

Monitoring Report

Section I: Identification and Joint Programme Status

a. Joint Programme Identification and basic data

<p>Date of Submission: 20 July 2010 Submitted by: Name: Hans Risser Title: MDG-F Programme Manager Organization: United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), Croatia Contact information: hans.risser@undp.org</p>	<p>Country and Thematic Window Croatia Conflict prevention and peace building window</p>
<p>MDTF Atlas Project No: MDGF-1975/Project 00067230</p> <p>Title: “Closing the Chapter: Social Inclusion and Conflict Transformation in War Affected Areas in Croatia”</p>	<p>Report Number: 3</p> <p>Reporting Period: January – June 2010</p> <p>Programme Duration: 24 Months (5/2009 – 04/2011)</p> <p>Official starting date: 15 May 2009</p>
<p>Participating UN Organizations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - UNHCR Croatia - IOM Croatia - UNDP Croatia - UNICEF Croatia 	<p>Implementing partners¹</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - On behalf of the Government of the Republic of Croatia: Mr. Slobodan Uzelac, Ph.D. Vice-Prime Minister for Social Issues and Human Rights - See annex 1: Full list of the other implementing partners.

The financial information reported should include overhead, M&E and other associated costs.

Budget Summary	
Total Approved Joint Programme Budget	UNDP: \$1,587,090 UNHCR: \$834,110 IOM: \$297,900 UNICEF: \$280,900 TOTAL: \$3,000,000
Total Amount of Transferred to date	UNDP: \$1,587,090 UNHCR: \$834,110 IOM: \$297,900

¹ Please list all the partners actually working in the joint’s programme implementation, NGOs, Universities, etc

	UNICEF: \$280,900 TOTAL: \$3,000,000
Total Budget Committed to date	UNDP: \$67,518 UNHCR: \$54,911 IOM: \$20,850 UNICEF: \$53,008 Total \$196,287
Total Budget Disbursed to date	UNDP: \$1,001,087 UNHCR: \$385,001 IOM: \$138,750 UNICEF: \$108,917.80 Total: \$1,633,755

BENEFICIARIES

For the purpose of reporting we will take into consideration the definition of beneficiary adopted by OECD/DAC. *“The individuals, groups, or organizations, whether targeted or not, that benefit, directly or indirectly, from the development intervention”.*

Direct Beneficiaries: *“The individuals, groups, or organizations, targeted, that benefit, directly, from the development intervention”.*

Indicate Beneficiary type (i.e. farmers, policy makers, SMEs, etc.)	No. Institutions	No. Women	No. Men	No. Ethnic Groups
Government central	14			
Government local	68	174	201	2
NGOs - local	52	201	102	3
Returnees facing institutional and human obstacles to reintegration		831	798	
War Veterans facing social exclusion and at risk of committing violence			100	
Women facing the risk of violence, exclusion and structural unemployment	4	522		2
Youth/Children facing exposure to prejudice and intolerance, and at risk of violence	28 schools	11,255	9,818	2
Teachers	26	1416	666	2
School experts/counsellors		314	27	
Parents		2118	2118	
Witness/Victims who participate in criminal procedure	4	379	503	
Entrepreneurs	36	123	323	2
Farmers	5	119	213	2
Total	237	17,452	14,869	3

Indirect Beneficiaries: *“The individuals, groups, or organizations, not targeted, that benefit, indirectly, from the development intervention”*

Indicate Beneficiary type (i.e. farmers, policy makers, SMEs, etc.)	No. Institutions	No. Women	No. Men	No. Ethnic Groups
Inhabitants, local community		15486	14879	
Radio listeners		2000	3000	1
Farmer's families		66	64	2
Parents of school children		5,000	5,000	
Total		22,552	22,943	2

MDG-F Monitoring Report

b. Joint Programme M&E framework

Note: We are unable to provide updates of all M&E indicators because much of the information is currently unavailable and some is only available through annual government statistical information. In the next semester, the MDG-F Programme Management team in Croatia will work with all UN agencies and component managers to gather the necessary data and establish mechanisms to capture the necessary data to fully update the M&E Framework for the next monitoring report.

Expected Results (Outcomes & outputs)	Indicators (with baselines & indicative timeframe)	Means of verification	Collection methods (with indicative time frame & frequency)	Responsibilities	Risks & assumptions
<p>1. National policy coordination on conflict prevention, reconciliation and recovery strengthened</p> <p>1.1. Establishment of a coordination mechanism for regional development of war affected areas and violence prevention / safety mechanisms under Vice Prime Minister</p> <p>1.2. Support to the implementation of fundamental rights for returnees</p> <p><u>Indicators Outcome 1:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Type/number of new mechanisms (supported by the joint programme) that respond to popular demands/dissatisfaction related to existing and/or potential sources of conflict (i.e. denial of rights, urban violence, discrimination, etc.) 	<p><u>Baseline:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No co-ordination mechanism on regional development & conflict recovery - Not fully developed policies and implementation mechanisms on violence prevention or Witness and Victim Support - Expected sharp decrease of N^o of beneficiaries due to new restrictive norms of Legal Aid Act, despite the real needs - More than 50% of returnees not returning sustainably - No prosecutions under the Law on Anti Discrimination. <p><u>Indicators:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Number and regularity of technical coordination meetings amongst five line ministries, UN and IFIs on regional development and peace-building - Level of diversity of National Committee members - Number of witnesses and victims supported by WVS support offices - Number of coordination meetings to monitor and evaluate implementation of the Law on Free Legal Aid. - Number of roundtables to analyze, discuss and plan to mitigate the shortcomings of the Law on free Legal Aid. - Number of NGOs and associated attorneys at law trained on returnee rights, the Law and free legal aid. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Government official minutes and short term action plans - Court reports on victims and witness support activities - Reports on returnees and refugees using free legal aid - Reports on remaining cases related to returnees legal rights - Official registry of NGOs certified to provide legal aid 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Data presented at the Co-ordination for Regional Development, Re-construction and Return - quarterly - Ministry of Justice Data - annually - Court and Ministry of Justice data - twice a year - Ministry of Regional Development, Forestry and Water Management data, regular publication - quarterly - Data from Office of the Vice-Prime Minister for Social Issues and Human Rights 	<p>UNDP</p> <p>UNDP</p> <p>UNHCR</p> <p>UNHCR</p> <p>UNHCR</p>	<p><u>Risks:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Unclear division of responsibilities for regional development and return of refugees issues due to restructuring of Government institutions resulting in difficulties in decision making - Victim & Witness Support: Delays in enactments of new regulations - Overly strict requirements for access to institutions providing free legal aid - Limited funds available for the implementation of the Free legal Aid act - Slow implementation not benefiting persons of UNHCR concern. <p><u>Assumptions:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - VPM's Office able to proactively lead the co-ordination and enforce implementation of taken decisions - Continuity of MoJ senior management - Available information and professional capacity for

MDG-F Monitoring Report

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Number of citizens benefiting from these mechanisms to channel their concerns: Policies, Laws, Plans, Forums/roundtables, Working groups, Dialog clubs, Cooperation agreements, Other. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Level of implementation of the Government's Housing Care Program (HCP) for refugees and the Law on National Minorities. - Number of registered refugees from Serbia and Bosnia-and-Herzegovina enjoying full legal rights in Croatia. - Number and level of satisfaction of refugees benefiting from VPM services for refugees/returnees legal issues. - Number of cases prosecuted under the Law on Anti-Discrimination. 				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> provision of free legal aid - Clear role given to NGO in implementation of Free Legal Aid with continuous provision of free legal aid to returnees.
--	--	--	--	--	--

MDG-F Monitoring Report

<p>2. Enhanced community integration, safety & social cohesion</p> <p>2.1. Enhanced ability of local population to plan and realize Safe Community Plans in conjuncture with Community Policing</p> <p>2.2. War veterans' and women's associations enabled to actively support conflict prevention activities, rehabilitate and reintegrate communities in Areas of Special State Concern (ASSC)</p> <p>2.3. Protection of children & youth from peer violence & bullying in schools</p> <p>2.4. Development of conflict resolution skills amongst grassroots groups, women and municipal/county authorities;</p> <p><u>Indicators Outcome 2:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Level of local public trust in the police - Level of visibility of the police - Level of access to labour market and income generation for veterans - Conditions for sustainable return improved and reduced secondary displacement; 	<p><u>Baseline:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 55% of the public have never seen their community Police Officer - 25% of the public have never heard of Community Policing - More than 50% of Police believe their role is to intimidate rather than protect - 4% (estimated) of domestic violence cases not reported to Police - 30% unemployment of veterans in ASSCs - 3% suicide rate amongst veterans in ASSC - 5 veterans associations - No violence prevention and conflict resolution content in school standards - 33% of children exposed to peer violence in school - 11% of children are victims of 'severe bullying' at school - 66% of teachers are unable to control violence in schools - No violence prevention and conflict resolution content in school standards - Still present polarization in ASSC based on nationality, religion, political ideas, cultural differences, gender and war experiences <p><u>Indicators:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Number of safe-community plans supported and implemented in cooperation with Community policing, local government, citizens and other major community stakeholders. - Level of development of a psychosocial and economic support system to war veterans. - Number of resolution and management trainings organised for veteran's associations and NGOs. - Number of trainings organised for women on conflict prevention, reconciliation and peace building through a women's NGO. - Number of trainings organised in ASSC schools for educating pupils, teachers, parents and communities on how to prevent and/or recognize and react to 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Public surveys on quality of police services - Reports on cases handled by community police - Reports on support services to war veterans and associations - Data on employment of war veterans through specific schemes - Reports on school and juvenile violence - Training reports, evaluation forma and media - Shared official minutes - Training reports, officially submitted joint project proposals - Reports on resolved and remaining housing care cases - Social research data on improvement of gender equality in target areas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ministry of Interior - annually - Ministry of Interior - quarterly - Ministry of Veterans, Family and Inter-generational Solidarity, Veterans associations – annually - Employment Bureaux, national and county level - annually - Ministry of Science, Education and Sports - annually - Local authorities, CSOs, media – occasionally and upon project finalisation - Ministry of Regional Development, Forestry and Water Management (Refugees directorate), Office of the VPM - quarterly - Ministry of Veterans, Family and Inter-generational Solidarity, Government Office for Gender Equality – twice a year 	<p>UNDP</p> <p>UNDP</p> <p>IOM</p> <p>UNICEF</p> <p>UNHCR</p> <p>UNHCR</p> <p>IOM</p>	<p><u>Risks:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Local elections outcomes create unstable local level administrations - Formation of community crime prevention groups too process-oriented and too lengthy - Reluctance of MoI to form the Community Policing Department - Documentation related to building and reconstruction projects delayed - Reluctance of the MoSES to improve the Codes of Conduct, i.e integrate violence prevention in School Quality Standards <p><u>Assumptions:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - MoSES willing to implement all suggestions to improve the School Quality Standards
--	--	---	---	---	--

MDG-F Monitoring Report

<p>measured through housing and legal aid uptake; and duration of resettlement.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Improved human-development indicators for women in targeted communities - Level of violence in schools - Number of organizations and individuals with strengthened capacity in the following areas - Number and type of violent incidents reported in the area of intervention through formal and informal channels 	<p>violence/conflict.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Number of ASSC schools involved in the National Network of Violence-free Schools. - Level of development of the National Network of Violence-free Schools. / Number of meetings/exchanges. - Level of revision of School Quality Standards to reflect violence prevention in all parts of the curriculum. - Number of trainings organised for local stakeholders. - Number of joint small-scale development projects defined with local stakeholders. - Number of projects supported in terms of technical development of project proposals and implementation. 				
--	--	--	--	--	--

MDG-F Monitoring Report

<p>3 Enhanced socio-economic recovery of areas of Special State Concern</p> <p>3.1. Capacity Development of local authorities, communities and regional development agencies to plan, prioritize and deliver projects for their communities</p> <p>3.2. Immediate support and provision of services to refugees, returnees and vulnerable populations in remote areas prior to their inclusion in national social protection schemes</p> <p>3.3. Job creation and business development benefiting returnees, women, youth, elderly, war veterans</p> <p>3.4. Community-identified sub-projects implemented to enhance the connectivity of divided communities and associated business development</p> <p><u>Indicators Outcome 3:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Economic situation in ASSC regions: GDP per capita – intra-national disparities; Unemployment rate women and men; Long term unemployment in percent of the total unemployment. - Level of access to public services in ASSC regions 	<p><u>Baseline:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Poor ability of local level stakeholders to jointly identify, prepare and implement development projects, and raise funds - Poor ability of regional and local authorities to provide social and community services, esp. to vulnerable groups (returnees, veterans, elderly, children, people with disabilities) - Due to cumbersome administration and underdeveloped infrastructure, the social welfare services do not reach the most vulnerable returnee population - Difficult access to employment and income generation mechanisms for vulnerable population in war-affected areas - Poor business support services and infrastructure and information on incentives for war-affected areas in Croatia - Insufficient engagement of local communities (esp. conflict affected) in preparing development projects and mobilization of additional funds (EC, WB, Government) <p><u>Indicators:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Number of trainings organised for local authorities, communities and regional development agencies in project development, management, implementation and fundraising for EU funds; provision of Programme data through DevInfo. - Number of trainings organised for local/regional authorities on outsourcing social and communal services. - Number of meetings organised on the local level to improve coverage of social services providers and identify solutions for vulnerable cases. - Number of trainings, workshops and coaching provided for CRC teams and their volunteers' programmes in the areas of return through training workshops and coaching. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Signed grant contracts with respective Government institutions - Minutes of Regional and local partnership meetings - Reports on return process, CSOs data - Official minutes and action plans, local and regional authorities, media - Co-operatives registry, signed contracts - Contracts, permits, media - Submitted project proposals, signed grant contracts, media - Contracts, permits, progress reports, media 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - VPMs office data, Ministries, Central Financing and Contracting Agency (Ministry of Finance) – regular reports and updates - Ministry of Regional Development, Forestry and Water Management – quarterly - Project teams – reports throughout the progress and final stage of implementation – twice a year - Regular UN agencies' press clipping - everyday - Relevant ministries and Funds- occasionally 	<p>UNDP</p> <p>UNHCR</p> <p>UNHCR</p> <p>UNDP</p> <p>UNDP</p>	<p><u>Risks:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Delays in adoption of Regional Development Strategy and Act, affecting the establishment of institutional framework; - Local elections in May 2009 may cause decision-making delays at local level - Local level organisations too weak to be agents for development. - Difficult communities not willing to cooperate in project development and implementation. - Social Welfare system not cooperative and necessary matching funding for sustainable mobile-teams and volunteers operation not available. - Local level organisations too weak to be agents for development. - Difficult communities not willing to cooperate in project development and implementation. - Social Welfare system not cooperative for sustainable mobile-teams and volunteers operation not available. <p><u>Assumptions:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Positive examples from similar projects motivate communities to participate. - Mobile-teams and volunteers supported through local and
---	---	--	--	---	--

MDG-F Monitoring Report

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Number of trainings organised for business development services for local businesses and agricultural cooperatives to scale up core business. - Number of financial packages attributed for medium-sized businesses including support for commercial lending, state incentives and guarantees, and 10-15% grants. - Number of jobs created and benefiting to returnees, women, youth, elderly, war veterans in the medium-sized businesses who benefited from financial packages. - Number of inter-municipal co-operation project proposals/technical documentation supported in war affected areas. - Number of small primary community infrastructure and other sub-project interventions for conflict affected communities supported. 			<p>central funds ensuring sustainability. Positive examples from similar projects motivate communities to participate.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Mobile-teams and volunteers supported through local and central funds ensuring sustainability
--	---	--	--	--

c. Joint Programme Results Framework with financial information

This table refers to the cumulative financial progress of the joint programme implementation at the end of the semester. The financial figures from the inception of the programme to date accumulated (including all cumulative yearly disbursements).

- **Total amount planned for the JP:** \$3,000,000
- **Estimated total amount committed:** \$196,287
- **Estimated total amount disbursed:** \$1,633,755
- **Estimated % delivery rate:** 57.82%

MDG-F Monitoring Report

JP output 1.1.: Establishment of a coordination mechanism for regional development of war affected areas and violence prevention under Vice Prime Minister												
Rate of delivery: a. Less than 30% b. between 31%-50% c. between 51-60 d. between 61%-70% e. between 71%-80 d. More than 80%												
Programme Outputs	Activity	YEAR		UN AGENCY	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	Source of Funding	Budget description	Estimated Implementation Progress				
		Y1	Y2					NATIONAL/LOCAL	Total amount Planned	Estimated Total amount Committed	Estimated Total Amount Disbursed	Estimated % Delivery rate of budget
JP output 1.1.: Establishment of a coordination mechanism for regional development of war affected areas and violence prevention under Vice Prime Minister	1.1.1. Legal and project capacity Support to the Office of the VPM; Facilitate quarterly technical coordination amongst five line ministries, UN and IFIs on regional development and peace-building; provide advisory services in the process of consultations on new Regional development Strategy and Law	X	X	UNDP	Office of the Vice Prime Minister	MDG-F	Personnel I (staff)	70,000	25391.93	167,142.43		
					Ministry of Justice		Personnel II (legal advisor) – 50%	31,000				
					Ministry of Interior		JP manager - 25%	106,000				
					Police Service		Formulation advance	20,000				20000.00
					Civil Society representatives		1.1 - Supplies, commodities, equipment and transport					1318.63
							1.2 - Personnel (staff, consultants, travel and training)					
							1.5 - Other direct costs					836.21
	2 - Indirect costs		9891.01									

MDG-F Monitoring Report

	1.1.2. Develop national policy and implementation mechanisms on victims' rights and witness support	X	X				Contracts (Court Administration salaries)	78,000			
							Public Awareness services	21,000			
							Personnel (travel)	2,500			
							NGO training services	16,200			
							M&E / Communications	3,000			
							1.1 - Supplies, commodities, equipment and transport				1185.96
							1.2 - Personnel (staff, consultants, travel and training)				47283.01
							1.4 - Contracts				64010.12
2 - Indirect costs				7613.84							
TOTAL							347,700.00	25,391.93	319,281.21	99.13%	

MDG-F Monitoring Report

JP output 1.2.: Support to the implementation of fundamental rights for returnees											
Rate of delivery: a. Less than 30% b. between 31%-50% c. between 51-60 d. between 61%-70% e. between 71%-80 d. More than 80%											
Programme Outputs	Activity	YEAR		UN AGENCY	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	Source of Funding	Budget description	Estimated Implementation Progress			
		Y1	Y2					NATIONAL/LOCAL	Total amount Planned	Estimated Total amount Committed	Estimated Total Amount Disbursed
JP output 1.2.: Support to the implementation of fundamental rights for returnees	1.2.1. Facilitate quarterly coordination meetings to monitor and evaluate implementation of the Law on Free Legal Aid.	X	X	UNHCR	Office of the Vice Prime Minister Ministry of Justice	MDG-F	Logistics, transport, event management services	22,600	20,000	5,012	
	1.2.2. Organize roundtables to analyze, discuss and plan to mitigate the shortcomings of the Law on free Legal Aid.	X	X		Legal NGOs and legal experts		Personnel (travel)	7,000			
	1.2.3. Provide on-the-job training / guidance for NGOs and associated attorneys at law on returnee rights, the Law and free legal aid.	X	X				Training of counterparts	40,000			
	1.2.4. Coordinate with UNHCR Serbia on return activities for potential returnees to the project areas; including one-on-one visits, dissemination of educational / rights material; collection of information and analysis for enhanced return.	X	X				Contracts (Research/survey of cross border activities)	40,000			
	1.2.5. Provide expert assistance to VPM's office in resolving remaining refugees/returnees legal issues.	X	X				M&E / Communications	12,000			
TOTAL							152,600	20,000	25,012	29.5%	

MDG-F Monitoring Report

JP output 2.1: Enhanced ability of local population to plan and realize Safe Community Plans in conjuncture with Community Policing											
Rate of delivery: a. Less than 30% b. between 31%-50% c. between 51%-60% d. between 61%-70% e. between 71%-80 d. More than 80%											
Programme Outputs	Activity	YEAR		UN AGENCY	RESPONSIBLE PARTY			Estimated Implementation Progress			
		Y1	Y2					NATIONAL/LOCAL	Source of Funding	Budget description	Total amount Planned
JP output 2.1: Enhanced ability of local population to plan and realize Safe Community Plans in conjuncture with Community Policing	2.1.1. Development of existing and new Safe Community Plans through a consultative and inclusive approach (UNDP)	X	X	UNDP	Council for Communal Violence Prevention	MDG-F	Personal I (Community advisor)	15,000			
					Police Service			Logistics, transport, event management services	4,000		
					Local Administrations		Contracts (works & services)	178,500			
	2.1.2. Capacity building of Community Police in mediation, communication, negotiation, conflict resolution and community dialogue (UNDP)	X	X		Ministry of Interior		M&E / Communications	3,000			
					Women's NGOs		1.1 - Supplies, commodities, equipment and transport		36,346.87		
							1.2 - Personnel (staff, consultants, travel and training)		4,429.16	36,110.14	
						1.4 - Contracts			62,452.36		
					1.5 - Other direct costs			1,481.12			
						2 - Indirect costs			7,561.54		
TOTAL								200,500	4,429.16	143,952.03	74%
JP output 2.2: War veterans' and women's associations enabled to actively support conflict prevention activities, rehabilitate and reintegrate communities in Areas of Special State Concern (ASSC)											
Rate of delivery: a. Less than 30% b. between 31%-50% c. between 51%-60% d. between 61%-70% e. between 71%-80 d. More than 80%											
Programme Outputs	Activity	YEAR		UN AGENCY	RESPONSIBLE PARTY			Estimated Implementation Progress			

MDG-F Monitoring Report

		Y1	Y2		NATIONAL/LOCAL	Source of Funding	Budget description	Total amount Planned	Estimated Total amount Committed	Estimated Total Amount Disbursed	Estimated % Delivery rate of budget	
JP output 2.2: War veterans' and women's associations enabled to actively support conflict prevention activities, rehabilitate and reintegrate communities in Areas of Special State Concern (ASSC)	2.2.1. Facilitate the organization of a system of psychosocial and employment support services for veterans, including to assess the capacities and skills of veterans in target communities; develop vocational profiles and introduce employment opportunities.	X	X	IOM	Office of the Vice Prime Minister	MDG-F	Personnel I (Conflict resolution)	30,000	4,000	19,000	77%	
							Personnel II (Support staff/assistance)	12,000	1,200	7,200	70%	
							Contracts	20,000		10,000	50%	
							Training	36,000	15,650	2,350	50%	
							Contracts (Counselling services)	20,000		10,000	50%	
	2.2.2. Support veteran's associations and NGOs through conflict resolution and management training in 6 locations; improved access to business support services, counselling on educational and job opportunities and job-search services	X	X		Veteran's NGOs, Youth NGOs	Office for Gender Equality & Gender Task Force		M&E / Communications	22,200		11,200	50%
	2.2.3. Train women in conflict prevention, reconciliation and peace building through a women's NGO. Activities also include workshops and discussions on negotiation and mediation across social and ethnic conflict lines.	X	X		Women's NGOs			Personnel I (Gender coordinator expert)	30,000		15,000	50%
								Personnel II (assistant)	24,000		12,000	50%
								Other direct costs	19,400		9,600	50%
Contracts (Women NGO)								24,000		12,000	50%	
Training of counterparts								38,300		19,400	50%	
Personnel (Conflict prevention)								20,000		10,000	50%	
M&E / Communications	2,000		1,000	50%								
TOTAL								297,900	20,850	138,750	54%	

MDG-F Monitoring Report

JP output 2.3: Protection of children & youth from peer violence & bullying in schools											
Rate of delivery: a. Less than 30% b. between 31%-50% c. between 51%-60% d. between 61%-70% e. between 71%-80% f. More than 80%											
Programme Outputs	Activity	YEAR		UN AGENCY	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	Source of Funding	Budget description	Estimated Implementation Progress			
		Y1	Y2					Total amount Planned	Estimated Total amount Committed	Estimated Total Amount Disbursed	Estimated % Delivery rate of budget
JP output 2.3: Protection of children & youth from peer violence & bullying in schools	2.3.1. Develop training programme and directly implement in ASSC schools; educating pupils, teachers, parents and communities on how to prevent and/or recognize and react to violence/conflict	X	X	UNICEF	Ministry of Science, Education and Sports Education and Teachers Training Agency	MDG-F	Personnel – educational program in schools (consultants, travel & training)	71,680.00	7,744.95	16,763.55	23.39 %
		Educational materials (design & print services)	65,047.00				177.00	3,577.00	5.50 %		
	2.3.2. Develop and strengthen National Network of Violence-free Schools, with support to five regional branches through intensive work in ASSC schools with exchange and outreach to schools outside the ASSC	X	X				Coordination of the Network of VFS	71,028.00	38,000.00	38,000.00	53.50 %
		Supplies & field travel	3,738.00				0.00	1,762.23	47.14 %		
		M & E / Communications	1,870.00				249.51	1,213.64	64.90 %		
	2.3.3. School Quality Standards updated by experts to reflect violence prevention in all parts of the curriculum	X	X				Personnel (staff & consultants)	49,160.00	6,836.83	29,224.38	59.45 %
UN Agency Indirect Cost			UN Agency Indirect Cost	18,377.00	0.00	18,377.00	100%				
TOTAL								280,900.00	53,008.29	108,917.80	57.65 %

MDG-F Monitoring Report

JP output 2.4: Development of conflict resolution skills amongst grassroots groups, women and municipal/county authorities											
Rate of delivery: a. Less than 30% b. between 31%-50% c. between 51-60 d. between 61%-70% e. between 71%-80 d. More than 80%											
Programme Outputs	Activity	YEAR		UN AGENCY	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	Source of Funding	Budget description	Estimated Implementation Progress			
		Y1	Y2					NATIONAL/LOCAL	Total amount Planned	Estimated Total amount Committed	Estimated Total Amount Disbursed
JP output 2.4: Development of conflict resolution skills amongst grassroots groups, women and municipal/county authorities	2.4.1. Leadership training for local stakeholders provided and joint definition of small-scale development projects	X	X	UNHCR	Office of the Vice Prime Minister Local administrations	MDG-F	Contracts (leadership training)	50,000			
							Contracts (Peace-building forum)	70,000			
							Contracts (10 small community projects)	449,000			
							M&E / Communications	7,000			
TOTAL							576,000		359,989.65	62.50%	

MDG-F Monitoring Report

JP output 3.1: Capacity Development of local authorities, communities and regional development agencies to plan, prioritize and deliver projects for their communities											
Rate of delivery: a. Less than 30% b. between 31%-50% c. between 51%-60% d. between 61%-70% e. between 71%-80 d. More than 80%											
Programme Outputs	Activity	YEAR		UN AGENCY	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	Source of Funding	Budget description	Estimated Implementation Progress			
		Y1	Y2					NATIONAL/LOCAL	Total amount Planned	Estimated Total amount Committed	Estimated Total Amount Disbursed
JP output 3.1: Capacity Development of local authorities, communities and regional development agencies to plan, prioritize and deliver projects for their communities	3.1.1. Training for local authorities, communities and regional development agencies in project development, management, implementation and fundraising for EU funds; provision of Programme data through DevInfo.	X	X	UNDP	Office of the Vice Prime Minister	MDG-F	Personnel I (Training activity coordinator) 25%	20,000			
					Ministry of Regional Dev.		Contracts (trainers)	47,000			
					Local and regional Self-Govt.		Contracts (events)	16,000			
					Regional Dev. Agencies		M&E / Communications	1,000			
	3.1.2. Training of local/regional authorities on outsourcing social and communal services (UNDP)	X	X				1.1 - Supplies, commodities, equipment and transport			532.26	
							1.2 - Personnel (staff, consultants, travel and training)	12,454.55		55,969.35	
							1.3 - Training of counterparts			11,026.15	
							1.4 - Contracts			2,072.83	
							1.5 - Other direct costs			389.97	
							2 - Indirect costs			3,069.40	
TOTAL								84,000.0	12,454.55	73,059.96	101.80%

MDG-F Monitoring Report

JP output 3.2: Immediate support and provision of services to refugees, returnees and vulnerable populations in remote areas prior to their inclusion in national social protection schemes											
Rate of delivery: a. Less than 30% b. between 31%-50% c. between 51-60 d. between 61%-70% e. between 71%-80 d. More than 80%											
Programme Outputs	Activity	YEAR		UN AGENCY	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	Source of Funding	Budget description	Estimated Implementation Progress			
		Y1	Y2					NATIONAL/LOCAL	Total amount Planned	Estimated Total amount Committed	Estimated Total Amount Disbursed
<u>JP output 3.2: Immediate support and provision of services to refugees, returnees and vulnerable populations in remote areas prior to their inclusion in national social protection schemes</u>	3.2.1. Regular quarterly meetings on the local level organized to improve coverage of social services providers and identify solutions for vulnerable cases	X	X	UNHCR	Office of the Vice Prime Minister Ministry of Regional Dev. Ministry of Health and Social Welfare,	MDG-F	Training of counterparts (social services and care providers) Contracts (Support to operation of CRC mobile teams and local volunteers)				
	3.2.2. Training, workshops and coaching for CRC teams and their volunteers' programmes in the areas of return through training workshops and coaching.	X	X		Croatian Red Cross Local Govt Care Providers		Training of counterparts (volunteers) M&E / Communications		34,911		
TOTAL								105,510	34,911		33%

MDG-F Monitoring Report

JP output 3.3: Job creation and business development benefiting returnees, women, youth, elderly, war veterans											
Rate of delivery: a. Less than 30% b. between 31% and 50% c. between 51-60 d. between 61%-70% e. between 71%-80 d. More than 80%											
Programme Outputs	Activity	YEAR		UN AGENCY	RESPONSIBLE PARTY	Source of Funding	Budget description	Estimated Implementation Progress			
		Y1	Y2					NATIONAL/LOCAL	Total amount Planned	Estimated Total amount Committed	Estimated Total Amount Disbursed
JP output 3.3: Job creation and business development benefiting returnees, women, youth, elderly, war veterans	3.3.1. Training and technical assistance to business development services for local businesses and agricultural cooperatives to scale up core business	X	X	UNDP	Ministry of Economy and Ministry of Tourism	MDG-F	Personnel (Trainers/experts)	125,000			
					Office of the Vice Prime Minister		Contracts (Small works and equipment)	132,000			
	3.3.2. Provide technical assistance, information, training and assistance in accessing finance for medium-size businesses.	X	X		Ministry of Regional Dev.		Contracts (Direct support / financing packages)	232,500			
					Regional Dev. Agencies		M&E / Communications	5,000			
							1.1 - Supplies, commodities, equipment and transport			5,400.23	
							1.2 - Personnel (staff, consultants, travel and training)		4,106.61	100,139.93	
							1.3 - Training of counterparts			2,939.96	
							1.4 - Contracts		7,892.07	115,839.31	
							1.5 - Other direct costs			6,588.77	
							2 - Indirect costs			13,298.52	
TOTAL								494,500	11,998.68	244,206.72	51.58%

MDG-F Monitoring Report

JP output 3.4.: Community-identified sub-projects implemented to enhance the connectivity of divided communities and associated business development												
Rate of delivery: a. Less than 30% b. between 31% and 50% c. between 51-60 d. between 61%-70% e. between 71%-80 d. More than 80%												
Programme Outputs	Activity	YEAR		UN AGENCY	RESPONSIBLE PARTY			Estimated Implementation Progress				
		Y1	Y2					NATIONAL/LOCAL	Source of Funding	Budget description	Total amount Planned	Estimated Total amount Committed
JP output 3.4.: Community-identified sub-projects implemented to enhance the connectivity of divided communities and associated business development	3.4.1. Joint identification and preparation of project documentation in areas of return to qualify for further funding from other sources i.e. IPA, WB, Government	X	X	UNDP	Ministry of Regional Development, Forestry and Water Management	MDG-F		Contracts (works and services)	455,390			
					Vice-Prime Minister's Office			M&E / Communications	5,000			
	3.4.2. Small primary community infrastructure and other sub-project interventions for conflict affected communities	X	X		Local and regional authorities			1.1 - Supplies, commodities, equipment and transport		48,830.73		
								1.2 - Personnel (staff, consultants, travel and training)	596.72	67,638.53		
								1.4 - Contracts	12,647.55	87,122.61		
								1.5 - Other direct costs		4,203.21		
								2 - Indirect costs		12,792.53		
TOTAL								460,390	13,244.27	220,587.61	50.79%	
GRAND TOTAL								\$3,000,000	\$196,287	\$1,633,755	57.82%	

MDG-F Monitoring Report

SECTION II: Joint Programme Progress

The second section of the report is intended to shed light on the major advances and difficulties of the Joint Programme. It also aims to collect information on two important objectives that all joint programmes are contributing towards (interagency work, delivering as One and Development effectiveness as described by the Paris Declaration and the Accra Action Agenda).

a. Narrative on progress, obstacles and contingency measures

- a. Please provide a brief overall assessment (250 words) of the extent to which the joint programme components are progressing in relation to expected outcomes and outputs, as well as any measures taken for the sustainability of the joint programme during the reporting period. Please, provide examples if relevant. Try to describe facts avoiding interpretations or personal opinions.

MDG-F Monitoring Report

Progress in outcomes:

During this reporting period, the JP successfully completed the fourth quarter of year 1 and transitioned into first quarter of year two with the on-time allocation of year 2 funding. A number of recommendations from the MDG-F Secretariat's visit in Feb. 2010, were also implemented to increase national ownership, enhance UN interagency coordination and increase awareness of the MDGs in Croatia. Progress towards the outcomes was made through pursuing a multi-disciplinary approach and a simultaneous top-down/bottom-up approach. The recent MDG-F activities implemented firstly contributed to strengthen national and multi-agency coordination on conflict prevention, reconciliation and recovery (outcome 1). By providing on-going assistance to VPM's office in resolving remaining refugees/returnees legal issues, the JP supported trend of returns on a national level. MDG-F activities also strengthened community integration, safety and social cohesion (outcome 2). By implementing Safer Community Plans and holding violence prevention workshops in schools, the JP improved perception of public safety in target areas. Lastly, trainings and workshops organized especially for farmers and entrepreneurs contributed to improve socio-economic situation of areas of Special State Concern. Along with small infrastructure projects, this contributed to socio-economic recovery of areas of Special State Concern (outcome 3).

Progress in Outputs:

During the first half of 2010, the JP's achievements mainly contributed to:

- Support the implementation of victims rights and witness support mechanisms,
- Support improvements to provision of free legal aid to target communities,
- Provide trainings for women organizations in conflict prevention, reconciliation and peace building,
- Provide psycho-social counselling and trainings for veterans associations in target communities
- Strengthen National Network of Violence-free Schools,
- Organize trainings for local authorities, communities and regional development agencies on how to use EU Pre-accession Agriculture and Rural Development Funds, EU IPA funds, as well as the formation of Local Action Groups to formulate local community-led development strategies.
- Provide technical assistance to business development services for local businesses and agricultural cooperatives to scale up core business.
- Improvements to local infrastructure through community identified projects.

Measures taken for the sustainability of the joint programme:

- Additional government representatives invited to the programme management committee
- Offices for Witness/Victim Support in four courts successfully integrated into Ministry of Justice system.

MDG-F Monitoring Report

Are there difficulties in the implementation? Yes, No

What are the causes of these difficulties? Please check the most suitable option

- UN agency Coordination
- Coordination with Government
- Coordination within the Government (s)
- Administrative (Procurement, etc) /Financial (management of funds, availability, budget revision, etc)
- Management: 1. Activity and output management 2. Governance/Decision making (PMC/NSC) 4. Accountability
- Joint Programme design
- External to the Joint Programme (risks and assumptions, elections, natural disaster, social unrest, etc)
- Other. Please specify:

- b. Please, briefly describe (250 words) the current difficulties the Joint Programme is facing. Refer only to progress in relation to the planned in the Joint Program Document. Try to describe facts avoiding interpretations or personal opinions.

Not applicable.

- c. Please, briefly describe (250 words) the current external difficulties (not caused by the joint programme) that delay implementation. Try to describe facts avoiding interpretations or personal opinions.

- Impact of global financial crisis in Croatia: Due to the financial crisis, the government of Croatia initiated budget cuts for 2009 programmes. As social programmes and government projects are reduced or delayed, most of the country will be impacted but particularly vulnerable groups in the war-affected Areas of Special State, where the MDG-F project is working, may be heaviest hit. On a macro-economic level, the financial crisis exacerbates existing difficulties. Wages, employment and labour conditions of Croatian workers and especially workers from ASSC have suffered, slowing domestic consumption and perpetuating economic downturn. The budget cuts also diminish the possibility of government co-financing of MDG-F related activities.

MDG-F Monitoring Report

Please, briefly explain (250 words) the actions that are or will be taken to eliminate or mitigate the difficulties (internal and external referred B+C) described in the previous **text boxes b and c**. Try to be specific in your answer.

The external difficulties (i.e. the financial crisis and economic downturn) should not delay implementation of the MDG-F programme. However, it may limit the impact of MDG-F activities on developmental indicators.

MDG-F Monitoring Report

b. Inter-Agency Coordination and Delivering as One

The MDG-F Secretariat asks the office of the Resident Coordinator complete this subsection, briefly commenting on the joint programme, providing its perspective from within the broader country context. The aim is to collect relevant information on how the joint programme is contributing to inter-agency work and Delivering as One.

- Is the Joint Programme still in line with the UNDAF? Please check the relevant answer

Yes No

- If not, does the Joint Programme fit into the national strategies?

Yes No If not, please explain:

What types of coordination mechanisms and decisions have been taken to ensure joint delivery? Are different joint programmes in the country coordinating among themselves? Please reflect on these questions above and add any other relevant comments and examples if you consider it necessary:

The UN in Croatia does not operate under an UNDAF, but rather, all four involved agencies work under national strategies for furthering regional development, safety and social inclusion in war affected areas. The first UNRC in Croatia assumed her post in July 2010 and formal establishment of a UNRC office will further assist MDG-F with interagency coordination.

As planned in the Programme document, the management and coordination of the Joint Programme during the reporting period involves a Programme Manager overseeing the implementation of all outputs; a Programme Implementation Unit and a Programme Management Committee ensuring due coordination; and a National Steering Committee providing oversight and strategic guidance. In addition, each output of the Joint Programme is managed by a single designated UN agency that is responsible for that output's timely and cost-effective implementation. Wherever possible, the programme implementation unit seeks to maximize the impact of MDG-F activities in the field by coordinating UN agencies activities in targeted communities.

As the agencies with an established field presence, UNDP and UNHCR have included their field offices and associated personnel as crucial elements of its programme delivery. These offices can effectively coordinate field operations, logistics and ensure national ownership at the local level in the communities and municipalities. Field personnel are under the supervision of the PM for those activities that relate to the Joint Programme.

Please provide the values for each category of the indicator table described below:

MDG-F Monitoring Report

Indicators	Baseline	Current Value	Means of Verification	Collection methods
Number of managerial practices (financial, procurement, etc) implemented jointly by the UN implementing agencies for MDG-F JPs.	0	2		
Number of joint analytical work (studies, diagnostic) undertaken jointly by UN implementing agencies for MDG-F JPs.	0	0		
Number of joint missions undertaken jointly by UN implementing agencies for MDG-F JPs.	0	7		

Please provide additional information to substantiate the indicators value (150 words). Try to describe qualitative and quantitative facts avoiding interpretations or personal opinions.

At the national level, UN coordination is effectively managed through the PMC and PIU. All UN agencies involved in MDG-F are headquartered in the UN house in Zagreb and agency focal points have regular contact with each other. In July 2009, an MDG-F introductory meeting for UN field staff members was held at the UN house, where field staff members and CSO partners were informed of the JP and field activities were mapped. Wherever possible, MDG-F field activities are coordinated among agencies and planned in advance to maximize impact of activities for beneficiary communities. UN field staff members regularly meet and conduct joint missions to local communities in order to jointly plan, assess and coordinate implementation of JP activities at local level.

MDG-F Monitoring Report

c. Development Effectiveness: Paris Declaration and Accra Agenda for Action

This subsection seeks to gather relevant information on how the joint programme is fostering the principles for aid effectiveness by having appropriate ownership, alignment, harmonization and mutual accountability in the last 6 months of implementation. These indicators have been used extensively to measure progress on the Paris Declaration. Please, refer to the examples in the subsection to complete the information requested.

Ownership: Partner countries exercise effective leadership over their development policies, and strategies and co-ordinate development actions

Are Government and other national implementation partners involved in the implementation of activities and the delivery of outputs?

- Not involved
- Slightly involved
- Fairly involved
- Fully involved

In what kind of decisions and activities is the government involved? Please check the relevant answer

Policy/decision making

Management: budget procurement service provision other, specify: planning and monitoring of local communities identified sub-projects.

Who leads and/or chair the PMC and how many times have they met?

Institution leading and/or chairing the PMC _____ UNDP DRR__ Number of meetings. 2

Is civil society involved in the implementation of activities and the delivery of outputs?

- Not involved
- Slightly involved
- Fairly involved
- Fully involved

In what kind of decisions and activities is the civil society involved? Please check the relevant answer

Policy/decision making

Management: budget procurement service provision other, specify

MDG-F Monitoring Report

Are citizens involved in the implementation of activities and the delivery of outputs?

- Not involved
- Slightly involved
- Fairly involved
- Fully involved

In what kind of decisions and activities are citizens involved? Please check the relevant answer

- Policy/decision making
- Management: budget procurement service provision other, specify

Where is the joint programme management unit seated?

- National Government Local Government UN Agency By itself other, specify

Based on your previous answers, briefly describe the current situation of the government, civil society, private sector and citizens in relation of ownership, alignment and mutual accountability of the joint programmes, please, provide some examples. Try to describe facts avoiding interpretations or personal opinions.

At the National Steering Committee level, the Vice Prime Minister for Social Issues and Human Rights is a member and actively participates in strategic decisions regarding the JP. Based on MDG-F Secretariat's recommendation, the Programme Management Committee has been expanded to include a total of 5 government representatives including the Chief of Staff of the Vice Prime Minister's Office and the Director of the Directorate for war-affected Areas of Special State Concern in the Ministry for Regional Development, Head of the Government Office for Gender Equality, Head of Directorate for Veterans Affairs in the Ministry of Family and Veterans, and Senior Advisor from the Directorate for International Cooperation and European Integration at the Ministry of Science Education and Sports. The PMC manages programme resources, troubleshoot and ensure outcomes are being achieved and providing leadership in ensuring outputs are met.

At the local level, county project boards and local Crime Prevention Councils that include mayors, community police and local civil society representatives identify and monitor implementation of micro-projects in their communities. Civil Society are further integrated into the project through NGO implementation of programme activities related to training and community-identified micro-projects.

National ownership of MDG-F activities is also secured through local government co-financing of activities. To date, local government has provided more than \$250,000 USD of co-financing to MDG-F activities (see annex 2).

MDG-F Monitoring Report

d. Communication and Advocacy

Has the JP articulated an advocacy & communication strategy that helps advance its policy objectives and development outcomes? Please provide a brief explanation of the objectives, key elements and target audience of this strategy, if relevant, please attach (max. 250 words).

Yes No

In 2009, UN agencies communication officers met on ad hoc basis to develop common communication tools (i.a. press release template, folders, brochures and web-site) for the JP. Such tools will ensure that a consistent and targeted message promoting JP objectives and development outcomes is communicated. These tools have resulted in a common written and visual identity for all communications. Communications officers have actively engaged with local media to develop exposé reports on MDG-F goals, activities and interviews with beneficiaries. Activities have included an interview about the MDG-F with UNDP Resident Representative on television talk show with nationwide coverage. With the arrival of the UNRC in July 2010, the UN communications group is expected to take a more active role and will develop a common UN website for Croatia which will prominently feature the MDG-F as a joint UN programme in Croatia.

What concrete gains are the advocacy and communication efforts outlined in the JP and/or national strategy contributing towards achieving?

- Increased awareness on MDG related issues amongst citizens and governments
- Increased dialogue among citizens, civil society, local national government in relation to development policy and practice
- New/adopted policy and legislation that advance MDGs and related goals
- Establishment and/or liaison with social networks to advance MDGs and related goals
- Key moments/events of social mobilization that highlight issues
- Media outreach and advocacy
- Others (use box below)

What is the number and type of partnerships that have been established amongst different sectors of society to promote the achievement of the MDGs and related goals? Please explain.

- | | |
|--|--------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Faith-based organizations | Number |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Social networks/coalitions | Number |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Local citizen groups | Number |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Private sector | Number |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Academic institutions | Number |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Media groups and journalist | Number |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Others (use box below) | Number |

MDG-F Monitoring Report

What outreach activities do the programme implement to ensure that local citizens have adequate access to information on the programme and opportunities to actively participate?

- Focus groups discussions
- Household surveys
- Use of local communication mediums such as radio, theatre groups, newspapers, etc
- Open forum meetings
- Capacity building/trainings
- Others

Different channels were mobilized in order to raise awareness of national and local audiences on the JP activities, results and opportunities to participate.

- National and local media highlighted the achievements of JP in at least 35 separate articles.
- MDG-F leaflets were produced and 2000 copies printed.
- A UN website featuring the MDG-F joint programme activities will be developed in 2010.

These outreach activities were carried out in close co-operation between the different UN agencies communication services.

Section III: Millennium Development Goals

a. Millennium Development Goals

The MDG-F main objective is to contribute to progress to the attainment of the Millennium Development Goals worldwide. This subsection aims to capture data and information on the joint programmes contribution to 1 or more Millennium Development Goals and targets.

MDG # N-MDG1	Joint Programme Outcome 1	MDG Target #	MDG Indicators	JP Indicator
Goal # ERADICATE RELATIVE POVERTY	National policy coordination on conflict prevention, reconciliation and recovery strengthened			
	Enhanced community integration, safety and social cohesion	Target 1: In the period between 2001 and 2015 reduce by half the number of people living in relative poverty	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Indicator 1a. At-risk-of-poverty rate (according to the Household Budget Survey) - Indicator 1b. The percentage of the long-term unemployed persons aged 15 to 74 (people unemployed for 12 months or more, as a proportion of the total unemployed, according to the Labour Force Survey) - Indicator 1c. The percentage of very long-term unemployed aged 15 to 74 (people unemployed for 24 months or more, as a proportion of the total unemployed, according to the Labour Force Survey) - Indicator 1d. The rate of the very long-term unemployed aged 15 to 74 (the percentage of those unemployed for 24 months or more, as a proportion of the total active 	Rates of people at risk of poverty in ASSC are 19%

			population, according to the Labour Force Survey)	
	Joint Programme Outcome 3	MDG Target #	MDG Indicator	JP Indicator
	Enhanced socio-economic recovery of areas of Special State Concern	Target 1: In the period between 2001 and 2015 reduce by half the number of people living in relative poverty	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Indicator 1a. At-risk-of-poverty rate (according to the Household Budget Survey) - Indicator 1b. The percentage of the long-term unemployed persons aged 15 to 742 (people unemployed for 12 months or more, as a proportion of the total unemployed, according to the Labour Force Survey) - Indicator 1c. The percentage of very long-term unemployed aged 15 to 74 (people unemployed for 24 months or more, as a proportion of the total unemployed, according to the Labour Force Survey) - Indicator 1d. The rate of the very long-term unemployed aged 15 to 74 (the percentage of those unemployed for 24 months or more, as a proportion of the total active population, according to the Labour Force Survey) 	Un-adjusted unemployment in ASSC averages 38%.

MDG # N-MDG2	Joint Programme Outcome 1	MDG Target #	MDG Indicators	JP Indicator
Goal # GUARANTEED EDUCATION FOR ALL	National policy coordination on conflict prevention, reconciliation and recovery strengthened			

	Joint Programme Outcome 2	MDG Target #	MDG Indicator	JP Indicator
	Enhanced community integration, safety and social cohesion	Target 3: Organisation of services	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Indicator 3a. Coverage of children in kindergartens (age group 1-6 years) - Indicator 3b. Adoption of educational standards: Number of schools involved in the Croatian National Educational Standard (CNES) - Indicator 3c. Total allocations for education from GDP: Preschool; Basic; Upper secondary; and Tertiary - Indicator 3d. Organisation of education in basic and upper secondary schools: Basic schools working in one shift; Basic schools working in two shifts; Basic schools working in three shifts; Upper basic schools working in one shift; Upper basic schools working in two shifts 	40 schools in ASSC involved in the National Network of Violence-free Schools
	Joint Programme Outcome 3	MDG Target #	MDG Indicator	JP Indicator
	Enhanced socio-economic recovery of areas of Special State Concern			

MDG # N-MDG3	Joint Programme Outcome 1	MDG Target #	MDG Indicators	JP Indicator
Goal # GENDER EQUALITY AND EMPOWERMENT OF WOMEN	National policy coordination on conflict prevention, reconciliation and recovery strengthened			
	Joint Programme Outcome 2	MDG Target #	MDG Indicator	JP Indicator
	Enhanced community integration, safety and social cohesion	Target 4: Suppression of all kinds of violence against	- Indicator 4a. Is the education of competent state bodies	Number of trainings organised for women on

		women	and services on violence against women being carried out? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Indicator 4b. The number of shelters for victims of violence - Indicator 4c. The number of counselling centres for victims of violence 	conflict prevention, reconciliation and peace building through a women's NGO.
	Joint Programme Outcome 3	MDG Target #	MDG Indicator	JP Indicator
	Enhanced socio-economic recovery of areas of Special State Concern	Target 3: Empower women economically	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Indicator 3a. Percentage of unemployed women - Indicator 3b. Share of women in total unemployment - Indicator 3c. Percentage of women in the total number of self-employed persons - Indicator 3d. Long-term unemployment of women (more than 3 years) - Indicator 3e. At-risk-of-poverty rate, women 	National registered unemployment rate for women in November 2009: 19,5%

MDG 7	Joint Programme Outcome 1	MDG Target 7.A	# Beneficiaries reached	MDG Indicators	JP Indicator
		Integrate the principles of sustainable development into country policies and programmes and reverse the loss of		7.1 Proportion of land area covered by forest 7.2 CO2 emissions, total, per capita and per \$1 GDP (PPP) 7.3 Consumption of ozone-depleting substances	

Goal 7: Ensure environmental sustainability		environmental resources		7.4 Proportion of fish stocks within safe biological limits	
	Joint Programme Outcome 2	MDG Target 7 B		Indicator	JP Target
		Halve, by 2015, the proportion of people without sustainable access to safe drinking water and basic sanitation		7.5 Proportion of population using an improved drinking water source 7.6 Proportion of population using an improved sanitation facility	
	Joint Programme Outcome 3	MDG Target 7 C		Indicator	JP Target
		Does not apply			

Additional Narrative comments

Please provide any relevant information and contributions of the programme to the MDGs, whether at national or local level.

Despite the best efforts of the UNCT, the Ministry of Foreign Affairs not to produce a new national MDG report for 2010. Instead the MFA will focus on meeting EU requirements for accession which incorporates similar targets to the MDGs. A representative of UNDP Croatia participated in the Regional MDG+10 Conference held in Istanbul, 9-10 June 2010, at which the Republic of Croatia was highlighted as a best practice case for achieving the MDGs.

Please provide other comments you would like to communicate to the MDG-F Secretariat:

Section 4: General Thematic Indicators

1. National capacities to prevent, reduce, mitigate and cope with the impact of violent conflict strengthened

1.1. Type/number of new mechanisms (supported by the joint programme) that respond to popular demands/dissatisfaction related to existing and/or potential sources of conflict (i.e. denial of rights, urban violence, discrimination, etc.)

Applies Does not apply. if so, please move forward to section 2

<input type="checkbox"/> Policies	No. National	No. Regional	No. Local
<input type="checkbox"/> Laws	No. National	No. Regional	No. Local
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plans	No. National	No. Regional	No. Local 6
<input type="checkbox"/> Forums/roundtables	No. National	No. Regional	No. Local
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Working groups	No. National	No. Regional	No. Local 9
<input type="checkbox"/> Dialog clubs	No. National	No. Regional	No. Local
<input type="checkbox"/> Cooperation agreements	No. National	No. Regional	No. Local
<input type="checkbox"/> Other, pls. specify:	No. National	No. Regional	No. Local

1.2 Please briefly provide some contextual information on the above mentioned mechanisms and the country/municipality where it will be implemented (base line, stage of development and approval, potential impact):

6 Plans – local crime prevention councils consisting of representatives of mayors office, community police and civil society representatives discuss and analysis problems in order to formulate Safer Community Plans. To date MDG-F has implemented 6 Safer community plans designed to improve safety and security in the community.

9 working groups – Through NGO Udruga Mi, MDG-F is supporting 9 local cooperatives that work to improve the situation in their local communities. All

cooperatives are located in former war affected areas and involve multi-ethnic tensions. Through the actions of the cooperative are intended to reduce tension among ethnic groups and improve overall situation for whole community.

1.3 Number of citizens benefiting from the above mentioned mechanisms to channel their concerns:

Applies Does not apply

	Total No.	(% of ethnic groups)	Total	Women	Men	Total	Women	Men
<input type="checkbox"/> Total No. Citizens	Total No.		Urban			Rural		
<input type="checkbox"/> Youth under the age of 25 years	Total No.		Urban			Rural		
<input type="checkbox"/> IDPs/refugees	Total No.		Urban			Rural		
<input type="checkbox"/> other, pls. specify:	Total No.		Urban			Rural		
	Total No.							

1. National capacities to prevent, reduce, mitigate and cope with the impact of violent conflict strengthened

1.4 Number of local and/or community plans for violence prevention implemented: 6 targeted to: 10		Comments
Total No.		
Youth	No. (% of ethnic groups)	
Women	No. (% of ethnic groups)	
Ethnic groups	No. (% of ethnic groups)	
<input type="checkbox"/> other, pls. specify:	No. (% of ethnic groups)	

2. Capacity to prevent, reduce, mitigate and cope with the impact of violent conflict strengthened

2.1 Number of organizations and individuals with strengthened capacity in the following areas:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Violence prevention/conflict	Religion leaders No. (% of ethnic groups)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Conflict mediation	Community leaders No. (% of ethnic groups)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Conflict resolution	Citizens: women # men No. (% of ethnic groups)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Resolution and settlement of disputes	Judges No. (% of ethnic groups)
<input type="checkbox"/> Cooperation agreements	Policeman No. (% of ethnic groups)
<input type="checkbox"/> Create dialogue	Civil servants No. (% of ethnic groups)
	Government representatives No. (% of ethnic groups)
	Youth organizations No. (% of ethnic groups)
	Community based organizations No 9 (% of ethnic groups)

3. Impact of violent conflict reduced and/or mitigated

3.1 Number and type of violent incidents reported in the area of intervention through formal and informal channels:

- Social incidents (e.g. riots) No.
- Crime (Violent incidents) No. in 2008 = 1948 / in 2009 = 1503
- Ethnic groups related No.
- Other, pls. Specify No.

Comments: Violent Crime includes Murders, attempted murder, severe bodily injury, violent behaviour, rape, attempted rape, misdemeanour fighting, racial discrimination, endangering life & property, robberies, and illegal possession of weapons. The available numbers of these violent incidents as recorded in the JP focus area.

JOINT PROGRAMME MONITORING REPORT

APPENDICES

ANNEX 1 - LIST OF MDG-F PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTING PARTNERS IN CROATIA

National Government and Ministries	Regional and Local Government	Civil Society
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Office of the Vice Prime Minister <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ministry of Justice - Ministry of Interior - Ministry of Family, War Veterans and Intergenerational Solidarity - Ministry of Health and Social Welfare - Ministry of Science, Education and Sports - Ministry of Regional Development <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ministry of Economy - Ministry of Tourism - Office for Gender Equality <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Police Service - National Centre for External Valuation of Education <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Social welfare services - Education and Teachers Training Agency 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - County and Municipal administrations in Vukovarsko-Srijemska, Sisacko-Moslavacka, Karlovacka, Licko-Senjska, Zadarska, Sibensko-Kninska - Councils for Communal Violence Prevention - Regional Development Agencies - Local Utility companies or communal department in municipalities / towns (Vojnic, Sunja) - Public Institutions (Open Society Duga Resa), elementary schools 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Croatian Red Cross - Women's NGOs - Veteran's NGOs <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Youth NGOs - Free legal aid NGOs and legal experts - Gender Task Force - Care Providers

ANNEX 2 – LOCAL GOVERNMENT COST-SHARING WITH MDG-F IN CROATIA

JP Output	Activity description	Total Cost \$USD	MDG-F Contribution \$USD	Other contribution \$USD	Co-Sharing Partner
2.1	Knin Safe Community Plan - Activity defined by the Knin Crime Prevention Council. Reconstruction and renovation of the playground of local primary school is a priority for the safety of large number of pupils and possibility of conducting better quality educational and after school sports activities.	\$177,565	\$40,241	\$137,324	City of Knin
2.1	Municipal Crime Prevention Council and Gračac Police Station identified the renovation of the outdoor playground of local kindergarten in Gračac and replacement of worn-out carpentry in order to improve safety and quality of serviced provided to kindergarten beneficiaries.	\$20,994	\$17,068	\$3,926	Municipality of Gračac
2.1	Safer Community Plan Slunj - ensure safety of children in Slunj, Crime Prevention Council proposed building of multi-purpose playground and access road length with traffic safety equipment, conduct 6 educational workshops for school children in Primary and Secondary School in Slunj. UNDP financed purchase of speed reducers with accompanying traffic signalization for main roads Zvonimirova and Skolska Street. Town of Karlovac will finance setting up purchased goods.	\$18,105	\$6,477	\$11,628	City of Karlovac and Town of Slunj
3.3	Vocational training for cheese and dairy farmers from Zadar County: May/June 2009. 25 participants from small family farms attended the training becoming certified dairy/cheese producers after completing the training.	\$18,379	\$11,553	\$6826	\$3,839 USD Lika-Senj County and \$2,987 USD beneficiaries directly
3.3	Orchard study in Lika-Senj County completed resulting in a catalog of land in Lika-Senj county suitable for various kinds of fruit production. The	\$20,618	\$3,785	\$16,833	Lika-Senj County

	Study was officially accepted by the County Parliament, and will be the basis for future programs of development of fruit growing and incentives for producers.				
3.3	Education on orchard study in Lika-Senj County - series of trainings on orchard study in Lika-Senj County organized for fruit producers in the County.	7,113	5,643	1,470	Lika-Senj County
3.3	Radio Vrhovine Internet Broadcast Vrhovine - By starting Radio Vrhovine and making it accessible on the internet, listeners will secure access to various information on possibilities for return and life in Vrhovine for people who, due to war, are living outside of their home Municipality (mostly abroad).	5,883	1,553	4,330	Serbian Cultural Society "Prosvjeta – subcommittee Vrhovine
3.3	IPARD Conference - how to use EU Pre-accession Agriculture and Rural Development Funds - organized in both Šibenik-Knin and Zadar county, with more than 150 participants in total. IPARD measures and instruments were presented in detail, including examples of best practice.	6,272	4,000	2,272	Croatian Employers' Association – Center for European Pre-accession Processes (HUP-CEPP)
3.3	Needs Assessment of secondary and higher education in Sibenik-Knin County for development of new project in order to fulfil recognized needs of high school pupils and future students.	3,082	2,380	702	Sibenik-Knin County
3.3	Vocational education for cattle-sheep breeders in Lika-Senj County - In cooperation with Lika-Senj County and Croatian Farmers Union, 22 sheep breeders from Lika-Senj County finished a certified training consisting of 130 hours of theoretical and practical lectures.	10,118	7,892	2,226	Lika-Senj County
3.3	Development of LEADER approach in Zadar County - agreement with Zadar County, to support establishment of a local action group in the County, with the aim to form development partnership	33,726	16,863	16,863	Zadar County

	with members from all three sectors in the targeted rural area.				
3.3	LEADER Approach in Šibenik-Knin County – agreement with Šibenik-Knin County, to introduce the LEADER approach to rural development in the county including technical assistance in establishing a local action group.	33,726	16,863	16,863	Sibenik-Knin County
3.3	Completion of documentation for application of business zone Buljme for EU funding - agreement with Udbina municipality to prepare project documentation for municipal business zone in order to enable the municipality to apply for EU funding from IPA IIIc.	96,121	25,295	70,826	Lika-Senj county and Udbina Municipality
3.3	Vocational training for cattle breeders from Sisak-Moslavina County.	30,952	27,329	3,623	Sisak-Moslavina County and direct from beneficiares
3.3	Equipment (milk cooling tanks, measurement machines) and training for small remote farmers in Dvor municipality so that they can collect and sell milk according to Croatian law and regulations.	55,692	29,555	26,137	Municipality of Dvor
3.3	Mecencani Agro Coop - Equipped primary school in Mecencani (Donji Kukuruzari) for newly established pupil's agro-coop with tools and IT equipment. Produced products will be sold on fairs. 142 pupils and 27 staff members	15,705	13,635	2,070	Municipality of Donji Kukuruzari
3.3	IPARD Conference - how to use EU Pre-accession Agriculture and Rural Development Funds - was organized in Sisak Moslavina county, with 54 participants.	5,280	2,070	3,210	Sisak-Moslovina County
3.4	Benkovac Community Center - Former Army Hall to be converted into Community Centre for Youth. Project for conversion being prepared for structural EU funds. MDGF will prepare technical documentation (blueprints and all legal	49,295	27,163	22,132	City of Benkovac

	documents) for conversion. Crime Prevention Council concluded youth center will significantly contribute to social cohesion and community safety.				
3.4	"Outward Bound Centre" in Perusic - Development of youth leadership programme in Lika and Dalmatia and partial reconstruction of facilities of Outward Bound Center in Perusic. Leadership program base on education in nature will include students from 10 high schools in Lika-Senj, Zadar and Šibenik-Knin counties.	68,332	41,407	26,925	Ministry of Science, Education and Sport
3.4	Construction of shelter for bus-stop in Licki Osik – increase safety of commuters through construction of bus lay-by, while the planned bus eaves (of larger dimensions) will provide protection from bad weather conditions and traffic accidents for all inhabitants, especially youth that are using public transport for commuting to nearby Gospic.	24,166	7,002	17,164	City of Gospic
3.4	Arrangement of the polygon for educational programme on traffic security for the Elementary School "Nikola Tesla" and purchase, delivery and installation of bus station shelter in Gracac.	14,175	13,175	1,000.00	Municipality of Gracac
3.4	Purchase, delivery and installation of 8 bus station shelters for settlements in the area of City of Drniš settlements with nearly 3,000 inhabitants, from which the majority travels daily by public transportation to school and work in the area of the City of Drniš. Locations of the bus eaves were selected on the recommendation of the Municipal Council for Crime Prevention to cover the most urgent and high-risk settlements.	23,195	17,595	5,600	City of Drnis
3.4	Replacement of windows in the gym of high school Gračac - recommended by municipal council for prevention, carpentry will be replaced in gym of	10,750	8,740	2,010	Municipality of Gračac

	high school Gračac in order to open it for public use, thereby ensure the possibility for sports activities of local population and ensuring better after-school activities for youth.				
3.4	Water Supply Sunja - Problems of water supply management were identified, analysis of water supply conditions were done and water management Plan with defined project tasks for actions that will lead to optimized system and necessary measures to solve water supply for defined villages around Sunja.	29,325	14,235	15,090	Municipality of Sunja
3.4	Water Supply Vojnic - Problems of water supply management were identified, analysis of water supply conditions were done and water management Plan with defined project tasks for actions that will lead to optimized system and necessary measures to solve water supply of defined villages around Vojnic	37,634	7,453	30,181	Municipality of Vojnic
3.4	Grabrik Schools - Putting protective fence around kindergarten and lighting on part area near elementary school in Grabrik (Karlovac) ensured safer living conditions and better re-integration.	46,841	20,704	26,137	City of Karlovac
GRAND TOTAL		\$607,383	\$310,522	\$296,831	