

Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report (Update May_2023)



**PEACEBUILDING
FUND** 

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- Semi-annual
- Annual
- Final
- Other

Date of submission of report *

2023-11-15

2023-11-15

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Abuelgasim Abdalla Adam Ahmed

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Kyle Jacques, PBF Secretariate-Sudan

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- yes
 no

Did PBF Secretariat review the report? *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

- yes
 no
 Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

- yes no

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- Asia and the Pacific Central & Southern Africa East Africa
 Europe and Central Asia Global Latin America and the Caribbean
 Middle East and North Africa West Africa

Country of project implementation *

- Ethiopia Kenya Madagascar
 Somalia South Sudan Sudan
 Other, Specify

Project Title

*

- 00119468: Building Sustainable Peace and Social Cohesion in Tawilla Locality, North Darfur
- 00130002: Building the MHPSS-Gender-Peacebuilding nexus: fostering wellbeing, non-violent, gender equitable masculinity and social restoration with young people in West Darfur, Sudan.
- 00130052: Darfuri Youth Empowerment in Civic Spaces to Advance Peacebuilding
- 00119467: Durable Solutions for forced displacement in West Darfur
- 00119469: East Darfur: Assalaya-Sheiria-Yassin Triangle of Peace and Coexistence
- 00121172: PBF secretariat and peacebuilding project Sudan
- 00130005: Refugee and IDP Profiling Towards Sustainable Peace and Durable Solutions in Darfur
- 00125917: Strengthening the Political and Peacebuilding Role of Women in Sudan's Transition
- 00130705: Sudan Youth Citizen Observer Network: Strengthening Youth's Role as Peacebuilders and Promoters of Civic Space in Sudan's Transition
- 00125403: Support to the Sudanese Peace Process
- 00128019: Supporting Sustainable Peace in Blue Nile State through Gender-Responsive Natural Resource Governance, Inclusive Conflict Resolution Mechanisms and Climate-Resilient Livelihoods
- 00119470: Transition to Sustainable Peace in Central Darfur
- 00119471: Transition to Sustainable Peace in South Darfur
- 00131661: Peacebuilding and Human Rights in Support of Durable Solutions for IDPs and Affected Communities: The Right to Adequate Housing in West Darfur
- 00133949: Strengthening Capacities for Peace and Social Cohesion in Kassala and Red Sea States Sudan
- 00133950: Strengthening the Security - Climate Nexus in Gedaref Sudan
- 00140081: Empowering Women Civil Society Leaders as Peacebuilders
- 00140082: Empowerment of youth for peace and effective political participation Red Sea State Sudan.
- Other, Specify

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer)

*

2021-07-30

2021-07-30

Project end Date

*

2024-01-28

2024-01-28

Has this project received an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- yes
- no

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- UN entity
 Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
 UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
 OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
 UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
 UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
 FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
 UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
 UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
 UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
 WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
 UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
 UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
 UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
 UNDPO Other, Specify

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- No other recipients
- Yes, other UN recipients only
- Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients *

Select all that apply

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
- UN Department of Peace Operations Other, Specify

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

8

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Friends of Peace and Development Organization (FPDO)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

109075.48

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Assessment of women's access to justice within the project areas was undertaken by FPDO in collaboration with the Center for Rights. The assessment encompassed rigorous evaluations of the interactions between women and key stakeholders, including police sectors, the judiciary, and local communities. Based on the findings of the assessment, two specialized training sessions were conducted. The first session focused on empowering police officers, and paralegal on SGBV, and on their roles and responsibilities. The training contributed to equipping the police and para legal with theoretical and practical knowledge and skills on how to deal with SGBV given the cultural sensitivity, and the stigma. Training sessions on understanding and handling SGBV cases. The training targeted judiciary professionals. It supported enhancing their knowledge on how to handle SGBV cases. Training workshop on investigation of SGBV cases among women. The training targeted 50 participants from the criminal and investigation sector, and from the prison. Development of training modules o SGBV in close coordination with the police office in Blue Nile.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Aafaq for Peace and Development Organization (APDO)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

30000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Mobilized communities in Derang and Samsur-Baw locality for the CEAP Process. Formed Community Environmental Action Planning (CEAP) committees in Derang and Samsur in Baw locality. Facilitated community-led process to assess environmental and climate-related challenges Led the CEAP process with Derang and Samsur communities in Baw locality and developed/produced community environmental action plans, some of the produced plans are under implementation by the project and those that are out of the project scope and budget are being marketed to the government and other donors operating in Blue Nile.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Dosha for Social Development organization

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

30000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Mobilized communities in Deim Saad and Yara-Geissan locality for the CEAP Process. Formed Community Environmental Action Planning (CEAP) committees in Deim Saad and Yara in Geissan Locality. Facilitated community-led process to assess environmental and climate-related challenges. Led the CEAP process with Deim Saad and Yara in Geissan locality developed/produced community environmental action plans, some of the produced plans are under implementation by the project and those that are out of the project scope and budget will be marketed to government and other donors.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

National organization for Sustainable Rural Development (NORD)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

30000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Mobilized communities in Alkaili and Gambrda-Kurmuk locality for the CEAP Process. Formed Community Environmental Action Planning (CEAP) committees in Alkaili and Gambrda in Kurmuk locality. Facilitated community-led process to assess environmental and climate-related challenges. Led the CEAP process with Derang and Alkaili and Gambrda in Kurmuk locality and developed/produced community environmental action plans, some of the produced plans are under implementation by the project and those that are out of the project scope and budget are being marketed to the government and other donors operating in Blue Nile.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Addition for disasters Assistance and Development (ADD)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

145517

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Establishment of CMC in Geissan Locality. Training of CMC on project management, bookkeeping, NRM, conflict resolution

Establishment of peacebuilding sub-committee and training of members in peacebuilding and conflict resolution.

Distribution of seeds. Small ruminant restocking. Vocational skills training. Establishment of co-operative committees. Literacy training. Establishment of seedlings nursery. Construction of peacebuilding center.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Practical Action

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

135795

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Establishment of CMC in Kurmuk Locality. Training of CMC on project management, bookkeeping, NRM, conflict resolution.

Establishment of peacebuilding sub-committee and training of members in peacebuilding and conflict resolution.

Distribution of seeds

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Aafaq for Peace and Development

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

135485

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Establishment of CMC in Bau Locality. Training of CMC on project management, bookkeeping, NRM, conflict resolution.

Establishment of peacebuilding sub-committee and training of members in peacebuilding and conflict resolution.

Distribution of seeds. Small ruminant restocking. Vocational skills training. Establishment of co-operative committees.

Literacy training. Establishment of seedlings nursery. Training of women in the manufacture of solar cook stoves.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Sudanese Organization for Research and Development (SORD)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

91422.65

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Capacity development sessions were conducted in Alkaili, Gambarda, Derang, Samsur, Yara, and Deim Saad. Five training workshops were delivered to 3 women's groups. Women were capacitated with knowledge and skills on social and economic rights, Natural Resource Management (NRM), and protection from GBV. Six training sessions were organized for community leaders. They gained knowledge on the prevention of Gender-Based Violence (GBV). Facilitation of five training workshops for three women's organizations at the community level. In partnership with the Ministry of Social Welfare, four accredited protection women networks were established in the targeted areas. The partnership aimed to strengthen the support system for women through government' engagement and ensuring their safety and well-being.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

| Recipients | Total Project Budget (in US \$) | Transfers to date (in US \$) | Expenditure to date (in US \$) | Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically) |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| | <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i> | <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i> | <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i> | |
| UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme | 994222.6 * | 695956 * | 607973.46 * | 61.15 % |
| UNDP: United Nations Development Programme | 1620000 * | 1134000 * | 1125559 * | 69.48 % |

| | | | | |
|--|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|
| UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women | 1367901.91 * | 957531 * | 551768.79 * | 40.34 % |
| | | | | |
| TOTAL | 3982124.51 | 2787487 | 2285301.25 | 57.39% |

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **57.39%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)? *

81

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 3225520.85**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 1851094.01**. Is this correct? *

Correct Incorrect

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

Annex_D_Project_Budget Financial Report-Consolidated Blue Nile November 2023-17_16_13.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee? *

- yes
- no

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *

During the last six months, engagement with government was limited because of the current Sudan war however, project implementing partners requested permission to the field from HAC Blue Nile, and they were given clearances to access project sites in Baw and Geissan localities, Al Kurmuk was difficult to access because of the rainy season.

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Staff recruitment has been completed during the first reporting period; implementing partners were also contracted by the three UN agencies; contractors for civic works were engaged and have delivered their assignments in Geissan and Baw localities. The local NGOs, APDO, Dosh, and NORD were recruited for the CEAP process after mapping, assessment, and interview process. Practical Action, ADD and APDO were recruited by UNDP after undergoing HACT (harmonized approach to cash transfer) after mapping and assessment. UN Women recruited FPDO and SORD by UN Women after a lengthy process of readvertisement due low number of well-structured women-led organizations with a strong expertise working on gender, women's access to justice and GBV based in Blue Nile.

Project baseline assessment was conducted by the Peace Studies and Development Centre of Blue Nile University, and its results were validated in a workshop convened in Ed Damazine in April 2022. The results of the baseline assessment were used to finalize the project's integrated work plan, the log frame indicators and M&E plan in addition to informing the gender, climate, and security assessment.

The project team jointly developed communication strategy and risk management plan. In support of the implementation of the communication plan, a video showing communities consulting around maps and discussing their local environment and natural resources issues was produced.

The Two-dimensional communication's activities include short video (3:38 minutes), entitled (Sitting Around the Map), story on UNEP's Africa Environmental Highlights, issue 2, June 2023, and high-resolution photos for the three localities.

Summarize *the main structural, institutional or societal level change* the project has contributed to. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project where evidence of contribution to outcomes is available if requested

FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION ONLY (550 word limit)

The project assisted in promoting transformative change for gender equality, through the establishment of Community Environmental Action Planning (CEAP) committees as well as gender responsive and inclusive Community Management Committees (CMCs) which promoted the rights and inclusion of women in local decision bodies governing natural resources management and conflict resolution at community level, defeating stereotypes, while contributing to improving women's self-confidence and inclusion.

Consequently, these structures are now entry points for communities as partway for women inclusion in local decision-making bodies, but also project successfully leveraged women's roles as natural resource managers as an entry point to enhance their influence. The formed peace building committees were equipped with negotiation and mediation skills contributed to peaceful coexistence efforts. The cooperatives groups and women groups are empowered and are generating income, while the vocational trainings provided skills to some community members to enter in the business market (e.g., mobile phone maintenance). The two-dimensional mapping for natural resources management and decision making helped the communities to talk and listen to each other and this dissolved several barriers existed among community members. The establishment of inclusive and gender responsive community management committees (CMCs) and the capacity building training provided to members of the CMCs by the project had paved way for the participation and recognition of women in local decision-making processes, including conflict mediation and conflict resolution. This did not happen before, as local decision-making bodies in the past used to consider women as recipients rather than people who could contribute to their societies. However, through the PBF project, this norm has been broken, and women can now actively participate in these local bodies alongside men.

PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *

The socio-economic stability of conflict-affected communities is strengthened through the development of gender-transformative climate-resilient livelihood options for women, men, and other groups.

Outcome 2: *

Local level governance and conflict resolution mechanisms are strengthened through gender responsive inclusive and participatory processes in selected communities.

Outcome 1: The socio-economic stability of conflict-affected communities is strengthened through the development of gender-transformative climate-resilient livelihood options for women, men, and other groups.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Local authorities in Blue Nile had informed that Bau, Geissan and Kurmuk localities were facing food insecurity because of violent conflicts and environmental stress, the later affected food production. This combined with other risk factors like food price, food insecurity act as a channel for individuals or groups to express broader socio-economic and political grievances, adding a driver of violent conflict. The project assisted 3,000 households of farmers with 16 metric tons of sorghum seeds, 1,520 kg of vegetable seeds to support cultivation, while 150 female headed households were assisted with small ruminant re-stocking. Communities revealed that conflict had had a direct negative impact on farming affecting people's ability to produce, and access food, however, the assistance had come on time, the seeds germinated successfully, indicating a promising yield vital for addressing food insecurity, and reduce conflict drivers, thereby contributing to peace in the targeted localities. The project contributed to the empowerment and inclusion of women in the business supply chain through assisting 50 female headed households with festival equipment (tents, chairs, tables, cooking utensils, cutleries, etc.) in Yara to establish business venture, and establish micro-credit program which saw the creation of six co-operatives and the commencement of monthly contributions as a revolving fund to set up their own enterprises. Accordingly, women in Deim Saad and Yara raised SDG 350,000, SDG 275,000 respectively for trading in bakery and other sectors. The project had equipped women with knowledge in business entrepreneurial skills, enabling women entrepreneurs to strengthen diversity, inclusion, and empowerment at local community level.

Government judiciary authority was mobilized to accept women's rights and change the stereotyped pattern of criminalizing women survivors sometimes by the judges, police, is key to creating transforming gender change. This contributed to building institutional foundation and mitigate the conflict consequences in the Blue Nile state through changing the institutional and behavioural practices of the judiciary system. The skills gained through capacity development, by SGBV, judges, police is potential for women's protection can reduce the incidence of the SGBV in the long run through legal measures including the penalty of the GBV perpetrators given the conflict.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The six cooperative groups or committees of 50 members in all the project sites are composed of 100% women. These women are now actively engaged in business contributing to their economic empowerment such as the bakery business in Geissan and the renting of festival equipment. The mobile maintenance training included 33.3% women participants in the project sites, and this is a breakthrough where women enter this business for the first time. 20% of farmers who received certified seeds of sorghum, okra and cowpea were women, this will directly improve their livelihoods and they are going establish a gene bank for improved seed in their communities for future use. The two seedling nurseries for 50 community members in Samsur and Yara are 100% women, seedling production and marketing is another incoming generating activity for women in their sites which leads establishes for women economic empowerment as well. 38 % of peace building committee members are women compared to zero before the project interventions. Peace building and conflict resolution is known to be men business but now with women becoming members of the peace committees, women issued can be easily addressed and voices heard.

Outcome 2: Local level governance and conflict resolution mechanisms are strengthened through gender responsive inclusive and participatory processes in selected communities.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Gender, climate, and security assessment: This assessment aimed to understand the scope and nature of climate and environmental changes and their human security impacts in the project area. The assessment defined the risks and future projections, to inform the design of transformative actions for resilience against risks. It discussed climate security pathways showing climate change, environmental degradation and security interact. The challenges are analysed using intersectional gender lens, assessing the unique impacts of compound risks on different gender groups and the specific opportunities and capacities different groups possess to address challenges.

Community Environmental Action Planning (CEAP): CEAP committees of 144 members were formed at the rate of 24-member per sites (77 of them are women). The CEAP process was facilitated and six CEAP plans were produced, 64% of these plans are under implementation by the project. Parts of the plans that are out of the project's scope and budget will be marketed to the government and other donors. The project participatorily developed six two-dimensional maps for NRM and decision making. The maps were digitized. The CEAP process and the two-dimensional mapping contribute to peace building through building consensus and enabling communities to see the bigger picture.

Community Management Committees (CMCs), Peace Committees: Six Community Management Committees were established with a total membership of 90 (52 males and 38 females). Members were trained in project management, NRM. Members oversee project interventions and manage community assets. Six peacebuilding committees were established and trained in peacebuilding concepts which equipped them to facilitate peace initiatives within their communities.

Socio-economic rights, NRM and protection from GBV: In Al Kurmuk, Geissan and Baw and Ed Damazine localities, 11 workshops on social and economic rights, NRM and protection from GBV were conducted. 241 participants representing stakeholders attended, 192 of them were women (79%).

Peacebuilding Centers, show clubs: One peacebuilding center was established in Diem Saad as a platform for conflict resolution, a venue for peacebuilding committee and community interaction for peaceful co-existence. One Show Club was maintained in Samsur and one established in Yara for youth to watch football matches. This has addressed the needs of youth.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

CEAP committees are gender balanced, peace building committees are 38% women. workshops on social and economic rights, NRM and protection from GBV were attended by over 75% women.

Also, 25 women were trained in manufacturing improved cooking stoves in Samsur community. So far, these women managed to fabricate 250 pieces of cooking stoves following end of the training. The cooking stoves have created opportunity for women to embark more on renewal energy use and has further enhanced women's quest for increased natural resourced management by reducing reliance on firewood collection.

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at the **outcome** level in the table below

- If an outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 1: The socio-economic stability of conflict-affected communities is strengthened through the development of gender-transformative climate-resilient livelihood options for women, men, and other groups.**

| Outcome 1 | Performance Indicators | Indicator Baseline | End of Project Indicator Target | Current Indicator progress | Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) |
|-----------|---|--|--|---|---|
| 1.1 | Percentage of population who report increased income, disaggregated by sex/age/group. | Average income per household per month in the three localities 30,000-60,000 SDG per month. | 90% of targeted beneficiaries across the three localities (Baw, Geissan, and Al Kurmuk) 28,000 people (60% women, 60% youth) | It's too early to measure this indicator yet. | Activities to measure this indicator are ongoing, data not yet available. |
| 1.2 | Percentage of population who have improved access to basic socio- economic infrastructure and services, disaggregated by sex/age/group. | 7) Schools (22) Water infrastructure (18 handpumps+2 hafirs and 2 water yards), 7 health units | 51% of the total population across the three localities (Baw, Geissan and Al Kurmuk) 28,536 people report. | It's too early to measure this indicator yet. | Activities to measure this indicator are ongoing, data not yet available. |

| | | | | | |
|-----|--|---|-----|------------|--|
| 1.3 | Number of cases of gender-based violence reported to established structures disaggregated by sex and age. | 0 | TBC | Not viable | Due to the cultural sensitivities around GVB issues, the project team did not receive any reports or indication of cases of GBV from the communities, therefore, the monitoring of this outcome indicator is deleted in the no cost extension request. |
|-----|--|---|-----|------------|--|

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Strengthened climate-resilient livelihood options for women and girls and access to critical socio-economic infrastructure/services provided in selected communities.

Output 1.2

Proactive measures to protect women from natural resource-related violence and enhance access to justice adopted in selected communities.

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| <p>Output 1.1: Strengthened climate-resilient livelihood options for women and girls and access to critical socio-economic infrastructure/services provided in selected communities.</p> <p>.....</p> | <p>Performance Indicators</p> <p><i>Describe the indicator</i></p> <p>.....</p> | <p>Indicator Baseline</p> <p><i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p> <p>.....</p> | <p>End of Project Indicator Target</p> <p><i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p> <p>.....</p> | <p>Indicator progress for reporting period</p> <p><i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></p> <p>.....</p> | <p>Indicator progress to date</p> <p><i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p> <p>.....</p> | <p>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)</p> <p><i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p> <p>.....</p> |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|---|--|---|---|---|
| <p>1.1.1</p> | <p>Number of persons enrolled in vocational training and life skills activities, disaggregated by sex/age/group (min. 60% women)</p> | <p>0</p> | <p>at least (30) persons, 5 per community (60% are</p> | <p>24 youth supported with vocational skills training.</p> | <p>24 youth (16 males and 8 females) so far support in mobile phone repair and maintenance and have establish own businesses for self-reliance.</p> | <p>Inflation and price hike affected project inputs cost. Hence, the number of beneficiaries was reduced to ensure the same quality was delivered to a lesser number. - Could not achieve 60% target for women for this activity as most women opted for festival equipment rental and small ruminant restocking.</p> |
| <p>1.1.2</p> | <p>Number of socio-economic infrastructure assets provided.</p> | <p>36 Socioeconomic infrastructure projects available</p> | <p>2 additional socioeconomic infrastructure projects completed both in Geissan,</p> | <p>Two seedling nurseries, one peace center and one show clubs established.</p> | <p>Cumulatively, 2 seedling nurseries established and running. in Bau and Geissan Localities. 1 peacebuilding center constructed in Geissan Locality 1 show club established and running in Geissan Locality.</p> | <p>The Construction of peacebuilding center in Bau Locality was replaced with small ruminant restocking as indicated in the no-cost extension request.</p> |

| | | | | | | |
|-------|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 1.1.3 | Number of persons (min 60% women) supported to start small business/agriculture/climate-smart farming activities, disaggregated by sex/age/group. | 0 | 6 total cooperatives/credit and saving groups (One per project community) 6 CBOs created (One per target community) | 6 women co-operatives committees established. | six co-operative committees established for women with revolving lending scheme to assist members with capital for business ventures., | |
|-------|---|---|---|---|--|--|

» Output 1.2

| Output 1.2: Proactive measures to protect women from natural resource-related violence and enhance access to justice adopted in selected communities. | Performance Indicators Describe the indicator | Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i> | End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i> | Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i> | Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i> | Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i> |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1.2.1 | Number of women centers established in target communities with referral system.. | 0 | Build 3 women centers in 3 localities (1 in Al Kurmuk, 1 in Baw and 1 in Geissan) | Land was approved for the construction of women centers. | Construction of the centers did not start. | The intercommunal conflict, the current war and inflation |
| 1.2.2 | Number of security and justice sector personnel, community sheiks and service providers trained in gender-responsive justice. | 0 | 400, among which at least 100 are women | 77 participants trained in gender responsive justice. | 17 men head of departments in the police sector. 10 judiciary officers (2 women lawyers, and 2 men lawyer, 2 Chief customary court members, and 4 prosecution officers 50 men from the police sector (prisons, criminal evidence, investigation sectors | Intercommunal and current conflict. Training will continue after this reporting period. |
| 1.2.3 | Number of women protection networks revived and capacitated at locality level | 0 | 6 networks across the three localities | 4 women protection networks established. 2 in Al Kurmuk and locality 2 in Baw locality. | The 4 women networks were established during this reporting period. | The remaining two networks will be established in Geissan locality when access to it |

» Outcome 2: Local level governance and conflict resolution mechanisms are strengthened through gender responsive inclusive and participatory processes in selected communities.

| Outcome 2 | Performance Indicators | Indicator Baseline | End of Project Indicator Target | Current Indicator progress | Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) |
|-----------|--|---|--|---|---|
| 2.1 | Number of disputes related to natural resource use, access and control submitted and resolved (fully or partially) for arbitration to | 0 | 18 disputes resolved by local committees. E.g., CEAP committee | Exact data is not collected yet. | Data not yet available. |
| 2.2 | Percentage of population who reports having a positive perception of other livelihood and natural resource user groups, disaggregated by sex/age/group. | 4920 individuals provided by other livelihoods (2000) male, (2920) female in Baw locality, and 3720 male and 2000 female in Kurmuk. | 60% of project beneficiaries | It's too early to measure this indicator yet. | Activities to measure this indicator are ongoing, data not yet available. |
| 2.3 | Percentage of population who reports that their needs and interests are being addressed in the peace process in Blue Nile through governance mechanisms, disaggregated by sex/age/group. | 4 peace building committees (2) in Baw, and (2) in Kurmuk with (120) members (102) males, and (18) females | 10 % of the total population in the targeted communities. | It's too early to measure this indicator yet. | Activities to measure this indicator are ongoing, data not yet available. |

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Inclusive local governance mechanisms established within selected communities, which enable the participation of women and girls and other marginalized groups in the planning and management of natural resource as well as the prevention and peaceful resolution of disputes.

Output 2.2

New channels are established to link local-level peacebuilding processes to the peace process in Blue Nile state.

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

| | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| <p>Output 2.1: Inclusive local governance mechanisms established within selected communities, which enable the participation of women and girls and other marginalized groups in the planning and management of natural resource as well as the prevention and peaceful resolution of disputes.</p> <p>.....</p> | <p>Performance Indicators</p> <p><i>Describe the indicator</i></p> <p>.....</p> | <p>Indicator Baseline</p> <p><i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p> <p>.....</p> | <p>End of Project Indicator Target</p> <p><i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p> <p>.....</p> | <p>Indicator progress for reporting period</p> <p><i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></p> <p>.....</p> | <p>Indicator progress to date</p> <p><i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p> <p>.....</p> | <p>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)</p> <p><i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p> <p>.....</p> |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|

| | | | | | | |
|-------|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| 2.1.1 | Number of integrated gender climate-security assessments completed | 0 | 1 | Gender, climate, and security report. | Under finalization | Commenting and editing |
| 2.1.2 | Number of participatory and inclusive processes/structures established. | 8 (4CMC, and 4 Peace building committees in Baw and Kurmuk) | 10 (6 CEAP committees in Geissan, Baw and Al Kurmuk, in addition to 2 CMC and 2 Peace building committees in Geissan). | The CEAP committees, CMCs with their subcommittees were established during the previous reporting period. | CEAP committees contributed to the development of CEAP plans in the six project sites. | |
| 2.1.3 | Number of prioritized actions implemented to address key community concerns linked to natural resources. | 0 | at least 6 (at least one per community) | 6 CEAP Plans with detailed prioritized activities. | 46% of plans implemented by the project. | Parts of the CEAP plans are out f the project scope and budget. |

» Output 2.2

| <p>Output 2.2: New channels are established to link local-level peacebuilding processes to the peace process in Blue Nile state.</p> | <p>Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i></p> | <p>Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p> | <p>End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p> | <p>Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></p> | <p>Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p> | <p>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p> |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|
| <p>2.2.1</p> | <p>Number of local peacebuilding centers established/capacitated to support women's full and meaningful participation</p> | <p>0</p> | <p>4 peacebuilding centers one in one locality</p> | <p>1 Peace building center established.</p> | <p>The construction of the remaining canters has been replaced by small ruminant restocking</p> | |
| <p>2.2.2</p> | <p>Percentage of peacebuilding centers members who are women</p> | <p>0</p> | <p>38% of the members</p> | <p>Members received training in conflict resolution but data on dispute settlement is not readily available</p> | <p>Data not yet available</p> | <p>Access to some project sites was not possible</p> |

| | | | | | | |
|-------|---|---|--|----------------------|------------------------|---|
| 2.2.3 | Number of women-led dialogue forums with state level peacebuilding institutions | 0 | 3 Dialogue Forums (1 in Al Kurmuk, 1 in Baw, 1 in Geissan) | No dialogue held yet | Data not yet available | The inter-communal and the current conflict |
|-------|---|---|--|----------------------|------------------------|---|

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

| | | | | | |
|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| <p>If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)</p> <p>.....</p> | <p>Event Description</p> <p>.....</p> | <p>Tentative Date</p> <p>.....</p> | <p>Location</p> <p>.....</p> | <p>Target Audience</p> <p>.....</p> | <p>Event Objectives</p> <p>.....</p> |
|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|--------------------|---|---|---|
| Event 1 | Dialogue forum between women organization, /groups at locality /state level | 2/2_/2024 | Baw locality/derange village | Women organizations/group community leaders/young women and protection women network | The primary goals of women dialogues are to provide a dedicated platform for women to engage in profound discussions, delving into common agendas and strategizing ways to establish robust coalitions at both local and state levels. These dialogues aim to address critical issues such as national resource management, peacebuilding, socio-economic development, and ensuring protection against gender- based violence. By fostering open conversations, these dialogues empower women to actively contribute to shaping policies and initiatives that enhance the well-being and safety of women while promoting social and economic progress |
|-------------------------|---|--------------------|---|---|---|

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|--------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|
| Event 2 | Dialogue forum between women organizations /groups at locality level. | 7/2/2024. | Kurmuk locality/alkali village | Women organizations/groups/ community leaders/young women/protection women networks | same as above ps/women..... |
| Event 3 | Dialogue forum between women organizations /groups at locality level | 20/2/2024 | Geissan locality | Women organizations/groups/ community leaders/young women/protection women networks. | same as above ps/women..... |
| Event 4 | Dialogue forum between women organizations /groups at state level. | 5/3/2024. | Ed Damazine | Women organizations/groups/ community leaders/young women/protection women networks | Same as above ps/women..... |

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

.....

| Human Impact | Key Stakeholder | What were the challenges they faced prior to project implementation? (350 words) | What has been the impact of the project on their lives? (350 words) | Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group (350 words) |
|--------------|-----------------|--|---|---|
| 1 | IDPs | Displacement, ongoing war, lack of basic services, environmental degradation | Return of IDPs from other localities and states, reunion of families, provision of training and some assets such as the restocking and organization of women cooperative groups | |
| 2 | Refugees | War and migration due to loss of shelter and security | Return of refugees from South Sudan and Ethiopia. Capacity development, vocational training, participatory planning, consensus building | |

| | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| <p>3</p> <p>.....</p> | <p>Project community members</p> <p>.....</p> | <p>Lack of basic services due to the long-armed conflict, Lack of participatory community planning</p> <p>.....</p> | <p>Consensus building, participation</p> <p>.....</p> | <p>Omer Hamid], Community Management Committee member and Community Environmental Action Panning Chairperson-Derange. "The participatory two-dimensional mapping exercise was one of the activities that we enjoyed a lot. When we have together identified our infrastructure, and natural resources, we know where the shortage was and what we need. We hope our recommendations and plans as communities will be part of the development plan of the Blue Nile Region</p> <p>.....</p> |
| <p>4</p> <p>.....</p> | <p>Women and youth</p> <p>.....</p> | <p>Excluded and their issued not addressed</p> <p>.....</p> | <p>Well represented and included in the formed community structures such as CEAP committees, Peace building committees. The show clubs bring youth together, women cooperatives are empowering women</p> <p>.....</p> | <p>.....</p> |

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had (650 words)

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

File 2

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

File 3

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

Link 2

OPTIONAL

Link 3

OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

*

Please select up to 3.

- Enhanced digitization
- Innovative ways of working
- Mobilized additional resources
- Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- Strengthened capacities
- Partnered with Civil Society Organizations
- Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The project contributed to the capacity development of implementing partners in Blue Nile, the majority of which are local NGOs and civil society organizations. Implementing partners were trained in community environmental action planning approach and became CEAP facilitators who managed to run the CEAP process and participatorily developed community CEAP plans in the six project sites. the community capacities were also developed through the trainings provided in natural resources management linked with gender based violences, project management, bookkeeping and conflict resolution.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The project partnered with civil society organization at state and community level. the eight implementing partners are all local with exception of Practical Action being an international organization. the strengths and weakness of these CSOs is understood which is useful in knowing with which CSO to engage and on what.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Working together in a partnership with UN agencies is very useful and challenging as well. The mandates, policies and the implementation modality of each agency need to be well understood by the others. the high turnover of staff and focal points

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) *

- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- Partnered with local civil society organizations
- Partnered with local academia
- Partnered with sub-national entities
- Partnered with national entities
- Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain (If IFIs)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Engagement with the peace center and development studies of Blue Nile University was of paramount importance. University staff and students contributed to baseline data collection, analysis and report writing. The Enumerators were trained before the field work. and hence there a number of enumerators ready to participate in any future data collection process. Working with local academia made the data collection process easier and saved time. localization, ownership and building capacity of local institutions for sustainability is fundamental. The multiplying effect of the funding is realized when engaging with local institutions through delegation of implementation.

Please explain (If UN Agencies)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Working with police, Judiciary authorities the two of which are considered national entities. localization, ownership and building capacity of local institutions for sustainability is fundamental.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- Unemployed persons
- Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- Indigenous communities
- Persons with Disabilities
- Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- Women
- Youth
- Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- People living in and around border areas
- Persons affected by natural disasters
- Persons affected by armed conflicts
- Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Monitoring and provision of backstopping during the CEAP process: Provision of advises to the CEAP Committees and facilitators on the approach and responses to their question. As well as giving responses to the facilitators on specific on the CEAP approach.

Monitoring of civic works, maintenance, and construction of infrastructures: Construction of infrastructures was monitored. All the construction works met the standards and models agreed up on e.g., the peace building center and seedling nursery in Deim Saad and the show club in Yara. Maintenance of the show club in Samsur was monitored and was rather poorly done, the IP was informed to check with the contractor.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- yes
- no

Please provide a brief description

*

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The outcome indicators have baselines which were obtained, check and modified after the project base results were obtained. They are impact indicators which can be measured during the endline data collection and the final evaluation.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request)

*

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Project baseline and direct field visits to communities, meetings. The log frame in the project document did not have all the indicators base lines and targets. The baseline results informed, checked, and corrected some of the baselines and targets. Other were not complete because they needed perception surveys which were not done yet.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys

*

yes

no

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

*

yes

no

Please provide a brief description

*

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Yes, during the development of CEAP plans. During all the stages of CEAP plans development, a feedback mechanism was put in place where the CEAP committee and the facilitators held feedback meeting so that communities validate what has been reached by the team of facilitators.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?

*

yes

no

Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD):

*

Response required

60000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track? (Preparations)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

No, the project requested 6 months NO Cost Extension (NCE), if the NCE is approved, there will be ample time to plan for the final evaluation however, if the NCE is not approved, the project will conduct the final evaluation after the project closure and in this case, planning for the evaluation will start outright.

Please mention the focal person accountable for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF, name and email.

Mouna Zein: Email Mouna.zein@un.org

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Indicate funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started. (y/n) *

yes

no

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur? *

No catalytic effect

Some catalytic effect

Significant catalytic effect

Very Significant catalytic effect

Don't Know

Too early to tell

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the duration of the project. *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The project established community structures such as (Community Environmental Action Planning (CEAP), Committees, Community Management Committees (CMCs), cooperatives and women groups structures. These community structures are safeguards for the project sustainability, in the sense that they pride sense of community ownership, involvement and commitment. They act as umbrella structure for engaging external partners on community affairs. This is especially true because through the CEAP process community members have built consensus around burning community issues, and above all have seen the bigger picture.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The implementing partners being all local or national NGOs, need continuous capacity development in report writing, situation analysis, proposal development and negotiation skills during contracting. Documentation of activities with high resolution photos, good videos development, story development are skills the implementing partners need to improve. The CEAP IPs were trained in the participatory CEAP approach and hence they are now good CEAP facilitators, all the project IPs were coached in practical report writing and documentation of implemented activities. The project Ips have participated in all the peace building trainings as well as the project and natural resources management training session. The gender dimension in the form of inclusion, equality and women empowerment are now in the minds and vision of the project IPs.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

| Monitoring and oversight activities | Name of the Event | Summary | Key Findings |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| Event 1 | CEAP Process | CEAP process implemented | 6 CEAP plans produced in the six project sites |
| Event 2 | Socio economic infrastructure | Construction of peace centres | 1 Peace centre established |
| Event 3 | Nurseries | Establishment of seedling nurseries | 2 seedlings nurseries established |
| Event 4 | Participatory mapping | two-dimensional mapping | 6 two-dimensioal maps produced and digitized |
| Event 5 | Capacity building | social and economic rights, NRM and protection from GBV | 11 workshops conducted in the three localities |
| Event 6 | Supporting livelihoods | Seed distribution and restocking | 16 tons of Sorghum seeds distributed |

| | | | |
|----------------|--|--|--|
| Event 7 | | | |
| | | | |

| | | | |
|----------------|--|--|--|
| Event 8 | | | |
| | | | |

Final Steps

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF (if on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and try again. If the problem persists, you can contact technical support at the email address below)
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

.....

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.

.....