MULTI-DONOR TRUST FUND FOR UN ACTION AGAINST SEXUAL VIOLENCE IN CONFLICT PROJECT PROPOSAL SUBMISSION FORM

Part A. Meeting Information (To be completed by the UN Action Secretariat)			
RMC Meeting No: UNA-013	Project ¹ No: UNA@22 020		
Date of Meeting: 23 September, 2011	RMC members in attendance at meeting: Sarah Douglas, Natalie Mann, Veronica Birga, Kate Burns, Gillian Holmes, Laura Martin, Mari Matsumoto		
	. Project Summary the Participating UN Organization)		
Date of Submission: 15 September, 2011	Participating UN Organization(s): OCHA/UN Women (UN Action Against Sexual Violence in Conflict)		
	Participating UN Organization receiving funds: OCHA		
Focal Point of the Participating UN Organization(s):	Project Title: Accelerated Implementation of 1960 Guidance - Consultant		
Name: Kate Burns, OCHA Telephone: 646 469 5959 Email: <u>burns@un.org</u>	Project Location(s): Home based with country visits as requested in Terms of Reference		
Name: Gillian Holmes UN Women/UN Action Telephone: +41 22 028 9582 Email: gillian.holmes@unwomen.org			
UN Action pillar of activity: Advocacy Knowledge building Support to UN system at country level	Projected Project Duration: 12 months (1 October 2011-30 September 2012)		
Proposed project, if approved, would result in: New Project	Total Project Budget: US \$142,597		
Continuation of previous funding Other (explain)	Amount of MDTF funds requested: US \$142,597		

¹ The term "project" is used for projects, programmes and joint programmes.

	Percentage of contribution:	indirect support cos 3% to OCHA	sts from MDTF
Projected Annual Disbursements:	2011	2012	2013
	\$	\$	\$
Projected Annual Commitments:	2011	2012	2013
	\$	\$	\$

Application Instructions for Narrative Summary

The questions below are for guidance in developing the narrative under each sub-heading. Applicants should address these questions to the extent possible (see attached narrative for proposal)

I. Overview

- a. Provide a brief overview of the project, including overall goals and objectives, key strategies, expected results, and intended beneficiaries.
- b. Specify the geographic location(s) of the proposal and/or countries addressed.

II. Proposal's compliance with UN Action's Strategic Framework

- a. What pillar does the proposal fall under? (support to UN system action at country level, advocacy, or knowledge-building)
- b. Explain how the proposal enhances UN system coordination and joint programming.
- c. Explain how the UN, governmental organizations, NGOs, and other key stakeholders will be engaged throughout implementation of the proposal.
- d. Explain how the proposal would strengthen the capacity of national institutions to deal with conflict-related sexual violence.

III. Success criteria and means of evaluating results

- a. Explain how the Participating UN Organisation(s) submitting the proposal have the institutional capacity to successfully achieve the proposed objectives.
- b. Describe the overall management structure of this project.
- c. Explain how the proposal will be monitored and evaluated.

IV. Budget

a. Describe other attempts to apply for funding for this particular proposal.

Narrative Summary

V. Overview

In June 2008, the UN Security Council adopted Resolution 1820, identifying conflict-related sexual violence as a core security challenge and an impediment to the maintenance of international peace and security. In September 2009, the Security Council adopted a follow on Resolution (1888) to advance implementation. In December 2010, a third resolution on conflict-

	Percentage of contribution:	indirect support cos 3% to OCHA	ts from MDTF
Projected Annual Disbursements:	2011	2012	2013
Projected Annual Commitments:	2011	2012	2013

Application Instructions for Narrative Summary

The questions below are for guidance in developing the narrative under each sub-heading. Applicants should address these questions to the extent possible (see attached narrative for proposal)

I. Overview

- a. Provide a brief overview of the project, including overall goals and objectives, key strategies, expected results, and intended beneficiaries.
- b. Specify the geographic location(s) of the proposal and/or countries addressed.

II. Proposal's compliance with UN Action's Strategic Framework

- a. What pillar does the proposal fall under? (support to UN system action at country level, advocacy, or knowledge-building)
- b. Explain how the proposal enhances UN system coordination and joint programming.
- c. Explain how the UN, governmental organizations, NGOs, and other key stakeholders will be engaged throughout implementation of the proposal.
- d. Explain how the proposal would strengthen the capacity of national institutions to deal with conflict-related sexual violence.

III. Success criteria and means of evaluating results

- a. Explain how the Participating UN Organisation(s) submitting the proposal have the institutional capacity to successfully achieve the proposed objectives.
- b. Describe the overall management structure of this project.
- c. Explain how the proposal will be monitored and evaluated.

IV. Budget

a. Describe other attempts to apply for funding for this particular proposal.

Narrative Summary

V. Overview

In June 2008, the UN Security Council adopted Resolution 1820, identifying conflict-related sexual violence as a core security challenge and an impediment to the maintenance of international peace and security. In September 2009, the Security Council adopted a follow on Resolution (1888) to advance implementation. In December 2010, a third resolution on conflict-

	Percentage of contribution:	indirect support cos 3% to OCHA	sts from MDTF
Projected Annual Disbursements:	2011	2012	2013
**************************************	2011	2	2012
Projected Annual Commitments:	\$ 2011	\$ 2012	\$ 2013

Application Instructions for Narrative Summary

The questions below are for guidance in developing the narrative under each sub-heading. Applicants should address these questions to the extent possible (see attached narrative for proposal)

I. Overview

- a. Provide a brief overview of the project, including overall goals and objectives, key strategies, expected results, and intended beneficiaries.
- b. Specify the geographic location(s) of the proposal and/or countries addressed.

II. Proposal's compliance with UN Action's Strategic Framework

- a. What pillar does the proposal fall under? (support to UN system action at country level, advocacy, or knowledge-building)
- b. Explain how the proposal enhances UN system coordination and joint programming.
- c. Explain how the UN, governmental organizations, NGOs, and other key stakeholders will be engaged throughout implementation of the proposal.
- d. Explain how the proposal would strengthen the capacity of national institutions to deal with conflict-related sexual violence.

III. Success criteria and means of evaluating results

- a. Explain how the Participating UN Organisation(s) submitting the proposal have the institutional capacity to successfully achieve the proposed objectives.
- b. Describe the overall management structure of this project.
- c. Explain how the proposal will be monitored and evaluated.

IV. Budget

a. Describe other attempts to apply for funding for this particular proposal.

Narrative Summary

V. Overview

In June 2008, the UN Security Council adopted Resolution 1820, identifying conflict-related sexual violence as a core security challenge and an impediment to the maintenance of international peace and security. In September 2009, the Security Council adopted a follow on Resolution (1888) to advance implementation. In December 2010, a third resolution on conflict-

- monitoring analysis and reporting arrangements (MARA) at country level, as well as undertake assessments of the UN's response to conflict-related sexual violence in UN Action priority countries and the development of UN-wide strategies to address it.
- b. Explain how the proposal enhances UN system coordination and joint programming. The process of developing and the roll out of the MARA is a joint programming effort which will enhance the UN's ability to monitor, analyse, report on, and respond to conflict-related sexual violence.
- c. Explain how the UN, governmental organizations, NGOs, and other key stakeholders will be engaged throughout implementation of the proposal. The UN, governmental organizations, NGOs and other key stakeholders will all be involved in implementing the MARA and responding to conflict-related sexual violence
- d. Explain how the proposal would strengthen the capacity of national institutions to deal with war related sexual violence.

 The MARA will enable national institutions to understand the magnitude, characteristics and drivers of conflict-related sexual violence and thereby develop more appropriate and comprehensive responses. Comprehensive Strategies will better coordinate the UN's response and support to national institutions. The multi-sectoral approach engendered in the process and final product strengthens capacities of all stakeholders.

VII. Success criteria and means of evaluating results

- a. Explain how the Participating UN Organisation(s) submitting the proposal have the institutional capacity to successfully achieve the proposed objectives.
 NRC will manage this as a Special Secondment to the UN Action network. It will be administered through an agreement with OCHA.
- b. Describe the overall management structure of this project. The UN Action Coordinator will be responsible for supervising the consultant, who will also work closely with UN Action's Advocacy and Women's Rights Adviser. The consultant will undertake her assignments on behalf of the wider UN Action network.
- c. Explain how the proposal will be monitored and evaluated. A verbal debrief and written report will be provided following each country visit to UN system actors in country. The consultant will periodically brief the UN Action network and the SRSG SVC on progress made at the country-level in implementing the MARA. Other deliverables will be determined in relation to each individual assignment.

- monitoring analysis and reporting arrangements (MARA) at country level, as well as undertake assessments of the UN's response to conflict-related sexual violence in UN Action priority countries and the development of UN-wide strategies to address it.
- b. Explain how the proposal enhances UN system coordination and joint programming. The process of developing and the roll out of the MARA is a joint programming effort which will enhance the UN's ability to monitor, analyse, report on, and respond to conflict-related sexual violence.
- c. Explain how the UN, governmental organizations, NGOs, and other key stakeholders will be engaged throughout implementation of the proposal. The UN, governmental organizations, NGOs and other key stakeholders will all be involved in implementing the MARA and responding to conflict-related sexual violence
- d. Explain how the proposal would strengthen the capacity of national institutions to deal with war related sexual violence. The MARA will enable national institutions to understand the magnitude, characteristics and drivers of conflict-related sexual violence and thereby develop more appropriate and comprehensive responses. Comprehensive Strategies will better coordinate the UN's response and support to national institutions. The multi-sectoral approach engendered in the process and final product strengthens capacities of all stakeholders.

VII. Success criteria and means of evaluating results

- a. Explain how the Participating UN Organisation(s) submitting the proposal have the institutional capacity to successfully achieve the proposed objectives.
 NRC will manage this as a Special Secondment to the UN Action network. It will be administered through an agreement with OCHA.
- b. Describe the overall management structure of this project. The UN Action Coordinator will be responsible for supervising the consultant, who will also work closely with UN Action's Advocacy and Women's Rights Adviser. The consultant will undertake her assignments on behalf of the wider UN Action network.
- c. Explain how the proposal will be monitored and evaluated. A verbal debrief and written report will be provided following each country visit to UN system actors in country. The consultant will periodically brief the UN Action network and the SRSG SVC on progress made at the country-level in implementing the MARA. Other deliverables will be determined in relation to each individual assignment.

related sexual violence was passed by the Security Council which created a comprehensive monitoring and accountability architecture based around 4 pillars:

- 1. The establishment of monitoring, analysis and reporting arrangements (MARA) at country level. (Provisional Guidance on the structure of the MARA was circulated to countries on the Security Council's agenda in late July 2011);
- 2. A mandate to list parties credibly suspected of committing patterns of sexual violence in an annex to the S-G's annual reports to the SC;
- 3. Dialogue with parties to conflict to secure protection commitments, specifically commitments aimed to prevent and address sexual violence;
- 4. Monitoring implementation of these commitments to leverage the credible threat of sanctions as a deterrent and incentive for behavioural change.

All three resolutions have highlighted the role played by the network UN Action Against Sexual Violence in Conflict (UN Action) in providing a platform for a coordinated, coherent response by the UN system to conflict-related sexual violence. The UN Action network unites the work of 13 UN system entities – DPA, DPKO, OCHA, OHCHR, PBSO, UNAIDS, UNDP, UNFPA, UNHCR, UNICEF, UNODC, UN Women, and WHO – with the goal of ending sexual violence during and in the wake of conflict. Launched in March 2007, it represents a concerted effort by the United Nations to "work as one" and thereby improve coordination and accountability, amplify advocacy, and support country efforts to prevent conflict-related sexual violence and respond more effectively to the needs of survivors. In June 2007, the Secretary-General's Policy Committee endorsed UN Action as "a critical joint UN system-wide initiative to guide advocacy, knowledge building, resource mobilization, and joint programming" around sexual violence in conflict.

The purpose of this consultancy is three-fold: (i) to enable the UN Action network and Office of the Special Representative on Sexual Violence in Conflict (OSRSG-SVC) to support accelerated implementation of the MARA in the selected countries of the DRC, CAR, Cote D'Ivoire and South Sudan; (ii) to undertake ad hoc reviews of conflict-related sexual violence, and to assess the UN's response in selected situations of concern; and (iii) to assist with the design and implementation of Comprehensive Strategies to Combat Sexual Violence in priority countries. An individual TOR will be developed for each country visit. The first visit will probably take place in the DRC, where the consultant will assist the SV Unit to undertaking a full monitoring and evaluation of the Comprehensive Strategy to measure impact based upon the existing Action Plan and Results Framework developed in December 2009 (as recommended by the recent Independent Assessment of the Comprehensive Strategy.

VI. Proposal's compliance with UN Action's Strategic Framework

a. What pillar does the proposal fall under? The proposal falls under Pillar A: Support to UN System-Wide Action at Country Level. UN Action's 2011-12 Strategic Framework states that "UN Action will intensify its strategic support to UN missions as priority countries by the SRSG-SVC". In line with this, the proposed consultant will support the establishment of

- monitoring analysis and reporting arrangements (MARA) at country level, as well as undertake assessments of the UN's response to conflict-related sexual violence in UN Action priority countries and the development of UN-wide strategies to address it.
- b. Explain how the proposal enhances UN system coordination and joint programming. The process of developing and the roll out of the MARA is a joint programming effort which will enhance the UN's ability to monitor, analyse, report on, and respond to conflict-related sexual violence.
- c. Explain how the UN, governmental organizations, NGOs, and other key stakeholders will be engaged throughout implementation of the proposal. The UN, governmental organizations, NGOs and other key stakeholders will all be involved in implementing the MARA and responding to conflict-related sexual violence
- d. Explain how the proposal would strengthen the capacity of national institutions to deal with war related sexual violence. The MARA will enable national institutions to understand the magnitude, characteristics and drivers of conflict-related sexual violence and thereby develop more appropriate and comprehensive responses. Comprehensive Strategies will better coordinate the UN's response and support to national institutions. The multi-sectoral approach engendered in the process and final product strengthens capacities of all stakeholders.

VII. Success criteria and means of evaluating results

- a. Explain how the Participating UN Organisation(s) submitting the proposal have the institutional capacity to successfully achieve the proposed objectives.
 NRC will manage this as a Special Secondment to the UN Action network. It will be administered through an agreement with OCHA.
- b. Describe the overall management structure of this project. The UN Action Coordinator will be responsible for supervising the consultant, who will also work closely with UN Action's Advocacy and Women's Rights Adviser. The consultant will undertake her assignments on behalf of the wider UN Action network.
- c. Explain how the proposal will be monitored and evaluated. A verbal debrief and written report will be provided following each country visit to UN system actors in country. The consultant will periodically brief the UN Action network and the SRSG SVC on progress made at the country-level in implementing the MARA. Other deliverables will be determined in relation to each individual assignment.



will be determined in relation to each individual assignment.



Part C. Initial Review of Proposal (To be completed by the UN Action Secretariat)		
(a) Is the project explicitly linked to the UN Action Strategic Framework?	Yes No 🗌	
(b) Is the project effective, coherent, and cost-efficient?	Yes ⊠ No □	
(c) Does it avoid duplication and significant overlap with the activities of other UN system entities?	Yes No	
(d) Does it build on existing capacities, strengths and experience?	Yes No	

Part C. Initial Review of Proposal (To be completed by the UN Action Secretariat)		
(a) Is the project explicitly linked to the UN Action Strategic Framework?	Yes 🛛 No 🗌	
(b) Is the project effective, coherent, and cost-efficient?	Yes 🛛 No 🗌	
(c) Does it avoid duplication and significant overlap with the activities of other UN system entities?	Yes No 🗌	
(d) Does it build on existing capacities, strengths and experience?	Yes ⊠ No □	

(e) Does it promote consultation, participation and partnerships and a existing country coordination mechanism?	gree with the Yes No
(f) Is the Project Proposal Submission Form fully completed?	Yes No No
(g) Is the Budget in compliance with the standard format?	Yes ⊠ No □
(h) Is the indirect support cost within the approved rate?	Yes ⊠ No □
Part D: Decision of the Resource Management	Committee
(to be completed by the RMC Chairpers 5. Decision of the Resource Management Committee	on)
Approved for a total budget of US\$ 190.59	
Approved with modification/condition	and the same of th
Deferred/returned with comments for further consideration	
Rejected	
Comments/Justification:	
ovalition.	
Chairperson of the Resource Management Committee	
Mendy WarsH	
Name (Printed)	
My & May	1
Mussed Man Oct 5	2011
Signature Date	,
Part E: Administrative Agent Revie	W
(To be completed by the UNDP MDTF O	
*	
6. Action taken by the Executive Coordinator, Multi-Donor Trust I	
	Fund Office, UNDP
Project consistent with provisions of the RMC Memoran	
Project consistent with provisions of the RMC Memoran	
Project consistent with provisions of the RMC Memoran Standard Administrative Arrangements with donors.	
Project consistent with provisions of the RMC Memoran Standard Administrative Arrangements with donors. Bisrat Aklilu	
Project consistent with provisions of the RMC Memoran Standard Administrative Arrangements with donors. Bisrat Aklilu Executive Coordinator	
Project consistent with provisions of the RMC Memoran Standard Administrative Arrangements with donors. Bisrat Aklilu	
Project consistent with provisions of the RMC Memoran Standard Administrative Arrangements with donors. Bisrat Aklilu Executive Coordinator	
Project consistent with provisions of the RMC Memoran Standard Administrative Arrangements with donors. Bisrat Aklilu Executive Coordinator	920
Project consistent with provisions of the RMC Memoran Standard Administrative Arrangements with donors. Bisrat Aklilu Executive Coordinator	

MULTI-DONOR TRUST FUND FOR UN ACTION AGAINST SEXUAL VIOLENCE IN CONFLICT FUND SIGNATURE PAGE

(Note: Please attach to the Project Proposal Submission Form)

Participating UN Or	ganization(s):	Facel Daint SD .:	
2750 THE 2	gamzanom(s).	receiving funds:	ripating UN Organization
OCHA		Name: Kate Burns	
		Address: OCHA, NY	
	8	Telephone: +1 917 36	
		Email: burns@un.org	
Project Number: (to MDTF Office)	be allocated by the UNDP	Project Duration: 12	months
		Estimated Start Date	e:
		1 October 2011	
Project Title:		Project Location(s):	Home based with country
Accelerated Implemen	itation of MARA	visits as requested	ž
Consultant	*	392	
Total Project Cost: U	16 & 17, EUJ		
Total Project Cost. C	110, 71		
MDTF: US \$ 143	,597		
Other: US \$	2	2	
GRAND TOTAL: U	ss 143, 1597		
Total Amount Appro	oved: USS [4] 597		
F ID:	Name/Title	Date	Signature
Focal Point of Participating UN			
Organization			
receiving funds:			
•			
==4			
	11 1 11 1		(11
RMC Chairperson:	Mendy Marsh	Oct 4, 2011	Illian I Man
	U		11/12/02/100

MULTI-DONOR TRUST FUND FOR UN ACTION AGAINST SEXUAL VIOLENCE IN CONFLICT FUND SIGNATURE PAGE

(Note: Please attach to the Project Proposal Submission Form)

Participating UN Organization	s):	Focal Point of Partic	ipating UN Organization
OCHA		receiving funds:	-pacing of organization
OCHA		Name: Kate Burns	
		Address: OCHA, NY	
	36	Telephone: +1 917 36	7 9002
	8	Email: burns@un.org	
		- Dariste Milliong	
Project Number: (to be allocated	by the UNDP	Project Duration: 12	months
MDTF Office)		210ject Duration: 12	· monds
*		Estimated Start Date	
		1 October 2011	•
Project Title:			Home based with country
Accelerated Implementation of M	[ARA	visits as requested	rionle based with country
Consultant		visits as requested	•
	*		
otal Project Cost: US \$ 143	597		
1	100-1		
MDTF: US \$ 142,597			
ا تعنقدت	(4)		65
Other: US \$			
	N	Tsi	
GRAND TOTAL: USS 143	11597		
Total Amount Approved: US \$	142,597		
	((0, 0		
	**		
	Name/Title	Date	Signature
Focal Point of			
Participating UN			
Organization			
receiving funds:			
8 7			
PMC Chairmann Mand	11/21	\bigcirc	Ill Int
RMC Chairperson: Mendy	Marsh	Oct 4, 2011	11/ Sud 1664)
			. 10

MULTI-DONOR TRUST FUND FOR UN ACTION AGAINST SEXUAL VIOLENCE IN CONFLICT FUND SIGNATURE PAGE

(Note: Please attach to the Project Proposal Submission Form)

Participating UN Orga	nization(s).	Focal Daint Sp. 13	
	······································	receiving funds:	ipating UN Organization
OCHA		Name: Kate Burns	
		Address: OCHA, NY	
	23	Telephone: +1 917 36	
N =		Email: burns@un.org	
		Linair. ournstwun.org	
Project Number: (to be	allocated by the UNDP	Project Duration: 12	
MDTF Office)		rioject Duration: 12	inonus
		Estimated Start Date	
		1 October 2011	e:
Project Title:			YY. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Accelerated Implementa	tion of MARA	rioject Location(s):	Home based with country
Consultant	ion of thirties	visits as requested	9
	.13		
		,	
Total Project Cost: US	\$ 143 507	L	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	110111		
MDTF: US \$ 142.5	5/7		
Other: US \$			
GRAND TOTAL: US S	143,1597		
Total Amount Approve	ed: US\$ 142,597		
Focal Point of	Name/Title	Date	Signature
Participating UN			
Organization			pi .
receiving funds:			
	11		1 11
RMC Chairperson:	Mendy Marsh	Oct 4, 2011	Illey I May
	<u> </u>		, , ,



MULTI-DONOR TRUST FUND FOR UN ACTION AGAINST SEXUAL VIOLENCE IN CONFLICT PROGRAMME¹ BUDGET FORM

PROGRAMME BUDGET*		
CATEGORY	AMOUNT	
1. Supplies, commodities, equipment and transport	0	
2. Personnel (staff, consultants and travel)	129,387	
3. Training of counterparts	0	
4. Contracts	0	
5. Other direct costs (7% administrative compensation NRC)	9,057	
Total Programme Costs	138,444	
Indirect Support Costs** (3% OCHA)	4,153	
TOTAL	142,597	

^{*} Based on the UNDG Harmonized Financial Reporting to Donors for Joint Programmes approved in 2006. Definition of the categories can be found in the instruction which is available on www.undg.org.

^{**} Indirect support cost should be in line with the rate or range specified in the Fund TOR (or Programme Document) and MOU and SAA for the particular MDTF.

 $^{^{\}rm I}$ The term "programme" is used for projects, programmes and joint programmes.