



CISP Final Programme Report



NOVEMBER 2011

Compiled By: Sokheang Hong, Consultant

Contents

List	of Abbreviatio	on	3
CIS	P Program G	Glossary	∠
l.	Introduction		5
II.	Background o	of CISP	ε
III.	Project Pa	rtners	6
3	.1. Nationa	I government partners: MIME, MoC, MoCFA, MAFF	6
3		n Phnom Penh, Ratanakiri, Mondulkiri, Preah Vihear and Kampong Thom	
	3.2.1. Na	tional Non-Government Partners	8
	3.2.2. Pro	ovincial NGO partners	8
IV.	Project Be	neficiaries	g
V.	Project Resul	t	10
VI.	Good Prac	tices and challenges	12
	6.1. Sumn	nary of Good Practices	13
	6.1. Challe	enges and Recommendation	14
VII.	Conclusion	n and Recommendation	22
VIII.	ANNEXES .		2 3
	Annex I.	List of Contacts of NGO Implementing Partners	2 3
	Annex II.A:	Research and study reports conducted by CISP	25
	Annex II.B:	Books, Publication, Training Manual and CDs	27
	Annex II.C:	Reports of Program Management Committee Meeting	28
	Annex III:	CISP Target Areas	29
	Annex IV:	List of Partner's Reports Consulted	30
	Annex V:	PMC Composition/Members	31
	Annex VI:	List of Workshop Participants- 19 October 2011	32

List of Abbreviation

AAC Artisan Association of Cambodia

CANDO Cambodia-NTFP Development Organization

CCC Cambodia Craft Cooperation

CEDAC Centre d'Etude et de Développement Agricole Cambodgien

CISP Creative Industries Support Programme

CLA Cambodia Living Arts

COWS Cambodian Organization for Women Supports

EDI Enterprise Development Institute
FAO Food and Agriculture Organisation
FLD Farmer Livelihood and Development
ILO International Labor Organisation

MAFF Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries

MIME Ministry of Industry, Mine and Energy

MOC Ministry of Commerce

MoCFA Ministry of Culture and Fine Arts

MODE Minority Organization for Development of Economy

MVI My Village International

Nomad RSI Nomad Recherche et Soutien International NTFP-EP Non-Timber Forest Products-Exchange Program

PFC Provincial Field Coordinator

PKH Ponlok Khmer

UNDP United Nations Development Programme

UNESCO United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

RSA Royal School of Administration

VFC Village Focus Cambodia

CISP Program Glossary

Business Development

Services

Business Development Services (BDS) are services that improve the performance of the enterprise, its access to markets, and its ability to compete. The definition of "business development services" includes a wide array of business services, both strategic and operational. BDS are designed to serve individual businesses, as opposed to the larger

business community.

Creative Industries Cultural industries are defined as those industries which produce

> tangible or intangible artistic and creative outputs, and which have a potential for wealth creation and income generation through the exploitation of cultural assets and production of knowledge-based

goods and services (both traditional and contemporary).

All products that are made using locally available materials and Cultural products

drawing upon traditional techniques and methods.

Sensitivity to cultural values (often used when developing or Culturally sensitive

implementing development of health related programs).

Group This term is all encompassing and includes small informal groupings of

producers, membership based groups, networks, associations, NGOs

and social enterprises.

Producer The term includes artists, artisans and performers and within the

program document largely refers to people producing weaved goods,

handicrafts, jars and pottery, resin or non timber forestry products.

I. Introduction

Under the objectives of the Thematic Window for Culture and Development, the joint programme was designed to promote the cultural diversity and heritage of Cambodia with the aim of harnessing the social and economic potential of its cultural assets and products. The substantial potential for diversifying sustainable economic growth and the creation of employment in the creative industries in Cambodia considers largely under-realized, and cultural-based goods and services play a much more limited role – as a catalyst and source of jobs creation, national and local revenues and foreign exchange – than in neighboring countries in the region.

Moreover, in choosing indigenous communities as its primary target, the CISP is in accordance with a number of broader UN initiatives concerning the rights of indigenous peoples and cultures, including: The UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (2007) and the forthcoming World Conference on Indigenous Peoples in 2014. During the most recent International Day of the World's Indigenous Peoples (9 August 2011), UN Secretary-General Ban Ki-Moon called on Member States to help indigenous communities "to protect, develop and be compensated fairly for the cultural heritage and traditional knowledge that is ultimately of benefit to us all".²

The programme was also in line with and contributed to i) the Royal Government of Cambodia Rectangular Strategy, ii) the ratified UNESCO conventions on "World Heritage (1972)³", "Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage (2003)⁴" and "Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions (2005)", iii) the Small and Medium Enterprise Development Framework and iv) the Cambodian Trade Integration Strategy.

To promote both the social inclusion and cultural rights of indigenous peoples and to provide their sustainable income generation and livelihood improvements, the Millennium Development Goals Achievement Fund (MDG-F) with financing from the Government of Spain thus supported the Creative Industries Support Programme (CISP) in Cambodia.

Within the MDG-F, a results-oriented monitoring and evaluation (M&E) strategy was established to measure contributions to the MDGs and multilateralism. The strategy seeks to (i) support programmes to attain development results, (ii) measure contributions to MDG-F objectives, MDGs, and aid effectiveness mechanisms, and (iii) support scaling up and replication of successful programmes through evidence-based knowledge and lessons learned.

¹ Upon recommendation by the mid-term review, the programmefocus has moved to income generation rather that employment creation as indigenous people often have had handicraft works as their employment, but low income due to low market access as a result of quality, design, marketing and production constraints.

²United Nations (n.d.) International Day of the World's Indigenous Peoples, 9 August. Secretary-General's Message for 2011. Retrieved from http://www.un.org/en/events/indigenousday/message_sg.shtml

³ The UNESCO World Heritage Convention was ratified in 1991.

⁴ The UNESCO Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritages was signed in 2006.

II. Background of CISP

Approved in April 2008, CISP has a three-year duration (September 2008-September 2011, and later extended to 30 November 2011), with a total allocation of US\$3.3 million. CISP was designed to contribute to the achievement of three MDGs, two outcomes from the United Nations Development Assistance Framework (UNDAF), and a total of three joint programme outcomes and a number of associated outputs.

The CISP involved four UN agencies—UNESCO as "Coordinating Agency", ILO, UNDP, and FAO—working in partnership with four ministries of the Royal Government of Cambodia (RGC). Each agency and its RGC counterpart work according to their organizational strengths. UNESCO and the Ministry of Culture and Fine Arts (MoCFA) collaborated on outputs and outcomes related to the preservation and promotion of Khmer and indigenous culture, while ILO and FAO—in partnership with the Ministry of Industry, Mines and Energy (MIME), and the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (MAFF), respectively—worked together toward improved income generation and employment creation, community development, and livelihood improvement. UNDP, along with the Ministry of Commerce (MoC), oversaw the commercialization component of the CISP, responsible for marketing cultural products and assets and creating sustainable business ventures.

In order to support the social and economic potential of Cambodia's heritage and diversity, the CISP placed its focus on traditional basket weaving and performing arts, which were added specifically at the request of the Ministry of Culture and Fine Arts during the PMC meeting, in all four provinces, as well as a series of other cultural products and assets specific to the peoples and practices of each area, including: jars and pottery (Ratanakiri); resin production (Preah Vihear, Mondulkiri); and, textile weaving (Mondulkiri, Ratanakiri).

The official start date of CISP was 10 September 2008 lasting for a planned period of three years (September 2011). However, the actual programme implementation could only fully operationalisea year later, December 2009 when staff mobilization was finally completed. The programme was then followed by a literature review, base line study and initial field visits by the project team. Program Field Coordinators, responsible for the coordination and logistical arrangement of activities at the provincial level, began searching for suitable local-level implementing partners in mid-2009. Implementing partners then assisted with the initial scoping visits to local communities, to identify potential target areas and select programme beneficiaries. However, for many implementing partners, contracts and the actual implementation of training activities did not begin until August or September 2010.

III. Project Partners

3.1. National government partners: MIME, MoC, MoCFA, MAFF

The CISP was implemented in partnership with four national Government Ministry counterparts—Ministry of Culture and Fine Arts (MoCFA), Ministry of Industry, Mines and Energy (MIME), Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (MAFF), and Ministry of Commerce (MoC).

The Table 1 below highlights the participating UN organizations, the respective agencies' contributions to the joint programme, their respective government counterparts, and the focus of their CISP-relate activities.

Table 1: Participating UN Agencies, Programme Contributions, Government Counterparts, and Programme Focus

UN Agency	Contribution (US\$)	Government Counterpart	Programme Focus
UNESCO	748,604	Ministry of Culture and Fine Arts (MoCFA)	Cultural preservation and promotion
ILO	941,017	Ministry of Industry, Mines and Energy (MIME)	Income generation / community development / livelihoods
UNDP	818,826	Ministry of Commerce (MoC)	Commercialization
FAO	791,553	Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (MAFF)	Income generation / community development / livelihoods

Source: Project Final Evaluation (Draft Oct 2011)

In addition, Ministry of Women's Affairs and Ministry of Tourism due to the gender mainstreaming aspects and tourism-oriented market of the indigenous products participated in the project through Programme Management Committee as special guests. (For more information about PMC, please see Annex V: Composition/Members of the PMC). Furthermore, the Ministry of Rural Development was involved in the CISP on specific capacity building for the development of the Indigenous People and the preparation of the IP Day. The CISP also collaborated with the National Committee of One Village One Product (OVOP) in assisting producers' groups to register and obtain certification.

3.2. NGOs in Phnom Penh, Ratanakiri, Mondulkiri, Preah Vihear and Kampong Thom

With aims to strengthen the institutional capacity of civil society organizations, building local ownership and sustaining programme activities after the conclusion of the CISP, the joint programme opted a strategy to implement the project activities through national and local non-governmental organizations (NGOs) (See Annex I: Contact of the NGOs). This method was applied as the CISP was designed to strengthen established institutions, rather than create new mechanisms for the promotion of its policies and activities. Thus, implementing partners were selected with their respective strengths in mind, as well as their capability of contributing to the present success and future sustainability of CISP initiatives.

The CISP also relied on the provincial NGOs implementing partners to act as Business Development Services (BDS) Providers. Initially, the CISP was designed to collaborate with business development service (BDS) providers; however, the lack of these providers within the country and specifically at the sub-national level prompting project decisions to further involved NGOs in the form of additional agreements with them to provide both training and mentoring at the community level. These activities were possible because the NGOs have similar target groups and provide similar services, such as training on business skills and marketing, handicraft production, and sustainable natural resource management. The provincial NGOs were also trained by some of the selected National NGOs.

3.2.1. National Non-Government Partners

Artisans Association of Cambodia (AAC) is a membership based organization for crafts producers and sellers in Cambodia and was established in 2001. It is specialised in design and labeling of the existing and improved products. Its roles in the CISP has been mainly assisting local implementing NGO partners to transfer the attained knowledge to assist the target producers with design and improved products' styles in the absence of Business Development Services (BDS) providers in Cambodia. AAC specifically assisted the improved utilisation of local materials, for instance, Lumpeak and TiengTnout in Kampong Thom, and design, styles or coloring in meeting market taste. AAC trained the local NGOs and monitored their implementation based on contractual arrangements with CISP. The training was conducted after a thorough institutional assessment of the NGOs. AAC has been responsible for providing training to provincial NGOs in promoting and creating market linkages of the indigenous products.

Cambodia Craft Cooperation (CCC) was hired t by the CISP to build a new kiln in Veunsai, in the village, in order to replace the ancient kiln located by the river bank. However, this kiln was not successful as it never reached the required 1,200 degrees to produce the same kind of pottery as the ancient kiln.

Cambodia Living Arts (CLA) was engaged by the CISP to support Kompong Chheu Teal High School in collaboration with the Kompong Thom Provincial Department of Culture and Fine Arts. It was mainly to strengthen the high school's performing arts class. CLA had recorded and produced a music CD of the Yeal Lom Group of Artists (Indigenous Tampuon from Ratanakiri province). It also performed at the training on the 2003 Convention and for the Inauguration of the Indigenous handicraft exhibition at the National Museum (with Indigenous artists from Ratanakiri and Mondulkiri).

Enterprise Development Institute (EDI) has been engaged by CISP in managing small grants to producers groups and the local NGOs. EDI assisted the grant recipients in proposal writing and financial accounting. It also trained target groups on overall financial management.

Non-Timber Forest Products-Exchange Program (NTFP-EP) operates in Phnom Penh, Preah Vihear and Mondulkiri. It was engaged by CISP between October 2010 and January 2011 to conduct value chain analysis of resin products. It assists target producers on sustainable collection and processing techniques of the natural resources.

Royal School of Administration (RSA) was engaged by the CISP to conduct technical capacity needs assessment of provincial departments in the four target provinces, organising training workshop sessions on program design, proposal writing and Monitoring and Evaluation. The RSA was also engaged in mentoring the proposal writing process and facilitate the submission of selected proposals to potential funders.

3.2.2. Provincial NGO partners

A number of Provincial NGO Implementing Partners were subcontracted to assist target communities based on their expertise and present in the target areas.

In Ratanakiri, CISP engaged Cambodian NTFP Development Organisation (CANDO) and Center for Study and Development in Agriculture (CEDAC). CANDO is operating in 10 villages, 3 communes of Ochum and Veunsai districts. It was engaged by the CISP for six months between October 2010 and April 2011. CEDAC works 4 villages in two communes in Kon Mon and Voeun Say districts. It was engaged by CISP from August 2010 to June 2011.

In Mondulkiri, CISP worked with three provincial NGOs implementing partners, Nomad Reserche et Soutien International (Nomad RSI), My Village International (MVI), and Village Focus Cambodia (VFC). The Nomad RSI, engaged by the CISP between September 2010 and October 2011, assisted the establishment and management of the Mondulkiri Resource and Documentation Center (MRDC) in Sen Monorom. The MVI worked with resin and handicraft groups in two villages, two commune and two districts between June and September 2011.

In Kompong Thom, CISP has worked with two local NGOs, Minority Organisation for Development (MODE) and Cambodian Organisation for Women Supports (COWS). The MODE was established as a local NGO working with Orphan and vulnerable Children (OVC) and People Living with HIV (PLHIV). With CISP, MODE worked on business support for handicraft producers groups in five villages in two communes, two districts from February to August 2011. The COWS worked with CISP on income generation activities with rattan and bamboo producers in 3 villages, two communes in Prasart Balang district from February to August 2011.

In Preah Vihear, the CISP engaged two provincial NGO implementing partners, Ponlok Khmer (PKH) and Farmer Livelihood and Development (FLD). The PKH has worked on business supports to resin groups in five villages between February 2010 and September 2011. The FLD worked on economic improvement of poor people in ten villages in Preah Vihear.

IV. Project Beneficiaries

The CISP sought to support the inclusion and participation of indigenous and marginalized groups in four of Cambodia's remote Northern and Northeastern provinces, including: Kampong Thom, Preah Vihear, Mondulkiri, and Ratanakiri (Table 2 below). Certain indigenous groups make up the ethnic majority of the provinces they inhabit (e.g. Tampuan, Phnong) and celebrate a nearly autonomous lifestyle from the country's Khmer majority (e.g. Tampuan Kreung, Lao, Phnong), other groups seem far more assimilated into the prevailing Khmer culture and language system (e.g. Kuoy). This assimilation may be due, in part, to a geographic proximity to the majority, or access to reliable roads; however, each of the target groups hold their own distinct language and culture, but with varying degrees of practice.

Thus, in order to support the social and economic potential of Cambodia's heritage and diversity, the CISP has chosen interventions in the areas of traditional basket weaving and performing arts in all four provinces, and a number of other cultural products and assets specific to the peoples and practices of each area, including: jars and pottery (Ratanakiri); resin production (Preah Vihear, Mondulkiri); and, textile weaving (Mondulkiri, Ratanakiri).

Table 2. CISP Target: Geographic Areas, Ethnic Groups, and Cultural Products

Area	Ethnic Group	Cultural Product / Asset
Kampong Thom	- Khmer - Kuoy	Basket weaving, performing artsBasket weaving, performing arts
PreahVihear	- Kuoy	- Basket weaving, performing arts, resin
Mondulkiri	- Phnong (also, Bunong or Pnong)	 Textile weaving, basket weaving, resin; performing arts
Ratanakiri	- Tampuan - Kreung - Lao	Basket weaving, jars and pottery, performing arts, textile weavingBasket weaving, textile weavingJars and pottery

Source: Project Final Evaluation (Draft Oct 2011)

V. Project Result

Considering the initial delay in starting programme activities, the CISP was still seen effective in delivering the majority of its expected outputs. The final project evaluation observed attainment of development outputs while significant progress towards its expected outcomes was made. The programme outputs contributed to: the preservation of Cambodia's heritage, cultural diversity, and living arts while promoting their social and economic potential (Outcome 1); improvements in livelihoods, particularly for indigenous groups and women, from enhanced creative industries (Outcome 2); and, improved commercialization of selected cultural products and services in domestic markets (Outcome 3).

The program has reached out to its target areas and indigenous population. By the end of the program, at least 809 people in more than 60 groups in the four target provinces benefited directly from the project (see Table 5.1. and 5.2: Types and Number of Beneficiaries). The intervention and assistance in handicraft products have been carefully selected by the programme with systematic endorsement from the PMC members.

Table 5.1. Total Numbers by Indigenous Groups

No	Producer		Total		Khmer	Phnong	Kuoy	Kreung	Tumpuon	Lao
INO	Groups	Total	Female	Male	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total
1	Basket	317	199	118	57	34	158	60	8	
2	Jar and pottery	64	42	22					32	32
3	Performing arts	111	56	55	47	17	22		25	
4	Resin	132	48	84		40	92			
5	Weaving	202	202	0		52		135	15	
	Total	826	547	279	104	143	272	195	80	32

Even though the sustainability of CISP interventions was questioned by both project partners at all levels and independent viewers including that of the final program evaluation, it became a concern mainly because of the short time-frame, a three year period, of the joint programme. This short project life span was exacerbated by an almost one-year delay in implementation of the activities due to time-consuming in the start-up and programme team recruitments/assignments. Some of the actual project activities at the local communities began in early 2010, which would constitute an actual implementation

period of approximately 20 months (for the earliest contracts signed). Additionally, due to difficulties in establishing timely contracts with all local implementing partners, some activities initiated implementation as late as August and September 2011, just weeks prior to the close of the joint programme.

However, CISP partners including national government officials, NGOs and the UN agencies have also witnessed potential continuities of the CISP results. For instance, a Cultural Center was established as "Cultural Hub" for indigenous culture preservation and promotion in Mondulkiri called "Mondulkiri Resource and Documentation Center". In Ratanakiri, such a hub was constructed by the CISP and its management will be relied upon the Ministry of Culture and Fine Arts. The Ministry has made clear at different Project Management Committee meetings about its commitment to make the museum running and successful. The CISP has also assisted, though technical expertise, the establishment of an "Eco Global Museum" near the Preah Vihear World Heritage Site complex by contributing to the Museum concept. This latter museum is a national priority, thus CISP believes it will sustain on its own course. Meanwhile the other Cultural Centers in Ratanakiri and Mondulkiri will continue with, perhaps, further assistance from UNESCO and NOMAD RSI.

One cane also claim that CISP sustainability exists in at least two aspects, the continuity of the CISP outputs/results and the continued financial supports from different sources after CISP completion in October 2011. The success contributed to the fact that the programme was also able to identify key barriers, the production and the marketing aspects, of indigenous products, and has tried to use different mechanisms and efforts to address them. There are some signs of success of those interventions even though it is too early to make any conclusion by the time of the project completion.

For instance, there are a number of increased sales and markets of the indigenous products to markets in Siem Reap, Phnom Penh, and the four target provinces of CISP and beyond. The indigenous products, as reported by provincial NGOs implementing partners and CISP team, were popular during various trade fairs and have started to penetrate national and international markets. CANDO, for example, reported that the newly developed and improved quality and designs supported by CISP have now being recognised in Ratanakiri, Phnom Penh and Siem Reap. The "Craft Shop", among others, in Phnom Penh was buying and re-selling the indigenous handicrafts from Ratanakiri. It is reported that the sales have increased up to 18% as a result of CISP's intervention on the improvement of sales and market access. However, it has been acknowledged by the team regarding the limited production capacity and production coordination skills in the fields in response to higher market demands of indigenous cultural products.

In addition, the program has documented and published various programme reports, lessons-learned reports, training materials and manual, and research papers (See Annex IIA and IIB). In overall, the CISP was able to leave behind useful trails for future programme design and intervention in the same or different areas.

The other important outputs that will be sustained include the performing arts in Kompong Cheuteal High School, and the promotion of "Living Human Treasures" which has been imbedded in the Kingdom's legislation through a Royal Decree. In addition, some of the producers' groups such resin and handicrafts have been formally registered. Completing this process will allow them to become a viable business partner for both genuine partnership and formal access to funding or credit. At the same time, efforts on proposal writing, capacity building on monitoring and group managements have expanded the opportunities to local NGOs and producers groups to attract funding from other sources, i.e. UNDP small grants and others. The question is though on the scale and scope of the continuity in the absence of continued programme assistance.

Table 5.2. Beneficary and Products

		Kampong Thom	Preah Vihear	Mondulkiri	Ratanakiri	Total
	Group	9	7	2	14	32
Basket Weaving	Female	124	63	12	0	199
basket weaving	Male	17	11	22	68	118
	Total	141	74	34	68	317
	Group				4	4
Jar & Pottery	Female				42	42
Jai & Follery	Male				22	22
	Total				64	64
	Group	2	1	1	1	5
Performing Arts	Female	27	6	7	16	56
renoming Arts	Male	20	16	10	9	55
	Total	47	22	17	25	111
	Group		5	2		7
Resin	Female		40	8		48
Kesiii	Male		52	32		84
	Total		92	40		132
	Group			2	14	16
Weaving	Female			52	150	202
vvcavilly	Male			0	0	0
	Total			52	150	202
Total		188	188	143	307	826

Source: CISP Data

VI. Good Practices and challenges

The CISP team felt the needs to document experiences and lessons learned among all key stakeholders involved in the implementation of the programme between 2008 and 2011, thus organising a one-day Consultative Meeting on 19 October 2011. The meeting (see Annex VI: list of participants) was specifically designed to draw frank feedback at the end of the programme among beneficiary groups, representatives of implementing NGOs partners based in provinces and those based in Phnom Penh, Government partners, and CISP Provincial Field Coordinators and CISP Coordinator team based in Phnom Penh.

Each group of the participants was thus requested to discuss among themselves the following four areas relevant to the CISP: (1) the results of the project splitting into outputs and impacts of the project, (2) strengths of the CISP, (3) challenges of the project, and (4) recommendation from each group on the CISP.

The summary of the meeting outcomes and those were observed in various programme documents and reports are reflected below.

6.1. Summary of Good Practices

a. Project implementation at grassroots (Beneficiary Group)

The group of beneficiary from Ratanakiri, Mondulkiri, Kampong Thom and Preah Vihear were very informative about the project activities that supported them directly at the community level. Those activities, for them, are considered as the strengths of the project because it helped them gain the knowledge on how to find markets for local products and improve small business activities in their rural areas. They also added that the project trained the right knowledge to them, for instance, in adopting new techniques from producing large-sized products to small ones with higher selling prices. They were able to produce more products with less time. Finally, they claimed that the project trained them to preserve the indigenous culture.

b. Partnership with local government (sub-national institutions including provinces, districts, and communes)

Provincial NGOs reported that the strength of the project was its ability to achieve its overall goal, Culture and Development. Good cooperation with government ministries and provincial departments of the four Ministries, Ministry of Culture &Fine Arts, Ministry of Commerce, Ministry of Industry, Mines & Energy, and Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry & Fisheries, and good collaboration and experiences sharing among the program implementing partners were also stated as the program strengths. The participants also viewed a number of project strengths on the ground including its building of market networks between provinces; ensuring producers groups are supporting one another. Finally, the NGO group claimed that the project contributed to improvements of living standards, culture and tradition, human resources and national resources.

c. Partnership with national governments and NGOs

Participant from the National Government placed the program strengths on good cooperation at all levels of the program stakeholders. The cooperation was classified into cooperation among the four UN Agencies especially the quarterly Program Management Committee meetings, the program cooperation with Government Ministries and local authorities, and its cooperation with NGOs implementing partners. The project strength was also placed on its ability to ensure community participations, working collectively among them, sharing knowledge, conduct regular discussion and feedback sessions and jointly preserving their culture and tradition.

National NGOs representatives considered strengths of the program for the opportunities to share information and experiences among communities, from technical persons to all the program stakeholders at all levels, and also partnership building created with national organisations that have experiences working with communities. They also viewed good cooperation with NGO implementing partners, Kampong Cheuteul High School, and communities as the project strengths. Project implementation was evolved overtime based on lessons-learned and good flexibility of some of the UN agencies such as UNESCO. The participants also viewed that ownership was built within the communities and the implementing NGO partners that some continuity of the project activities will be continued, consistently with the views of the Provincial Field Coordinators team.

d. Joint UN agencies (effectiveness of MDG guidance using joint project modal)

CISP Team-National Coordinators pointed to the effectiveness of the CISP structure as the key project strengths. This structure allows for utilisation of joint skills in implementing the CISP by the UN Agencies (FAO, UNDP, UNESCO, and ILO) and four Government Ministries (MIME, MoCFA, MoC, and MAFF). The four UN agencies were able to work with one principle, i.e. every operation and implementation involved and consulted with all concerned stakeholders, and beneficiaries. Strong commitments from the four UN agencies and with strong facilitation and attention from the UNRC have made this joint framework effective. The joint structure was also instrumental in securing supports and facilitation from the four Government Ministry partners and good cooperation from NGOs implementing partners. The project gained supports, facilitation, and cooperation from authorities at all levels.

Some other common features of the joint team that have made CISP effective include a joint office, joint meetings and joint missions, joint coordination in each of the target provinces, a common database for use, and a common office equipment/facility. The project also has a common identity: common communication and dissemination. The CISP team also added that the project has worked with the right and relevant Goal, Culture and Development.

CISP- Provincial Field Coordinators confirmed most of the project strengths that were raised by CISP national team. Those areas included good cooperation and joint working experiences among the four UN agencies, Government, local authorities, NGO implementing partners and communities. They also added that the project has made the right selection of NGO implementing partners, thus being able to continue the project activities after CISP. They also cited the ability of the project to work in culture preservation by assisting the adoption of the Royal Decree on Living Human Treasure, for instance, as project strength.

6.1. Challenges and Recommendation

With the strengths and achievements, CISP have faced a number of challenges. One of the project intended interventions was to rely on Business Development Service Providers to train producers groups. In the absence of such services, the CISP has opted local NGOs to do the work. In some areas such as Kampong Thom, the NGO field staff acted as mentors. Below are the achievements, challenges and recommendations made by the participants of the final workshop:

A. Beneficiary Group

In addition to the project strengths, the beneficiaries group reported the results of the project (see Table 6.2.1. below) by focusing on what improved in their communities. They emphasised on the improved living standards of the target villagers who have benefited from the project. Those included better knowledge, skills in producing better quality, standard products with less raw materials and higher sale prices. The improvement lead to better income that they linked to better schooling for their children and reduced domestic violence. In addition to the knowledge to preserve indigenous culture, they also claimed the knowledge gained on cash-flow management, production plan, marketing and sale setting.

However, they have also faced a number of challenges in their communities. They reported that communication between people is not so well that they are unable to produce products on time. They also faced difficulties in finding market to sell the finished products. Some citizens lacked self confidence in helping themselves. The hired trainers did not pay enough attention to queries made by some villagers. They also felt that they need follow-up trainings. With the mentioned challenges, they requested continue supports, additional training (namely on weaving), and better communication.

The challenges reported by beneficiary groups were also observed by the different stakeholders during their field monitoring and researches. Artisan Association of Cambodia (AAC) in the process of identifying the NGOs to play critical roles in the DBS to producers' group observed the low capacity of NGO staff and staff turnover among some NGOs implementing partners (CEDAC). The final evaluation report observed the same situation for some NGOs.

Table 6.2.1 Report of Beneficiary Group

Results	Challenges	Recommendation
Improved Living Standards Better income and worked as groups exchanging ideas Better skills producing better products and higher sales. Changed from bamboo baskets to weaving Exchanged experiences across communities. Improved product standards, easy to sell. Selling at a higher price, saving raw materials Know how to plan production, price setting, finding markets. Savings in groups, knowledge on cash flow management Better income, sending children to school, reduced domestic violence Preserved natural resources	 Some citizens still have low self-confidence (estimated at 20%) Communication among producers needs further improvement in order to produce quality products with guaranteed quantity. There is not always market access to the finished products Participation remains low as their ability to take part is limited. Some handicraft trainers on weaving need to be attentive to questions/queries raised by the trainees. Training on business plan is not enough as participants 	Request continued program supports to creative industry for indigenous people. Request authorities having close communication Additional training on business planning Trainers to provide additional trainings on weaving.

B. Provincial NGOs

While participants from NGOs partners raised the programme strengths as discussed above, (Table 6.2.2 below) they also emphasised on the results that have been produced by the programme. Those included at least 50 producers groups that made a total of 917 beneficiaries (database captured 809 indigenous people). The people learned production techniques and marketing. As a result, they have improved the quality of their products and sales, earning higher income and reducing the needs to migrate for works outside the communities. Some of the group members became trainers transferring the products techniques to other community members.

However, the group of provincial NGOs also raised a number of challenges during the project implementation. One of them was that the project life span was too short. They recommended five years. They also raised that the project budget for facilitating NGOs to work in the communities was too small. They recommended the four UN agencies continue supporting the existing NGOs and communities. On the products, they claimed that the sales are expensive for local users and the quality and quantity were not guaranteed and recommended additional training to help producers address the aforementioned constraints.

Table 6.2.2: Report by Provincial NGO Representatives

Results	Challenges	Recommendation
1. Results Achieved 50 producers groups (917persons/496 females created. Trained groups on marketing, production techniques Impacts Community groups gained knowledge on improved products with quality and quantity and marketing Group members are able to provide training to others on techniques Improved incomes through sales of the products Gained employment in the communities, reduced work migration Participated in culture preservation among indigenous communities. Gained solidarity and interest from other community members.	 The project life span is short for local NGOs (it should be at least five years). Budget for facilitating NGOs in the communities is small. The project provides new idea for some communities, which takes time to adapt. Quantity and Quality of Products remainan area for great improvement. Some products are expensive and are not popular among domestic users. They are more for tourists. Coordination among the four UN agencies had gaps in providing (supports) to provincial implementing partners. Facilitation with communities was with numerous steps and policies. Communities find difficult in implementing their activities. Reporting Templates/Forms are different among the four UN agencies Village natural resources are depleting, making some producers inactive. Some of finished products could not be sold, no market. Communities wanted quick result (at the cost of quality). The project ends without leadership at the local level. There has been high turn-over of staff among the National Project Coordinators of the four UN agencies. 	 Report Templates and Policies should be common among the four UN agencies. The 4 UN agencies (should have) similar project to CISP and continue supporting the existing NGOs and communities. Seek new funding to ensure sustainability of the project (budget is responding to the needs of the communities) Provide training on producing products that are responding to the market (in terms of) needs, quality and price. If there will be a next joint programme, there should be only one Programme Coordinator (not having additional Programme Coordinator per Agency).

C. National NGOs

While NGOs claimed a lot of success with the CISP intervention, they also raised some challenges. They pointed that the information sharing and communication among the four UN agencies were not sufficient, thus recommending mechanism for information sharing before activities take place. They also mentioned that the project budget to assist communities was not large enough. Though market potential and information gathering were claimed as success for communities, gaining market access will take time, thus requesting continued supports and expanded time-frame for project interventions.

Table 6.2.3.: Reports by National NGOs Representative

Results	Challenges	Recommendation
1.Results Achieved	There was some gap in	a.Communication and information
Reduced time for non-	information sharing and	sharing system should be
productive activities among	communication among the four	established in advance.

		T
Results	Challenges	Recommendation
 Regained their youthful pride Parents could participate and encourage children School became well known Income generated from the performance Products sold a higher price, higher ordered product volumes Increased networks with outsiders Ability to send children to school Reduced debts Know how to write and collect fund for community business Standards distributed to resin groups Public acceptance of importance of resin Good relations from community to technical staff and national Government. Communities gained access to information and supports in making decision Increased knowledge of potential markets for resin products 	 (UN) agencies. 3-year period was too short as the internal operating system for an inter-agencies project as CISP. This short-time span is a barrier for sustainability of the communities. Selection of target communities had some limitation that some communities complained about internal problems and weak group solidarity. Knowledge sharing and solidarity among some communities are limited. The selection of NGO partners that provided supports to communities was also short-coming. Technical and financial support provided by local NGO partners to target communities were also limited. Exit plan/project completion plan. Finding markets for the products needs time that we have not only reached 100% market potentials. We have only prepared communities for market development. Policies especially on official recognition of artisan association, official and informal fees payments to authority. Challenges in communication with and monitoring to NGO implementing partners by provincial field coordinators. 	 b. Project should be launched with public information sharing c. Project assistance should be continued. d. Clear condition (criteria) to select target communities and NGO implementing partners. e. Reversed fund should have been available for sustainability at the community level and for (implementing) remaining activities as part of project exit/project completion strategy. f. Expanding the time-frame for market development supports to respond to the project goal. g. CISP National Coordinator team should promote policies to support community business and those who provide support to communities. h. CISP Provincial Field Coordinators Team should have received enough information about the project activities and expected results especially on their monitoring roles to the NGO implementing partners and mutual information sharing responsibilities. i. Each UN agency should lead activities related to their expertise and skills in order to assist the NGO implementing partners and to facilitate (coordination) at national level.

D. National Government Implementing Partner (The analysis below has come from only one partner)

The achievements included the preservation of indigenous culture, raised living standards of the target group members, and gained knowledge on the profile of indigenous cultures and museums. The government representative also pointed to the cumbersome of the UN procedures that caused delay in the project implementation. The government felt that cooperation and information sharing were not good enough especially at sub-national levels. It also added that selection of NGOs could have done better by identifying the right NGOs with the right skills in assisting the indigenous communities. The government

also recommended that some Government agencies should be able to implement the some project components directly.

Table 6.2.4: Report by Representative of National Government Implementing Partners

	_	T
Results/Achievements	Challenges	Recommendation
1.Preserved indigenous	1.Technical, Financial and	1.Technical, Financial and
culture	Administrative Issues	Administrative Issues
Through the products	Slow release of project budget for	 Should release the project
Dance: Living Human	implementation	budget on time for
Treasures and Folk	Cooperation with Ministry level:	implementation by focusing
Dances	Ministry is not implementing the	on results rather than
2.Living standards	project fully.	paperwork.
Increased income	2.Cooperation among the 4 UN	Should fund related ministries
through products sales.	agencies	to implement the project fully
Business knowledge and	Not much joint discussions to propose	2. Cooperation among the 4
group management	project(s).	UN agencies
Gained knowledge on	3. Cooperation with Government	Should discuss among
marketing	No close implementation with	themselves to make proposal
Strengthened access to	provincial departments.	3. Cooperation with
domestic and export	Some ministries did not involve with	Government
markets	the project implementation	At the project design stage, all
Increased skills such as	Provincial authority was not aware of	stakeholders should be
weaving and dance	the existence of the project.(Reasons:	included.
Provided women with	they were not involved at the design of	Some concerned ministries
increased opportunities to	the project)	should implement the project
earn income.	4. Cooperation with 4 NGOs	directly.
Expanded the growing of	Some skills were not assisted with	4. Cooperation with 4 NGOs
natural resources/raw	guidance (For instance, making kiln,	Should identify the right
materials.	there was no NGO with the right skills	NGOs with the right expertise
Common office space to	to do it.)	and skills.
work together	Exploiting communities- buying from	Eliminate exploitation on the
Museum to present	them at lower price, and selling at a	target groups.
indigenous culture.	high price.	5. Participation of
Promoted tourism	5. Participation of beneficiary	beneficiary
	Envy between community in the group	Select skillful group
Increased productivities	and those who are not.	leadership by providing
Improved good relations hatween communities	Some community members did not	training on group
between communities	have commitments.	management.
 Disseminated indigenous cultures and tradition. 	Exploiting efforts of other community	Encourage by addressing
cultures and tradition.	members.	various challenging issues.
1		- anodo ondironging loodos.

E. CISP Team- National

The CISP national team raised a number of programme achievements related to culture preservation and values, increased living standards and livelihood, promoted gender, preserved and used natural resources, and partnership building between UN, Government and NGOs. At the same time, they

also raised the program short-comings including the four different UN systems, short-project time frame given the delayed process during Inception Stage, more time spent on facilitation, and some important start-up such as baseline study and target areas identification were taking a lot of time.

They also made several recommendations: a joint program dealing with UN reforms should not be less than five years; joint UN should be followed by joint staff recruitment and management under a common joint Programme Manager. They recommended that all stakeholders should be consulted during the program designs stage. They also emphasised on the needs for the UN agencies to ensure continuity of what have already been achieved under the CISP.

Table 6.2.5. Report by CISP National Team

Results/Achievements Challenges Recommendation 1. Culture What challenges have For future project encountered during the project Realised and acknowledged implementation implementation: indigenous identity • Ensure joint office and joint • IP has higher pride than • The project has used different working framework before. administrative, financial systems Joint staff recruitment to • Public understands the IP of the 4 UN agencies. ensure smooth project culture value than before • Project Duration is not implementation through reports, appropriate, short. • Ensure joint report templates dissemination, publication and • Time spent on facilitation causes for the project visits, and trade fairs. delay especially at the project Project involved with the UN Culture linked with natural start up (phase). reform (one UN) and resources and markets • Time given for assessment and development should not be • Preserve cultures through evaluation of target villages was less than five years. transferring knowledge from short and was not done at the • Project design has to consult one generation to the next. beginning of the project. (Not with various institutions and • The Government's recognition effective) target groups so broadly that through the adoption of the A lot of time was used for office special features/identify (such Royal Degree on Living preparation and recruitment of as cultures, tradition and Human Treasures (LHT). project officials following each of languages, etc), challenges Strengthened solidarity and needs of the communities the UN agencies. between indigenous are understood. Transferring of budget from UN communities. (HQ) to each UN agency at • Project design (activities, 2. Living duration, budget) must Country level was slow. Standards/Livelihoods Each UN agency transferred respond to challenges and Provided option to increased needs (of the identified budget to NGO partners slowly income beneficiaries) due to complex administrative Strengthened ownership and financial system(s). • Provide skills training to Strengthened power and living project staff, sub-national Capacity of NGO partners is conditions limited, affecting the quality of staff, NGO implementing • Increased income leading to partners, and government work, slow and challenging in secured food, schooling and officials. report writing (in English) health etc) Continued activities that have Information sharing between • Strengthened food security, started by the UN agencies or communities to national level was increased nutrition under Ministry Framework, for slow. 3. Gender

Selected NGO partners received

instance, living treasures

Results/Achievements

- Women gained opportunities to generate family income.
- Women have social recognition.
- · Gained self confidence
- Association had artisan skills and business
- Men recognized women's roles.

4. Natural Resources

- Sustained use of natural resources (raw materials)
- Participated in sustaining raw materials
- Strengthened growing mentality
- Help the communities and public understand the value of natural resources

5. Government & NGO partners

- Learned the Conventions (from 2003-2005)
- Learned the Royal Degree of LHTs
- Officials/Staff learned the proposal writing
- Officials/Staff understand the business-related laws
- Increased networks from communities, local level to provincial and to national level.

Challenges

- funding to implement activities from numerous donors, making facilitation difficult.
- Changing of project staffs among UN agencies and NGO partners affecting the project operations.
- Arranging meetings and workshops with communities were too frequent, disturbing the time for business activities (lacking coordination during activity plan preparation)
- Target villages and infrastructure (road) are in remote area, (staff and trainers) spend a lot of time in travelling.
- Some of the Government Ministry partners have not understood their roles in the CISP project.
- Timing and budget are not relevant to the project ambitions.
- General environment is not conducive to the project and communities (for example, forest land has become in the zone of an Economic Land Concession).
- The introduction of semiautonomous/modern tool such as kiln and weaving loom were either irrelevant or unable to reach/improve the efficiency or traditional tools.

Recommendation

- program and the program on democratic development at sub-national level, in order to ensure success and sustainability.
- UN leadership should explain their respective Operations and Finance staff about the essence of the (CISP) project.
- Ministries should appoint
 Focal Points working in the
 relevant technical
 departments so as to be able
 to join the programme
 implementation.
- DSA rate provided to Government officials should be at the same rates as UN staff.
- NGO implementing partners should have the will to implement the contract agreed with the project.
- To ensure sustainability of the production, replanting and protecting natural resources as raw materials must be ensured.
- Should have continued dissemination of project identity and project activities.

F. CISP Team - Provincial Level

CISP Provincial Field Coordinator team mentioned the opportunities that CISP has made for UN agencies, Government and NGOs to work together. They also claimed good participation from local authorities that lead to some communes incorporated the culture preservation activities in their Commune Investment Programs. They added that people were able to produce more, sell at higher prices, find markets, do planning, and build confidence. At the same time, they also raised a number of challenges including the 4 UN agencies with different policies and procedures, not adequate information sharing, minimal meetings between national and provincial CISP teams, and the project short time frame. They recommended that UN should have a common policy and procedures including budget; regular program

meetings and a joint action plan among all joint UN agencies; and the CISP should have at least 5 years life.

Table 6.2.6: Report by CISP Team

Results/Achievements	Challenges	Recommendation
 The four Departments and Government Ministries and four UN Agencies and NGOs had to opportunities to work together. Local authorities participated well with the CISP, resulting in incorporation of the culture preservation activities into some Commune Investment Plans. Created producers groups and dance groups making jobs and income. Group members were trained and participated in exchange visits in the provinces, across provinces and outside the country. Created market networks Producers groups know how to set sale price, production plan and sale. They are able to preserve, use and replant natural resources. Producer groups are courageous in expressing their comments, help one another and solidarity. Products Fairs: provided opportunities to show community products, traditional ways of making products; and encouraged producers to improve and increase their products. Groups have by-laws and internal rules that are recognised by village/communes, savings and revolving fund. 	 Four UN agencies with 4 different policies including division of labors, budget and administration, which results in: Partner NGOs write reports several times fulfilling each UN agency Slow decision making causes delays in implementing action plans Top-down decision making results in in-corresponding activities to the action plans and duplicating them. Duplicating activities result in wasting community's time including that of producers. For some activities, national project coordinators or NGO partners did not coordinate with provincial field coordinators. National CISP office did not disseminate most of the Study Reports/Research Finding to provincial level. Meeting between national and provincial levels were minimal (due to budget constraints). The planned regular meetings every two months or at least PFCs participating in the PMC meetings could not be implemented. DSA for PFCs to attend meetings in Phnom Penh were not planned in the programme design. The project is too short that producer groups are not strong by the time the project ends. 	 Joint UN work should have a joint policy and a common budget for the project implementation. Regular Meetings should be arranged between national and provincial project implementers A joint action plan among UN agencies should be in place to avoid duplicate activities and release communities from frequently getting busy with visitors. CISP should have at least 5-year period and budget for business capital to all groups that are formed.

VII. Conclusion and Recommendation

Most participants raised strategic areas of project strengths and results. Those include the appreciation of joint efforts in working towards the achievements of the project results including preserving the living treasures, and the opportunities to share lessons and experiences among implementing partners and across indigenous communities. They claimed the achievement of the relevant program Goal of Culture and Development.

Specifically, the strength of the project was information and experiences sharing among UN agencies, between UN and Government partners, and between key players in the provinces. NGO participants specifically emphasised the ownership on the project activities within the communities and market networks established between provinces. The strength of the project is also laid on the flexibilities in responding to emerging needs.

All participants, however, concluded that the CISP life-span was too short and recommend it be at least a five-year program life given the complexity of joint programmes. They related this concern to the aim of maintaining sustainability of the programme results. This aforementioned observation and note have been consistently raised by the evaluation report, monitoring report and most concerned programme stakeholders. The most raised challenge related to the project life is about the community sustainability, market access, the needs for additional training, follow-up and monitoring. They claim that more time is required for market development and market penetration has just started.

Another issue, raised by project implementing partners at all levels, was communication/information sharing which has produced some un-intended frustration and misunderstanding among stakeholders including duplicated work on the ground due to limited coordination. Government focal point, NGOs and CISP team suggest improvements in this aspect.

Participants also raised issues related to the UN rules and procedures that are different among the four UN agencies, often unnecessary prolonged and duplicated efforts, and made recommendation for a harmonised and common system to facilitate such a complex joint program like CISP in the future. They also recommended interventions from the respective Country Representative of each of the UN agencies in ensuring their administrative and financial persons facilitate the efforts behind this joint programme. This matter was also raised by the final project evaluation.

Sandra Yu, ILO Local Strategy Specialist of Decent Work Porgramme concluded the meeting that while participants raised very important issues of the project life span, UN is having normally 3 or 4 years project. If we are lucky, we are having continued supports. We should, however, present ourselves that we are not there supporting communities forever. When we promote livelihood activities, but we might not know whether they will be able to continue living there. What remained will be the Government, NGOs and communities. For us, when we promote land, we also promote their rights to use the land. It's the direction of us (UN and NGOs) in the future. It is important that we promote living treasures, but it is also important that the children and the next generation are also promoting their own cultures. If we promote products, it is important that producers produce what they can sell. Association and NGOs have the responsibilities to assist them to produce what they can sell.

VIII. ANNEXES

Annex I. List of Contacts of NGO Implementing Partners

Location	Implementing Partners
National (Phnom Penh)	Artisan Association of Cambodia (AAC) No. 11b, Street 240, Phnom Pen Mr. Men Sinoeun, AAC Executive Director, aacinfo@online.com.kh/aac@online.com.kh Cambodia Crafts Cooperation (CCC) Office: #21C,Street 371, SangkatTeokThla, Khan Sen Sok, Tel./Fax 023 986239 or 011 984879 Mr. SEUNG Kimyonn, Executive Director, ccc_kimyonn@online.com.kh http://www.cambodian-craft.com/ Cambodia Living Arts (CLA) No. 128-G9, Sothearos Boulevard, Tonle Bassac, Khan Chamcarmon, Attn: Mr. Song Seng, Tel: 012 583 891, Email: seng@cambodianlivingarts.org Enterprise Development Institute (EDI) Attn: Mr. Vann Piseth, Training Team Leader No. 13c, Street 185, Sangkat TomnupToeuk, Chamcarmon Tel:012 828 698, Email: pedicambodia@gmail.com; website: www.enterprisedi.org Non-Timber Forest Products-Exchange Program (NTFP-EP) No. 10E0, Street 420, Khan Chamcarmon, Phnom Penh Attn: Tel: 023 727 407, 012 938 417
Kampong Thom Preah Vihear	Minority Organization for Development (MODE) No. 14, Street 1, Kampong Thom, Steung Sen District, Attn: Peanh Sinal, Tel: 012 94 79 24, Email: sinalpeanh@yahoo.com Cambodian Organization for Women Supports (COWS) Ms. Chum Chanputheavy, Executive Director, Tel: 012 784 122 Ponlok Khmer (PKH) Thmey Village, Kampong Pranak commune, PreahVihear town, Preah
	Vihear province. Attn: Mr. Ang Cheat Lom, Executive Director Tel: 064 96 51 51, Mobile: 012 51 79 43 Email: cheatloma@ponlokkhmer.org / cheatlom@yahoo.com Farmer Livelihood and Development (FLD) Mr. Yem Phalla, Project Manager No.1, Street 323, Sangkat BoeungKak II, Tuol Kork, Phnom Penh
Mondulkiri	Nomad Recherche et Soutien International (Nomad RSI) Attn: Ms. Brigitte Nikles, MRDC Manager

Location	Implementing Partners	
	- My Village International (MVI) Mr. SimYoura, Executive Director - Village Focus Cambodia (VFC)	
Ratanakiri	Cambodia-NTFP Development Organization (CaNDO) Contact: Mr. Heang Sarim , Tel: (+855) 92 286 383 Email: sarimheang@yahoo.com or candodevelopment@gmail.com Center d'Etude et de Développement Agricole Cambodgien (CEDAC)	
	, and a substitution of the substitution of th	

Annex II.A: Research and study reports conducted by CISP⁵

No	Date	Туре	Title	Consultant	Done by
1	Dec-09	Survey	Creative Industry Value Chain Analysis	Emerging Market Consulting	UNDP
2	Mar-10	Research	M & E framework	Annie Hurlstone	UNDP
3	Apr-10	Strategy	CISP Communications and Advocacy Strategy Mai Ling Turner		UNDP
4	May-10	Research	Tourism and Handicraft Initial Scoping Study	ShareeBauld	UNDP
5	Aug-10	Research	Analysis on Trade Related Legislation/Procedures	Bun Youdy	UNDP
6		Research	Handicraft Market Demand Assessment	Business Development Link	UNDP
7	Oct 2011	Research	Sales and Market Access Creative Industries Support Programme	Kuysrorn SENG	UNDP
8	Aug-10	Evaluation	CISP mid-term evaluation	BobeBoase	MDG-F secretariat
9	Feb-09	Survey	Baseline surveys on four target provinces	CORD	ILO and FAO
10	Jul-05	Research	Operationalizing gender aspects in the CISP	Manuela Bucciarelli	ILO
11	Jul-10	Evaluation	CISP partner SWOT analyses	AAC	ILO
12	Jul-10	Research	Community Resource Assessment for Livelihood Action Planning	A.L.Cruz	UNESCO
13		Research Publication	Sambor Prei Kuk et le bassin du Tonle Sap (in French and Khmer Edition)	Bruno Bruguier and Juliette Lacroix	UNESCO
14		Research Publication	Preliminary Research on Cambodian Minority Language (in French and Khmer Edition)	Jan Michael Fillipi	UNESCO
15	Oct-11	Research Publication	Aspects de la culture traditionnelle des Bunoong du Mondulkiiri (Aspects of Bunoong's Traditional Culture in MondulkiriFrench and Khmer Edition)	Sylvain Vogel	UNESCO
16		Research Publication	Kuay: a vocabulary with historical comments (English)	Gérard Diffloth UNESCO	
17		Research Publication	Voix du Mondulkiri (French and Phonetics)	Sylvain Vogel UNESCO	
18	Aug-2011	Research Publication	Market Scan for Dipterocarpus Oleoresin	Nola Andaya-Milani NTFP- EP/UNDP	

⁵ For further information and availability of the research paper, project reports and documents, please contact: Mr. **Kilian, Blaise, Email:** *b.kilian@unesco.org*

No	Date	Туре	Title	Consultant	Done by
19	Aug-2011	Research Publication	Characterization and End Uses of Dipterocarpus Liquid Resins (<i>D. alatus</i> and <i>D. intricatus</i>) from Cambodia	Study Leader: Mariluz SP. Dionglay Co-researchers: Rebecca B. Lapuz Rowena E. Ramos Audel V. Mostiero	
20	Sept 2011	Research	Prom Tola		NTFP- EP/UNDP

Annex II.B: Books, Publication, Training Manual and CDs

No.	Date	Title	Published by	Potential for circulation	Circulated to
1.	July- 10	Market Demand and sales potential of souvenirs and utility handicraft in the Siem Reap Market	UNDP	Yes	
2.	Aug- 10	Market Strategy for NGO Partners	UNDP	Yes	
3.	Oct- 10	Market Assessment Study on Products made by Indigenous People of Cambodia	UNDP	Yes	
4.	Oct- 10	Legal Training Needs Assessment	UNDP	Yes	
5.	Sept-	Broucher of Indigenous Crafts of Cambodia	UNDP	Yes	
6.	Aug- 09	Contents of the National Consultation on the Elaboration of the Royal Degree establishing a Living Human Treasures System in Cambodia	UNESCO	Yes	
7.		Initial research on Ethnic minority languages in Cambodia	UNESCO	Yes	
8.		2003 Convention on the Protection of the intangible and cultural heritage and its basic texts in Khmer	UNESCO	Yes	
9.		Guidelines for the Establishment of a Living Human Treasures system-in Khmer. Reprinted to answer more demand from MOFCA.	UNESCO	Yes	
10.		Information kit on the 2005 Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions-in Khmer	UNESCO	Yes	
11.		CDs: "Songs from the Lake" produced by CISP-Cambodia Living Arts	UNESCO	Yes	
12.		CDs: "Songs from Mondulkiri" produced by CISP and Patrick Kesalé	UNESCO	Yes	
13.	Aug- 10	Improving your exhibiting skills: A training guide	ILO	Yes	
14.	10- Jun	Financial Literacy	ILO	Yes	
15.	June	Starting a Handicraft Business	ILO	Yes	
16.		Indigenous People Handicraft Catalogue by ILO	ILO	Yes	

Annex II.C: Reports of Program Management Committee Meeting

No.	Date	Title	Published by	Potential for circulation	Circulated to
1	Aug-09	Report	Report on the 1st Program Management Committee Meeting		UNESCO
2	Nov-09	Report	Report on the 2nd Program Management Committee Meeting		UNESCO
3	Mar-10	Report	Report on the 3rd Program Management Committee Meeting		UNESCO
4	Jun-10	Report	Report on the 4th Program Management Committee Meeting		UNESCO
5	Sept-10	Report	Report on the 5th Program Management Committee Meeting		UNESCO
6	Jan-11	Report	Report on the 6th Program Management Committee Meeting		UNESCO
7	Apr-11	Report	Report on the 7 th Program Management Committee Meeting		UNESCO
8	Aug-11	Report	Report on the 8 th Program Management Committee Meeting		UNESCO

Annex III: CISP Target Areas

N	Province	District	Commune	Village
1		1.Sambor	1.Sambor	Sambo
2		1.5411001	1.54111001	Kampong Cheuteal
3				Ngorn
4		2.Sandann	2.Ngorn	Rovieng
5	Kampong Thom			Veal PringLeu
6				Srae
7		2 Droopt Bolong	3.Salavisai	Korky
8		3.Prasat Balang		OaKroach
9				MarakKor
10				PuTru
11			4.Senmonorom	PuHsiam
12		4.0'Rang		AndongKraleung
13	Mara alcallatat		5 Daladam	PuTreng
14	Mondulkiri		5.Dakdam	Pu Les
15		5.0	0.0.1.1	Lao Ka
16		5.Senmonorom	6.Sokdom	Pu Tang
17		6.Pichrada	7.Busra	Phum 6
18				Romcheck
19			8.Romany	SreThnung
20		7.Rovieng	ontomany	Or– Pur
21			9.Raksa	Donma
22			10.Por	Por
23				SrePreang
24		PreahVihear 8.Tbeng 9.Meanchey		Bosh Thom
25	PreahVihear		11.Prame	Prame
			12.Preah	
26			Khlaing	Krangdon
27		10.Cham Khsan	13.Pring Thom	Krolapease
28			14.Sangke Pir	Kroload
29		11.Cheb		Bosh
30			15.Malu Prey Pir	PreahKa – Ork
31			16.O'Chum	LaeunKren
32		12.O'Chum	17.Poy	Kres
33				Ta-Ngach
34				Kancheung
35				Koy
36				Mass
37	Ratanakiri			Roc
38				Trark
39		13.Veunsai	18.Kok Lac	La-Lai
40				KorngNork
41				La-Meuy
42			19.Toeun	LaenSre
43		14.Konmom		LaenCamkar
44				Pakalann
45		15.Veunsai	20.Pakalanh	Kampong Cham
70		1		Tampong Chain

Annex IV: List of Partner's Reports Consulted

In additions to individual consultants' reports (Annex IIA above), the following internal project partners' reports were consulted.

Affiliates	Partners' Reports
Partners' Reports to FAO	CEDAC- Terminal Report October 2011
	COWS- Terminal Report October 2011
	MVI- Terminal Report October 2011
	MODE- Terminal Report October 2011
	PKH- Final Report
Partners' Reports to ILO	AAC- January- April 2010 to ILO
Mission Reports	AAC- July 3-7, 2010
Training Reports	AAC- Institutional Analyses in Rattanakiri Province, 13-17 March 2010
	CANDO- Progress Report for April to June 2011
Partners' Reports to UNDP	AAC- Promote and Creation of Market Linkages of Culture Products, Kampong Thom Province, As of July 12 th , 2011
	RSA- Technical Needs Assessment and training workshops, February 2011
	RSA-Coaching Sessions Report May 2011
	RSA- Coaching Sessions (Proposal Writing) Report May 2011
	RSA- Final Report June 2011
	CANDO- Final Report
	EDI- Final Report October 2011
Partners' Reports to UNESCO	Nomad RSI, Completion Report October 2011

Annex V: PMC Composition/Members

Programme Management Committee (PMC):

Chairperson: Mr. Douglas Broderick, United Nations Resident Coordinator in Cambodia **Moderator:** Mr. Philippe Delanghe, Head of Culture Unit, UNESCO Phnom Penh

Office

Secretariat: UNESCO Phnom Penh Office

Members: Ministry of Culture and Fine Arts (MoCFA); Ministry of Industry, Mines

and Energy

(MIME); Ministry of Commerce (MoC); Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry

and Fisheries

(MAFF); UNESCO, ILO, UNDP, FAO

Special guests: Ministry of Rural Development

Ministry of Tourism

Ministry of Women's Affairs

Technical guests:

H.E. Mr. Ok Sophon, General Director of Cultural Techniques, Ministry of

Culture and Fine Arts

Mr. Chan Bunnarith, Assistant to the Director of Studies and Internships,

Royal School of Administration (ERA)
Mr. Nav Chantha, Marketing Officer, CANDO

Mr. Sun Youra, Executive Director, My Village Organization (MVI)

Mr. Vao Sovang, Director of the Research and Development Department,

OVOP National Committee

Annex VI: List of Workshop Participants- 19 October 2011