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Country:
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|  |
| --- |
| **FORMAT TO BE FILLED BY THE PARTICIPATING UN ORGANIZATION[[1]](#footnote-1) for SUBMISSION TO SUN SECRETARIAT**  |

1. **Cover Page**

|  |
| --- |
|  **Participating UN Organization: UNICEF** |
| Programme Manager: |  | Main Implementing Partners (if any): |
| Name:  | Werner Schultink UNITED NATIONS CHILDREN EMERGENCY FUND (UNICEF) – Headquarters | Name of Organization:Name: | UNITED NATIONS CHILDREN EMERGENCY FUND (UNICEF) – Regional Offices  |
| Telephone: | 1 326 326 7324 | Email: | nzagre@unicef.org; praycosolon@unicef.orgsfedele@unicef.org; crudert@unicef.org; vaguayo@unicef.org |
| E-mail: | wschultink@unicef.org |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Programme Title:  |  | Programme Number:  |
| Addressing gaps on multi-sectoral costing and financial tracking for nutrition | MPTF - Window 1 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Programme Costs: |  | Programme Location: |
| SUN Movement MPTF: USD 320,000  |  |  | UNICEF Regional Offices (West and Central Africa RO [WCARO], Eastern and Southern Africa [ESARO], Latin America and Caribbean [LACRO], East Asia [EAPRO], South Asia [ROSA]) |  |
| Other: |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL (USD): USD 320,000 |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Programme Duration: |  | Review & Approval Dates |
| Total duration (in months): 6 monthsExpected Start date[[2]](#footnote-2): 1 March 2015Expected End date: 31 August 2015 | SUN Movement Secretariat Review Date: 13th January 2015Management CommitteeApproval Date: 13th January 2015 |  |

**Signatures of Participating UN Organization and Management Committee Chair**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **I.** | **Name of Representative**  | Dr Werner Schultink |
|  | **Signature** |  |
|  | **Name of UN Organization**  | UNICEF |
|  | **Date** |  |
| **IV.** | **Name of Management Committee Chair**  | David Nabarro |
|  | **Signature** |  |
|  | **Date** |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **B: Background of the collaboration between the PO and the national/international civil society alliance/platform and executive summary of the proposed collaboration.** |
| **Participating Organization:**  | **UNITED NATIONS CHILDREN EMERGENCY FUND (UNICEF) – Headquarters**  |
| **Implementing Partner(s):**  | **UNITED NATIONS CHILDREN EMERGENCY FUND (UNICEF) – Regional Offices**  |
| **Programme Number:**  | **MPTF – Window 1** |
| **Programme Title:** | **Addressing gaps on multi-sectoral costing and financial tracking for nutrition** |
| **Approved Programme Budget:** | **US$ 320,000.00** |
| **Location:** | **UNICEF HQ (Multiple locations in WCARO, ESARO, LACRO, EAPRO/ROSA)** |
| **MC Approval Date:** | **13th January 2015** |
| **Programme Duration:** | **6 months** | **Starting Date:** | 1 March 2015 | **Completion Date:**  | 31 August 2015 |
| **Background to the collaboration btw the PO and the Civil Society**  | *The SUN Movement seeks to accelerate scaling up nutrition by strengthening the capacity of SUN countries to deliver improved nutrition. Four Communities of Practice (CoP) are being established as a mechanism for ensuring that countries can access support more easily and share best practices.* *CoP 1: Planning, Costing, Implementing and Financing Multi-sectoral Actions for Improved Nutrition.* *CoP 2: Social Mobilisation, Advocacy and Communication (SMAC) for Scaling up Nutrition.* *CoP 3: The Reliable Monitoring of Progress, Evaluation of Outcomes and Demonstration of Nutrition Results* *CoP 4: Functional Capacities for Coordinated and Effective SUN in action.* *This project would directly contribute to the advancement of Community of Practice 1 which focuses on Planning, Costing, Implementing and Financing Multi-sectoral Actions for Improved Nutrition. This Community of Practice aims to mobilize the required capacity and resources to address gaps and sustain results. To date a number of international partners are recognized as having the technical expertise to respond to countries’ requests in different areas of planning, costing, implementing and financing. Partners include stakeholders in the global SUN Networks (Donors, UN agencies, Business, Civil Society) and consortium like FANTA, the OneHealth Costing Team and the MQSUN Network.* *As emphasized by the Lead Group during their annual meeting in September 2014 and as underlined in the 2014 SUN Movement Annual Progress Report, the tracking of domestic resources is a priority of the work of CoP1 in 2015, while pursuing efforts to support SUN countries in the planning and costing for the acceleration of actions for nutrition. The Project “Addressing gaps on multi-sectoral costing and financial tracking for nutrition” will support SUN countries to accelerate progress and share experience on costing and financial tracking. This 6-month project would directly contribute to the advancement of Community of Practice 1 which focuses on Planning, Costing, Implementing and Financing Multi-sectoral Actions for Improved Nutrition.*  |
| **Executive summary of the proposed collaboration**  | *The Project “Addressing gaps on multi-sectoral costing and financial tracking for nutrition”will support countries to highlight historical trends of domestic resources invested in nutrition in each country and to identify if there have been changes. The ambition for 2015 is to support 30 SUN countries (confirmed as of 29 Feb 2015)[[3]](#footnote-3). In the following years, the country should be able to replicate the methodology without external assistance. The exercise will strengthen the ability of participating countries to critically assess and reflect on progress on financial tracking when they complete their annual SUN self-assessment reports which feeds into the annual SUN Movement Annual Progress Report and when they annually report their progress for the Global Nutrition Report (possibly including progress on N4G financial commitments).* ***Deliverable 1 to support the publication of country budget allocations for nutrition*** *– three regional workshops on financial tracking: 30 SUN countries (confirmed as of 29 Feb 2015)from Africa, Asia and Latin America will receive remote support from the SUN Movement Secretariat (SMS) and the Community of Practice 1 as they undertake basic data-gathering exercises on nutrition-specific and nutrition-sensitive budget allocations across relevant sectors using a methodology based on nationally agreed parameters and informed by global recommendations.[[4]](#footnote-4) The regional workshops will provide a platform where these countries can present, discuss and validate data in relation to national budget allocations (and spending) for nutrition. Data will be made available by end-April 2015 for inclusion in the 2015 SUN Movement Annual Report and in the Global Nutrition Report.* ***Deliverable 2 covering broader thematic focus (costing AND financial tracking) of CoP 1*** *– Asian and Latin America Workshops on costing and planning: Two workshops on costing and financial tracking prepared and conducted in Asia and Latin American with the participation of 15 countries from South Asia and South East Asia[[5]](#footnote-5) and four countries from Latin America.**The workshops in Asia and Latin America will be organized back to back.*  |

|  |
| --- |
| **C. Theory of change pursued by the proposal to scale-up nutrition** |
| **Participating UN Organization:**  | **UNITED NATIONS CHILDREN EMERGENCY FUND (UNICEF) – Headquarter** |
| **Implementing Partner(s):**  | **UNITED NATIONS CHILDREN EMERGENCY FUND (UNICEF) – Regional Offices** |
| **Programme Number:**  | **MPTF – Window 1** |
| **Programme Title:** | **Addressing gaps on multi-sectoral costing and financial tracking for nutrition** |
| **Total Approved Programme Budget:** | **US$\_320,000.00** |
| **Location:** | **UNICEF HQ (Multiple locations in WCARO, ESARO, LACRO, EAPRO/ROSA)** |
| **MC Approval Date:** | **13th January 2015** |
| **Programme Duration:** | **6 months** | **Starting Date:** | 1 March 2015 | **Completion Date:**  | 31 August 2015 |
| **Description of why the proposed strategy is the best appropriate for scaling-up nutrition in the given national/global context**  | *Over the past few years, SUN Countries have made commitments to increase their investments in nutrition, including during the Nutrition for Growth (N4G) event co-hosted by the governments of the United Kingdom and Brazil and the Children’s Investment Fund in June 2013. Clarity and transparency in the allocation of scarce financial resources is at the core of accountability. In order to improve the accountability of SUN Countries to these commitments, figures that accurately capture budget allocations (and spending when available) for nutrition at the country level are needed.* *Most governments are unable at present to identify and track their financial commitments to nutrition. Very few countries have transparent and comprehensive systems for tracking nutrition spending across relevant sectors. Guatemala and Bangladesh appear to be two notable exceptions. Tanzania has conducted a one-off Public Expenditure Review of Nutrition, which can provide significant lessons. As of November 2014, 50 countries are under the process of developing Health Accounts for 2012 or 2013, and expect to have results in the next six months. This means that by April 2015, a figure should be available on the aggregated expenditure on malnutrition within the health sector. This figures is already currently available for Benin (2012), Burkina Faso, Burundi, Democratic Republic of Congo and Niger. The 3-step approach emerging from the work of CoP1 (see below) will be useful to complement existing methodologies and on-going work led by several agencies, especially WHO and the World Bank.* *In order to move work on tracking of domestic resources forward, a comprehensive review of 28 published national budgets was undertaken throughout May and July 2014 to develop key parameters of a categorisation framework to serve as a guide to track budget allocations on nutrition in key sectors, depending on what is most feasible. The review of the 28 published national budgets shows that in the best case scenario, the public information available is limited to: 1) a department or programme name, 2) a brief generic programme description, 3) an ‘oversight’ agency (or the agency with the authority to incur expenditures for the programme) and; 4) a total amount allocated to the programme. Only in very few cases, it might be possible to have a breakdown of the programme by activities and by funding source.**Based on the review of published data from 28 national budgets, a three-step approach emerged as an acceptable quick and replicable way for countries to review and track resources for nutrition. This is a data gathering exercise enabling governments to track historical trends on budget allocations. To be effective it follows a commonly agreed approach and categorization framework and documents every step in detail with specific data sources being provided. The presentation of results need to be clear on what the estimated figure covers and what it does not cover.* *Three Steps for SUN Countries to Track Domestic Resources for Nutrition:* * *Step 1: Identify the relevant programmes in key ministries using a keyword search throughout the published national budget.*
* *Step 2: Assess whether the programmes identified in key ministries fall into the categories of “nutrition-specific” or “nutrition-sensitive” investments. “Nutrition-specific” budget allocations would be those that are within a nutrition department or a nutrition programme or a so-called nutrition budget line. To be nutrition-sensitive, a department or a programme would need to address underlying causes of malnutrition and be assessed as yielding benefits for populations that are most vulnerable to being malnourished (including children and women). If a common results framework has been developed, it should be used to help sector specialists or members of MSPs decide which programme budgets should be included.*
* *Step 3: Attribute a percentage of the allocated budget to nutrition (weighting). One hundred per cent of the amount should be allocated in the case of programmes that have been categorised as “nutrition-specific”, while a reasonable amount decided by the stakeholders (e.g. 25 per cent) would be allocated in the case of programmes that have been categorised as “nutrition-sensitive”.*

*The 3-step approach will provide an estimate that is not comparable across countries, as in-country participants will have decided on their key words and their weighting. In many cases, the 3-step approach will only provide an estimate on budget allocation, unless the country publishes actual expenditure. In many countries, actual expenditure differs significantly with budget allocations. The identification of the budget allocations will however provide an entry point to track actual expenditures having identified the programme and implementing agency.*  |
| **Explain which Alliances (e.g. with other CSO networks such as breastfeeding/health alliances, right to food groups, farmers’ organizations, red crosses etc) will be sought during the project to have increased impact** | *The Project “Addressing gaps on multi-sectoral costing and financial tracking for nutrition” will support countries to highlight historical trends in domestic investments in each country and to identify if there have been changes. The ambition for 2015 is to support 30 SUN countries (confirmed as of 29 Feb 2015). The aim is for each country embarking in the project to have at least two data points for each country (e.g. 2011 and 2013) to see a trend. In the following years, the country should be able to replicate the methodology without external assistance. The exercise will strengthen the ability of participating countries to show progress on financial tracking when they complete their annual SUN self-assessment reports which feeds into the annual SUN Movement Annual Progress Report and when they annually report their progress for the Global Nutrition Report (possibly including progress on N4G financial commitments).* *The focus of this project is to support the SUN Country Network. However, other SUN Global Networks – UN, CSO and Business Networks– are expected to initiate a similar financial tracking exercise as undertaken by the Donor Network. Key people from the SUN Global Networks will be involved during the workshops to help them to get familiar with the methodological and technical issues.* *Countries will be engaged towards publishing budget allocations for nutrition. The SUN Country Government Focal Point or her/his appointed representative will need to take responsibility for participation in the exercise.* *A ‘call for interest’ would be sent in mid-January for all SUN countries. Participating countries will be selected based on a combination of 1) published national budgets and/or; 2) history of tracking (e.g. Ethiopia, Guatemala, etc.). For those with published national budgets, the level of details would be an additional asset. Countries that have made financial commitments to N4G should be cross-checked.* *For those countries that have already began to estimate budget allocations using different approaches (this could include Ethiopia, Bangladesh, Guatemala and Peru), they will be asked to provide the numbers as well as to clarify the method and the data source. Similarly, countries currently doing SHA2011 should have a figure for expenditure on malnutrition within the health sector in the coming next months. Specific support will be explored if needed.**Preliminary findings of the Project will be available by end of April 2015 for reporting in the 2015 SUN Annual Progress Report and in the 2015 Global Nutrition Report.* |
| **Give account of risks factors to the project**  | *Invited guests may choose not to participate or may not prepare ahead of the workshop. Relevant financial data is not readily available.*  |

**D. The Proposed Programme, including Results framework/logframe and budget as submitted by civil society alliances/platforms –** Please see below

The **main outcome** of the project *“Addressing gaps on multi-sectoral costing and financial tracking for nutrition”* is for SUN Countries joining the exercise to increase their commitments in all areas identified by the SUN Movement Strategy. In particular it supports the efforts being made by Governments and partners in the SUN Movement to develop common results frameworks and to cost national and sub-national plans for nutrition in order to guide coordinated implementation by stakeholders and to mobilise the required resources to address gaps and sustain results. The regional workshops organized within the framework of this project aim to accelerate efforts of countries to report on nutrition-relevant budget allocations to increase the dialogue and alignment among sectors and to mobilize resources towards improved nutrition. **(MPTF Logframe Window 1 – Key area of Change + Outcome A)**

**About the workshops:** The SUN MPTF is proposed to fund 3 regional workshops on Tracking Domestic Resources (i.e. financial tracking) and 2 regional workshops on Costing and Planning between April and June 2015. The workshops on financial tracking and costing and planning in Latin America will be organized back to back. They will be organized by UNICEF on behalf of the SUN UN Network. The SUN Movement Secretariat will provide support, reflecting the interest of the SUN Movement as a whole.

* Three **Regional Workshops on Tracking Domestic Resources** will take place in Asia, Africa and Latin America to create a space for participating countries to share the methodologies, data sources and estimated figures for nutrition-specific and nutrition-sensitive budget allocations across relevant sectors. These workshops will gather country representatives (3-6 persons per country[[6]](#footnote-6)), who have been appointed by the country multi-stakeholder platform to represent them in the discussion. All participants will need to have been fully involved in the preparatory work. At a minimum, there should be two people from the Government: one person with a strong knowledge of the public financial management system and one person with the policy and programmatic overview on nutrition. In addition, the multi-stakeholder platform should nominate one or two persons, who have been involved in the exercise and can speak on behalf of the other constituencies (i.e. UN agencies, donors, civil society, private sector). Substantive resource persons drawn from SUN UN Network agencies, SUN Civil Society Network, SUN Business Network, Regional Economic and Health Communities, foundations, research centers, academia and other partners are also expected to attend. Host countries will be selected based on accessibility of location for participants and other planned events that could present opportunities to further advance the goals of the project. 30 SUN countries are confirmed as of 29 Feb 2015 for the exercise on financial tracking[[7]](#footnote-7).
* The platform for sharing and learning on costing and planning has for now gathered African countries in Nairobi and in Bénin in 2014. In 2015 the platform should be expanded to Asia and Latin America. This is the purpose of the two **Regional Workshops on Costing and Planning** to be funded by the SUN MPTF. Following the lessons from the Nairobi and Benin meetings, the workshops will last three days. Day one will focus on costing and financial tracking of nutrition-specific interventions (2013 Lancet Series) and on setting results for nutrition beyond the health sector (development of a Common Results Framework). Day two will address costing and financial tracking of nutrition-sensitive approaches. The morning of day three will focus on the mobilization of additional and existing resources for nutrition from government and external budgets. The workshop in Asia will be open to all SUN countries (15 countries from South Asia and South East Asia as of December 2014[[8]](#footnote-8)) as well as few additional countries that have already expressed an interest in joining the SUN Movement. The workshop in Latin America will focus on SUN countries (4 countries as of December 2014[[9]](#footnote-9)) but the organizers will aim to catalyze support from other partners to engage countries that are not in the SUN Movement but can share relevant experience.

The workshops’ **main objectives** for the participating SUN Countries are as follows:

* To get an estimate of the total government budget allocated for nutrition across the key sectors and identify changes over time.
* To better understand with key ministries and departments how the identified investments can improve their effectiveness and reach.
* To discuss how the findings can be disseminated and used for advocacy with different audiences.
* To discuss how this exercise can become part of more comprehensive efforts to track financial resources at different levels to hold responsible parties accountable and to highlight its limitations and how these could be addressed as part of an iterative process. Linked to this how the SUN Movement and resource persons or institutions can provide timely and adequate support.

#### The workshops are intended to strengthen the participants’ capacity to report on nutrition-relevant budget allocations by ensuring the maximum transparency and replicability. Expected outputs include:

* Discussing: a) determinants for nutrition that can be linked to sectoral investments; b) the weight of the identified nutrition-sensitive investments and c) the potential to improve effectiveness and reach. **(MPTF Logframe Window 1 – Output A.1 Taking Stock)**
* Validating the data to be reported in the 2015 Global Nutrition Report and in the 2015 SUN Movement Progress Report **(MPTF Logframe Window 1 – Output A.2.1 Preparing for Scaling Up in line with SUN Principles)**
* Discussing the dissemination and advocacy around the key findings looking at different channels. **(MPTF Logframe Window 1 – Output A.2.2 Preparing for Scaling Up in line with SUN Principles)**
* Identifying available support from global and regional networks and resource persons or institutions for establishing financial tracking systems at the lowest administrative levels to link spending with implementation. **(MPTF Logframe Window 1 – Output A.3 Scaling up rapidly to deliver results)**

**Budget**: (budgets must be submitted in Excel as well) - Please see attached excel file for detailed breakdown of costs.

This budget in table 3 below must be prepared for funding requested from the SUN Movement MPTF and preferably be accompanied by a detailed budget for each line item, providing a description of the item and the calculation of cost.

**PROGRAMME BUDGET (SUN Movement MPTF Funds only)**

|  |
| --- |
| **SUN Movement MPTF PROJECT BUDGET\*** |
| **CATEGORIES** | **AMOUNT** |
| 1. Staff and other personnel costs\* |   |
| 2. Supplies, Commodities, Materials  | 24132 |
| 3. Equipment, Vehicles and Furniture including Depreciation | 3788 |
| 4. Contractual Services | 10500 |
| 5. Travel\*\* | 256132 |
| 6. Transfers and Grants Counterparts |   |
| 7. General Operating and Other Direct Costs | 4514 |
| 8.   Indirect Support Costs \*\*\* | 20935 |
| **TOTAL of the project** | 320000 |

\* International consultancies are not eligible as part of the staffing costs nor as part of the contractual services

\*\* Per diems and other allowances are not eligible as part of events participation

\*\*\*Indirect support cost should be in line with the rate of 7%, as specified in the SUN Movement MPTF TOR and MOU and SAA, Section II- Financial Matters.

**E. Monitoring, evaluation, and reporting**

While monitoring and reporting will be done as per agreement between the PO and the civil society alliances/platforms, it is expected that a narrative report is produced quarterly for sharing with the Members of the Management Committee and for possible publishing on the web.

Considering the lifespan of this project (6 months from March to August 2015) and the concentration of all workshops between April and June 2015 we (on behalf of UNICEF) the Management Committee **has agreed on one single progress report covering all workshops to be submitted at the end of the 6 month implementation period (31 August 2015)**. The annual and financial reports will be submitted by 31 March 2016 and 30 April 2016.

**Submission Form and Assessment Fiche**

|  |
| --- |
| Part A. Meeting Information*(To be completed by the SUN Movement Secretariat)* |
| MC Meeting No: | 9th  |
| Item No: | Agenda Item 3 |
| Date of Meeting: | 13th January 2015 |
| Programme / Project | MPTF- Window1 |

|  |
| --- |
| Part B: Programme[[10]](#footnote-10) Summary *(To be completed by the Participating Organization)* |
|  | Proposed programme, if approved, would result in:[x]  New programme [ ]  Continuation of previous funding[ ]  Other (explain) |
|  PO at the country level (if applicable): *Head of Participating Organization(s):**Associate Director, Chief, Nutrition*  | Contact: Werner Schultink wschultink@unicef.org |
|  |
| Implementing Partner(s): UNICEF |
| Programme Title: Addressing gaps on multi-sectoral costing and financial tracking for nutrition |
| Total Programme Budget: US$ 320,000 |
| Amount of SUN Movement MPTF funds requested: US$ 320,000 |
| Amount and percentage of indirect costs requested by PO (7%): US$ 20,935 |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| ***Part B. Assessment of the proposal –key criteria***  |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Part C: Administrative Review***(To be completed by the SUN Movement Secretariat)* |
| **4. Review by the SUN Movement Secretariat** |
| (a) | Is the programme documentation complete?*(all parts of annex 1) Need name of organization* | Yes [ ]  No [ ]  |
| (b) | Is the proposal within the agreed capping?  | Yes [ ]  No [ ]  |
| (c) | Is the PO chosen a UN mechanism for joint agency action? | Yes [ ]  No [ ]  |
| (d)  | Is the proposal technically sound (situation analysis, institutional clarity, clear deliverables, means of verification)?  | Yes [ ]  No [ ]  |
| (e) | Does the proposal have clear and measurable results, including a results-based focus?  | Yes [ ]  No [ ]  |
| (f) | Is the proposal aligned with national policies and strategies for scaling up nutrition as shown by support of the SUN country Focal point?  | Yes [ ]  No [ ]  |
| (g) | Is the proposal supportedby wider membership of the Civil Society Alliances/Platforms at national level as properly demonstrated by signed letters or similar? | Yes [ ]  No [ ]  |
| (h) | Is the proposal inducing participation to SUN-relevant events with per diems and/or other cash allowances? | Yes [ ]  No [ ]  |
| (i) | Is the proposal minimizing the utilization of international consultancies?  | Yes [ ]  No [ ]  |
| (l) | Are communications costs referring to any communication tools (including SUN website) that could otherwise be provided at no cost? | Yes [ ]  No [ ]  |
| (m) | Is the Indirect Support Cost of the CS Alliances within the approved rate of 7%?  | Yes [ ]  No [ ]  |
| (n) | Is the Progress Report included? *(for supplementary funding only)* | Yes [ ]  No [ ]  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Part D: General Criteria for Prioritising** |
| (a) | Please indicate the 2 key strength of the proposal with respect to the SUN movement?  |  |
| (b) | Please indicate the 2 key weaknesses –if any- of the proposal with respect to the SUN movement?  |  |
| (c) | Please indicate the key areas where budget would benefit revision/refinement if any? |  |
| (d) | Please indicate any other documentation that might be required for final recommendation(s) by the Secretariat –if any?  |  |
| * *Overall review of programme submission*

*1. The secretariat recommends the MPTF Management Committee (MC) to approve the proposal given that additional information is provided on point (d) part D above.**2.* ***The Secretariat recommends MPTF MC to provide a grant of the amount of USD$ 320,000****3. Secretariat recommends MPTF MC to allow the submitting organization to revise the proposal so to decide what to prioritize within the granted amount –also by taking into account suggestions at (c) part D above. The revised proposal to be sent to SUN Secretariat within the next 30 days.* *4. Secretariat recommends MPTF instructs Chair to sign for funds transfer to submitting organizations as the points 1-3 are complied with.* *please indicate final recommendations by the Secretariat including on amount.*  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Part E: Decision of the Management Committee**(*To be completed by the SUN Movement Secretariat)*  |
| **5. Decision of the SUN Movement MPTF ManagementCommittee**[x]  Approved for a total budget of US$ 320,000[ ]  Approved with modification/condition[ ]  Deferred/returned with comments for further consideration[ ]  Rejected |
| **Comments/Justification** |
| **Part F: Administrative Agent Review****(To be completed by the MPTF Office)** |
| **6. Action taken by the Executive Coordinator, Multi-Partner Trust Fund Office, UNDP****[ ]  Programme consistent with provisions of the SUN Movement MPTF Memorandum of Understanding with the Participating Organizations and the Standard Administrative Arrangement with donors.** |
| **Yannick Glemarec** **Executive Coordinator,****Multi-Partner Trust Fund Office, UNDP** **………………………………………… ………………..****Signature Date** |

1. Some parts have been filled-inby SUN Secretariat with information coming from implementing partners [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. The official start date of any approved project/programme occurs when funds are transferred by MPTF Office. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. Identified as of 26 February 2015: Bangladesh, Indonesia, Maharashtra, Nepal, Pakistan, Philippines, Tajikistan, Vietnam, Yemen, Ghana, Kenya, Lesotho, South Sudan, The Gambia, Uganda, Benin, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cameroon, Chad, Comoros, Cote d’Ivoire, DRC, Togo, Madagascar, Mauritania, (plus Senegal as observer), Costa Rica, Guatemala, El Salvador, Peru. Other countries’ participation is to be confirmed. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. For nutrition-specific interventions (10 high-impact interventions): use of the National Health Accounts (or an expanded version); for nutrition-sensitive approaches use of a three-step approach to identify, classify and weight budget allocations in the national budgets (methodology aligned with the one developed by the Donor Network). [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. Identified countries: South East Asia - Thailand (hosting), Myanmar, Cambodia, Lao PDR, Vietnam, Indonesia, Philippines, Papua New Guinea, Timor Lest; South Asia – Bangladesh, Nepal, Pakistan, Bhutan, Afghanistan and Maharashtra State of India. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
6. Depending on the number of countries involved in the exercise. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
7. As of 26 February 2015: Countries Confirmed (Financial Tracking workshops). Asia: Bangladesh, Indonesia, Maharashtra, Nepal, Pakistan, Philippines, Tajikistan, Vietnam, Yemen. Anglo Africa: Ghana, Kenya, Lesotho, South Sudan, The Gambia, Uganda. Franco Africa: Benin, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cameroon, Chad, Comoros, Cote d’Ivoire, DRC, Togo, Madagascar, Mauritania, (plus Senegal as observer). Latina America: Costa Rica, Guatemala, El Salvador, Peru. [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
8. Countries TBC (Costing workshop): South East Asia - Thailand (hosting), Myanmar, Cambodia, Lao PDR, Vietnam, Indonesia, Philippines, Papua New Guinea, Timor Lest; South Asia – Bangladesh, Nepal, Pakistan, Bhutan, Afghanistan and Maharashtra State of India [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
9. Same countries of the financial tracking workshop [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
10. The term “programme” is used for projects, programmes and joint programmes [↑](#footnote-ref-10)