

Section I: Identification and JP Status Feeding the Children of Afghanistan Together

Semester: 2-10

Country Thematic Window MDGF Atlas Project	Afghanistan Children, Food Security and Nutrition
Program title	Feeding the Children of Afghanistan Together
Report Number	
Reporting Period	2-10
Programme Duration	
Official Starting Date	2010-01-01
Participating UN Organizations	* FAO * UNICEF * UNIDO * WFP * WHO
Implementing Partners	* FAO * MAIL * MoPH * UNICEF * UNIDO * Universities * WHO

Budget Summary



Total Approved Budget	
FAO	\$3,665,178.00
UNICEF	\$511,266.00
UNIDO	\$475,825.00
WFP	\$149,456.00
WHO	\$195,275.00
Total	\$4,997,000.00
Total Amount of Transferred To Date	
FAO	\$1,244,919.00
UNICEF	\$147,981.00
UNIDO	\$138,859.00
WFP	\$44,837.00
WHO	\$56,630.00
Total	\$1,633,226.00
Total Budget Commited To Date	
FAO	\$257,959.00
UNICEF	
UNIDO	\$111,171.00
WFP	
WHO	\$52,633.00
Total	\$421,763.00
Total Budget Disbursed To Date	
FAO	\$103,244.00
UNICEF	\$1,300.00
UNIDO	\$27,688.00
WFP	\$0.00
WHO	\$52,633.00



Total

\$184,865.00

Donors

As you can understand, one of the Goals of the MDG-F is to generate interest and attract funding from other donors. In order to be able to report on this goal in 2010, we would require you to advise us if there has been any complementary financing provided in 2010 for each programme as per following example:

Amount in thousands of U\$

Туре	Donor	Total	For 2010	For 2011	For 2012
Parallel	511266				
Cost Share					
Counterpart					
DEFINITIONS					

DEFINITIONS

1) PARALLEL FINANCING – refers to financing activities related to or complementary to the programme but whose funds are NOT channeled through Un agencies. Example: JAICA decides to finance 10 additional seminars to disseminate the objectives of the programme in additional communities.

2) COST SHARING – refers to financing that is channeled through one or more of the UN agencies executing a particular programme. Example: The Government of Italy gives UNESCO the equivalent of US \$ 200,000 to be spent on activities that expand the reach of planned activities and these funds are channeled through UNESCO.

3) COUNTERPART FUNDS - refers to funds provided by one or several government agencies (in kind or in cash) to expand the reach of the programme. These funds may or may not be channeled through a UN agency. Example: The Ministry of Water donates land to build a pilot 'village water treatment plant' The value of the contribution in kind or the amount of local currency contributed (if in cash) must be recalculated in US \$ and the resulting amount(s) is what is reported in the table above.

Direct Beneficiaries

Indirect Beneficiaries



Section II: JP Progress

1 Narrative on progress, obstacles and contingency Measures

Please provide a brief overall assessment (250 words) of the extent to which the joint programme components are progressing in relation to expected outcomes and outputs, as well as any measures taken for the sustainability of the joint programme during the reporting period. Please, provide examples if relevant. Try to describe facts avoiding interpretations or personal opinions

Progress in outcomes

Outcome one -reduction of undernutrition at the district level. – Provinces/Districts for JDMG have been selected in each province. Badakshan- Khash & Wakhan; Bamyan-Punjab & Waras Daikundi-Ashtralay & shahristan Nangarhar-Shewa & Shurkhrud Kabul- 7 & 13

During inception workshop planned on Jan 22 & 23,2011, the selected provinces will discuss how JDMG can be implemented in these selected districts

Competitive bids for baseline survey invited

Outcome two- . foundations for nutrition and food security activities

JTAC participated in the 2 day MOPH planning meeting on developing strategic directions for public health nutrition interventions for next 5 years in Dec 2010.

A comprehensive and sustainable response to the nutrition situation in Afghanistan requires a coordinated approach involving several Ministries of the Government of Afghanistan, working in partnership with the private sector and civil society. MOPH has requested development partners for technical support to develop a multi-sectoral national plan of action that will enable a coordinated response to the nutrition challenge. JDMG is supporting gaged in drafting a multi-sectoral national plan of action for food and nutrition security. A National consultant has been recruited for this purpose for 5 months who will work closely with an international consultant recruited by the World Bank

supporting annual workplan development of Public nutrition department.

Contacts have been made with the Ministry of Education to include nutrition education in schools as part of existing curricula & Higher education to offer academic courses in nutrition & food security and with Kabul Medical univ/agriculture univ for offering in service/pre service training courses for building capacity of nutrition workforce.

Attracting partnerships for capacity building with existing EC project support in 2 provinces selected by JDMG (Daikundi & Nangarhar) and USAID for 3 provinces (Badakshan,



Bamyan & Kabul).

UNICEF is implementing similar projects as outcome-1 from other funding sources in the same MDG-F selected provinces which are directly contributing to the objectives of MDGs. These projects are:

•Implementation of minimum package of maternal, newborn and child health and nutrition services in two districts of Daikundi, Meramor and Khider.

•Implementation of Integrated maternal, child health and nutrition services (IMCHN) in Panjab, Waras, and Yakawlang districts and center of Bamyan.

•Implementation of Supplementary feeding program for pregnant and lactating women along with IMCHN services in Panjab, Waras, and Yakawlang districts and center of Bamyan in collaboration with WFP

•Implementation of community based management of severe acute malnutrition in Yamangan, Wardoj, Zebak, eshkashem, wakhan, Jerm, Keshem, Tagab, Yaftal Payeen, Raghistan, Yawan an Kohistan districts of Badakhshan

•Eunctional TFUs in Badakhshan, Bamyan, Daikundi, Nangarhar and Kabul provinces.

•Infant and Young Child Feeding program including conduction of Breast Feeding Counseling Courses to midwifes, nurses, and doctors, establishment of community mother support groups and Breast feeding corners in two districts of Baharak and Jerm in Badakhshan

Progress in outputs

1.4: CMAM

Development of National protocol for inpatient & community based management of acute malnutrition Capacity building of 32 provincial nutrition officers for implementing/monitoring CMAM

1.5: quality of the nutrition support

During inception workshop planned for Jan 22 & 23, provinces selected for JMDG will recommend how quality of nutrition activities can be improved in districts selected for JMDG implementation,

1.6: Increased household food production and income Synergy with FAO livelihood project in Nangarhar province will help to increased household food production and income

1.7: baseline survey / project monitoring

TOR for baseline survey has been posted to invite competitive bids

Partnership with government monitoring department of MOPH & MAIL are explored to ensure that JMDG monitoring plan can be integrated in the government monitoring system

2.1- Policies, strategic frameworks

Partnership with national stakeholders on nutrition & food security established to translate nutrition policy into national plan of action on nutrition (multisectoral) which clearly identifies role of various sectors, National consultant recruited by JMDG who will work in close cooperation & guidance of international consultant which is recruited by the World Bank



2.2 Effective coordination mechanisms for the promotion, supervision, and implementation of nutrition and food security interventions at central and provincial levels are established

2.3 : TOR for national curriculum advisor has been posted to recruit national curriculum advisor for curriculum development. Linkages with Wageningen University (Netherlands) are being explored to offer short term (in service training) and long term academic courses to provide skilled human resource in nutrition and food security in sustainable way. Support from Ministry of Higher education is explored

Measures taken for the sustainability of the joint programme

Government ownership of JMDG programme & staff

All the project activities will be implemented through local institutions, government and non-governmental. Joint MDG will add value to ongoing projects

Capacity-building (of civil servants, NGO staff, and community members) will be an integral part of all project activities, combining trainings with on-the-job learning.

At the community level, the project will work in partnership with organizations that are facilitating the establishment of self-help groups, small-scale enterprises, women's cooperatives, and other community-based organizations, so as to integrate food security, nutrition and livelihoods in field-level institutions

Promoting interventions that build on local capacities and resources - The types of food security interventions that will be promoted through this project will build upon the Afghan population's existing skills and resources, making sure they are adapted to the local environment and can be environmentally sustainable

Are there difficulties in the implementation?

Administrative / Financial

What are the causes of these difficulties?

External to the Joint Programme Challenges are linked to management structures/different rules/policies

The program started later than expected, but the reason is not the government interference. The local government bodies are fully committed

Briefly describe the current difficulties the Joint Programme is facing Security issues delayed the project start

Physical access to provinces during winter

Lack of availability of government staff which could be seconded to JMDG-so positions had to be advertised and recruitment done on competitive basis

Briefly describe the current external difficulties that delay implementation



Security issues delayed the project start

Physical access to provinces during winter

Explain the actions that are or will be taken to eliminate or mitigate the difficulties

There is fully commitment from UN and local government sides and a good coordination mechanism is already in place.

2 Inter-Agency Coordination and Delivering as One

Is the joint programme still in line with the UNDAF? Yes true No false

If not, does the joint programme fit the national strategies?

Yes true No false

What types of coordination mechanisms

National level (National steering committee- Head of UN partner agencies, Deputy Minister (health and agriculture ministry), representative of Spanish Embassy – chaired by Resident coordinator

at national level---Program management committee (technical representatives from each partner; focal point from ministry, representative from resident coordinator's office-chaired by joint technical advisor & coordinator)

at Provincial level(multi sectoral provincial coordination committee-PCC—co chaired by provincial nutrition & extension, members from different sectors: rural development, horticulture, education, labor & social welfare, women affairs, religious affairs, CSOs)

Please provide the values for each category of the indicator table below

Indicators	Base e	lin Current Value	Means of verification	Collection methods
Number of managerial practices (financial, procurement, etc) implemented jointly by the UN implementing agencies for MDF-F JPs				
Number of joint analytical work (studies, diagnostic) undertaken jointly by UN implementing agencies for MDG-F JP	s			
Number of joint missions undertaken jointly by UN implementing agencies for MDG-F JPs	5		minutes of PCC meetings	



3 Development Effectiveness: Paris Declaration and Accra Agenda for Action

Are Government and other national implementation partners involved in the implementation of activities and the delivery of outputs?

Not InvolvedfalseSlightly involvedfalseFairly involvedfalseFully involvedtrue

In what kind of decisions and activities is the government involved?

Policy/decision making Policy/decision making, service provision, and over all observant and monitoring Management: service provision Policy/decision making, service provision, and over all observant and monitoring

Who leads and/or chair the PMC?

The PMC is led by the Joint technical advisor & coordinator of the MDG-F programme-Dr Nina Dodd

Number of meetings with PMC chair

one/month

Is civil society involved in the implementation of activities and the delivery of outputs?

Not involved false Slightly involved false Fairly involved true Fully involved false

In what kind of decisions and activities is the civil society involved?

Policy/decision making

Joint MDG programme will be implemented through existing civil society partners in the selected districts. It will - build on what exists--scale up activities

-fill in gaps for interventions which are not implemented as part of package (example -food security in CMAM)

- interventions planned will be need based-identified through participatory planning at the district level

Management: service provision

Joint MDG programme will be implemented through existing civil society partners in the selected districts. It will - build on what exists--scale up activities

-fill in gaps for interventions which are not implemented as part of package (example -food security in CMAM)



- interventions planned will be need based-identified through participatory planning at the district level

Are the citizens involved in the implementation of activities and the delivery of outputs?

Not involvedfalseSlightly involvedfalseFairly involvedtrueFully involvedfalse

In what kind of decisions and activities are the citizens involved? Management: service provision Facilitating the provision of Services to community implementation

Where is the joint programme management unit seated?

National Government Joint MDG is located in the Ministry of agriculture. Deputy Minister (technical) has provided 2 rooms for use by MDG and one more room will be alloted soon

At province level, JMDG is seated in local government offices-provincial office of health & agriculture Local Government Joint MDG is located in the Ministry of agriculture. Deputy Minister (technical) has provided 2 rooms for use by MDG and one more room will be alloted soon

At province level , JMDG is seated in local government offices-provincial office of health & agriculture

Current situation

4 Communication and Advocacy

Has the JP articulated an advocacy & communication strategy that helps advance its policy objectives and development outcomes? Yes true No false

Please provide a brief explanation of the objectives, key elements and target audience of this strategy

Yes, soon the communication strategy will be developed

Communities, religious leaders, society influencers, school teachers and student, CHWs, health and nutrition service providers

What concrete gains are the adovacy and communication efforts outlined in the JP and/or national strategy contributing towards achieving? Increased awareness on MDG related issues amongst citizens and governments



Increased dialogue among citizens, civil society, local national government in erlation to development policy and practice Estabilshment and/or liasion with social networks to advance MDGs and related goals

What is the number and type of partnerships that have been established amongst different sectors of society to promote the achievement of the MDGs and related

goals?

Faith-based organizationsSocial networks/coalitionsLocal citizen groupsPrivate sectorAcademic institutions2Media groups and journalistOther

5

5

What outreach activities do the programme implement to ensure that local citizens have adequate access to information on the programme and opportunities to actively participate?

Focus groups discussions Community social network (shuras-male & female), community development committees-CDC, women forum, community health shura (council)

use of local relgious leaders & local community groups (Male & female shuras) Household surveys Community social network (shuras-male & female), community development committees-CDC, women forum, community health shura (council)

use of local relgious leaders & local community groups (Male & female shuras) Use of local communication mediums such radio, theatre groups, newspapers Community social network (shuras-male & female), community development committees-CDC, women forum, community health shura (council)

use of local relgious leaders & local community groups (Male & female shuras) Open forum meetings Community social network (shuras-male & female), community development committees-CDC, women forum, community health shura (council)

use of local relgious leaders & local community groups (Male & female shuras) Capacity building/trainings Community social network (shuras-male & female), community development committees-CDC, women forum, community health shura (council)

use of local relgious leaders & local community groups (Male & female shuras)

Others

Community social network (shuras-male & female), community development committees-CDC, women forum, community health shura (council)

use of local relgious leaders & local community groups (Male & female shuras)



Section III: Millenium Development Goals Millenium Development Goals

Additional Narrative Comments

Please provide any relevant information and contributions of the programme to de MDGs, whether at national or local level

National level—JMDG is supporting development of national multisectoral plan of action on nutrition

National guidelines for CMAM are developed to ensure standard operating procvedures are followed

WHO conducted TOT on CMAM of all provincial nutrition officers (34 provinces) in country

Please provide other comments you would like to communicate to the MDG-F Secretariat

UNICEF is implementing similar projects as outcome-1 from other funding sources in the same MDG-F selected provinces which are directly contributing to the objectives of MDGs. Amount of USD 511,266 (not Joint MDG fund) have been spent in these projects are:

•Implementation of minimum package of maternal, newborn and child health and nutrition services in two districts of Daikundi, Meramor and Khider.

•Implementation of Integrated maternal, child health and nutrition services (IMCHN) in Panjab, Waras, and Yakawlang districts and center of Bamyan.

•Implementation of Supplementary feeding program for pregnant and lactating women along with IMCHN services in Panjab, Waras, and Yakawlang districts and center of Bamyan in collaboration with WFP

•Implementation of community based management of severe acute malnutrition in Yamangan, Wardoj, Zebak, eshkashem, wakhan, Jerm, Keshem, Tagab, Yaftal Payeen, Raghistan, Yawan an Kohistan districts of Badakhshan

•Eunctional TFUs in Badakhshan, Bamyan, Daikundi, Nangarhar and Kabul provinces.

•Infant and Young Child Feeding program including conduction of Breast Feeding Counseling Courses to midwifes, nurses, and doctors, establishment of community mother support groups and Breast feeding corners in two districts of Baharak and Jerm in Badakhshan.



Section IV: General Thematic Indicators

1 Integrated approaches for reducing child hunger and under-nutrition promoted

1.1 Number of individuals suffering from under-nutrition and/or food insecurity in the areas of intervention

Children under 2

Total No. No. Urban No. Rural No. Girls No. boys

Children from 2 to 5

Total No. No. Urban No. Rural No. Girls No. Boys

Children older than 5

Total No. Urban No. Rural No. Girls No. boys

Women

Total No. Urban No. Rural No. Pregnant



1.2 Number of individuals supported by the joint programme who receive treatment against under-nutrition and/or services supporting their food security in the areas of intervention

Children under 2

Total No. Urban No. Rural No. Girls No. Boys

Children from 2 to 5

Total No. Urban No. Rural No. Girls No. Boys

Children older than 5

Total No. Urban No. Rural No. Girls No. Boys

Women

Total No. Urban No. Rural No. pregnant

Men

Total No. Urban No. Rural

1.3 Prevalence of underweight children under-five years of age



National % Targeted Area %

Proportion of population below minimum level of dietary energy consumption

% National % Targeted Area 40 or more

Stunting prevalence

% National 56 % Targeted Area 56 or more

Anemia prevalence

% National % Targeted Area

Comments

BeneficarySelected DistrictPopulation Under 5 ChildrenPregnant WomenEactating WomenWomen Bamyan404700809401618816188222585 D 1Panjab4839796791936193626618 D 2Waras82119164243285328545165 Daikundi 417300834601669216692229515 D 1Ashtarlay66330132662653265336482 D 2Shahristan52909105822116211629099 Badakhshan8603001720603441234412473165 D 1Khash4800096001920192026400 D 2Wakhan1300026005205207150 Nangarhar13584002716805433654336747120 D1Khewa167640335286706670692202 D 2Rodat85000170003400340046750 Kabul35685007137001427401427401962675 District 70000 District 130000

1.4 Type of interventions and/or strategies scaled up with the support the joint programme and number of citizens affected



Homestead food production and diversification

National Local Urban Rural Girls Pregnant Women Boys

Food fortification

National Local Urban Rural Girls Pregnant Women Boys

School feeding programmes

National Local Urban Rural Girls Pregnant women Boys

Behavioural change communication

National Local Urban Rural Girls Pregnant women Boys

Gender specific approaches National

Nation: Local



Urban Local Girls Pregnant Women Boys

Interventions targeting population living with HIV

National Local Urban Rural Girls Pregnant Women Boys

Promotion of exclusive breastfeeding

National Local Urban Rural Girls Pregnant Women Boys

Therapeutic feeding programmes

National Local Urban Rural Girls Pregnant Women Boys

Vaccinations

National Local Urban Rural Girls



Pregnant Women Boys

Other, specify

National Local Urban Rural Girls Pregnant Women Boys

2 Advocacy and mainstreaming of access to food and child nutrition into relevant policies

2.1 Number of laws, policies and plans related to food security and child nutrition developed or revised with the support of the programme

Policies

National Local

Laws

National Local

Plans National Local

3 Assessment, monitoring and evaluation



3.1 Number of information systems supported by the joint programme that provide disaggregated data on food security and nutrition

National Local Total

Table 5: Monitoring matrix

Expected Results (Outcomes & outputs)	Indicators (with baselines & indicative timeframe)	Numerator	Denominator	Means of verification	Collection methods	Responsi bilities	Risks & assumptions
Outcome 1 malnutrition and household food insecurity are reduced by Jun 2013 through the implementation of an integrated community nutrition and food security package in 10 districts (in 5 provinces in Children < 5 yrs)	By 2013 rates of chronic malnutrition (<-2zsH/A) reduced by 10%, underweight (<-2zsW/A) by15% and acute malnutrition (<- 2zsW/H) by 20%.	 # of children (6-59 mo who are stunted # of children (6-59 m) who are underweight # of children (6-59 m) who are wasted 	 #of total children (6- 59 mon the area #of total children6- 59mo in the area #of total children 6- 59 mo in the area 	Baseline and evaluation report. HMIS data MICS data (for comparison with national averages)	Pre-post assessment (providing baseline and endline data). Access to MICS database.	All agencies (FAO lead)	Security conditions allow for continued implementation at community level.
1.1. Community's needs are assessed in a participatory way and results are used to form the district-level project design and implementation plans.	# of Participatory village development planning is conducted in about 20% of the target area and plans are documented by 07/2011	# of participatory village plan developed and documented	.# of targeted participatory village plan	Community development plans, project proposals	Programme registration system	FAO	Security conditions do not deteriorate and limit field access
1.2. Awareness and knowledge of healthy nutrition practices increased	80% of caregivers are able to recall a minimum of 9 key nutrition education messages, in project areas*	# of caregiver in the area of project can recall 9 key massages	# of total caregiver in the area project increased their awareness	Baseline and evaluation report, monitoring reports	Pre-post assessment, quarterly monitoring visits by Govt & UN staff	FAO, UNICEF, WHO	Security conditions do not deteriorate and limit field access
1.3 Infant and young child and family feeding practices improved	Exclusively breastfeeding until 6 months increased by 30%. Provision of adequate complementary foods in a timely manner increased by10%. Dietary diversity increased by 15% in project areas	 # of children until 6 months exclusively breast feeded # of children6-8 months who receive solid, semi solid or soft foods 	 # of total children until 6 months in the area #of total children 6-8 months of age in the area 	Baseline and evaluation report MICS survey 2011 (to compare project sites with national averages).	Pre-post assessment, quarterly monitoring visits by Govt & UN staff. Access to MICS database.	FAO, UNICEF, WHO	Security does not prevent all field access
1.4 Community-based management of acute malnutrition is effective (CMAM)	80% of children< 5 years suffering from acute malnutrition are treated, in project areas*	# of children (6- 59months) treated for acute malnutrition in the project area	# of total children (6- 59 months) with acute malnutrition in the project area	Baseline and evaluation report, monthly project reports reporting against global CMAM standards.	Pre-post assessment, quarterly monitoring visits by Govt & UN staff	UNICEF, WHO, WFP	Security does not prevent all field access

1.5 Quality of nutrition activities provided through health services improved	90% of health facilities implement nutrition activities according to MOPH quality standards (c.f. balance score card) in project areas	# of HFs implemented nutrition activities according to MoPH standard	# of total target HFs *100	Project monitoring results (or reporting system, quarterly)	Pre-post assessment, quarterly monitoring visits by Govt & UN staff	UNICEF, WHO	Security does not prevent all field access
1.6 Increased household food production and income	20% increase in household income. At least two new types of foods introduced in household based food production.	# of household increased their income	# of total target household *100	Baseline and evaluation report, monitoring reports	Pre-post assessment, quarterly monitoring visits by Govt & UN staff	FAO, UNIDO	Shocks (eg Drought) do not seriously affect project areas Security does not prevent field access
1.7 Nutritional status and household food security is assessed at baseline, monitored and evaluated.	Baseline results available by 07/2011. Monitoring results are submitted on a quarterly basis. Endline survey results & final eval. report available by 06/2013.			Baseline and endline survey, monitoring reports	Pre-post assessment, quarterly monitoring visits by Govt & UN staff	FAO (lead), UNICEF, WHO, WFP, UNIDO	Field access possible in project sites at beginning and end of project.
Expected Results (Outcomes & outputs)	Indicators (with baselines & indicative timeframe)			Means of verification	Collection methods	Responsi bilities	Risks & assumptions
Outcome 2 : Policies, strategic frameworks and institutional mechanisms supporting integrated nutrition & household food security interventions are established	 # of Food security and nutrition interventions implemented as part of Government programmes. # of Household food security and nutrition coordination committees are functional at national and sub-national level. # of Household food security and nutrition knowledge of key informants and staff has increased. 	 # of food security (programs) intervention of government implemented # of coordination committee functioning # of key informants and staff knowledge increase 	 # of total food security (programs) Intervention of government * 100 # of total planned coordination committee* 100 # of total target key informants and staff knowledge increased *100 	Government reports (to MOF and donors) Meeting minutes Knowledge test scores	Information provided by Government focal points and officials (e.g. NSC members) Participation in meetings & Review of meeting minutes Training institutions test results	All agencies (FAO lead through JPTAC)	Senior government officials and stakeholder representatives are supportive of nut. & FS coordination, at central and provincial level. Changes in senior officials does not affect policy-making processes negatively.
2.1 Nutrition and household food security are adequately addressed in Government policies and strategies and resources	# of policies stated (reflected) nutrition and food security as priorities - Linkages between	# of government policies and strategies addressed nutrition and household food security	# of total government policies and strategies addressed nutrition and food security	Government policies and strategy papers Reports on	Review of policy documents and donor reports Regular	All agencies (FAO lead, through JPTAC)	Policy review processes are run efficiently and Government officials responsive to

allocated increased	Health and Nutrition and Agriculture and Rural Development are explicitly lined out and are operational. - Resources (Gov't and donor) allocated to nutrition and household food security increase by 20%. Baseline: little emphasis and lack of linkages		*100.	resource allocation Indicators for priorities as well as linkages to be defined at baseline.	information to be provided by Government officials and donors		recommendations & inter-ministerial collaboration. Donor interest in Afghanistan does not significantly reduce.
2.2 Effective coordination mechanisms for the promotion, supervision, implementation and evaluation of nutrition and food security interventions at central and provincial levels are established	# of stakeholders meetings, information sharing held regularly between key partners, and common decisions are taken and followed up regarding food security and nutrition, at central and provincial levels Baseline: coordination mechanisms limited to cluster meetings	# of total cluster coordination meeting held	# of total planned or targeted cluster coordination meetings *100	Meeting minutes JP semi-annual reports	JPTAC and/or National coordinator participation in coordination meetings Review of meeting minutes	All agencies (FAO lead, through JPTAC)	Senior government officials and stakeholder representatives are supportive of nut. & FS coordination, at central and provincial level
2.3 Nutrition and food security training modules are integrated in existing pre-service and in-service trainings for health, agricultural, education personnel (and other relevant sectors)	# of Nut. & FS training modules integrated in at least 2 faculties' curricula (medicine and agriculture) and 2 in- service training prog. (MOPH and MAIL) <i>Baseline</i> : limited nutrition and food security training in faculties and in-service trainings (precise baseline to be established at beginning of JP)	# of nutrition & FS training modules integrated in 2 faculties	# of total planned or targeted nutrition and FS modules *100	JP reports Faculty curricula Content of in- service trainings Training modules Consultant reports	Review of faculty curricula and in-service training programmes Review of training modules	All agencies (FAO lead, through JPTAC)	Curricula revision processes and calendars ease the introduction of new modules. Heads of training institutions responsive to offers of collaboration.

UNDAF Outcomes:										
Sustainable livelihoods: agriculture	e, food security and inc	ome:								
1. Increase agricultural output and ac	cess to diversified food a	at the household level								
3. Improve, expand and diversify opp	ortunities for decent wo	rk and income, especially f	or vulnerable groups							
Basic social services: Education, He	ealth, Water and Sanit	ation								
1. Afghans, particularly those who are	e under-served, have gre	ater and more equitable ac	cess to quality basic ser	vices						
2. More Afghans pursue education or	portunities and healthy	lifestyles								
Joint Programme Outcome 1: Child	malnutrition and househ	old food insecurity are redu	uced by 2013 through th	e implementation o	f an integrated community nu	trition and food security	package in 10 dist	ricts (in 3 to 5 p	provinces).	
indicators and baselines: to be copied										
	Participating UNO specific Outputs	Participating UN organization[1]	Participating UNO corporate priority	Implementing Partner	Indicative activities for each Output	Resource allocation and	nd indicative time	frame*		
	specific Outputs	organization[1]	corporate priority	ratulei	each Output					
m o						Y1	Y2	¥3	Total	
JP Outputs 1.1 Participatory nutrition and food		Joint, led by FAO	UNDAF A.2	Government	Provincial trainings on				\$60,000	
security assessments, project				staff (central,	participatory nutrition				,	
design, monitoring and evaluation conducted by government and				provincial and district),	and food security assessments and project					
implementing partner staff at				district),	design	\$60,000				
provincial and district levels Participatory village development				Local	Proposal preparation	+ • • • • • • • •			\$8,976	
planning conducted in 20% of the				structures, NGOs (namely						
target area and plans are documented by 09/2010				BPHS NGOs),						
aocumentea by 09/2010						\$8,976				
					Provincial trainings on	\$0,270	\$20,000	\$4,000	\$24,000	
					monitoring and evaluation					
					On-the-job learning		\$14,488	\$7,496	\$21,984	
					through monitoring visits		, ,			
	TOTAL OUTPUT			•	•	\$68,976	\$34,488	\$11,496	\$114,960	
1.2. Increased awareness and	1.1 Field partners	UNICEF	MDG 1 & 4,	Local	Trainings on IYCF,	\$15,136	\$25,227	\$10,091	\$50,455	
knowledge of healthy nutrition	trained on Nut. Ed,		UNDAF C1 & C2	structures,	micronutrients, health	\$10,100	<i><i><i><i></i></i></i></i>	<i>\</i> 10,071	<i>\$00,100</i>	
practices 80% of caregivers are able to recall a minimum of 9 key	esp. breastfeeding, micronutrients,			NGOs, CSOs	and hygiene					
nutrition education messages, in	health & hygiene									
project areas										

	Field partners trained on Nut.Ed esp. food needs, improved recipes and food hygiene	FAO	NMTPF outcome 1, output 1.2		Trainings on family nutrition, food needs by age group, food hygiene, improved recipes	\$13,944	\$23,240	\$9,296	\$46,480
	Field partners trained on Nut. Ed, in particular breastfeeding, micronutrients, health and hygiene	WHO	MDG 1, UNDAF C.1 & C2		Trainings on breastfeeding, micronutrients, health and hygiene	\$2,737	\$4,562	\$1,825	\$9,125
	Joint Nut ed. materials produced Community & district level nutrition education	UNICEF lead	MDG 1 & 4, UNDAF C1 & C2		Production of nutrition education materials (to be used by all partners)	\$31,800	\$53,000	\$21,200	\$106,000
	district level nutrition education implemented through IPs	Joint output (FAO lead)	UNDAF B.1 and C.1, C.2		Nutrition education sessions in schools, literacy, youth groups, cooperatives, etc. (by IP's)	\$46,440	\$77,400	\$30,960	\$154,800
	TOTAL OUTPUT 1.2					\$110,058	\$183,430	\$73,372	\$366,860
1.3 Improved nutrition infant and young child and family feeding practices through counselling and community support <i>Exclusive BF</i> <i>till 6m increased by 20%. Provision</i> <i>of adequate complementary foods</i>	Field partners trained on improved complementary and family recipes and participatory cooking sessions	FAO	NMTPF outcome 1, output 1.2	Local structures, NGOs (namely BPHS NGOs), & community groups	Trainings on Participatory Cooking Sessions and complementary feeding (including follow-up)	\$27,888	\$46,480	\$18,592	\$92,960
of adequate complementary foods in a timely manner increased by 20%. Dietary diversity increased by 20%	Field partners trained on Breastfeeding counseling	WHO	MDG 1 & 4, UNDAF C.1 & C.2		Breastfeeding counseling trainings (incl. Follow- up)	\$10,950	\$18,250	\$7,300	\$36,500
	Field partners trained on Growth Monitoring and Promotion	WHO	MDG 1, UNDAF C.1 & C2		Trainings on Growth Monitoring and Promotion (including training follow-up)	\$10,950	\$18,250	\$7,300	\$36,500

	Support groups established & Nutrition counseling implemented in communities	Joint (FAO lead)	UNDAF B.1 and C.1, C.2		Establishment of 'Mother Support Groups' or 'Community support groups' & implementation of IYCF counseling activities by IP's	\$92,880	\$154,800	\$61,920	\$309,600
	TOTAL OUTPUT 1.3				1	\$142,668	\$237,780	\$95,112	\$475,560
1.4 Effective of community-based management of acute malnutrition (CMAM) 80% of children suffering	Field partners trained on screening of malnutrition	WHO	MDG 1, UNDAF C.1 & C2	BPHS NGOs (ONLY?)	Trainings on screening for acute malnutrition (incl. Follow-up)	\$5,475	\$9,125	\$3,650	\$18,250
Irealea, în projeci areas*	Field partners trained on treatment and management of severe acute malnutrition	WHO	MDG 1 & 4, UNDAF C.1 & C2		Trainings on management of acute malnutrition (incl. Follow-up)	\$10,950	\$18,250	\$7,300	\$36,500
	Supplies for management of severe acute malnutrition provided	UNICEF	MDG 1 & 4, UNDAF CI & C2		Provision and delivery of severe acute malnutrition treatment supplies	\$51,000	\$85,000	\$34,000	\$170,000
	Field partners trained on supplementary feeding and management of moderate malnutrition & supplementary food is supplied	WFP	PRRO Strategic Objectives 1 & 5		Provision & delivery of supplementary food supplies (and associated training)	\$41,903	\$69,839	\$27,936	\$139,678
	CMAM implemented with strong community outreach and education by IP's	Joint (FAO lead)	UNDAF C.1		Implementation of CMAM by partners (including community outreach)	\$125,700	\$209,500	\$83,800	\$419,000
	TOTAL OUTPUT 1.4					\$235,028	\$391,714	\$156,686	\$783,428
1.5 Improved quality of nutrition activities provided through health services 90% of health facilities implement nutrition activities according to MOPH quality	Supplementation protocols implemented	UNICEF	MDG 1 & 4, UNDAF CI & C2	BPHS NGOs and DOPH	Supervision and technical support to ensure supplementation is effectively done	\$15,136	\$25,227	\$10,091	\$50,455
standards (c.f. balance score card) in project areas	Quality of treatment in Therapeutic Feeding Units increases	WHO	MDG 1 & 4, UNDAF C.1 & C2		Supervision and on the job technical support to Therapeutic Feeding Units	\$8,212	\$13,687	\$5,475	\$27,375

	Nutrition education conducted in health facilities and through CHWs	UNICEF	MDG 1, UNDAF C1 & C2	educa	ings on nutrition ation for health staff ollow-up	\$15,136	\$25,227	\$10,091	\$50,455
	Participatory cooking sessions introduced in health facilities	FAO	NMTPF outcome 1, output 1.2	Partic Sessio comp	ings on cipatory Cooking ons and elementary feeding ealth staff (including w-up)	\$13,944	\$23,240	\$9,296	\$46,480
	Nutrition outreach activities implemented through CHWs and Basic Health Posts	Joint (FAO lead)	UNDAF C.1	suppo	rvision and staff ort to ensure ion component of S is implemented P)	\$46,440	\$77,400	\$30,960	\$154,800
	TOTAL OUTPUT 1.5					\$98,869	\$164,782	\$65,913	\$329,565
1.6 Increased household food production and income 20% increase in household income. At least two new types of foods introduced in household based food production.	Improved household food security and income through home gardens, poultry projects, and other agricultural activities	FAO	NMTPF outcome 1, outputs 1.1 and 1.2	food	ings on household production (home .ns, poultry, etc.)	\$27,888	\$46,480	\$18,592	\$92,960
	Field partners trained on food processing skills at household and community level	FAO	NMTPF outcome 1, outputs 1.1 and 1.2	and v	ings on household illage level food ssing (including w-up)	\$27,888	\$46,480	\$18,592	\$92,960
	Increased quality and safety of processed foods, including through the introduction of new technology	UNIDO	UNDAF B1 & B3	technol	luction (trainings) of ology for small- industry (non-food)	\$40,275	\$67,125	\$26,850	\$134,250
-	Appropriate agricultural technology (incl. storage) introduced at village level	UNIDO	UNDAF B1 & B3	impro technup)	duction (trainings) of oved agricultural ology (incl. Follow-	\$40,275	\$67,125	\$26,850	\$134,250
	Introduction of technology that can support local small- scale industry and micro-enterprises (non-food)	UNIDO	UNDAF B1 & B3	for sn	ings on technology nall-scale industry Follow-up)	\$40,275	\$67,125	\$26,850	\$134,250

	Improved marketing and simple business management skills (including book- keeping) introduced at village level	FAO	NMTPF Outcome 2, outputs 2.1 & 2.2		Trainings on simple business skills and marketing (including on market assessments and book-keeping)	\$13,944	\$23,240	\$9,296	\$46,480
	Community-based food security projects implemented integrating various food production and income generation activities	Joint (FAO lead)	UNDAF B.1, B.3		Follow-up to trainings / support to community members for applying trainings	\$125,700	\$209,500	\$83,800	\$419,000
	TOTAL OUTPUT 1.6					\$316,245	\$527,075	\$210,830	\$1,054,150
1.7 The nutritional status of whildren under 5 and women of	Field activities monitored	FAO		FAO staff	Monitoring of field activities	\$9,296	\$18,592	\$18,592	\$46,480
reproductive age, and the household food security situation,	Field activities monitored	UNICEF		UNICEF staff	Monitoring of field activities	\$10,091	\$20,182	\$20,182	\$50,455
are monitored in project sites Baseline results available by	Field activities monitored	UNIDO		UNIDO staff	Monitoring of field activities	\$8,950	\$17,900	\$17,900	\$44,750
10/2010. Monitoring results on quarterly basis. Endline survey	Field activities monitored	WHO		WHO staf	Monitoring of field activities	\$3,650	\$7,300	\$7,300	\$18,250
results & final eval. report available by 12/2013.	Baseline and impact survey conducted and results disseminated	Joint, with FAO lead		Research institute or specialized NGO	Baseline survey & impact assessment (50% budget for each)	\$123,130		\$123,130	\$246,260
	TOTAL OUTPUT 1.7					\$155,117	\$63,974	\$187,104	\$406,195
Joint Component (FAO)	Programme Cost **	•	•	•	•	\$629,266	\$763,088	\$426,066	\$1,818,420
	Indirect Support Cost*	**				\$44,049	\$53,416	\$29,825	\$127,289
FAO	Programme Cost **					\$134,792	\$227,752	\$102,256	\$464,800
	Indirect Support Cost*	**				\$9,435	\$15,943	\$7,158	\$32,536
UNICEF	Programme Cost					\$138,300	\$233,864	\$105,655	\$477,819
	Indirect Support Cost					\$9,681	\$16,370	\$7,396	\$33,447
UNIDO	Programme Cost					\$129,775	\$219,275	\$98,450	\$447,500
	Indirect Support Cost					\$9,084	\$15,349	\$6,892	\$31,325
WFP	Programme Cost					\$41,903	\$69,839	\$27,936	\$139,678
	Indirect Support Cost	0						\$1,955	\$9,777
WHO	Programme cost					\$52,925	\$89,425	\$40,150	\$182,500
	Programme support co	ost				\$3,705	\$6,260	\$2,810	\$12,775
Total	Programme Cost					\$1,126,962	\$1,603,243	\$800,512	\$3,530,717

	Indirect Support Cost	\$78,887	\$112,227	\$56,036	\$247,150
[1] In cases of joint programmes using pooled fund management modalities, the Managing Agent is responsible/accountable for achieving all shared joint programme outputs. However, those participating UN organizations that have					
specific direct interest in a given joint programme output, and may be associated with the Managing Agent during the implementation, for example in reviews and agreed technical inputs, will also be indicated in this column.					