major output progress (not all individual activities). If the project is starting to make/ has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context. Where possible, provide specific examples of change the project has supported/ contributed to as well as, where available and relevant, quotes from partners or beneficiaries about the project and their experience. (3000 character limit)?

This project has strengthened the awareness and capacity of key stakeholders in government on the critical importance of the effective and meaningful participation of women, youth and persons with disabilities in the referendum. A key result of this was the establishment of the parliamentary committee on gender equality and human rights in September 2018. In May 2018 further capacity building focused on the equal rights of people with disabilities, women and other marginalised groups to vote was conducted with 26 officers from 14 ABG Departments. As part of this training,

officers developed strategic plans to facilitate the mainstreaming of human rights in their respective departments integrated into their work plans. A total of 67 Police officers who received training on human rights reported in their workshop presentations enhanced capacity in their ability to mainstream human rights and gender in their work. By encouraging co-hosting of workshops and events by government departments, the project has supported increased collaboration, particularly between the Department for Community Development (DCD), the Department for Peace Agreement Implementation and the ABG Media Bureau, who have anecdotally shared an increase in collaboration between these departments. Throughout the reporting period, these workshops focused on supporting women's full and equal participation in the referendum. By co-hosting these workshops, government counterparts not only increased their own knowledge and capacity, but also demonstrated their joint commitment to gender equality. In fact, the Minister for Community Development, Josephine Getsi, sat in for a full two-day workshop coordinating actors working on supporting women's participation in the referendum. This gave women-led CSOs an opportunity to engage directly with the Minister and share their pre- and post-referendum concerns and priorities. Another key outcome, as a result of UN Women's capacity-building support to the WPS technical working group (TWG), was the allocation of a budget line of 150,000 PGK for the WPS TWG in the DCD's 2020 fiscal budget. By assisting the DCD in understanding the role, function and advocacy potential of the WPS TWG, members were able to gain clarity on how the WPS TWG can be used to further advance gender equality in ARoB. UNFPA facilitated the capacity development of the 14 ABG Departments, including CSOs, and Youth Executives on UNSCR 2250 and the importance of engaging young people in Bougainville's ongoing peacebuilding. This was essential to building knowledge among CSO leaders and key government partners on the critical role of youth in peacebuilding. This upskilling is key to the recognition and inclusion of youth in peacebuilding and to influencing policy development within the ABG. In August 2018, through the Regional Youth Forum, for the first time since the negotiations for the Peace Agreement, government actors engaged directly with youth on peacebuilding issues.

**Outcome 2:** Women and youth effectively participate in delivering a violence-free Referendum in the Autonomous Region of Bougainville.

**Rate the current status of the outcome progress: on track**

**Progress summary:** *(see guiding questions under Outcome 1)*

Training modules on human rights monitoring during the referendum, developed as part of this project, are now available for further use by CSOs for training of women, youth and PWDs. On the 13-17 May 2019 the modules were piloted with civil society during TOTs bringing together 22 representatives from key organizations across Bougainville including the Nazareth Center for Rehabilitation, Bougainville Women's Federation, the Bougainville Disabled Persons Organization, women's groups, youth and human rights defenders, of which 64% were female and 13% were persons with disabilities. Further trainings on the human rights monitoring checklist were conducted in Buin, Arawa and Selau where a total of 37 participants (22 females and 15 males) attended. The participants were trained to monitor human rights issues before, during and after the referendum. Training on gender, inclusive voting and peacebuilding was provided to 73 civil society and government actors to enhance their

understanding of these issues. Participants in Arawa and Buka felt that they would use the skills gained from the workshop with 53% and 72% of workshop participants, from Buka and Arawa respectively, answering ‘strongly agree’. Local partners engaged by UN Women described applying techniques they learned from these workshops to other activities they have implemented. With support from UN Women, the WPS TWG developed a position statement advocating for women’s inclusion in the Post-Referendum Planning Taskforce. The project was successful in supporting the inclusion of two women CSO representatives in the Joint Ministerial Post-Referendum Planning Taskforce. Prior to this, the taskforce was only comprised of male representatives. As a result of referendum awareness trainings, about 220 youth leaders from the 17 District Youth Associations developed an increased capacity for disseminating information on the referendum. The 220 trained individuals were able to reach into affiliated youth groups registered under their respective associations and outside the associations. A total of 354 youth groups are affiliated to the 17 youth associations with a total of 27,000 individuals registered. Prior to the trainings youth were not actively engaged in the dissemination of referendum information among their affiliated youth groups or at the community. This changed following the training with youth engaged in BRC awareness, ex-combatant core-group and engagements through the ABG Department of Peace Agreement implementation. UNFPA worked with Equal Playing field, incorporating referendum awareness, reaching a total of 1000 youth in Buin, Siwai, Kieta, Tinputz and Wakunai. The 85% voter turnout during the referendum is a testament to the positive impact of the project on referendum participation.

**Outcome 3:** N/A

**Rate the current status of the outcome progress: Please select one**

**Progress summary:** *(see guiding questions under Outcome 1)*

**Outcome 4:** N/A

**Rate the current status of the outcome progress: Please select one**

**Progress summary:** *(see guiding questions under Outcome 1)*

**1.3 Cross-cutting issues**

<p><b><u>National ownership:</u></b> How has the national government demonstrated ownership/ commitment to the project results and activities? Give specific examples. (1500 character limit)</p>	<p>The strong partnership approach of the project with key departments of ABG has created a sense of national ownership over the project, and its results and interventions. The project’s closest Government partners are the ABG Department for Community Development, the Department of Community Government, and the Department for Peace Agreement Implementation. When conducting workshops UN Women encouraged Government departments to co-host, and worked with Government counterparts to co-facilitate. UNFPA’s</p>
---	--

	<p>approach throughout has been for all activities to be delivered as ABG activities through the National Execution modality. Key ABG departments have also been involved in the monitoring and evaluation of project activities, through collaboration during the quarterly joint GYPI monitoring missions, including hosting of pre- and post- activity evaluation and feedback sessions with Department heads. Representatives at the Ministerial and senior management level have also shown their commitment to the project by taking ownership of project activities, actively participating in events and advocating for the participation of women, youth and persons with disabilities in the referendum. For example, the DCD including a budget line for the WPS TWG in their 2020 budget. The Bougainville Police, a government body, demonstrated ownership by drawing up action plans to carry out awareness and advocacy on human rights in the referendum.</p>
<p><b>Monitoring:</b> Is the project M&amp;E plan on track? What monitoring methods and sources of evidence are being/ have been used? Please attach any monitoring-related reports for the reporting period. (1500 character limit)?</p>	<p>RUNOs systematically monitored the project according to the joint M&amp;E plan. Three monitoring missions were conducted with the involvement of all three RUNOs. The last monitoring mission took place in October 2019, with the participation of all RUNOs. The monitoring and evaluation officer, co-shared by the project with the Peacebuilding Fund 2 project, supported the development of tools to conduct focus group discussions and key informant interviews with different stakeholders. To track the project progress, interventions were analysed according to the project ToC, indicators and outcomes. The June monitoring mission was delayed, due to the delay in the January monitoring mission, as well as the absence of key personnel. As a result, the final monitoring mission took place in early October 2019. This further served as an endline assessment of the project intervention prior to the referendum. The result was satisfactory in hearing testimonies from right holders and duty bearers of increased engagement of women, youth and people living with disability in the referendum process and building more resiliencies.</p>
<p><b>Evaluation:</b> Provide an update on the preparations for the external evaluation for the project, especially if within last 6 months of implementation or final report. Confirm available budget for evaluation. (1500 character limit)</p>	<p>The project monitoring and evaluation focal point, UNFPA, shared a draft TOR in October 2019. The TOR is now being finalised to be shared and advertised for an external evaluation to be conducted in February 2020. The evaluation will cover the IRF and project design and further the project outcomes. A \$50,000 budget was allocated for this activity.</p>
<p><b>Catalytic effects (financial):</b> Did the project lead to any specific non-PBF funding commitments? If yes, from whom and how much? If not, have any</p>	<p>The ABG Department of Community Government co-funded some project activities. As aforementioned, the Department for Community Development have included a budget line in their 2020 budget for the WPS TWG. As</p>

<p>specific attempts been made to attract additional financial contributions to the project and beyond? (1500 character limit)</p>	<p>well, UN Women have developed a proposal for the continuation of their support to women in Bougainville related to the post-referendum period. DCD are currently reviewing the possibility of annual recurrent programme budgeting for the integrated youth resource centres to support their continued peacebuilding work.</p>
<p><b>Catalytic effects (non-financial):</b> Did the project create favourable conditions for additional peacebuilding activities by Government/ other donors? If yes, please specify. (1500 character limit)</p>	<p>The project's support to capacity building of 102 Youth Executives in 17 Youth Associations across Bougainville led to their utilization by the Australian-led Bougainville Partnership who provided them with annual grants of PGK 100,000. This project provided support to the ABG DCD for a participatory review of the Bougainville Youth Policy, setting the standard for such processes going forward. The youth policy review was done in collaboration with Bougainville partnership who provided logistical support to the youth consultation process. The coordination workshop for actors working to support women's participation in the referendum, supported by UN Women, was helpful for local CSOs, government partners and donors in getting a sense of women's needs and priorities, allowing them to identify potential areas requiring additional support, including ongoing support to the WPS TWG, advocacy for women's inclusion in post-referendum decision-making and negotiations, strengthening linkages between the WPS TWG and other related committees (i.e. the FSVAC and the parliamentary committee on gender equality and human rights), and support to the establishment of the Office of Gender Equality. UNDSS was able to leverage youth networks within the hotspot areas of Bougainville to gather security intelligence prior to and during the referendum. These networks will continue to be crucial during the post-referendum period.</p>
<p><b>Exit strategy/ sustainability:</b> What steps have been taken to prepare for end of project and help ensure sustainability of the project results beyond PBF support for this project? (1500 character limit)</p>	<p>OHCHR conducted a training of trainers with 14 ABG departments, selecting participants from all 33 regions of Bougainville to ensure this information could be delivered to communities. Project knowledge products related to trainings on gender and peacebuilding, and human rights have been shared with key government partners so they can continue to use these in the future. UN Women co-facilitated workshops on gender and peacebuilding with government counterparts, building their capacity to deliver such workshops in future. Similar work was conducted by UNFPA with government partners, building their knowledge on the importance of engaging youth in peacebuilding and conflict resolution. Additionally, the ABG DCD included a budget line in their 2020 budget to support the continuation of the WPS TWG, as well as a recurrent budget for the youth resource centres. UN Women have created a national post in Bougainville to</p>

	<p>build on activities implemented as part of the GYPI. Similarly, UNFPA have created a national position based in Bougainville to build on activities implemented during this project, and continue work related to UNFPA’s core mandate on youth, gender and SRHR. All RUNOs have maintained a close relationship with the Bougainville Partnership funded by DFAT to identify possible areas for future collaboration that would continue the work started by this project.</p>
<p><b>Risk taking:</b> Describe how the project has responded to risks that threatened the achievement of results. Identify any new risks that have emerged since the last report. <i>(1500 character limit)</i></p>	<p>The project experienced some delays due to a 6-month delay in staff recruitment from January to July 2018 and staff turnover in early 2018 and 2019. UN Women identified that some outstanding activities were not going to be possible to implement within the remaining timeframe and with a key government counterpart becoming unresponsive. As a result, UN Women developed a risk mitigation strategy and new plan of activities in close consultation with local CSO and government partners. UNFPA experienced similar challenges related to the implementing capacity of government partners, particularly the ABG Department for Community Development. However, they were able to address this by working closely with the DCD to build their capacity. As a result, improvements in the government partner’s capacity to implement were witnessed during the project. In close consultation with the Government partner, activities had to be realigned, with a focus on referendum awareness raising, for the concluding period of implementation due to funding constraints. Engagements with new stakeholders in unpredictable Me’ekamui strongholds also meant that the project had to continuously ensure that consistency and understanding was always reached and expectations cautiously management on highly contentious and sensitive issues. Bougainville is an operationally complex environment, coupled with poor infrastructure and connectivity across the region.</p>
<p><b>Gender equality:</b> In the reporting period, which activities have taken place with a specific focus on addressing issues of gender equality or women’s empowerment? <i>(1500 character limit)</i></p>	<p>This is a Gender Marker 3 project; all interventions are gender focused and intended to address gaps in gender equality and target group empowerment. In all project activities, particular attention is paid to ensure the equitable participation of women, particularly with audiences that are traditionally male dominated, such as law enforcement and youth leaders. Curricula for trainings offered as part of this project have been designed in such a way that they effectively address gender equality considerations. For example, UN Women conducted a coordination workshop with actors working to support women’s participation in the referendum, three workshops on gender, inclusive voting and peacebuilding and</p>

	<p>completed a gender assessment of the Bougainville Referendum Commission. Youth trainings have also witnessed an increased number of female participants and appointment of female executives within the Bougainville Youth Federation and Youth Associations. All RUNOs worked together to implement a CSO workshop for women, youth, and PWLDs on referendum awareness, making sure they had access to up-to-date information. Additionally, all RUNOs aimed to have strong representation from women in all activities.</p>
<p><b>Other:</b> Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations? <i>(1500 character limit)</i></p>	

**1.3 INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT:** *Using the **Project Results Framework** as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of **key indicators** at both the outcome and output level in the table below (if your project has more indicators than provided in the table, select the most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight). Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)*

	<b>Performance Indicators</b>	<b>Indicator Baseline</b>	<b>End of project Indicator Target</b>	<b>Current indicator progress</b>	<b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</b>	<b>Adjustment of target (if any)</b>
<b>Outcome 1</b> ABG institutions are increasingly accountable to women and youth for a free and fair Referendum	Indicator 1.1 Proportion of ABG institutions that have plans that meaningfully incorporate needs and concerns of women, youth and persons with disabilities for a free and fair Referendum	0	7	Baseline survey found 4 ABG Depts with institutional mechanisms (policies, work-plans, corporate plans) in place that directly and indirectly address the issue of women and youths. Project is working steadily with the 4 depts to effectively implement these plans		<b>To be include in previous column 'reasons for variance' as macro is disabled: Delay in the approval of joint referendum key messages and slow release of forms to ABG to carry out referendum preparation activities.</b>
	Indicator 1.2 Percentage of women, youth and	0%	70%	<b>72%. Internal survey found level of satisfaction on</b>		

	<b>Performance Indicators</b>	<b>Indicator Baseline</b>	<b>End of project Indicator Target</b>	<b>Current indicator progress</b>	<b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</b>	<b>Adjustment of target (if any)</b>
	persons with disabilities who feel that ABG institutions are accountable to these groups and include them in the discussions on the referendum			<b>ABG insitutions engagement at: 81% for women with top 2 institutions being DoCG &amp; BWF, 89% for youths with top institution being DoCD, and 45% for PwD with top 4 institutions being Callan Services, Bougainville Healthy Community Program, DoCD.</b>		
	Indicator 1.3					
Output 1.1 Responsible institutions have strengthened accountability to the	Indicator 1.1.1 Number of accountability mechanisms that are gender responsive and inclusive of	0%	60%	With the project support, DPAI, DoCD and DoCG have made headway into strengthening their accountability		<b>Target to be adjusted from 60% to 6 to be in line with the Outcome target.</b>

	<b>Performance Indicators</b>	<b>Indicator Baseline</b>	<b>End of project Indicator Target</b>	<b>Current indicator progress</b>	<b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</b>	<b>Adjustment of target (if any)</b>
rights of women, youth and persons with disabilities in the Referendum process	women and youth, and persons living with disabilities			towards upholding the rights of women, youths and PwDs in the lead up and during the conduct of the referendum.		
	Indicator 1.1.2 Proportion of government-led conflict prevention initiatives linked to the Referendum that include women and youth groups and persons with disabilities	0%	60%	100%. ABG has only 1 overarching initiative (Referendum Ready Concept). The project supported 3 key activities under this concept: Referendum Ready Guidelines, Planning Process and tools, and Plan Development for government officials taking into account women, youths		

	<b>Performance Indicators</b>	<b>Indicator Baseline</b>	<b>End of project Indicator Target</b>	<b>Current indicator progress</b>	<b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</b>	<b>Adjustment of target (if any)</b>
				and people with disabilities.		
Output 1.2 Responsible institutions have enhanced capacity to enable women and youth to effectively engage in the Referendum process	Indicator 1.2.1 Number of institutional mechanisms in place which support the engagement of women and youth in the Referendum	0	8	<b>8</b> 1) Gender & Human Rights Parl' Committee 2) AROB Strategic Dev. Plan '18-22 3) AROB Youth Policy '12-17 4) Dist. Peace & Security Committees 5) AROB Policy for Gender Equality, Women's Empowerment Peace & Security 6) Constituency Referendum Committees 7) OBEC GESI Policy 8) WPS TWG		

	<b>Performance Indicators</b>	<b>Indicator Baseline</b>	<b>End of project Indicator Target</b>	<b>Current indicator progress</b>	<b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</b>	<b>Adjustment of target (if any)</b>
	Indicator 1.2.2 Number of gender gaps identified in the BRC's structure and processes.	0	4	Completed. A total of 34 recommendations were included in the assessment targeting 7 separate groups, including international actors, the BRC, civil society, the BPS, OBEC, GoPNG, and the ABG.	This indicator was developed as part of UN Women's alternative plan of activities.	
Output 1.3 Increased knowledge of gender, inclusive voting and peacebuilding among key BRC staff.	Indicator 1.3.1 Workshop report demonstrating number of key gender and inclusive voting topics explored with key BRC staff and personnel.	0	2	Completed 3 workshops on gender and inclusive voting, as well as a GESI session for Assistant Returning Officers of the BRC.	This indicator was developed as part of UN Women's alternative plan of activities.	
	Indicator 1.3.2					
Output 1.4	Indicator 1.4.1					

	<b>Performance Indicators</b>	<b>Indicator Baseline</b>	<b>End of project Indicator Target</b>	<b>Current indicator progress</b>	<b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</b>	<b>Adjustment of target (if any)</b>
	Indicator 1.4.2					
<b>Outcome 2</b> Women and youth effectively participate in delivering a violence-free Referendum in the Autonomous Region of Bougainville	Indicator 2.1 Percentage of 448 women in elected/appointed public office who participated in raising awareness and building peace in delivery of a violence-free Referendum	0%	80%	22 females (59%) and 15 males (41%) attended total 37 participants trained on human rights monitoring checklist...*****		<b>This indicator was eliminated following a discussion with the PBF given extenuating circumstances in the local context. However, female appointed officials were engaged through a number of alternative activities. Hence, some have been accounted for under this indicator.</b>
	Indicator 2.2 Proportion of 2,240 women at community level in target areas engaged in the delivery of a	0%	80%	<b>106 women reached through various workshops and the Bougainville Women's Leadership Forum</b>	<b>This indicator was eliminated following a discussion with the PBF given extenuating circumstances in the local context. Despite this, the project was still able to reach a number of women at the community level through alternative activities.</b>	

	<b>Performance Indicators</b>	<b>Indicator Baseline</b>	<b>End of project Indicator Target</b>	<b>Current indicator progress</b>	<b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</b>	<b>Adjustment of target (if any)</b>
	violence-free Referendum					
	Indicator 2.3 Proportion of 2,600 youth in community youth network engaged in the delivery of a violence-free Referendum and Referendum readiness	0%	80%	1.7%. 46 youth leaders out of the 2,600 youths to be reached.		
Output 2.1 Strengthened capacity of women and youth to foster unity and reduce triggers of conflict for a violence-free Referendum.	Indicator 2.1.1 Number of women, youth persons with disabilities interactive mechanisms used to foster unity and reduce triggers of conflict	0	7	5 thematic areas of interactive mechanisms have been used in 2019: 1. Capacity-building Spaces, 2. Forums/Dialogues including Radio Talkback shows and Roadshows, 3. Workshops, 4. Community Consultations, 5.		

	<b>Performance Indicators</b>	<b>Indicator Baseline</b>	<b>End of project Indicator Target</b>	<b>Current indicator progress</b>	<b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</b>	<b>Adjustment of target (if any)</b>
				Theatrical arts		
	Indicator 2.1.2 Percentage of gender and peacebuilding workshop participants who record an increased understanding of gender and peacebuilding.	0	60%	For Arawa and Buka participants respectively, 61% and 60% selected 'strongly agree' in response to the statement, 'The workshop enhanced my understanding of peace, conflict and violence.' In Arawa 72% 'strongly agreed' with the statement, 'The workshop enhanced my understanding of gender/GBV.'	This indicator was included as part of UN Women's alternative plan of activities.	
Output 2.2 Increased opportunities and incentives for	Indicator 2.2.1 Number of women, youth persons with disabilities led programmes that	0	6	9. The project co-facilitated 3 programmes targeting human rights advocacy and inclusion of		

	<b>Performance Indicators</b>	<b>Indicator Baseline</b>	<b>End of project Indicator Target</b>	<b>Current indicator progress</b>	<b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</b>	<b>Adjustment of target (if any)</b>
women and youth to support social cohesion and peacebuilding efforts in the Referendum process	support the engagement of women youth and persons with disabilities in peacebuilding efforts and the Referendum Indicator 2.2.2			Persons with disabilities; 4 Youth programmes, and 2 programmes for women		
Output 2.3	Indicator 2.3.1					
	Indicator 2.3.2					
Output 2.4	Indicator 2.4.1					
	Indicator 2.4.2					
<b>Outcome 3</b>	Indicator 3.1					
	Indicator 3.2					
	Indicator 3.3					
Output 3.1	Indicator 3.1.1					

	<b>Performance Indicators</b>	<b>Indicator Baseline</b>	<b>End of project Indicator Target</b>	<b>Current indicator progress</b>	<b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</b>	<b>Adjustment of target (if any)</b>
	Indicator 3.1.2					
Output 3.2	Indicator 3.2.1					
	Indicator 3.2.2					
Output 3.3	Indicator 3.3.1					
	Indicator 3.3.2					
Output 3.4	Indicator 3.4.1					
	Indicator 3.4.2					
<b>Outcome 4</b>	Indicator 4.1					
	Indicator 4.2					
	Indicator 4.3					
Output 4.1	Indicator 4.1.1					
	Indicator 4.1.2					
Output 4.2	Indicator 4.2.1					

	<b>Performance Indicators</b>	<b>Indicator Baseline</b>	<b>End of project Indicator Target</b>	<b>Current indicator progress</b>	<b>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</b>	<b>Adjustment of target (if any)</b>
	Indicator 4.2.2					
Output 4.3	Indicator 4.3.1					
	Indicator 4.3.2					
Output 4.4	Indicator 4.4.1					
	Indicator 4.4.2					

## PART 2: INDICATIVE PROJECT FINANCIAL PROGRESS

### 2.1 Comments on the overall state of financial expenditures

Please rate whether project financial expenditures are on track, delayed, or off track, vis-à-vis project plans and by recipient organization: *on track*

How many project budget tranches have been received to date and when do you expect to request the next tranche if applicable: 2 tranches received, details of expenditure are included in the excel spreadsheet.

What is the overall level of expenditure/ commitment against the total budget and against the tranche(s) received so far: 1,609,212.89

If expenditure is delayed or off track, please provide a brief explanation (500 characters limit):

Please state what \$ amount was planned (in the project document) to be allocated to activities focussed on gender equality or women's empowerment and how much has been actually allocated to date:

Please fill out and attach the project document Excel budget Annex showing current project financial progress (**expenditures/ commitments to date**), using the original project budget table in Excel, even though the \$ amounts are indicative only.