

Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report (Update May_2023)



PEACEBUILDING
FUND



PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- Semi-annual
- Annual
- Final
- Other

Date of submission of report *

2023-12-11

2023-12-11

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Kyle Jacques, Monitoring, Evaluation and Communications Officer, PBF Secretariat Sudan

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Ulan Shabynov, PBF Coordinator and Head of PBF Secretariat in Sudan

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- yes
- no

Did PBF Secretariat review the report? *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

- yes
- no
- Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

yes no

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- Asia and the Pacific
- Europe and Central Asia
- Middle East and North Africa
- Central & Southern Africa
- Global
- West Africa
- East Africa
- Latin America and the Caribbean

Country of project implementation

*

- Ethiopia
- Somalia
- Other, Specify
- Kenya
- South Sudan
- Madagascar
- Sudan

Other, please specify

*

Project Title *

- 00119468: Building Sustainable Peace and Social Cohesion in Tawilla Locality, North Darfur
- 00130002: Building the MHPSS-Gender-Peacebuilding nexus: fostering wellbeing, non-violent, gender equitable masculinity and social restoration with young people in West Darfur, Sudan.
- 00130052: Darfuri Youth Empowerment in Civic Spaces to Advance Peacebuilding
- 00119467: Durable Solutions for forced displacement in West Darfur
- 00119469: East Darfur: Assalaya-Sheiria-Yassin Triangle of Peace and Coexistence
- 00121172: PBF secretariat and peacebuilding project Sudan
- 00130005: Refugee and IDP Profiling Towards Sustainable Peace and Durable Solutions in Darfur
- 00125917: Strengthening the Political and Peacebuilding Role of Women in Sudan's Transition
- 00130705: Sudan Youth Citizen Observer Network: Strengthening Youth's Role as Peacebuilders and Promoters of Civic Space in Sudan's Transition
- 00125403: Support to the Sudanese Peace Process
- 00128019: Supporting Sustainable Peace in Blue Nile State through Gender-Responsive Natural Resource Governance, Inclusive Conflict Resolution Mechanisms and Climate-Resilient Livelihoods
- 00119470: Transition to Sustainable Peace in Central Darfur
- 00119471: Transition to Sustainable Peace in South Darfur
- 00131661: Peacebuilding and Human Rights in Support of Durable Solutions for IDPs and Affected Communities: The Right to Adequate Housing in West Darfur
- 00133949: Strengthening Capacities for Peace and Social Cohesion in Kassala and Red Sea States Sudan
- 00133950: Strengthening the Security - Climate Nexus in Gedaref Sudan
- 00140081: Empowering Women Civil Society Leaders as Peacebuilders
- 00140082: Empowerment of youth for peace and effective political participation Red Sea State Sudan.
- Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

Asia and the Pacific
 Central & Southern Africa
 East Africa
 Europe and Central Asia
 Global
 Latin America and the Caribbean
 Middle East and North Africa
 West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

.....

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

.....

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2020-05-04

2020-05-04

.....

Project end Date *

2024-10-22

2024-10-22

.....

Has this project received an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- yes
- no

If yes, please select which *

- National Trust Fund
- Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- UN entity
 Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
 UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
 OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
 UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
 UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
 FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
 UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
 UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
 UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
 WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
 UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
 UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
 UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
 UNDPO Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- No other recipients
- Yes, other UN recipients only
- Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *

Select all that apply

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization
- PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- ITC: International Trade Centre
- UN Department of Peace Operations
- Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

.....

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- Action Aid The African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
 Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
 American Friends Service Committee (AFSC) Avocats Sans Frontières
 Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium Avocats sans frontières Canada Christian Aid Ireland
 CARE International UK The Carter Center, Inc.
 Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF COIPRODEN
 Concern Worldwide CORDAID CORD Burundi
 DanChurchAid Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU) Fund for Congolese Women
 Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS) Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
 Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP) HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
 Humanity & Inclusion (HI) Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
 International Alert Interpeace Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
 Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
 (MDG-EISA) Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
 Mercy Corps MSIS-TATAO Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
 ONG AZHAR OXFAM Peace Direct
 PNG UN Country Fund Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
 Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM) Saferworld
 Search for Common Ground (SFCG) SismaMujer Tearfund
 Trocaire World Vision International World Vision Myanmar
 ZOA blank_placeholder Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify *

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

3

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Peace Research Institute

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

102750

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Under outcomes 1 and 2:

- **Engaged a team of national experts to support UNITAMS and UNCT with peacebuilding assessments**
- **Provided institutional capacity building to Peace and Development Centers**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Jassmar

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

72000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Under outcomes 1 and 2:

- **Conducted an assessment on WPS in East Sudan**
- **Mapped women-led organizations and CSOs actively working on WPS agenda**
- **Established mechanism for networking, experience and knowledge sharing between women's networks**
- **Provided training and advocacy on WPS to women groups and civil society organizations**
- **Documented women's achievements in East Sudan and produced visual materials for distribution**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

International Consultancy

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Voluntas Advisory Aps - Denmark

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

20000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Under outcomes 1 and 2:

- Performed data cleaning, analysis and quality assurance for Peacebuilding Assessment questionnaire results (7 data sets consisting of 300 questionnaires each)
- Provided disaggregation and visualization of data for selected questions; provided graphics for inclusion in final reports
- Designed state and locality profiles for seven states (combination of maps, info graphics and text), drawing on and visualizing additional data from UNCT, ACLED and other sources as required to fill data gaps

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget <i>(calculated automatically)</i>

UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	* 4800000.61	* 4200000	* 3316044.55	69.08%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	4800000.61	4200000	3316044.55	69.0 8%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **69.08%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)? *

36

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1728000.22**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

1743091.37

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 1193776.04**. Is this correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

Sudan_00121172_finance_report_November 2023_FINAL-11_47_23.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee? *

yes

no

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *

The United Nations has had limited engagement with the government since the military takeover of October 2021, and even less so since the outbreak of conflict between the Sudanese Armed Forces and the Rapid Support Forces on 15 April 2023. The PBF Joint Steering Committee co-chair is a government official, so following the October 2021 military takeover it was decided to wait until political context is more suitable for convening a JSC. The PBF Secretariat has been in contact with the National Peace Commissioner since the outbreak of conflict on 15 April, but there has been no formal meeting or engagement in the last 6 months.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

- Not Started
 Initiated
 Partially Completed
 Completed
 Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

- Not Started
 Initiated
 Partially Completed
 Completed
 Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

- Not Started
 Initiated
 Partially Completed
 Completed
 Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

- Not Started
 Initiated
 Partially Completed
 Completed
 Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

As of this reporting period, outcomes 1 and 2 of the project have completed implementation, having finalized all activities in support of peacebuilding strategies and infrastructures for peace in Sudan in the previous reporting period (For further detail, see November 2022 Progress Report). This project report therefore only covers outcome 3, which supports the functioning of the in-country PBF Secretariat. As of January 2023, the PBF Secretariat has merged with the Sudan Multi-Partner Trust Fund (MPTF) Secretariat to form a joint PBF-MPTF Secretariat, responsible for the coordination, monitoring and evaluation, and communications of all PBF and MPTF investments in Sudan. This merger was intended to strengthen the coherence and impact of both funding mechanisms by ensuring alignment on strategic outcomes, enhancing cohesion in funding allocation, and building thematic and geographic synergies. The merger of the two secretariats was guided by the Joint MPTF-PBF Strategic Results Framework (SRF), endorsed by the DSRSR/RC/HC in October 2022, which brings together the investments of the two funding sources under a shared strategic chapeau and with common high-level outcomes. As of the outbreak of conflict in April 2023, the PBF Secretariat has been evacuated from Sudan, and is undertaking its role remotely.

Summarize *the main structural, institutional or societal level change* the project has contributed to. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project where evidence of contribution to outcomes is available if requested

FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION ONLY (550 word limit)

Not applicable

PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *

The peace process has delivered a comprehensive peace agreement and peacebuilding strategy for Sudan, supported by the UN system, regional and continental institutions, and the international donor community

Outcome 2: *

National and sub-national infrastructure for peace and mechanisms necessary to the mission's transition strengthened, and an integrated area-based peacebuilding pilots established for scale up and expansion

Outcome 3: *

The Peacebuilding Fund Secretariat provides effective coordination, monitoring, reporting, evaluation, and communication regarding PBF programming in Sudan.

Outcome 4:	*
Outcome 5:	*
Outcome 6:	*
Outcome 7:	*
Outcome 8:	*
Additional Outcomes <i>If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here</i>	*

Outcome 1: The peace process has delivered a comprehensive peace agreement and peacebuilding strategy for Sudan, supported by the UN system, regional and continental institutions, and the international donor community

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

There is no progress to report in this reporting period. All activities were completed in the previous reporting period (For further detail, see November 2022 project progress report.)

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The Peacebuilding Assessments completed in 7 states under this outcome adopted a gender-responsive questionnaire and were carried out to assess the situation related to gender-sensitive issues and barriers to women's participation in peace processes (ex. the exclusion of women from political participation and civic life including local peace committees run by Omdas and Native Administration, issues of poverty, insecurity, SGBV, illiteracy, access to justice and very conservative social norms, particularly in rural communities which hindered women and especially young women from equally participating in social, economic and political life and decision making.)

The preparation of the assessment ensured 30% gender representation for the regional team carrying out the assessment, which contributed to building local capacities for women to develop methodologies and lead assessments on their local context to address their own issues and challenges. The assessment ensured women and youth focus consultations as well.

Outcome 2: National and sub-national infrastructure for peace and mechanisms necessary to the mission's transition strengthened, and an integrated area-based peacebuilding pilots established for scale up and expansion

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

There is no progress to report in this reporting period. All activities were completed in the previous reporting period (For further detail, see November 2022 project progress report.)

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The conflict risk dashboard (CRD) developed under this outcome has a gender-responsive conflict analysis system, where the information is captured through dedicated indicators to provide an overall gender-disaggregated analysis.

The technical team for the conflict sensitivity training, also supported under this outcome, developed a selection criteria of trainees with priorities on gender parity and age distribution. As a result, women trainees represented 13 out of 31 total participants, and more than half of the participants were below 35 years old.

Outcome 3: The Peacebuilding Fund Secretariat provides effective coordination, monitoring, reporting, evaluation, and communication regarding PBF programming in Sudan.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The PBF Secretariat continued to perform its functions to ensure the quality of new and ongoing PBF-funded projects through support to project design, coordination, monitoring and evaluation, and communications.

The Secretariat supported two newly-approved projects (one YPI project implemented by SOS Sahel, and one GPI project implemented by Search for Common Ground) with project start-up. The Secretariat also oversaw the closure of a project in February implemented by Search for Common Ground on strengthening the political and peacebuilding role of women in Gedaref, South Kordofan, West Kordofan and Khartoum states. The Secretariat led trainings on M&E, gender and youth responsiveness, and other topics at the planning workshop of the PBF-funded project implemented by IOM and FAO on the climate-security nexus in Gedaref in March.

In February, the Secretariat organized a donor visit to Blue Nile State to demonstrate the progress of the PBF-funded project implemented by UNEP, UNWOMEN, and UNDP. The Norwegian Ambassador, Deputy Head of Mission for Switzerland, and First Secretary (Political) of Canada participated.

With the onset of conflict on 15 April, the PBF Secretariat engaged with the 12 active PBF projects to understand the conflict's effects on project teams and implementation, support required, and proposed next steps. The Secretariat reviewed and submitted to PBSO five NCE and/or project amendment requests, and is continuing to engage with the remaining seven projects in case of future reprogramming needs.

In March the PBF Secretariat began the development of an M&E plan for the previously-developed SRF. However, the outbreak of conflict in April halted this process, as well as related M&E and reporting activities. The Secretariat requested a waiver regarding the submission of progress reports for June bi-annual reporting as a result of the conflict. Nevertheless, the Secretariat supported the finalization of the independent final evaluation of the recently completed Search for Common Ground project, and the impact evaluation for the previously completed joint Darfur Programme.

Finally, the PBF produced a series of communication and information products that are regularly distributed to partners and other stakeholders, including a visual overview of the PBF portfolio, attached as an annex.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

As part of the training delivered under the planning workshop for the PBF-supported IOM/FAO project in Gedaref in March 2023, the PBF Secretariat trained project focal points on conducting gender and youth- sensitive conflict analyses, and developing gender and youth-responsive theories of change, implementation plans, and M&E systems. The training included discussions and working groups on how to identify conflict factors that relate specifically to women, young women, men, and young men, and developing both targeted and streamlined approaches to responding to these factors through effective project design, implementation, and M&E. The training built off of the PBF Guidelines on Gender Marker Scoring, as well as the Gender Mainstreaming Strategy template developed by the PBF Secretariat in 2022.

Outcome 4:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 5:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 6:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 7:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 8:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please use this text box to describe the *status* of progress (on track with evidence of peacebuilding outcomes, on track or off track), as well as briefly describe the progress and any analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at the **outcome** level in the table below

- If an outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: The peace process has delivered a comprehensive peace agreement and peacebuilding strategy for Sudan, supported by the UN system, regional and continental institutions, and the international donor community

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	Comprehensive and inclusive peace agreement concluded and signed	N/A	Signed peace agreement	N/A	Political instability and uncertainty after the military takeover on the 25th of October 2021

1.2	Rights-based and gender-sensitive strategy for peacebuilding at community level established and adopted by national and sub-national institutions and communities	0	1	2100 questionnaires (with 665 women respondents) 280 FDGs, and 245 KIIs were conducted in support of peacebuilding assessments. Literature review and 7 UNCT joint peacebuilding missions were completed in South Kordufan, Blue Nile, Red Sea and Kassla states, South, West and North Darfur	Due to the political situation, this outcome was adjusted to focus on the conduct of peacebuilding assessments rather than the development of government peacebuilding strategies.
1.3	Level (%) of progress of peace agreement	0	N/A	N/A	Political instability and uncertainty after the military takeover on the 25th of October 2021. Most of the mechanisms and process for JPA has not be established yet beyond the Peace Commission and Permenant Ceasefire Committee

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

PBF Support to the Peace Process in Sudan (including support to the Government Secretariat for Juba peace talks) in place

Output 1.2

Peacebuilding strategic priorities for conflict-affected regions of South Kordofan, Blue Nile and East Sudan initiated and supported

Output 1.3

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: PBF Support to the Peace Process in Sudan (includin g support to the Govern ment Secretari at for Juba peace talks) in place	Perform ance Indicator <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reportin g period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.1.1	Shared vision for Government of Sudan and Sudan Revolutionary Front (SRF) delegations in the talks	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Political instability and uncertainty after the military takeover on the 25th of October 2021 has affected JPA implementation
1.1.2						
1.1.3						

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Peacebuilding strategic priorities for conflict-affected regions of South Kordofan, Blue Nile and East Sudan initiated and supported	Performance Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.2.1	Number of consultations	0	1 per state		7 UNCT joint peacebuilding missions were completed in South Kordufan, Blue Nile, Red Sea and Kassla states, South, West and North Darfur	Due to the political situation, this outcome was adjusted to focus on the conduct of peacebuilding assessments rather than the development of government peacebuilding strategies.
-------	--------------------------------	----------	--------------------	--	---	---

<p>1.2.2</p>	<p>Level of different groups' engagement (e.g. engagement of women and youth in different kinds of consultation workshops)</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>Youth engagement: 30% Women's engagement: 50%</p>		<p>2100 questionnaires (with 665 women respondents) 280 FDGs, and 245 KIIs has been conducted in support of peacebuilding assessments. Literature review and 7 UNCT joint peacebuilding missions were completed in South Kordufan, Blue Nile, Red Sea and Kassla states, South, West and North Darfur</p>	<p>Due to the political situation, this outcome was adjusted to focus on the conduct of peacebuilding assessments rather than the development of government peacebuilding strategies.</p>
<p>1.2.3</p>						

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.3.1						
1.3.2						
1.3.3						

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

» Outcome 2: National and sub-national infrastructure for peace and mechanisms necessary to the mission's transition strengthened, and an integrated area-based peacebuilding pilots established for scale up and expansion

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	National and sub-national Peace commissions in Darfur established and functioning ensuring women's participation.	N/A	N/A	N/A	Since the 25 October 2021 military takeover, and particularly following the outbreak of conflict in April 2023, the country has been in a prolonged political crisis, imposing restrictions for the UN in Sudan and partners on government engagement.

2.2	Number of area-based peacebuilding models implemented by joint Government-UN identified	N/A	5 (1 per state)	N/A	Since the 25 October 2021 military takeover, and particularly following the outbreak of conflict in April 2023, the country has been in a prolonged political crisis, imposing restrictions for the UN in Sudan and partners on government engagement.
2.3	Peacebuilding resources mobilized in Darfur jointly by the Government and UN for the DDS refresh framework	N/A	N/A	N/A	In light of the political crisis and the negative local perceptions on the Juba Peace Agreement, the DDS Refresh Framework was put on hold

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1 2 3 4 **5** more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

National and state-level peace architecture functioning effectively

Output 2.2

State level inclusive and participatory consultations conducted to pave the way for the Darfur Development Strategy Refresh

Output 2.3

UN system conflict sensitivity and peacebuilding strategy strengthened

Output 2.4

UN-system wide planning, M&E and coordination for peacebuilding in Darfur strengthened

Output 2.5

Gender, peace and security and women's empowerment dimension ensured

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1: National and state-level peace architecture functioning effectively	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

2.1.1	Level of capacity of national and state-level peace architecture	TBC (established upon capacity assessment)	TBC (established upon capacity assessment)	N/A	N/A	<p>Since the 25 October 2021 military takeover, and particularly following the outbreak of conflict in April 2023, the country has been in a prolonged political crisis, imposing restrictions for the UN in Sudan and partners on government engagement. In the previous reporting period, the project had provided technical and advisory support to the Peace Commission office, held regular technical meetings with the Peace Commissioner to identify peace priorities and emerging</p>
-------	--	--	--	-----	-----	---

						emerging conflict trends, and briefed the Commissioner on the PBF portfolio.
2.1.2						
2.1.3						

» **Output 2.2**

Output 2.2: State level inclusive and participatory consultations conducted to pave the way for the Darfur Development Strategy Refresh	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
.....

2.2.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	<p>2100 questionnaires (with 665 women respondents) 280 FDGs, and 245 KIIs has been conducted in support of peacebuilding assessments. Literature review and 7 UNCT joint peacebuilding missions were completed in South Kordufan, Blue Nile, Red Sea and Kassla states, South, West and North Darfur</p>	<p>Due to the political situation, this outcome was adjusted to focus on the conduct of peacebuilding assessments rather than the development of government peacebuilding strategies.</p>	
<hr/>						
2.2.2						
2.2.3						

» **Output 2.3**

Output 2.3: UN system conflict sensitivity and peacebuilding strategy strengthened	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

2.3.1	Level of UNCT's capacity improved in responding to Government's needs in peacebuilding in Darfur	N/A	N/A	The PBF Secretariat in Sudan, in partnership with the United Nations System Staff College (UNSSC), IOM, UNDP and the Conflict Sensitivity Facility in Sudan, held a training of trainers (ToT) from 12-16 March in Khartoum. The training brought together 26 participants (7 female, 19 male) from various backgrounds (17 UNAFPs, 7 civil society, 2 academia) from across the country, including the Darfur, Kordofan and Eastern States, as well as Khartoum. The one-week intensive	5 training modules have been developed, 6 thematic seminars have been conducted, and 2 community of practice meetings have been organized	N/A
-------	--	-----	-----	--	---	-----

				<p>inclusive training equipped participants with the skills to independently create and deliver trainings on conflict sensitivity and peacebuilding using a variety of methodologies. The training also prepared the participants to help their respective organisations adopt conflict sensitive practices.</p>		
2.3.2						
2.3.3						

» **Output 2.4**

Output 2.4: UN-system wide planning, M&E and coordination for peacebuilding in Darfur strengthened	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

2.4.1	Number of information management Products developed to improve planning, M&E and coordination in Darfur	N/A	N/A	N/A	In complementarity with the Support Sudanese to the Peace Process project, the project has successfully developed a Crisis Risk Dashboard (CRD). The system in its current state provides a conflict monitoring tool and data related to incidents in the 18 states against political, economic, environmental, social and security dimensions.	N/A
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5: Gender, peace and security and women's empowerment dimension ensured	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1	Women capacity and representation in peace talks and mediation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Since the 25 October 2021 military takeover, and particularly following the outbreak of conflict in April 2023, the country has been in a prolonged political crisis.

2.5.2	National peacebuilding institutions apply gender-sensitivity in all their plans	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Since the 25 October 2021 military takeover, and particularly following the outbreak of conflict in April 2023, the country has been in a prolonged political crisis.
2.5.3	Peacebuilding documents are gender sensitive	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Since the 25 October 2021 military takeover, and particularly following the outbreak of conflict in April 2023, the country has been in a prolonged political crisis.

» **Outcome 3: The Peacebuilding Fund Secretariat provides effective coordination, monitoring, reporting, evaluation, and communication regarding PBF programming in Sudan.**

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1	Number of PBF bi-annual reports submitted on time	N/A	2 bi-annual reports (June and November reporting periods) per project, per year	<p>In 2023, 9 out of 12 annual reports (1 for each active PBF-funded project in Sudan) were submitted on time in November</p> <p>In June 2022, 10 out of 14 reports were submitted on time.</p> <p>In November 2022, 14 out of 14 reports were submitted on time.</p>	The PBF requested and was granted a waiver for June 2023 narrative and financial progress reports following the outbreak of conflict in April 2023.

3.2	% of PBF annual reports rated as acceptable by PBF review team	N/A	100%	100%. All submitted annual reports were reviewed by the PBF Secretariat, who provided detailed feedback and comments. All final annual reports submitted to the online platform are rated as acceptable by the PBF Secretariat team.	N/A
-----	--	-----	------	---	-----

3.3	Level of satisfaction of the Steering Board members with the level and timeliness of the PBF secretariat communication and coordination	N/A	Satisfactory	N/A	The Steering Committee has not convened since the military takeover in October 2021. Since then the United Nations has had limited engagement with the government. The PBF Joint Steering Committee co-chair is a government official, so following the military takeover it was decided to wait until political context is more suitable.
-----	---	-----	--------------	-----	--

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1 2 **3** 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

The PBF Secretariat effectively coordinates PBF Steering Board (Project Board) meetings and follows up on decisions taken

Output 3.2

PBF Secretariat regularly monitor joint programmes in 5 Darfur states

Output 3.3

Build capacity of PBF implementing partners on conflict sensitivity, peacebuilding programming, conflict and gender sensitivity and communication of results

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1: The PBF Secretariat effectively coordinates PBF Steering Board (Project Board) meetings and follows up on decisions taken	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

<p>3.1.1</p>	<p>Number of PBF bi-annual reports submitted on time</p>	<p>N/A</p>	<p>2 bi-annual reports (June and November reporting periods) per project, per year</p>	<p>9 out of 12 annual reports (1 for each active PBF-funded project in Sudan) were submitted on time in November 2023.</p>	<p>In 2023, 9 out of 12 annual reports (1 for each active PBF-funded project in Sudan) were submitted on time in November.</p> <p>In June 2022, 10 out of 14 reports were submitted on time.</p> <p>In November 2022, 14 out of 14 reports were submitted on time.</p>	<p>The PBF requested and was granted a waiver for June 2023 narrative and financial progress reports following the outbreak of conflict in April 2023.</p>
--------------	--	------------	--	--	--	--

3.1.2	Steering Board action points followed up on	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<p>The United Nations has had limited engagement with the government since the military takeover of October 2021, and even less so since the outbreak of conflict between the Sudanese Armed Forces and the Rapid Support Forces on 15 April 2023. The PBF Joint Steering Committee co-chair is a government official, so following the military takeover it was decided to wait until political context is more suitable for convening a JSC.</p>
-------	---	-----	-----	-----	-----	--

3.1.3	Timely establishment of the PBF secretariat in ensuring gender balance in the team	N/A	N/A	N/A	The PBF Secretariat became fully functional in January 2021 with two male and three female staff. As of November 2023, the Joint MPTF-PBF Secretariat comprises two male and two female staff.	N/A
-------	---	------------	------------	------------	---	------------

» **Output 3.2**

Output 3.2: PBF Secretariats regularly monitor joint programmes in 5 Darfur states	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

3.2.1	Number of M&E trainings or workshops	N/A	At least two per year	1 training in 2023. The Secretariat led trainings on monitoring and evaluation and theories of change at the multi-day planning workshop of the PBF-funded project implemented by IOM and FAO on the climate-security nexus in Gedaref in March 2023	In 2023, one M&E training. In 2022, four M&E trainings/ workshops.	The outbreak of conflict in April 2023 and evacuation of the PBF Secretariat from Sudan disrupted all further training and capacity building activities planned for 2023.
3.2.2	Positive result of the PBF final evaluation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A To be determined after conclusion of portfolio evaluation at end of eligibility cycle	N/A
3.2.3						

» **Output 3.3**

Output 3.3: Build capacity of PBF implementing partners on conflict sensitivity, peacebuilding programming, conflict and gender sensitivity and communication of results	Performance Indicators Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

3.3.1	% of new PBF-funded projects that are informed by high-quality and context-specific (including at locality or village level) conflict analyses	N/A	100%	100% All four newly approved PBF projects in Q4 2022 and Q1/2 2023 are informed by context-specific conflict analyses.	100%	N/A
3.3.2	% of new PBF projects with comprehensive M&E and data collection plans to improve reporting on outcome-level peacebuilding results (including in alignment with SRF, if developed)	N/A	N/A	10 out of 12 active PBF projects have developed and submitted M&E and data collection plans to the PBF Secretariat. The 2 remaining projects are still in their inception phase and will submit their plans in the subsequent reporting period.	10 out of 12 active PBF projects have developed and submitted M&E and data collection plans to the PBF Secretariat. The 2 remaining projects are still in their inception phase and will submit their plans in the subsequent reporting period.	Due to the outbreak of conflict in April 2023, many projects are in the process of revising their previously submitted M&E plans, though some of these will depend on the approval and finalization of submitted project amendment/no-cost extension requests which are still pending.

3.3.3	Improved level of communication capacity (measured by the level of attraction of PBF stories in social media)	N/A	20% increase in number of re-tweeted, shared and liked stories.	-53%. In 2022, PBF content reached 99,339 people on the UN Sudan Facebook and Twitter platforms. In 2023, PBF content reached 46,401 people, representing 53% decrease year-on-year.	See previous column.	The outbreak of conflict on 15 April hindered the PBF Secretariat's ability to communicate peacebuilding results in a conflict-sensitive way. Nevertheless the pre-conflict communication outputs were high (84.2% of posts in 2023 were shared prior to the onset of the conflict in April, representing 93.8% of the total reach) indicating a strong initial trajectory.
-------	---	-----	---	---	----------------------	---

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» **Output 4.4**

Output 4.4: Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1					
4.4.2					
4.4.3					

» **Output 4.5**

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

<p>If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)</p>	<p>Event Description</p>	<p>Tentative Date</p>	<p>Location</p>	<p>Target Audience</p>	<p>Event Objectives</p>
<p>Event 1</p>					
<p>Event 2</p>					
<p>Event 3</p>					

Event 4					
----------------	--	--	--	--	--

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Key Stakeholder	What were the challenges they faced prior to project implementation? (350 words)	What has been the impact of the project on their lives? (350 words)	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group (350 words)
1				

2				
3				
4				

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had (650 words)

The PBF Secretariat in Sudan, in partnership with the United Nations System Staff College (UNSSC), IOM, UNDP and the Conflict Sensitivity Facility in Sudan, held a training of trainers (ToT) from 12-16 March in Khartoum. The training brought together 26 participants (7 female, 19 male) from various backgrounds (17 UNAFPs, 7 civil society, 2 academia) from across the country, including the Darfur, Kordofan and Eastern States, as well as Khartoum. The one-week intensive training equipped participants with the skills to independently create and deliver trainings on conflict sensitivity and peacebuilding using a variety of methodologies. The training also prepared the participants to help their respective organisations adopt conflict sensitive practices.

Following the outbreak of armed conflict between the Sudanese Armed Forces (SAF) and the Rapid Support Forces (RSF) on 15 April, one of the participants reported that they used what they learned in the training to provide a conflict-sensitive emergency programming training to UNDP, UNICEF and national implementing partners (5 NNGOs) as part of a PBF-supported project building social cohesion in East Sudan, as well as two local Peace Centres (8 female and 18 male participants). As a result, the project team is using the conflict sensitivity considerations and practices learned from the ToT to navigate the current complex political and security landscape of Sudan while implementing the project.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1
OPTIONAL
Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2
OPTIONAL
Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3
OPTIONAL
Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

Link 1
OPTIONAL

Link 2
OPTIONAL

Link 3
OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked: *

Please select up to 3.

- Enhanced digitization
- Innovative ways of working
- Mobilized additional resources
- Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- Strengthened capacities
- Partnered with Civil Society Organizations
- Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The Secretariat led trainings on M&E, gender and youth responsiveness, and other topics at the planning workshop of the PBF-funded project implemented by IOM and FAO on the climate-security nexus in Gedaref in March.

The PBF Secretariat in partnership with UNSSC and UNDP has developed a self-paced, online learning platform focused on conflict sensitivity that is available free of charge for all HDP Nexus practitioners working on Sudan. As of April 2023, 2597 participants registered for the course and 127 have already completed and received certificates.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The PBF in Sudan funded two new projects in 2023 whereby two Civil Society Organizations (Search for Common Ground and SOS Sahel) are direct fund recipients.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) *

- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- Partnered with local civil society organizations
- Partnered with local academia
- Partnered with sub-national entities
- Partnered with national entities
- Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain (If IFIs)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Please explain (If UN Agencies)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- Unemployed persons
- Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- Indigenous communities
- Persons with Disabilities
- Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- Women
- Youth
- Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- People living in and around border areas
- Persons affected by natural disasters
- Persons affected by armed conflicts
- Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

In February, the Secretariat organized a monitoring visit to Blue Nile State to assess the progress of the PBF-funded project implemented by UNEP, UNWOMEN, and UNDP. The Norwegian Ambassador, Deputy Head of Mission for Switzerland, and First Secretary (Political) of Canada participated.

In March the PBF Secretariat began the development of a monitoring, evaluation, and data collection plan for the previously-developed SRF. However, the outbreak of conflict in April halted this process, as well as remaining monitoring activities planned for 2023.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

yes

no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Indicators in this reporting period are based on continuous monitoring conducted by PBF Secretariat on ongoing project progress, the preparation and submission to the PBF Secretariat of annual project reports, and PBF Secretariat's work to support the development and dissemination of project communications.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

yes

no

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 350 words.

*

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

yes

no

*

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 350 words.

*

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?

yes

no

Not Applicable

*

Evaluation budget (in USD):

Response required

200000

*

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track? (Preparations)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Please mention the focal person accountable for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF, name and email.

Kyle Jacques, kyle.jacques@un.org

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Indicate funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started. (y/n) *

- yes
 no

If yes, how many additional grants or donors has the project leveraged? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur? *

- No catalytic effect
 Some catalytic effect
 Significant catalytic effect
 Very Significant catalytic effect
 Don't Know
 Too early to tell

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect i.e. ways in which the project has supported the expansion or creation of programs and policies supporting peace, both within and outside the UN system *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the duration of the project. *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The PBF Secretariat had developed and rolled out a Sustainability and Exit Strategy Planning template for fund recipients. The template is now a requirement of all PBF-funded projects in Sudan, to be completed within 6 months of project approval for all new projects. The PBF Secretariat continues to monitor their development and submission.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The PBF Secretariat in partnership with UNSSC and UNDP has developed a self-paced, online learning platform focused on conflict sensitivity that is available free of charge for all HDP Nexus practitioners working on Sudan. As of April 2023, 2597 participants registered for the course and 127 have already completed and received certificates. This work is continuing, in stage 2 now, with 3 other modules currently being developed focusing on community engagement, durable solutions, and Darfur. The initiative also delivered 6 thematic seminars on conflict sensitivity, land issues, women and youth peace and security, climate security and durable solutions. Based on this course, the Conflict Sensitivity and Peacebuilding training of trainers in Sudan was conducted in March with 26 participants (7 female, 19 male) from UN AFPs and civil society across the country, selected out of 850 applicants.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings

Event 1	Final Evaluation—Search for Common Ground		Among other findings, the evaluation concluded that “the project was successful in executing almost all planned activities, leading to recorded changes in the way young and expert women collaborate on peace and security initiatives, engage with male champions, and demand tangible changes from the community and its leaders.” Full final evaluation available on request.
----------------	--	--	--

<p>Event 2</p>	<p>Impact Evaluation—PBF Darfur Proqramme</p>		<p>The impact evaluation concluded “The PBF project in East Darfur yielded positive effects on its target communities. The project reduced the number of land conflicts and increased residents' perceptions of the effectiveness of local peace committees, as compared to villages where no PBF projects were implemented (90 percent confidence). The reduction in land conflicts represented about one fewer conflict for every 14 households – a meaningful amount, given that three quarters of households never had a land conflict to begin with. For members of a minority tribe, there was a much bigger reduction in the number of conflicts: one fewer conflict for every 4 households. The project also increased school enrollment, meaning that a child in a PBF implementation village was 11 percent more likely to be enrolled in formal schooling (95 percent confidence). And the project increased residents' satisfaction with services, particularly administrative and sanitation services /95</p>
-----------------------	--	--	--

			summation services (95 percent confidence).” Full impact evaluation available on request.
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF (if on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and try again. If the problem persists, you can contact technical support at the email address below)
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.