**Annex B: Project Results Framework** **(MUST include sex- and age disaggregated data)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Outcomes** | **Outputs** | **Indicators** | **Means of Verification/ frequency of collection** | **indicator milestones** |
| **Outcome 1:****EFFECTIVE AND COORDINATED IN-COUNTRY CONFIDENCE BUILDING MEASURES, DIALOGUE AND MEDIATION INTERVENTIONS FROM ECOWAS, SRSG GOOD OFFICE, CIVIL SOCIETY AND OTHER KEY INTERNATIONAL ACTORS STRENGTHEN POLITICAL STABILIZATION IN GUINEA-BISSAU****(Any SDG Target that this Outcome contributes to)****(Any Universal Periodic Review of Human Rights (UPR) recommendation that this Outcome helps to implement and if so, year of UPR)** |  | Outcome Indicator 1 a; # of attempted coups per election cycle diminishesBaseline:1 Since 2014. Last election cycle Target:0 | Media reports |  |
| Outcome Indicator 1 b: Government adheres to and fulfils commitments outlined in the Conakry international peace agreement Baseline: Conakry Agreement Target: 2 of 3 reform laws approved by Parliament contain input from CSO Stakeholders  | TOR, agenda Participant Lists |  |
| *Outputs 1.1:* Support ECOWAS-led intervention efforts to foster effective inter-party and political leader dialogueActivity 1.1.1: Support the development of an intervention strategy to foster dialogue between political actors in Guinea-Bissau Activity 1.1.2: Design and Facilitation of a High-level Forum.Activity 1.1.3: Support capacity building of high-level national actors | Output Indicator: 1.1.1.1: Intervention Strategy DevelopedBaseline: 0Target: 1 | Strategy Document |  |
| Output Indicator 1.1.2.1: High level Forum heldBaseline: 0Target: 1 | Participant List Disaggregated by sex and age |  |
| Output Indicator 1.1.3.1: Crisis Management for High Level Actors heldBaseline: 0Target: 1  | TOR, agenda, participant list Disaggregated by sex and age |  |
| Output 1.2: Civil Society contributes activities effectively to Political StabilizationActivity 1.2.1: Convene a Civil Society Forum and working meetings to produce a Strategic Plan for Stabilization based on agreed strategies. Activity 1.2.2: Enable civil society capacity building in crisis management and conflict resolution skills and method.  Activity 1.2.3: Support Civil Society Stabilizing Strategies. Activity 1.2.4: Conduct Baseline Mapping of Stakeholders | Output Indicator 1.2.1.1: Civil Society Crisis Strategy developed that diminished distrust among CSOs and enables them to contribute to stabilization Baseline:0Target: 1 Survey conducted 2 times Target: Trust level changes  | Perceptions of trust among CSOs measured before and after with a 350-degree survey  |  |
| Output Indicator 1.2.2.1: Crisis Management Training for Civil Society heldBaseline:0 mTarget: 1 workshop | TOR, agenda Participant Lists |  |
| Output Indicator 1.2.3.1: Stabilizing Strategies implemented by CSO organizations Baseline: OCNC negotiation of Stability Pact and Women’s Council Quiet Diplomacy effortTarget: 3 CSO groups develop and implement a stabilization strategy in first 9 months of the project | Small Grants disbursedSmall Grants Final Reports Submitted  |  |
| Output 1.3: Support the SRSG Good Offices role to build on and support coordination of dialogue initiatives and enable effective transition to the RC’s Office. Activity 1.3.1: Support Coordination of Stability Strategies | Output Indicator 1.3.1.1: Coordination meetings heldBaseline: 0 Target: 12 | Participant ListsAgenda  |  |
| Outcome 2:**SYSTEMIC REFORMS ADVANCED THROUGH INCLUSIVE PROCESSES (AS SITUPLATED IN THE ECOWAS ROADMAP AND CONAKRY AGREEMENT.** (SDG16) |  | Outcome Indicator 2 a: Reforms reflect the will of the PeopleBaseline: The current draft law on electoral and party reforms Target: A draft law that includes input drawn from stakeholder consultations  | Draft law developed by the project includes input from stakeholder’s vs what the draft law looks like now  |  |
| Outcome Indicator 2 b. Draft laws inclusive of input from marginalized communities and women’s groupsBaseline: The current draft lawTarget: Revised drat law includes input from marginalized stakeholders  | Draft law developed by the project includes input from stakeholder’s vs what the draft law looks like now and what the parliament approves |  |
| Outcome Indicator 2 c Stakeholder groups level of satisfaction with (i) the consultation process AND (ii) the content of the laws?Baseline 0Target: 65% of Stakeholder groups surveyed show satisfaction with the process and the content of the laws.  | Perception Survey  |  |
| Output 2.1 Systemic reforms drafted and approved with effective lobbying, implementation strategies designed, monitoring mechanism established and training and communication strategies undertakenActivity 2.1.1. Support the Reform Unit within the Prime Minister’s Office and the ANPs ad-hoc Parliamentary Commissions and/or other bodies/mechanism created to advance systemic reforms, with specific focus on the three draft reform laws. Activity 2.1.2: Support the organization and facilitation of stakeholder consultations on draft political party, electoral laws and Constitution and their implementation. Activity 2.1.3: Enable bottom up advocacy for the design and passage of the draft laws in parliament. Activity 2.1.4 Enable media communication and public education/dissemination efforts on reform laws based on a jointly developed Strategic Communication Plan. Activity 2.1.5: Support design, establishment and maintenance of a Civil Society Observatory for monitoring of the systemic reforms. | Output Indicator 2.1.1 1: Draft Reforms laws written with stakeholder input Baseline: Current draft laws Target: Revised draft laws include stakeholder inputs | Official Government Gazette |  |
| Output Indicator 2.1.2.1: National Consultation held on 3 draft laws Baseline:0Target: 3 National consultations are inclusive by gender and age | TOR, agenda Participant Lists with Gender and age disaggregated data  |  |
| Output Indicator 2.1.5.1: # of regional consultations held to produce thematic reports and # of CSOs members of the Network involved in the organization of those consultationBaseline: Regional consultations: 20 regional consultations organized with the participation of 4 CSOsTarget: Community meetings: 30 regional consultations organized with the participation of 8 CSOs 2.1.5.1:  |  |  |
| Output 2.2: CSO stakeholders, government officials and political party leaders supported, and capacities built to enable effective engagement on the three systemic reform processes. Activity 2.2.1: Develop a replicable and contextualized Training Materials and provide tailored training to the different stakeholder groups that would be engaged in the three systemic national policy processes. Activity 2.2.3: Support the formation of local and regional advocacy structures. | Output Indicator 2.2.1.1: Other sources of funding which contribute to the Academy.Baseline: UNDP 200,000 USDTarget: 300,000 | Curricula  |  |
| Output Indicator 2.2.2.1: Tailored training held for Stakeholder groups Baseline:0 Target:5 | TOR, agenda Participant Lists |  |
| Output Indicator 2.2.3.1: Regional Stakeholder Consultations held Baseline:0Target: 3 regional consultations are inclusive by age and sex (combining 3 regions per consultation)  | TOR, agenda Participant ListsDisagregated by sex and age |  |
| Output 2.3: Preparations and follow up actions for the National Conference Paths to Peace and Development supported Activity 2.3.1: Engage Stakeholders and prepare them to participate in the National Conference Activity 2.3.2. Help Prepare the OCNC to facilitate the National Conference. Activity 2.3.3: Support the development and implementation of a Strategic Communication Plan about the conference. Activity 2.3.4: Hold the National Conference. Activity 2.3.5: Support post conference reporting and the development of a strategic action plan.  | Output Indicator 2.3.1.1 Diverse Delegates participate in National Conference Baseline:0Target:60 | agenda Participant Lists Desagregated by sex and age |  |
| Output Indicator 2.3.2.1: Preparation completed, and model options definedBaseline:Target: | TOR, agenda, materials prepared |  |
| Output Indicator 2.3.4.1: Inclusive and participatory Conference Held and model chosenBaseline:0Target: # and kinds of stakeholder groups that attended the conference agree on a model | Conference report and recommendations Participation list disaggregated by stakeholder group and gender  |  |
| Output Indicator 2.3.4.2 Conference results are gender-sensitiveBaseline: 0Target: 3. At least 3 recommendation from the Conference address gender specific issues related to reconciliation |  |  |

**Annex C: Checklist of project implementation readiness**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Yes** | **No** | **Comment** |
| Have all implementing partners been identified? If not, what steps remain and proposed timeline | X |  |  |
| Have TORs for key project staff been finalized and ready to advertise? Plz attach to the submission |  | X |  |
| Have project sites been identified? If not, what will be the process and timeline |  | X | Too Early to identify sites of regional consultation  |
| Have local communities and government offices been consulted/ sensitized on the existence of the project? Please state when this was done or when it will be done. | X |  |  |
| Has any preliminary analysis/ identification of lessons learned/ existing activities been done? If not, what analysis remains to be done to enable implementation and proposed timeline? | X |  |  |
| Have beneficiary criteria been identified? If not, what will be the process and timeline. | X |  |  |
| Have any agreements been made with the relevant Government counterparts relating to project implementation sites, approaches, Government contribution? | X |  |  |
| Have clear arrangements been made on project implementing approach between project recipient organizations? | X |  |  |
| What other preparatory activities need to be undertaken before actual project implementation can begin and how long will this take? | N/A |  |