**Bolivia**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Programme Title:** | Integrated Prevention and Constructive Transformation of Social Conflicts |
| **Programme number & MDTF ref:** | MDGF-1953-F-BOL Conflict Prev (67219) |
| **Window:** | Conflict Prevention & Peacebuilding |
| **Approved Budget by NSC (US$):** | 4 million |
| **Participating Organizations:** | UNODC, OHCHR, UNDP, UNICEF, UNIFEM |
| **First Tranche transferred on:** | 25-Nov-2009 |
| **Second Tranche transferred on:** | 14-Dec-2010 |

|  |
| --- |
| **ACTIVITIES Reported:** |
| **Main Substantive Activities:** |
| Supported the Judicial Unit Specialized in Constitutional Development (Unidad Jurídica Especializada en Desarrollo Constitucional) in the elaboration of the five main laws that implement the new Bolivian Constitution, ensuring participative processes and gender mainstreaming. Support to the signature of the Oruro-Potosi peace acts. Supported the Ministry of Autonomies in the development of the institutional strategic plan. Elaboration of a Law against Racism and all forms of discrimination supported, including studies. Support to the People’s Defender Office (ombudsman). The establishment of a system to identify potential conflicts and monitor information. The Program has supported the publication and widespread dissemination of various new laws. |
| **Problems and lessons learned:** |
| Difficulties in ensuring a strategic and articulated implementation due to the dispersion of activities and large number of UN agencies and national counterparts. Differences in administrative and financial procedures of the UN agencies, and the time required address disparities in implementation. Weaknesses in financial execution capacity of some national counterparts. External to the JP (socio- economic and political changes): continuous changes in the national context lead to changes in priorities which imply changes and delays in program activities; due to pressure of presenting results participative dialogue processes are put aside**.**  Some lessons learned: there is a need to support participative dialogue processes in the development of laws; regular evaluation meetings improve articulation between the actors involved. |
| **The programme reports relevant linkage to the UNDAF:** Yes |
| **The programme has communications strategy in place:** Yes |
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