



[Montenegro UN Country Fund]

GENERIC ANNUAL PROGRAMME¹ NARRATIVE PROGRESS REPORT

REPORTING PERIOD: 1 JANUARY – 31 DECEMBER 2011

<p>Programme Title & Project Number</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Programme Title: DRR, Risk Management and Climate Change Adaptation • Programme Number <i>(if applicable)</i> • MPTF Office Project Reference Number:³ 80995 	<p>Country, Locality(s), Thematic/Priority Area(s)²</p> <p><i>(if applicable)</i> Country/Region Montenegro</p> <hr/> <p>Thematic/Priority Sustainable Economic Development and Environmental Protection</p>
<p>Participating Organization(s)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Organizations that have received direct funding from the MPTF Office under this programme: UNDP received only one portion of 2011 funds so far (UNICEF and UNEP are expected to receive funding with the third tranche of 2011 EFW funds, as does the UNDP in terms of the reminder) 	<p>Implementing Partners</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • National counterparts (government, private, NGOs & others) and other International Organizations
<p>Programme/Project Cost (US\$)</p> <p>MPTF/JP Contribution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>by Agency (if applicable) UNDP received 14,400 USD out of 30,000 USD approved by the Joint Country Steering Committee;</i> 80,000 <i>UNICEF is supposed to receive 20,000 USD and UNEP 30,000 USD. All the amounts were approved by the Joint Country Steering Committee in 2011</i> <p>Agency Contribution</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>by Agency (if applicable)</i> <p>Government Contribution <i>(if applicable)</i></p> <p>Other Contributions (donors) <i>(if applicable)</i></p>	<p>Programme Duration</p> <p>Overall Duration <i>(months)</i></p> <p>Start Date⁴ <i>(dd.mm.yyyy)</i> 06/01/2012</p> <p>End Date (or Revised End Date)⁵</p> <p>Operational Closure Date⁶</p>

¹ The term “programme” is used for programmes, joint programmes and projects.

² Strategic Results, as formulated in the Performance Management Plan (PMP) for the PBF; Sector for the UNDG ITF.

³ The MPTF Office Project Reference Number is the same number as the one on the Notification message. It is also referred to “Project ID” on the [MPTF Office GATEWAY](#)

⁴ The start date is the date of the first transfer of the funds from the MPTF Office as Administrative Agent. Transfer date is available on the [MPTF Office GATEWAY](#)

⁵ As per approval by the relevant decision-making body/Steering Committee.

⁶ All activities for which a Participating Organization is responsible under an approved MPTF programme have been completed. Agencies to advise the MPTF Office.

TOTAL: 80,000

Expected Financial Closure Date

Programme Assessment/Review/Mid-Term Eval.

Assessment/Review - if applicable *please attach*
 Yes No Date: *dd.mm.yyyy*
Mid-Term Evaluation Report – if applicable *please attach*
 Yes No Date: *dd.mm.yyyy*

Report Submitted By

- Name: Milica Begovic Radojevic
- Title: SEDEP Pillar Convener
- Participating Organization (Lead): UNDP
- Email address: milica.begovic@undp.org

(DELETE BEFORE SUBMISSION)

Introduction:

The UN system in Montenegro has developed a comprehensive programme addressing national disaster needs in the country on both national and local level. The programme is based on a National Needs Assessment and capacity assessment, conducted in the mid 2011. The program is an annex to the report.

The programme contributes to the Outcome 3.2 of the Integrated UN Programme 2010-2016, namely: Established system for conservation and sustainable management of natural resources, effective prevention, control and reduction of environmental risks, and enhanced environmental awareness and participation by children, young people and adults.

UNDP/UNICEF/UNEP requested funding from the Expanded UN Window and hence the UN Country Fund Montenegro in order to begin pursuing components of the programme. Namely, UNDP would focus on the local level preparedness; UNICEF would focus on mainstreaming DRR in the education system and increasing capacities for preparedness on the school-level; and UNEP would focus on assessing trans-boundary vulnerabilities of the Skadar Lake.

The existence of a thorough and strategic UN programme in this field and the availability of the Expanded UN Window funding played a catalytic role in Montenegro on a number of levels. One regards the success in obtaining a secondment from the Swedish Government of the Disaster Risk Reduction Chief Technical Adviser to UNDP, who would provide support and technical back stopping in the implementation of the joint programme.

Based on their comparative advantage and in line with the DRR programme, UNICEF and UNDP developed a joint project reflecting the priorities that each agency would tackle and submitted it to USAID for funding (the project is integral part of the report). This joint project received a written endorsement of the US Ambassador in Montenegro. The final verdict on the funding is pending.

In the mean time, as the first tranche of funding was received in January 2012 the activities had only began. The activities are limited due to the fact that the agencies agreed that the first tranche (\$14,400) would be allocated for the start of UNDP's component on the local level preparedness planning. The other two agencies have not yet received the funding.

Therefore instead of reporting on the results, we will report on our plans. We will do so by providing a summary of the joint UNICEF-UNDP proposal submitted to USAID. UNEP's plans remain the same-assessment of vulnerabilities to disasters of the Skadar Lake.

**Request to USAID/OFDA for a New Award
UN System in Montenegro and Disaster Risk Reduction
UNICEF and UNDP**

Applicant Organization Name: United Nations, Montenegro

Headquarters Contact Information:	Field Contact Information
Contact person: Michael Thurman, Conflict Prevention and Resolution Practice Leader, UNDP Regional Centre Bratislava	Contact person: Rastislav Vrbensky, UN Resident Coordinator, UNDP Resident Representative Montenegro
Mailing address: Grosslingova 35, 81109 Bratislava, Slovak Republic	Mailing address: Blvd. Svetog Petra Cetinjskog 1A, 81000 Podgorica, Montenegro
Telephone: +421 2 59337 111	Telephone: +382 225551; +382 69 300070
Fax: +421 2 59337 450	Fax: +382 20 225533
Email: Michael.Thurman@undp.org	Email: Rastislav.Vrbensky@undp.org

Country/Region of Country: Montenegro, 12 municipalities in 3 regions

Submission/Revision date: January 25th 2012

Program Title: Flood Preparedness Plans- reducing the risk of flooding through effective planning

Proposed Start Date: March 25th 2012

Program/Extension Duration: 18 months

Proposal includes the following categories of restricted goods:

Restricted Goods	Check Box if Applicable
Agricultural commodities	n/a
Fertilizer	n/a
Pesticides	n/a
Pharmaceuticals—human and veterinary	n/a
Used equipment	n/a
USG-owned excess property	n/a
Vehicles not manufactured in the U.S.	n/a

Dollar Amount Requested from OFDA \$354,028

Dollar Amount from Other Sources \$203,500

Dollar Value of In-kind Contributions n/a

Total Dollar Amount of Program \$557,528

Program Goal: To reduce the risk of disasters, minimize extent of disaster-related damages and losses on a local level in 12 out of 21 municipalities through development and implementation of flood preparedness plans, including flood risk assessments using the best available international practices and technologies and streamlining DRR in the education sector.

Total Number of Individuals Affected in the Target Area: Some 400,000 individuals live in the targeted municipalities. Those located in the flood prone area affected by the historically worse flood event: 2,044 households/7,239 people/2,318 children/347 elderly and people with disabilities. Under various scenarios, these numbers increase significantly.

Total Number of Beneficiaries Targeted (individuals): from 7,239 to over 50,000.

Total Number of IDP Beneficiaries targeted (individuals) as subset of above: 175 families, or 812 individuals in 3 municipalities located in the northern region

Synopsis:

This program will aim to address the negative impacts of extreme events in Montenegro through addressing a challenge of inadequate capacities on local and national level for reducing the risk of disasters and minimizing the extent of disaster-related damages and losses. Specifically, the program will focus on 12 out of 21 municipalities and strengthen capacities for development and implementation of flood preparedness plans, proceeding from flood risk assessments using the best available international practices, methodologies and technologies and streamlining DRR principles in education sector. This will be the first time that preparedness planning on a local level in Montenegro is conducted and therefore the program will serve as the basis for replication to other municipalities addressing both flooding and other hazards.

Sector Table:

Sector Name:	Natural and Technological Risks
Objective:	To reduce the risk and extent of disaster-related damages and losses through identification and execution of measures aimed at reducing the risk before disaster happens and those aimed at more effective response and recovery after/during disasters, streamlining DRR into education, identification of structural risk mitigation projects with complete involvement of the communities on the local level.
Dollar Amount Requested:	\$184,692
Number of Beneficiaries Targeted:	Some 400,000 individuals live in the targeted municipalities. Those located in the flood prone area affected by the historically worse flood event: 2,044 households/7,239 people/2,318 children/347 elderly and people with disabilities. Out of this population there are some 175 IDP families, or 812 individuals in 3 municipalities located in the northern region.
Number of IDP Beneficiaries Targeted:	Out of this population there are some 175 IDP families, or 812 individuals in 3 municipalities located in the northern region.
Geographic Area(s):	12 municipalities are located in all three regions (map of all 12 municipalities in annex)
Sector-level coordination	No other agencies are involved in DRR capacity building. Nationally, Red Cross is present at all 21 municipalities and their representative participates in works of all municipal crisis teams. For this reason, Red Cross will be invited to all trainings and program activities. Participants from all relevant national level institutions (Emergency Health Care department, Army and Military, Police, etc) will be invited to participate in all exercises that will prototype methodologies and protocols for data collection and dissemination.
Keyword (s)	Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR), Capacity Building/Training
Sub-sector Name:	Disaster Preparedness, Mitigation and Management
Indicator 1:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of people trained in disaster preparedness, mitigation and management • number of methodologies and protocols for risk and disaster-related data collection, storage and analysis developed

Indicator 2:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number and percent of beneficiaries retaining disaster preparedness, mitigation, and management knowledge two months after training • Multi-subject programme on disaster risk reduction and education in emergency developed and introduced in primary and secondary school curricula • Number of teachers trained in DRR and EiE • Standard disaster response plan developed and number of primary and secondary schools in which the plan is adopted
Indicator 3:	Number of hazard risk reduction plans, policies or curriculum developed

Sector Name:	Humanitarian Coordination and Information Management
Objective:	To develop mechanisms for real-time, evidence-based preparedness planning in line with the best international practices and using best available technologies.
Dollar Amount Requested:	\$169,336
Number of Beneficiaries Targeted:	Estimated 60 municipal and ministry level staff
Number of IDP Beneficiaries Targeted:	n/a
Geographic Area(s):	12 municipalities are located in all three regions
Sector-level coordination	The usage of GIS for decision making in the public administration (also in private sector) in Montenegro is in its very early stages. UNDP Montenegro is the sole agency that has provided systemic, continued support since 2003
Keyword (s)	Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR), Capacity Building/Training, Geographic Information System (GIS)
Sub-sector Name:	Information management
Indicator 1:	Number of organizations utilizing information management services
Indicator 2:	Number of products made available by information management services that are accessed by clients

VI. INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	Planned Indicator Targets	Achieved Indicator Targets	Reasons for Variance (if any)	Source of Verification	Comments (if any)
Outcome 1⁷ Established system for conservation and sustainable management of natural resources, effective prevention, control and reduction of environmental risks, and enhanced environmental awareness and participation by children, young people and adults							
Output 1.1 Natural and Technological Risks To reduce the risk and extent of disaster-related damages and losses through identification and execution of measures aimed at reducing the risk before disaster happens and those aimed at more effective response and recovery after/during disasters, streamlining DRR into education, identification of structural risk mitigation	Indicator 1.1.1: Number of people trained in disaster preparedness, mitigation and management; Number of methodologies and protocols for risk and disaster-related data collection, storage and analysis developed	0 people trained 0 protocols for risk and disaster related data collection exist	At least 60 people from municipal administration trained in disaster preparedness, mitigation and management; At least one methodology and protocol for data adopted				
	Indicator 1.1.2 Number and percent of beneficiaries retaining disaster preparedness, mitigation, and management knowledge two months after	0% (no preparedness plans have been developed previously) ;	At least 80% of beneficiaries retaining disaster preparedness, mitigation, and management knowledge two months after training;				

⁷ For PBF: Either country relevant or PMP specific.

<p>projects with complete involvement of the communities on the local level.</p>	<p>training; Number of hazard risk reduction plans, policies or curriculum developed</p> <p>Multi-subject programme on disaster risk reduction and education in emergency developed and introduced in primary and secondary school curricula</p> <p>Number of teachers trained in DRR and EiE</p> <p>Standard disaster response plan developed and number of primary and secondary schools in which the plan is adopted</p>	<p>No multi-subject programme on DRR and EiE ;</p> <p>Multi-subject programme on DRR and EiE not part of curricula for primary and secondary schools</p> <p>20 teachers and education staff strained as trainers in DRR and EiE</p> <p>No disaster response plan in education system; no primary or secondary school has adopted disaster response plan</p>	<p>Multi-subject programme on DRR and EiE for primary and secondary schools developed;</p> <p>the programme on DRR and EiE integrated in school curricula for primary and secondary schools; children in primary and secondary schools learn in more detail about DRR and EiE through different school subjects</p> <p>At least 1 relevant teacher per every primary and secondary school trained in DRR and EiE</p> <p>Standard disaster response plan for schools developed at national level;</p> <p>Disaster response plans adopted in all primary and secondary schools in Montenegro</p>				
--	---	---	--	--	--	--	--

Output 1.2 Humanitarian Coordination and Information Management To develop mechanisms for real-time, evidence-based preparedness planning in line with the best international practices and using best available technologies.	Indicator 1.2.1 Number of organizations utilizing information management services	1 municipality using GIS	At least 10 municipalities using information management services/GIS for disaster management and planning				
	Indicator 1.2.2 Number of products made available by information management services that are accessed by clients	No preparedness plans developed using GIS	At least 12 preparedness plans developed using GIS				