



[COUNTRY: YEMEN]

PROJECT HALF YEARLY PROGRESS UPDATE

PERIOD COVERED: JANUARY – JUNE 2016

Project No & Title:	PBF/PBF/YEM/D-1 Joint Emergency Capacity Development Support to National NGOs working in communities affected by conflict' Project#: 00091370						
Recipient Organization(s) ¹ :	UNDP						
Implementing Partners (Government, UN agencies, NGOs etc):	Ministry of Planning and International Cooperation, Ministry of Social Affairs and Labour (Government), WFP, UNICEF and UNFPA (United Nations) Humanitarian Forum (CSO) Social Fund for Development (Quasi-governmental)						
Total Approved Budget : ²	1000000						
Preliminary data on funds committed: 3	1000000	% of funds committed / total approved budget:	100%				
Expenditure ⁴ :	4,719.98 % of expenditure / total budget: (Delivery rate) 0.472%						
Project Approval Date:	25.07.2014						
Project Start Date:	01.05.2014						
Expected Operational Project Closure Date:	31.12.2016	(Number of months)					

PBF Focus Areas are:

¹ Please note that where there are multiple agencies, only one consolidated project report should be submitted.

² Approved budget is the amount transferred to Recipient Organisations.

³ Funds committed are defined as the commitments made through legal contracts for services and works according to the financial regulations and procedures of the Recipient Organisations. Provide preliminary data only.
⁴ Actual payments (contracts, services, works) made on commitments.

⁵ PBF focus areas are:

^{1:} Support the implementation of peace agreements and political dialogue (Priority Area 1):

^(1.1) SSR, (1.2) RoL; (1.3) DDR; (1.4) Political Dialogue;

^{2:} Promote coexistence and peaceful resolution of conflicts (Priority Area 2):

^(2.1) National reconciliation; (2.2) Democratic Governance; (2.3) Conflict prevention/management;

^{3:} Revitalise the economy and generate immediate peace dividends (Priority Area 3);

^(3.1) Employment; (3.2) Equitable access to social services

^{4) (}Re)-establish essential administrative services (Priority Area 4)

^(4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity; (4.2) extension of state authority/local administration; (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including JSC/PBF Secretariats)

Project Outcomes:	Governorate Based NGOs (GB NGOs) in Yemen are sustainable, reliable and professional partners, for the Government of Yemen, UN agencies and international partners and thus increase the national capacity to: deliver aid to in need that cater to the distinct needs of men, woman, girls and boys in need.
PBF Focus Area ⁵ (select one of the Focus Areas listed below)	Promote coexistence and peaceful resolution of conflicts (Priority areas 2); (2.3) Conflict prevention / Management

Qualitative assessment of progress

For each intended outcome, provide evidence of progress during the reporting period. In addition, for each outcome include the outputs achieved. (1000 characters max per outcome.)	Outcome 1: Governorate Based NGOs (GB NGOs) in Yemen are sustainable, reliable and professional partners, for the Government of Yemen, UN agencies and international partners and thus increase the national capacity to: deliver aid to in need that cater to the distinct needs of men, woman, girls and boys in need. Outcome 2:
	Outcome 3:
	Outcome 4:
Do you see evidence that the project is having a positive impact on peacebuilding? (1000 characters max.)	It is early to tell if there is any impact of this second stage of the project on community peacebuilding, since the project has just received and No Cost Extension (June 2016) after a year of suspension due to war in Yemen. However, some impact is seen particularly in forming partnership between NGOs and the local "de-facto" authorities in the effort to help IDP in some governorates. For example, UNDP project staff held individual meetings with local authority and representatives from NGOs in Hajjah Governorate to explain the project and the intentions behind the program. The NGOs agreed that collaboration with local authorities has been effective over humanitarian assistance. Both side have also reported to UNDP staff that they are keen to collaborate to allow the delivery of the training through this project to targeted NGOs. They added the training will increase their capacity to deal with humanitarian crisis, particularly with IDPs ,which is conside "dividends of peace".
Were there catalytic effects from the project in the period reported, including additional funding commitments or	After a year of working in an unpredictable and challenging situation, following the eruption of the war, UNDP learned many lessons. One of the main lessons is the importance of supporting local communities in mitigating local conflicts and creating space for community dialogue and peacebuilding. This type of peace initiatives in Yemen requires building up the capacities of national

unleashing/unblocking of any peace relevant processes? (1000 characters max.) If progress has been slow or inadequate, provide main reasons and what is being done to address them. (1000 characters max.)	NGOs (NNGOs) in conflict- sensitive humanitarian delivery, development, and early recovery. NGOs that will be trained through this project will be also linked to a UNDP-led initiative to facilitated Midlevel community leaders dialogue in the same governorates the training will take place. Due to the eruption of the war in Yemen, the project activities were suspended on April 10th, 2015. Since then the project received an exemption by PBSO to continue operating, however due to the escalating violence and worsening security situation in Yemen, UNDP could not resume the project activities until recently in May 2016.
What are the main activities/expected results for the rest of the year? (1000 characters max.)	1- Capacitate implementation team 2- Training for 60 GBNGOs in 6 governorates is delivered 3- Small grants are provided for up to 12 NNOGs in 6 governorates 4- Online platform is created
Is there any need to adjust project strategies/duration/budget etc.? (1000 characters max.)	The project received No Cost Extension by PBF in May, 2016. Since then UNDP Implementing Partners (IP) asked on two occasions weather it is possible to have three months' extension (until March 2017) as they expressed some concerns over the timelines of the project. However, UNDP explained that it is not possible and instead worked with the IP to mitigate potential delays by refining their Action Plan and identifying activities that can overlap particularly those activities related to training preparation. The IP is now preparing a training plan that will help mitigating concerns over timelines.
What is the project budget expenditure to date (percentage of allocated project budget expensed by the date of the report) — preliminary figures only? (1000 characters max.)	The project Total Expenditure: Year 2014 \$ 43,900.00 Year 2015 \$393,236.74 Year 2016 \$4,619.90 Up-to Date-Expenditure \$441,756.64
Any other information that the project needs to convey to PBSO (and JSC) at this stage? (1500 characters max.)	For Output 4: Management and monitoring of the project, progress made as follows - Finalized recruitment of project team (UNDP and Implementing Partner) - Developed work plans and budgets as well as monitoring and evaluation tools. - Finalized the project revision document with the Implementing Partner. - Improved the overall project design by focusing the three thematic areas while expanding the conflict sensitive training component.

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT: Using the **Project Results Framework as per the approved project document-** provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at both the outcome and output level in the table below. Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation in the qualitative text above. (250 characters max per entry)

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project	Current indicator	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)	Adjustment of target (if any)
	indicators	Daseinie	Indicator Target	progress	(n any)	target (ir any)
Outcome 1	Indicator 1.1	UN and	UN and	On Track		
Governorate	# GB NGOs	INGO	INGOs have			
Based NGOs	accredited by the	implementers	high caliber			
(GB NGOs)	project as	consultants	GB NGO			
in Yemen are	sustainable,	refer to	partners in 6			
sustainable,	reliable and	weakness of	priority			
reliable and	professional	local partner	governorates			
professional	partners for the	as well as the	by end of			
partners, for	Government of	spread of	2016			
the	Yemen, UN	social				
Government	Agencies and	conflict				
of Yemen,	international	including				
UN agencies	partners.	violence				
and		conflict as				
international		the major				
partners and		constraints in				
thus increase		absorbing the				
the national		foreign fund.				
capacity to:	Indicator 1.2					
deliver aid to	# Community					
in need	members receive					
	support from the					

	international community via the trained GB NGOs					
	before December 2016					
	Indicator 1.3					
Output 1.1	Indicator 1.1.1	No	120 NGOs in	on Track:		
Output 1.1:	- NNOGs	assessment	six	1. Preliminary list		
Governorate	Assessment report	of NGOs	governorates	of 120 NGOs was		
based NGOs		capacities	has been	developed		
(GB NGOs)		done	assessed	2- Process of		
in priority		following the		recruitment of the		
conflict		resumption		consultant is		
affected		of the		finalized.		
governorates have the		project, a				
capacity to		year after start of war.				
implement	Indicator 1.1.2	Collection of	- 60 GBNGOs	On Track:		
high quality	# of Training	existing	in 6	TOR for trainers in		
conflict	manuals, Tranning	training	governorates	three thematic		
sensitive	hours, and #	manuals	trained	areas have been		
projects.	TOTs	produced by	- 40 GBNGOs	developed and		
r system		UNDP on the	in at least 4	advertised		
		three	governorates			
		thematic	accredited as			
		areas	professional			
			partners			
			- Automated			
			Accounting			
			System is			

Output 1.2	Indicator 1.2.1		developed and installed on at least 30 GBNGOs - TOT conducted At least 6	Scheduled to start		
GBNGOs	# of peacebuilding		peacebuilding	in September		
implement peacebuildin	and conflict		and conflict sensitive			
g and	sensitive projects implemented by		projects			
conflict	NB NGOs		implemented			
sensitive			in the 6 target			
interventions	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		governorates			
in target areas.	Indicator 1.2.2					
areas.	Total # of people benefitted from the					
	projects					
Output 1.3	Indicator 1.3.1	Lack of	Sustainable	TOR and scoop of		
An online	# of NNGO and	online tool with	online pool is established in	work is being		
pool of accredited	INGO profiles present in the pool	information	consultation	currently drafted		
GBNGOs	present in the poor	on	with potential			
will be		sustainable,	users and is			
established to		reliable and	functioning			
facilitate		professional	by end of			
partnerships	T 1' 4 122	partners	2016			
of GBNGOs with the	Indicator 1.3.2 # of users		At least 40 trained NB			
government,	# of users registered for the		NGOs are			
national and	online pool		registered as			

	1				
international		part of the			
development		online pool			
and		omme poor			
humanitarian					
agencies.					
Outcome 2	Indicator 2.1				
	Indicator 2.2				
	1110100101 2.2				
Output 2.1	Indicator 2.1.1				
Output 2.1	mulcator 2.1.1				
	Indicator 2.1.2				
	Indicator 2.2.1				
Output 2.2					
output 2.2	Indicator 2.2.2				
	marcator 2.2.2				
	Indicator 2.2.1				
	Indicator 2.3.1				
Output 2.3					
	Indicator 2.3.2				
Outcome 3	Indicator 3.1				
	Indicator 3.2				
	marcator 3.2				
0 + 21	T 11 . 0.1.1				
Output 3.1	Indicator 3.1.1				
	Indicator 3.1.2				
Output 3.2	Indicator 3.2.1				
2 2 2					
			l		<u> </u>

	Indicator 3.2.2			
Output 3.3	Indicator 3.3.1			
	Indicator 3.3.2			
Outcome 4	Indicator 4.1			
	Indicator 4.2			
Output 4.1	Indicator 4.1.1			
	Indicator 4.1.2			
Output 4.2	Indicator 4.2.1			
	Indicator 4.2.2			
Output 4.3	Indicator 4.3.1			
	Indicator 4.3.2			