



[UNAction Against Sexual Violence in Conflict] MPTF OFFICE GENERIC FINALPROGRAMME¹ NARRATIVE REPORT REPORTING PERIOD: FROM 10.2011 TO 10.2012

 Programme Title: Accelerated Implementation of 1960 Guidance Programme Number UNA020 MPTF Office Project Reference Number:³ Participating Organization(s) Organizations that have received direct funding from the MPTF Office under this programme: OCHA Programme/Project Cost (US\$) Total approved budget as per project document:	Programme Title & Project Number	Country, Locality(s), Priority Area(s) / Strategic Results ²
Priority area/ strategic results Participating Organization(s) Organizations that have received direct funding from the MPTF Office under this programme: OCHA Programme/Project Cost (US\$) Programme/Project Cost (US\$) Programme Duration Overall Duration 12 months Start Date ⁵ (01/10/2011) Original End Date ⁶ Actual End date ⁷ 30/09/2012 Have agency (if applicable) Government Contributions (if applicable) Other Contributions (donors) (if applicable) TOTAL: 142, 597 USD Programme Assessment/Review/Mid-Term Eval. Priority area/ strategic results Implementing Partners • National counterparts (government, private, NGOs others) and other International Organizations Overall Duration 12 months Start Date ⁵ (01/10/2011) Original End Date ⁶ Actual End date ⁷ 30/09/2012 Have agency(ies) operationally closed the Yes No Programme in its (their) system? Expected Financial Closure date ⁸ : Report Submitted By	Guidance	
• Organizations that have received direct funding from the MPTF Office under this programme: OCHA • National counterparts (government, private, NGOs others) and other International Organizations • National counterparts (government, private, NGOs others) and other International Organizations • Programme/Project Cost (US\$) Programme Duration • Overall Duration 12 months Start Date • (01/10/2011) • Original End Date • Actual End date • Start Date • (01/10/2012) • Other Contributions (donors) (if applicable) TOTAL: 142, 597 USD Programme Assessment/Review/Mid-Term Eval. • National counterparts (government, private, NGOs others) and other International Organizations • National counterparts (government, private, NGOs others) and other International Organizations		Priority area/ strategic results
Programme/Project Cost (US\$) Programme/Project Cost (US\$) Programme Duration Overall Duration 12 months Start Date 5 (01/10/2011) Original End Date 6 Actual End date 730/09/2012 Government Contribution (if applicable) Other Contributions (donors) (if applicable) TOTAL: 142, 597 USD Programme Assessment/Review/Mid-Term Eval. Programme: OCHA Others) and other International Organizations Overall Duration 12 months Start Date 5 (01/10/2011) Original End Date 6 Actual End date 730/09/2012 Have agency(ies) operationally closed the Programme in its(their) system? Expected Financial Closure date 8: Report Submitted By	Participating Organization(s)	Implementing Partners
Total approved budget as per project document: MPTF /JP Contribution ⁴ : • by Agency (if applicable) Agency Contribution • by Agency (if applicable) Government Contribution (if applicable) Original End Date ⁶ Actual End date ⁷ 30/09/2012 Have agency(ies) operationally closed the Programme in its(their) system? Expected Financial Closure date ⁸ : TOTAL: 142, 597 USD Programme Assessment/Review/Mid-Term Eval. Report Submitted By		Transfer Counterparts (government, private, 1700s et
project document: MPTF /JP Contribution ⁴ : • by Agency (if applicable) Agency Contribution • by Agency (if applicable) Government Contribution (if applicable) Original End Date ⁶ Actual End date ⁷ 30/09/2012 Have agency(ies) operationally closed the Programme in its(their) system? Expected Financial Closure date ⁸ : TOTAL: 142, 597 USD Programme Assessment/Review/Mid-Term Eval. Report Submitted By	Programme/Project Cost (US\$)	Programme Duration
Other Contributions (donors) (if applicable) TOTAL: 142, 597 USD Programme Assessment/Review/Mid-Term Eval. Report Submitted By	project document: MPTF /JP Contribution ⁴ : • by Agency (if applicable) Agency Contribution • by Agency (if applicable) Government Contribution	Start Date ⁵ (01/10/2011) Original End Date ⁶ Actual End date ⁷ 30/09/2012 Have agency(ies) operationally closed the Yes No
Programme Assessment/Review/Mid-Term Eval. Report Submitted By	(if applicable)	Trogramme in restater) system.
	,	
Evaluation Completed O Name: Anne K. Hoseth on behalf of Simona Pari		1
Evaluation Report - Attached O Title: Consultant O Participating Organization (Lead): NRC		
☐ Yes ☐ No Date: dd.mm.yyyy ☐ E-mail address: anne.hoseth@nrc.no		

¹ The term "programme" is used for programmes, joint programmes and projects.

² Strategic Results, as formulated in the Strategic UN Planning Framework (e.g. UNDAF) or project document;

³ The MPTF Office Project Reference Number is the same number as the one on the Notification message. It is also referred to as "Project ID" on the project's factsheet page on the MPTF Office GATEWAY.

⁴ The MPTF/JP Contribution is the amount transferred to the Participating UN Organizations – see MPTF Office GATEWAY

⁵ The start date is the date of the first transfer of the funds from the MPTF Office as Administrative Agent. Transfer date is available on the MPTF Office GATEWAY

⁶ As per approval of the original project document by the relevant decision-making body/Steering Committee.

⁷ If there has been an extension, then the revised, approved end date should be reflected here. If there has been no extension approved, then the current end date is the same as the original end date. The end date is the same as the operational closure date which is when all activities for which a Participating Organization is responsible under an approved MPTF / JP have been completed. As per the MOU, agencies are to notify the MPTF Office when a programme completes its operational activities. Please see MPTF Office Closure Guidelines.

⁸ Financial Closure requires the return of unspent balances and submission of the Certified Final Financial Statement and Report.

The purpose of this consultancy was three-fold:

- 1) To enable the Office of the Special Representative on Sexual Violence in Conflict (OSRSG-SVC) and the UN Action network to support accelerated implementation of the Monitoring, Analysis and Reporting Arrangements on Conflict-Related Sexual Violence (MARA) in the selected priority countries of the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), South Sudan, Central African Republic (CAR) and Cote D'Ivoire
- 2) To undertake ad hoc reviews of conflict-related sexual violence, and to assess the UN's response in selected situations of concern
- 3) To assist with the design and implementation of comprehensive strategies to combat sexual violence in priority countries

The consultant, Simona Pari, attended as planned the UN Action strategic planning meeting in Geneva, Switzerland, from 17 - 20 October 2011. The meeting served as an opportunity for briefing and engagement with UN Action focal points.

The consultant was meant to be a standing member of the reference groups established to identify technical and strategic support needs in the countries identified for accelerated implementation of the MARA. As this group had not yet been created at the time of the consultancy, the consultant was not requested to conduct any activity related to the implementation of the MARA.

The consultant was meant to undertake at least four country visits to support accelerated implementation of the MARA or the implementation of the comprehensive strategies to combat sexual violence. The selected countries should include DRC, Cote D'Ivoire, CAR, and South Sudan. Until the end of March 2012 four missions had been conducted, two to DRC and two to Cote d'Ivoire. Among the activities conducted during these missions, the consultant supported the implementation of the strategy to combat sexual violence in DRC and the development of a national SGBV strategy in Cote d'Ivoire. The consultant furthermore provided advice on coordination, strategic and programmatic issues and developed a situation analysis and gap analysis in each of the two countries.

The consultant was to represent the OSRSG SVC and UN Action network on selected inter-agency assignments and review missions as well, on request, but was not requested as the SRSG was supported by staff from her office.