

Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report



**PEACEBUILDING
FUND** 

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to keshni.makoond@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- Semi-annual
 Annual
 Final
 Other

Date of submission of report *

2022-06-15

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Kyle Jacques, Monitoring and Evaluation Specialist, PBF Secretariat Sudan

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Ulan Shabynov, PBF Coordinator, PBF Secretariat Sudan

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

yes

no

Did PBF Secretariat review the report? *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

yes

no

Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

yes no

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- Asia and the Pacific
 Central & Southern Africa
 East Africa
- Europe and Central Asia
 Global
 Latin America and the Caribbean
- Middle East and North Africa
 West Africa

Country of project implementation *

- Ethiopia
 Kenya
 Madagascar
- Somalia
 South Sudan
 Sudan
- Other, Specify

Other, please specify *

Project Title *

- 00119468: Building Sustainable Peace and Social Cohesion in Tawilla Locality, North Darfur
- 00130002: Building the MHPSS-Gender-Peacebuilding nexus: fostering wellbeing, non-violent, gender equitable masculinity and social restoration with young people in West Darfur, Sudan.
- 00130052: Darfuri Youth Empowerment in Civic Spaces to Advance Peacebuilding
- 00119467: Durable Solutions for forced displacement in West Darfur
- 00119469: East Darfur: Assalaya-Sheiria-Yassin Triangle of Peace and Coexistence
- 00121172: PBF secretariat and peacebuilding project Sudan
- 00130005: Refugee and IDP Profiling Towards Sustainable Peace and Durable Solutions in Darfur
- 00125917: Strengthening the Political and Peacebuilding Role of Women in Sudan's Transition
- 00130705: Sudan Youth Citizen Observer Network: Strengthening Youth's Role as Peacebuilders and Promoters of Civic Space in Sudan's Transition
- 00125403: Support to the Sudanese Peace Process
- 00128019: Supporting Sustainable Peace in Blue Nile State through Gender-Responsive Natural Resource Governance, Inclusive Conflict Resolution Mechanisms and Climate-Resilient Livelihoods
- 00119470: Transition to Sustainable Peace in Central Darfur
- 00119471: Transition to Sustainable Peace in South Darfur
- Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date *

2020-05-04

Project end Date *

2024-10-22

Has this project received an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund *

- yes
 no

If yes, please select which *

- National Trust Fund
 Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the lead recipient a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- UN entity
 Non-UN Entity

Please select the lead recipient *

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
 UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
 OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
 UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
 UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
 FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
 UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
 UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
 UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
 WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
 UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
 UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
 ITC: International Trade Centre UNDPO Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- No other recipients
- Yes, other UN recipients only
- Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients recipients *

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- ITC: International Trade Centre UN Department of Peace Operations Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- Action Aid
 The African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
 Avocats Sans Frontières
- Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
 Avocats sans frontières Canada
 Christian Aid Ireland
- CARE International UK
 The Carter Center, Inc.
- Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
 COIPRODEN
- Concern Worldwide
 CORDAID
 CORD Burundi
- DanChurchAid
 Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
 Fund for Congolese Women
- Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
 Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
 HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
 Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- International Alert
 Interpeace
 Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- (MDG-EISA) Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- Mercy Corps
 MSIS-TATAO
 Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- ONG AZHAR
 OXFAM
 Peace Direct
- PNG UN Country Fund
 Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
 Saferworld
- Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
 SismaMujer
 Tearfund
- Trocaire
 World Vision International
 World Vision Myanmar
- ZOA
 Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify *

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	4800000	1960000	1644160.55	34.25%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	4800000	1960000	1644160.55	34.2 5%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **34.25%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)? *

31.53

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1513440**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 518403.82**. Is this correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

241083

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE.

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

PBF SEC Financial report updated 30052022_FINAL-20_3_18.xls



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.
- If relevant, please include any COVID-19 related considerations, adjustments and results and respond to section IV. **(This section is optional)**

Briefly outline the *status of the project* in terms of implementation cycle, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

UNDP requested a 6-month extension to Outcomes 1 and 2 given the ongoing political crisis. Progress during this reporting period under these outcomes includes the following:

1) In-depth Conflict analyses/Peacebuilding assessments

Primary data collection for UN Sudan, conducted by UNDP in 6 conflict-affected states took place from February to May 2022, with the Peace and Development Centres (PDCs). Final reports are being drafted.

2) The Development of the Crisis Risk Dashboard (CRD)

The CRD methodological framework was revised in extensive consultations with PRI, UNDP team and Voluntas company. The first draft report had been produced & circulated widely to UNCT members. UNDP is engaging with Voluntas on contractual arrangements to develop the automation and Early Warning features.

3) Work Planning for Women, Peace and Security Training in East Region

The project team finalized the concept note and contracted a gender consultant. The methodology for the WPS assessment was developed with UNDP who is now in the process of finalizing the selection of a local implementing partner.

The PBF Secretariat project components (Outcome 3) received a cost-extension for an additional 30 months. For further updates on activities of the PBF Secretariat, see Outcome 3 section below.

Summarize *the main structural, institutional or societal level change* the project has contributed to. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project

FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION ONLY; (1500 character limit)

Under Outcomes 1 and 2:

Despite the political and security challenges, the project has contributed to expansion of peace infrastructure and an inclusive community-based approach to peacebuilding. With the Peacebuilding Assessment, the state-by-state and in-depth analysis equipped peacebuilding practitioners with the significant analytical capacity to lead and identify the root causes of conflicts while ensuring inclusive, participatory and conflict sensitive approach. Once the assessments are finalized, it will serve as evidence-based data to inform regional peacebuilding strategic priorities and programming.

The project also contributed to the comprehensive foundation of a national conflict early warning system through the Crisis Risk Dashboard (CRD) with PRI and PDCs from the regional universities. As the CRD provides a mechanism for conflict monitoring and reporting, the dataset can ensure that UNCT and peacebuilding practitioners reach accurate, consistent, and timely information and allows them to take preventive measure and early action. The CRD initially covered 5 Darfur states as the pilot phase, and in the reporting period it was expanded to the Two Areas and Eastern region in response to the escalated tension and the threats of potential outbreak of violence.

In partnership with UN System Staff College, the project will deliver comprehensive capacity building in conflict sensitive programming to peacebuilding practitioners in the UN System and national NGO/CSOs in Sudan. Combined with the access to the peacebuilding assessment and early warning system stated above, this contributed to the development of substantive knowledge and practical skills to design and implement peacebuilding programmes in the Sudanese context.

PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have

1 2 **3** 4 5 6 7 8 more than 8.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:

The peace process has delivered a comprehensive peace agreement and peacebuilding strategy for Sudan, supported by the UN system, regional and continental institutions, and the international donor community

Outcome 2:

National and sub-national infrastructure for peace and mechanisms necessary to the mission's transition strengthened, and an integrated area-based peacebuilding pilots established for scale up and expansion

Outcome 3:

The Peacebuilding Fund Secretariat provides effective coordination monitoring reporting, evaluation and communication regarding PBF programming in Sudan.

Outcome 4:

Outcome 5:

Outcome 6:

Outcome 7:

Outcome 8:

Additional Outcomes

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

Outcome 1:

The peace process has delivered a comprehensive peace agreement and peacebuilding strategy for Sudan, supported by the UN system, regional and continental institutions, and the international donor community

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The project has been impacted greatly by the post-25 October environment, and ongoing political crisis at the national level. Delays include the establishment of JPA structures and mechanisms, where the absence of a civilian-led government has impacted government efforts to influence peace implementation at the national, sub national and local level. The project therefore adopted a bottom-up approach to support local and community-led structures for peace.

Through the ongoing peacebuilding assessments, UNDP in partnership with UNITAMS and national and regional academic institutions, are supporting an inclusive, participatory inter-communal consultations in South Kordofan, Blue Nile, South and North Darfur, Kassala, and Red Sea state. The Peacebuilding Assessments have been planned as a tool to help identify and address the root causes of conflict and mapping out the programmatic needs and priorities, and to support the UNCT and IFI's in conflict sensitive programming.

Over the reporting period, extensive field consultations have been conducted in four localities per state with village clusters identified in partnership with the UNCT and regional PDCs. The peacebuilding assessment had been launched through a training workshop, held 13-15 December 2022, by senior researchers from PDCs to ensure shared understanding of the assessment's rationale, objectives and methodology and to capitalize on their contextual experiences to ensure conflict sensitive methodology. The assessment had qualitative and quantitative data of primary and secondary nature. The Secondary data is collected through a desk review of available reports and information, while the primary data was collected through direct field work including a total of 300 household surveys (with 95 women respondents), 40 focus group discussions, and 35 key informant interviews. While the research has been completed, at the time of writing the data is still being analysed and compiled.

Because the PDCs vary in terms of their capacity and academic standards, UN Sudan field trips are validating PDC outcomes and are building a UN Sudan consensus around the analysis and recommendations. Two UNITAMS national consultants worked on the preparation, conduct and follow up to 6 UN Sudan field trips to each of the six states. At the time of writing, these included trips to South Darfur (13 – 20 February); South Kordofan (13 – 17 March) Red Sea State (27 – 30 March) & Blue Nile (10 – 17 May). No field trips took place during Ramadan with the team using this period for write ups and extensive consultations around the recommendations. A UN Sudan field trip is now planned to North Darfur between 19 – 23 February.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

In preparation for the regional Peacebuilding Strategy, the Peacebuilding Assessment in 6 states was carried out to assess the situation related to gender-sensitive issues and barriers to women participation in peace processes (ex. the exclusion of women from political participation and civic life including local peace committees run by Omdas and Native Administration, issues of poverty, insecurity, SGBV, illiteracy, etc.) The preparation of the assessment ensured 30% gender representation all levels of the assessment team (from national to locality level), which contribute to building local capacities for women to develop methodologies and led assessments on their local context to address their own issues and challenges. The assessment ensured women focused consultations. These in-depth subnational analyses are meant to ensure that, the UN programmatic interventions are gender-responsive, conflict sensitive and comply with the principle of do-no-harm.

Outcome 2:

National and sub-national infrastructure for peace and mechanisms necessary to the mission's transition strengthened, and an integrated area-based peacebuilding pilots established for scale up and expansion

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The main challenge and constraint to make progress in this outcome relates to the delays in the organizational structure of the Peace Commission (PC) and other national and sub-national Commissions under the JPA. Despite the challenges, the project team conducted extensive coordination meetings with the Peace Commissioner to strategize and identify areas of technical support and capacity development across the country once the organizational structure is established.

The project supported the expansion of the Crisis Risk Dashboard (CRD) from 5 Darfur states to Red Sea, Kassala, Gadarif, South Kordfan, West Kordofan and Blue Nile states. The project will activate/establish community-based reconciliation mechanisms CBRMs in the new states and build their capacities to collect and report on conflict indicators under the supervision of PDCs while activating the automation plan for the secondary data source. The expansion will be made in response to demands for comprehensive coverage to track incidents of all conflict prone regions. UNDP is contracting Voluntas company, to support the automation and early warning features while finetuning the CRD methodological framework. The project team also engaged a core team of 5 national experts from the PRI to receive technical and advisory support on the narrative reporting.

The project provided instructional capacity support to ICT equipment with the PC and PDCs. This contributed to facilitating smooth engagement in peacebuilding engagements including assessments, data collection for the CRD, community dialogues, and generally as focal/entry points in the project interventions.

UNDP in collaboration with the UN System Staff College (UNSSC) and PBF Secretariat are supporting the development of a learning course in conflict sensitive programming for the UN and its implementing partners in Sudan (see further updates in Outcome 3 below.)

UNDP finalized the concept note and engaged a national consultant for capacity development on Women, Peace and Security (WPS) agenda in Red Sea, Gadarif and Kassala states. The programme was designed to assess the status of the 4 pillars of WPS agenda, gaps and opportunities, and map women-led CSOs to conduct capacity development programmes. In the reporting period, the project team coordinated the preparatory arrangement including the selection of national implementation partner and finalizing the WPS assessment methodology.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

- As the CRD has a gender responsive conflict analysis system, the information is captured through dedicated indicators to provide an overall gender disaggregated analysis.

- The technical team for conflict sensitivity training developed the selection criteria of trainees with priorities on gender parity and age distribution. As a result, the women trainees represented 13 out of 31 total distributions, and more than half of the participants are below 35 years old. A seminar on gender and peacebuilding has also been organized in collaboration with Search for Common Ground.

-For WPS training program, UNDP emphasized full inclusion of women's CSOs in each targeted state from the preparatory phase. The needs assessment was planned to map out challenges which women face and reflect into this program.

Outcome 3:

The Peacebuilding Fund Secretariat provides effective coordination monitoring reporting, evaluation and communication regarding PBF programming in Sudan.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Over the reporting period the PBF Secretariat continued performing its regular functions related to quality assurance of ongoing projects through regular coordination and communication with recipient organizations and other stakeholders.

Joint work between the PBF Secretariat, UNSSC and UNDP on strengthening UN capacities in Sudan through development of an online, self-paced course on conflict sensitivity and peacebuilding is ongoing. Five modules have been developed, three out of five have been piloted with 30 participants from diverse groups.

A recently hired RCO/PBF Communications IUNV has helped produce multiple PBF communications materials, including the first PBF in Sudan newsletter (to be launched in the third week of June), increased social media posts promoting results of PBF investments, and updated information about the PBF in Sudan on PBF`s global and UN in Sudan`s websites.

The PBF Secretariat is currently preparing for a Strategic Results Framework (SRF) exercise to formulate an overarching strategic framework for pooled fund mechanisms active in Sudan. It was agreed by the Integrated Office of the DSRSG/RC/HC, PBSO and MPTFO in New-York that this exercise should cover both the PBF and Sudan-based Multi-partner Trust Fund. The ToRs and consultation plan have been developed, with the aim to have in country consultations for the week of July 3-7. Two consultants will support this process through the Integrated Office and jointly with the MPTF/PBF Secretariats.

On new fund allocations, the Secretariat has been supporting two projects in the pipeline submitted by four UN Agencies to work in East Sudan. Both proposals have been re-submitted with new \$5 million budgets and enhanced programming. The Secretariat is also exploring opportunities to fund a cross-border project in the disputed area of Abyei.

The PBF Secretariat project has received a cost-extension until October 22, 2024. As part of this extension, the Secretariat will upgrade its current M&E capacity, for which the recruitment process has been launched.

The Secretariat organized a technical level donor visit to East Darfur. The trip provided an opportunity to understand in-depth the PBF`s investment in Darfur and for the implementing agencies to receive feedback from donors.

The Secretariat supported the three new recipients of YPI projects by giving orientation and helping fund recipients introduce modifications of activities that became impossible or too difficult to implement in the current political context. PBF Field coordinators also organized and co-facilitated a lessons learned workshop with 50 participants from 6 UN Agencies implementing the PBF`s \$20 million Darfur Programme. At the same time, the Secretariat, together with the three lead UN Agencies, is preparing for the Evaluation of the Darfur programme, including jointly drafting terms of reference and initiating recruitment for an independent evaluation firm.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

In April 2022, the PBF in New York launched the annual global Gender and Youth Promotion Initiative. In addition to this global funding initiative, the Peacebuilding Fund Secretariat launched a national call for proposals focused on gender-transformative approaches to peacebuilding. The deadline for concept note submission is 23 June 2022 and applicants are currently in the process of conducting stakeholder consultations and drafting their concept notes.

In preparation for these two funding opportunities, the Secretariat organized a workshop together with UNFPA, UN Women and UNDP on Women, Peace and Security (WPS) and Youth, Peace and Security Programming (YPS) for UN actors, INGOs, NGOs and other civil society groups. The two-day workshop brought together over 90 participants to exchange their knowledge and expertise. The first day focused on presentations and exchanges on the Peacebuilding Fund, the upcoming funding opportunities, the WPS framework and the YPS framework. The second day included a presentation on the intersections between the WPS and YPS frameworks and dedicated group discussions in both English and Arabic on WPS, YPS and the intersections between them. Key WPS and YPS issues identified included exclusionary social norms, prevalence of sexual- and gender-based violence, lack of livelihoods opportunities and access to education and widespread hate speech. A summary of the workshop was shared widely with peacebuilding actors in Sudan.

Outcome 4:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

- 1. Off Track
- 2. On Track
- 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

Outcome 5:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

Outcome 6:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

Outcome 7:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

Outcome 8:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please use this text box to describe the *status* of progress (on track with evidence of peacebuilding outcomes, on track or off track), as well as briefly describe the progress and any analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome *

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at the **outcome** level in the table below

- If an outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 1: The peace process has delivered a comprehensive peace agreement and peacebuilding strategy for Sudan, supported by the UN system, regional and continental institutions, and the international donor community**

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	Comprehensive and inclusive peace agreement concluded and signed.	N/A	Signed peace agreement	August 2019: No December 2019: Yes	N/A	Political instability and uncertainty after the military takeover on the 25th of October 2021
1.2	Rights-based and gender-sensitive strategy for peacebuilding at community level established and adopted by national and sub-national institutions and communities.	0	1	Year 2019: 0 Year 2020: 1	The primary data collection phase of the peacebuilding assessment in South Kordufan, Blue Nile, Red Sea and Kassla states had been finalized, and draft reports had been produced by PDCs.	Political instability and uncertainty after the military takeover on the 25th of October 2021
1.3	Level (%) of progress of peace agreement.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Political instability and uncertainty after the military takeover on the 25th of October 2021

» Outcome 2: National and sub-national infrastructure for peace and mechanisms necessary to the mission's transition strengthened, and an integrated area-based peacebuilding pilots established for scale up and expansion

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	National and sub-national peace commissions in Darfur established and functioning ensuring women's participation.	0	2022: 5 (minimum 40% women's participation)	Year 2019: Year 2020: Year 2021: 5	National Peace Commission is established, and coordination has resumed. The recruitment process will ensure 40% women participation	The latest 25th Oct event led to hold any direct support to the government
2.2	Number of area-based peacebuilding model implemented by joint Government – UN identified	0	2022: 5 (1 per state)	Year 2020: 0 Year 2021: 5	The primary data collection phase of the comprehensive peacebuilding assessment in South and North Darfur states had been finalized, and draft reports had been produced by PDCs.	N/A

2.3	Peacebuilding resources mobilized in Darfur jointly by the Government and UN for the DDS refresh framework	N/A	TBC	N/A	N/A	In light of the current political crisis and the negative local perception on Juba Peace Agreement, the DDS Refresh Framework has been put on hold.
-----	--	-----	-----	-----	-----	---

» **Outcome 3: The Peacebuilding Fund Secretariat provides effective coordination monitoring reporting, evaluation and communication regarding PBF programming in Sudan.**

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1	Number of PBF bi-annual reports submitted on time.	N/A	2 bi-annual reports per project, per year	N/A	10 out of 14 reports submitted on time for June 2022 reporting period.	Introduction of new online reporting form resulted in delays to submitting final versions of reports. Some first-time PBF recipients did not abide by review deadlines provided by PBF Secretariat.
3.2	Number of PBF annual reports rated as acceptable by PBF review team	N/A	100%	N/A	TBD following PBF review of reports	
3.3	Level of satisfaction of the Steering Board members with the level and timeliness of the PBF secretariat communication and coordination	TBC	Satisfactory	N/A	Cannot be reported due to indefinite hiatus of JSC meetings following October 25 2021 military takeover.	October 25 2021 military takeover affected ability to hold JSC meetings with government co-chair.

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1						
4.2						
4.3						

» Outcome 5:

Outcome 5	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
5.1						
5.2						
5.3						

» Outcome 6:

Outcome 6	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
6.1						
6.2						
6.3						

» Outcome 7:

Outcome 7	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
7.1						
7.2						
7.3						

» **Outcome 8:**

Outcome 8	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
8.1						
8.2						
8.3						

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Please indicate any significant project-related events anticipated in the next six months, i.e. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

- **The project plans to complete the Peacebuilding Assessments by consolidating the data collected in 6 targeted states and finalising the report from the PRI by the end of July.**
- **Validation workshop for the Peacebuilding Assessment reports will be organized at local and national levels to ensure national ownership**
- **The CRD will be expanded from the current 5 Darfur states as follows; (1) the first expansion: Two Areas and Eastern state and (2) the second expansion: Northern state and the rest of the states**
- **The project will conduct needs assessment and capacity mapping on Women, Peace and Security agenda in Eastern region to arrange training activities for women's-oriented organisations/network. After the preparatory phase, the project will organise training workshops in each targeted state of the Eastern region.**
- **UNDP, PBF and UNSSC will organise the rest of 3 online thematic seminars with topics on (1) Youth, Peace and Security, (2) Durable Solutions for IDPs and Refugees, and (3) the Nexus between Climate Security and Environment Issues. For the online self-paced course in conflict sensitive programming, the final version of the modules will be released by the mid of July**

In a few sentences, explain whether the project has had a positive *human impact*. May include anecdotal stories about the project's positive effect on the people's lives. Include direct quotes where possible or weblinks to strategic communications pieces.

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant(2000 character limit)

During the 2-week mission of the UN System Staff College (UNSSC) to Khartoum in mid-April, the UNSSC project manager gathered feedback on the pilot self-paced course in conflict sensitivity. Among the participants, a UN staff mentioned that, "we often have learning opportunities on conflict analysis or project management respectively, but this course offered a comprehensive package on how to tailor our project to be conflict sensitive in the Sudanese context.[...] now my team is planning to have workshop in conflict affected region, so I will make sure our project doesn't cause "Legitimization Effects".

For the thematic seminars on conflict sensitivity organised by UNSSC, UNDP and PBF Secretariat, the project team also received positive feedback on how the seminars contributed to the practical work.

The first seminar was an introductory session to understand the concept of conflict sensitivity in the Sudanese context. Among the survey respondents, one of the attendees, who is a national staff (programme assistant) at the International NGO, stated that "I learned that conflict sensitivity [CS] is a very key component in our project and if we take care of CS, we avoid failure and negative impact on programming". Other respondent, a project coordinator at national NGO, also mentioned that "The seminar provided a key takeaway on listening to different retrospectives from different people representing different places in Sudan".

On the second seminar on Land Tenure Issues, the lecturer covered the complexity of the customary and communal rights in Sudan overriding individual rights such as access to livestock routes and grazing/hunting areas. One of the attendees who is a national staff at UN agency in Sudan commented that, "the seminar provided an opportunity to understand the root causes and the complexity of the land issues unique to Sudan, and to interact with a subject matter expert and gain a deeper insight to this land issues".

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc..) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Picture1-20_59_53.png



File 2

OPTIONAL

Picture2-20_59_55.jpg



File 3

OPTIONAL

Picture3-20_59_57.jpg



You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

Link 2

OPTIONAL

Link 3

OPTIONAL

» Monitoring

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 1000 characters including spaces.

UNDP Project Staff joined the integrated missions with UNCT and UNITAMS to follow up the Peacebuilding Assessment in South Darfur, Red Sea Blue Nile and South Kordfan states.

The CRD provides a timely monitoring system for conflict incidents and key risk areas.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

yes

no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Has the project launched perception surveys or other community-based data collection? *

yes

no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

» Evaluation

Has an evaluation been conducted during the reporting period? *

yes

no

Evaluation budget (in USD): *

150000

If project will end in next six months, describe the evaluation preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

N/A

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project led to additional funding from other sources? *

yes

no

If yes, how many additional grants or donors has the project leveraged? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur? *

- No catalytic effect
- Some catalytic effect
- Significant catalytic effect
- Very Significant catalytic effect
- Don't Know
- Too early to tell

Please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The project has supported to the capacity development of peace infrastructure at national, sub-regional and community levels in Sudan.

Combined with Peacebuilding Assessment, the Crisis Risk Dashboard, and the capacity building training on conflict sensitive programming, the comprehensive structure of this project once all these initiatives are finalized will have a significant catalytic effect.

The project targeted not only UN and governmental system but also a wider range of national partners at grass-roots levels to expand their efforts and strengthened the national ownership in the peacebuilding engagement and also created an environment conducive to inclusive

PART IV: COVID-19

Please respond to these questions if the project underwent any monetary or non-monetary adjustments due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

This section is optional. You can leave it blank if not relevant

Monetary adjustments:

Please indicate the total amount in USD of adjustments due to COVID-19:

Non-monetary adjustments: Please indicate any adjustments to the project which did not have any financial implications:

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Please select all categories which describe the adjustments made to the project (and include details in general sections of this report):

- Reinforce crisis management capacities and communications
- Ensure inclusive and equitable response and recovery
- Strengthen inter-community social cohesion and border management
- Counter hate speech and stigmatization and address trauma
- Support the SG's call for a global ceasefire
- None
- Other (please describe):

Other, Please describe

If relevant, please share a COVID-19 success story of this project (i.e. how adjustments of this project made a difference and contributed to a positive response to the pandemic/prevented tensions or violence related to the pandemic etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation (related to COVID-19 or not) that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations? (1500 character limit)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Final Steps

- **Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.**
- **A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.**
- **Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF (if on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and try again. If the problem persists, you can contact technical support at the email address below)**
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Anupah Makoond keshni.makoond@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.