

MULTI-PARTNER TRUST FUND FOR UN ACTION AGAINST SEXUAL VIOLENCE IN CONFLICT PROJECT PROPOSAL SUBMISSION FORM

CARLET A LIGHT MENT AND A THE CONTROL OF THE CONTRO				
Part A. Meeting Information (To be completed by the UN Action Secretarial)				
RMC Meeting No: RMC029	Project No: UNA064			
Date of Meeting: 11 July 2019	UN Action RMC Members in attendance at meeting: UNFPA (Chair), IOM, UN Women, DPO and UN Action Secretariat			
Part B. Project Summary (To be completed by the Participating UN Organization)				
Date of Submission: 30 June 2019	Participating UN Organization(s): International Organization for Migration (IOM) Office of the Special Representative of the Secretary-			
The Jan Coll Day of the Table	General on Sexual Violence in Conflict (OSRSG-SVC)			
Focal Point of the Participating UN Organization(s): Igor Cvetkovski – Global Focal Point on Land, Property and Reparations	Project Title: Increasing the knowledge base on CRSV, Reparations and Survivor Centred Approach			
icvetkovski@iom.int James Freda – Human Rights Officer,	Project Location(s): New York, Geneva			
Office of the Special Representative of the Secretary-General on Sexual Violence in Conflict freda@un.org				
UN Action pillar of activity: Advocacy Knowledge building Support to UN system at country level Proposed project, if approved, would result in:	Projected Project Duration: 5 months 1 August 2019 - 31 Dec 2019 Total Project Budget: US \$100,580 Amount of MPTF funds requested at this time: USD			
New Project Continuation of previous funding Other: No-cost extension:	\$100,580 PUNO Programme Indirect Total Cost. Costs (7%) IOM 94,000 6,580 100,580			



Narratiye Summary

I. Overview

a. Provide a brief overview of the project, including overall goals and objectives, key strategies, expected results, and intended beneficiaries.

Rationale

The first six months of 2019 have been quite remarkable in respect to bringing attention to the issue of Conflict Related Sexual Violence (CRSV), advancing the survivors interests and securing political commitments by United Nations and its Member States. The 2018 Nobel Peace Prize Winners, Dr. Denis Mukwege and Ms. Nadia Murad, have successfully utilized the momentum of global attention to harness compassion for survivors, understanding of general public and support from States in the interest of the survivors. The "Stand Speak Rise Up" Conference organized by Her Royal Highness, The Grand Duchess of Luxemburg in March 2019, brought together state representatives, international agencies, activists and most importantly survivors from all around the world. In April 2019, supported by the Office of the UN SRSG SVC, the joint German and French Presidency of the UN Security Council brought forward a Resolution (UN SC Res 2467), which, inter alia, encourages member states to take Survivors Centred Approach in dealing with impact of CRSV.

Other actors, such as OHCHR, UN Women, UNFPA, IOM, ICTJ, Mukwege Foundation, Nadia's Initiative, academia and independent experts, have also increased their policy, advocacy, capacity building and operational efforts to address CRSV at local and global levels. For example, IOM and the Office of the SRSG SVC started a joint project aimed at securing interim relief and reparations for survivors of CRSV in Iraq.

In June 2019, IOM, in collaboration with the Queens University Belfast, Geneva Academy, the Mukwege Foundation, the Nadia Initiative, OHCHR, the UK Government and the Offices of the SRSG SCV organized a series of workshop focused on reparations for victims of human rights violations. Two of the four workshops were dedicated to CRSV and Reparations. These two workshops brought together UN actors, academic institutions, independent experts, civil society organizations and survivors from around the globe. While the notes and the reports from these workshops are still in process of consolidation and preparations, the participants managed to arrive at consensus on several key matters and identified some aspects which require further discussion and examination.

The key item on which there was consensus was that there is a window of opportunity created by several factors (the work of the SRSG SCV, Dr. Mukwege and Nadia's Murad advocacy and the UNSC Res 2467), which should be utilized to further advance the CRSV agenda in the interest of the survivors.



However, there were also several key questions which were not sufficiently examined and which IOM and its partners would like to tackle during a follow up workshop in October in New York. These are:

- How can UN, its member states, the civil society and the survivors decide on linkages between existing legal frameworks and principles on reparations and Survivors Centred Approach i.e. what is the difference between reparations proper and survivors assistance?
- How to ensure coherence and balance between different aspects of transitional justice for CRSV survivors, e.g. accountability, truth and reparations?
- How to ensure complementarity between national and international and judicial and administrative reparations initiatives and mechanisms?
- How to optimize the partnerships between humanitarian, gender, transitional justice, academia and other actors which will be mutually enforcing, but without duplication or contradiction.
- How to make sure that survivors are able to freely and meaningfully participate in the decision making processes at national and international levels and thereby have opportunity to shape policy and practice?
- What are the critical gaps in terms of inclusivity i.e. children born of war time rape, male survivors of sexual violence, sexual, sexualized or other violence committed against individuals due to their sexual orientation or gender identification etc?

These are the topics which IOM would like to put forward for a follow-up workshop in October in New York. The workshop will be organized in partnership with the Office of the SRSG SVC and other UN and non-UN partners. It will bring together some of the participants which attended the two CRSV-related workshops organized by IOM and the partners in June in Geneva, and other New York-based or stakeholders from other locations which did not participate in the Geneva events.

The purpose of the workshop will be multi-fold: to confirm the items of consensus and to clarify some of pending dilemmas (see above), to enable stakeholders who were not able to participate in the Geneva events to provide their input and to come up with set of recommendations for the UN, Member States, donor community and civil society on how to integrate reparations and Survivors Centred Approach into overall prevention, accountability and redress efforts.

Objective: Contribute towards advancing the conflict-related sexual violence agenda in the interest of survivors, with specific reference to exploring linkages between reparations and a survivor-centred approach, and integrating reparations and a survivor-centred approach into overall prevention, accountability and redress efforts

Outcome 1: Improved understanding of the linkages between reparations and a survivor-centred approach and how a survivor-centred approach can be integrated into reparations and other transitional justice measures

The workshop report will reflect analysis of key workshop discussions, observations, framework and recommendations for UN actors, Member States, the donor community and civil society. IOM will also



request that participants complete a workshop evaluation survey in order to verify that the workshop has improved understanding.

Output 1: Validate and troubleshoot various aspects of a survivor-centred approach in reparations (and other transitional justice measures) based on framework document

IOM will conduct a thorough analysis of the notes from the two-day discussion which took place on 13 and 14 June in Geneva, review other past and recent developments, statements and documents pertinent to the issue of CRSV, reparations and survivor centred approach, and identify key aspects which remain to be clarified during the workshop. Based on the above analysis, IOM will prepare a preliminary framework document which will be used to provide background and guide the discussions during the workshop building consensus and clarifying outstanding dilemmas. The preliminary framework will be finalized through workshop discussions and integrated into the workshop report.

Activities

- 1.1 develop workshop agenda and concept note in consultation with relevant stakeholders
- 1.2 develop preliminary framework document based on June 2019 workshop analysis & other relevant source material
- 1.3 develop participant list and issue invitations in consultation with relevant stakeholders (provide support for visas, accommodation recommendations etc)
- 1.4 select workshop venue, catering and other preparatory needs (e.g. printing) & conduct workshop in partnership with relevant stakeholders
- 1.5 develop draft workshop report, circulate for feedback from participants and integrate comments

b. Specify the geographic location(s) of the proposal and/or countries addressed.

This project has global relevance. Most of the activities will take place in Geneva and New York.

- II. Proposal's compliance with UN Action's Strategic Framework
- a. What pillar does the proposal fall under?

The proposal directly falls under Pillar Three of UN Action's Strategic Framework by building knowledge and evidence based programming on CRSV and indirectly contributes toward Pilar 2 (advocacy) and Pilar 3 (national level support).

b. Explain how the proposal enhances UN system coordination and joint programming.

The UN system and agencies are already galvanizing their actions in regards to CRSV. At the field level there is active collaboration between multiple agencies, through the humanitarian coordination mechanisms (e.g. GBV Sub-Cluster), on the basis of UN SC, GA and HRC Resolutions or National Peace-Building plans, NAPs on WPS and GBV.



ංගයේ අතර 1000 වන අතර අතර අතුතු සුතුතු සුතුතු සහ සම්බන්ද මහ එම එවීම සිදු සුතු දුරු සංකර්ධ සම මෙම සම එම එම එම අති

At global level, this workshop will enforce the ongoing convergence of the work of UN SRSG SVC, OHCHR, IOM, UN Women, UNFPA, UNICEF and other organizations. The outcomes of the workshop will also provide platform for articulating the CRSV programming into broader WPS, HDN, SDG and other frameworks.

c. Explain how the UN, governmental organizations, NGOs, and other key stakeholders will be engaged throughout implementation of the proposal.

IOM intends to prepare and conduct the workshop in partnership with the Office of the UN SRSG SVC and other UN bodies. Furthermore, the workshop speakers and participants will include members of the civil society, academia and, most importantly, survivors. IOM and its partners will also invite key UN Member States who have interest and would like to contribute toward the discussions and the outcomes of the workshop.

 Explain how the proposal would strengthen the capacity of national institutions to deal with war-related sexual violence.

The workshop will result in set of observations and recommendations which will be of use to the UN system as well as national governments, institutions and civil society organizations responsible for providing justice and care for CRSV survivors.

- III. Success criteria and means of evaluating results
- a. Explain how the Participating UN Organisation(s) submitting the proposal has the institutional capacity to successfully achieve the proposed objectives.

The Land, Property and Reparations Division (LPR), at the IOM Headquarters in Geneva is responsible for providing expert advice, technical assistance, capacity building and operational support to countries. communities and individuals who have undergone conflict or civil strife characterized by massive human rights violations. Since 2000, IOM LPR has directedly implemented or provided technical assistance in variety of reparations and land restitution projects around the globe. These include: The German Forced Labor Compensation Programme (300,000 claims from 40 countries), the Holocaust Assets Victims Programme, the Roma Holocaust Assistance Programme, the Technical Support to the Colombia Transitional Justice and Reparations Process, the Sierra Leone Reparations Programme, Technical Assistance on Reparations in Nepal, Support to Iraq Land and Property Commission, Support to Southern Yemen Land Commission, CRSV programmes in Bosnia and Herzegovina and Nepal, Sri Lanka Reparations and others. IOM LPR has also collaborated with international justice institutions, such as ICTY, ICTR and ICC as well as academia (e.g. Queens University Belfast) and international NGOs working in the domain (e.g. ICTJ, TRIAL). IOM LPR frequently engages in partnerships with other organizations: OHCHR (e.g. Nepal, Sri Lanka), UNDP (e.g. Yemen, Bosnia and Herzegovina), UN Women (e.g. Bosnia and Herzegovina, Nepal, Sierra Leone), UNFPA (e.g. Bosnia and Herzegovina), UN Habitat (e.g. Afghanistan).



b. Describe the overall management structure of this project.

Considering the limited scope (workshop and report) and timeframe of the project (4 months) the project will be managed by the IOM LPR Division in Geneva in close collaboration with the IOM Office to the UN New York and the Office of the SRGS SVC.

c. Explain how the proposal will be monitored and evaluated.

This is short-term, limited scope project. The documents and the outcomes of the workshop will serve as a basis for evaluating its level of compliance and success.

Part C. Initial Review of Proposal (To be completed by the UN Action Secretarial)	
(a) Is the project explicitly linked to the UN Action Strategic Framework?	Yes No D
(b) Is the project effective, coherent, and cost-efficient?	Yes⊠ No□
(c) Does it avoid duplication and significant overlap with the activities of other UN system entities?	Yes No □
(d) Does it build on existing capacities, strengths and experience?	Yes ⊠ No □
(e) Does it promote consultation, participation and partnerships and agree with the existing country coordination mechanism?	Yes ⊠ No □
(f) Is the Project Proposal Submission Form fully completed?	Yes⊠ No□
(g) Is the Budget in compliance with the standard format?	Yes No D
(h) Is the indirect support cost within the approved rate?	Yes No No
Part D: Decision of the Resource Management Committee. (to be completed by the RMC Chairperson).	
5. Decision of the Resource Management Committee	,
Approved for a total budget of US\$ 100,580	¥6
Approved with modification/condition	
Deferred/returned with comments for further consideration	
Rejected	
Comments/Justification:	
Chairperson of the Resource Management Committee	



- 1/	
KATE KOUGVIE	
Name (Printed)	
	19.07.2019
- of the state of	Service state
Signature	Date
Part F. Administ	trative Agent Review
(To be completed by	the UNDP MPTF Office)
1	
6. Action taken by the Executive Coordinator, Iv	Aulti-Partner Trust Fund Office HNDP
o. Action taken by the Executive Cooldinator, is	auti-1 artifer 11 ust Fund Office, ONDF
	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
	he RMC Memorandum of Understanding and the
Standard Administrative Arrangements with dono	ors.
	*
Jennifer Topping	
Executive Coordinator	
Multi-Partner Trust Fund Office, UNDP	
	1 1
	25/7/18
Jam ~	
	I S I S S S S



ANNEX A. 3

MULTI-PARTNER TRUST FUND FOR UN ACTION AGAINST SEXUAL VIOLENCE IN CONFLICT FUND SIGNATURE PAGE

(Note: Please attach to the Project Proposal Submission Form)

Participating UN Organization(s): IOM .	Focal Point of Participating UN Organization receiving funds: Igor Cvetkovski — Global Focal Point on Land, Property and Reparations icvetkovski@iom.int		
Project Number: UNA064	Project Duration: 5 months Estimated Start Date: 1 August 2019		
Project Title: Increasing the knowledge base on CRSV, Reparations and Survivor Centred Approach	Project Location(s): New York, Geneva		
Total Project Cost: US \$ 100,580			
Total Amount Approved: US \$ 100,580			
Focal Point of 160% WET 160% OF 160% O	Date Signature 28 28.07.2019		
RMC Chairperson: KATE ROUGIVIE	19.07.209		



TATA	LPROGRAMMEBIDGEF(ESS)	
	IOM	Poial
1. Staff and other personnel costs		
2. Supplies, Commodities, Materials		
3. Equipment, Vehicles and Furniture including Depreciation		
4. Contractual Services	32,000	32,000
5. Travel		
6. Transfers and Grants Counterparts		
7. General Operating and Other Direct Costs	62,000	62,000
Total Programme costs Indirect Support Costs	94,000 6,580	94,000 6,580
(cannot exceed 7%) TOTAL	100,580	Κ.
		100.580

	*
•	
·	