

Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report



PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to keshni.makoond@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- Semi-annual
 Annual
 Final
 Other

Date of submission of report *

2022-06-16

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Eva Bounegru, UNDP Project Manager, UN Joint Programme on Elections Support

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Joerg Stahlhut, Programme Advisor

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report?

*

yes

no

Did PBF Secretariat review the report?

*

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

yes

no

Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project?

*

yes no

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- Asia and the Pacific Central & Southern Africa East Africa
 Europe and Central Asia Global Latin America and the Caribbean
 Middle East and North Africa West Africa

Country of project implementation *

- Ethiopia Kenya Madagascar
 Somalia South Sudan Sudan
 Other, Specify

Other, please specify *

Somalia

Project Title *

- 00130612: Bridging the "Youth Gap" – Strengthening Community-Based Reintegration Mechanisms – A IOM/UNICEF Partnership for Community Youth and Youth Formerly Associated with Al-Shabaab
- 00114230: Dhulka Nabaada (The Land of Peace): Supporting Land Reform in Somalia
- 00118835: Improving psychosocial support and mental health care for conflict affected youth in Somalia: a socially-inclusive integrated approach for peace building
- 00113082: Leveraging the Strength of Women in Peace Building and Promoting Gender Sensitivity in the National Programme on Disengaged Combatants
- 00130704: Making Space for Peacebuilding: Strengthening Participation and Safety of Women Activists and Journalists in South West state and Jubaland, Somalia
- 00113980: Midnimo II (Unity) - Support for the attainment of durable solutions in areas impacted by displacement and returns in Galmudug and Hirshabelle States
- 00113011: PBF Secretariat
- 00118131: Prevention of child recruitment and community-based reintegration of children formerly associated with armed forces and groups
- 00129735: Promoting Inclusive Action in Peacebuilding (PIAP Initiative)
- 00096601: Support for Somalia Reconciliation Conferences
- 00129368: Support Political Transition in Somalia
- 00119246: Support to mechanisms to prevent and manage conflict during elections
- 00118635: Support to National Independent Electoral Commission (NIEC) Electoral Dispute Resolution Mechanisms
- 00113565: Support to stabilization phase 2 (2S2II)
- 00113621: Supporting reconciliation and state-building processes
- 00129369: Women, Peace and Protection Programme
- 00119317: Youth Building Peace in Somalia
- Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

00118635: Support to NIEC Electoral Dispute Resolution Mechanisms - Somalia

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date *

2019-11-20

Project end Date *

2022-02-28

Has this project received an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund *

- yes
 no

If yes, please select which *

- National Trust Fund
 Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the lead recipient a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- UN entity
 Non-UN Entity

Please select the lead recipient *

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
 UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
 OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
 UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
 UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
 FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
 UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
 UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
 UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
 WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
 UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
 UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
 UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
 UNDPO Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- No other recipients
 Yes, other UN recipients only
 Yes, other non-UN recipients only
 Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

*

Please select other UN recipients recipients

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
- UN Department of Peace Operations Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

*

Please select other non-UN recipients

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid | <input type="checkbox"/> The African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC) | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada | <input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK | <input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc. | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF | <input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide | <input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID | <input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Estudios Superior (FESU) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP) | <input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI) | <input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> International Alert | <input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace | <input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> (MDG-EISA) Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps | <input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO | <input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR | <input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM | <input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund | <input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampanandrosoana (SAF/FJKM) | <input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG) | <input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer | <input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ZOA | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify | |

Other, Please specify

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars**

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget <i>(calculated automatically)</i>
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	* 1600000	* 1600000	* 1549337.9	96.83%
	*	*	*	%
UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services	* 900000	* 900000	* 882165	98.02%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	2500000	2500000	2431502.9	97.26%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **97.26%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)? *

17

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 425000**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 413355.49**. Is this correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

Financial Report_EDRM Project (15 Jun 2022)-11_24_28.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.
- If relevant, please include any COVID-19 related considerations, adjustments and results and respond to section IV. **(This section is optional)**

Briefly outline the *status of the project* in terms of implementation cycle, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

The Project started in November 2019 for an initial period of 21 months and was granted a six month no cost extension to try and match the ever-changing timelines for the elections. The Project ended on 28 February 2022 assuming the indirect process will be finalized by then. However, due to further delays in implementing the indirect process, a number of electoral deadlines were missed, including the final deadline in February 2022. An International Consultant carried out an independent evaluation of this Project from February to May 2022. The draft Evaluation Report is currently under final review.

Summarize *the main structural, institutional or societal level change* the project has contributed to. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project

FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION ONLY; (1500 character limit)

The Project ended on 28 February 2022.

The Project and IESG were key components of UNSOM's mission to deliver "inclusive, credible and transparent 'one person, one vote' elections...". The Somali political decision to move to indirect elections made achieving this goal impossible. However, the flexibility of the Project and IESG allowed to adapt to the new context. The Project provided advice and the resources needed for the EDRC to function and to support the peaceful transfer of power represented in the acceptance of results of the indirect process to fill seats of the Federal Parliament by the majority, and the subsequent indirect elections of a new President including the peaceful handover from the former President to the new one.

The EDRC may not have become an effective part of the indirect electoral process reflected in the low number of complaints officially received, however, this is not due to a failure on the part of the Project but due to the political indirect process design.

The Project also maintained an ongoing relationship with the NIEC and provided capacity building to the NIEC on EDR issues, key to the long-term sustainability of the Project's efforts. In addition, the Project provided substantive support and resources to construct a fully functional, two-story office building with 25 offices and a meeting hall with a capacity of 100 people to facilitate the EDRM.

PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 more than 8.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:

EDR mechanism is effectively strengthened in its capacity to prevent the outbreak of electoral violence by mitigating and resolving electoral complaints through formal and informal dispute resolution mechanisms.

Outcome 2:

Outcome 3:

Outcome 4:

Outcome 5:

Outcome 6:

Outcome 7:

Outcome 8:

*

Additional Outcomes

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

*

Outcome 1:

EDR mechanism is effectively strengthened in its capacity to prevent the outbreak of electoral violence by mitigating and resolving electoral complaints through formal and informal dispute resolution mechanisms.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

In the reporting period, IESG continued to support both the NIEC and EDRC on EDR. The flexibility of the Project and IESG allowed to adapt to the new context, which was necessary, especially to achieve Outcome 1. The Project provided advice and the resources needed for the EDRC to function and to support the peaceful transfer of power represented in the acceptance of results of the indirect process to fill seats of the Federal Parliament by the majority, and the subsequent indirect elections of a new President including the peaceful handover from the former President to the new one.

The EDRC may not have become an effective part of the indirect electoral process reflected in the low number of complaints officially received, however, this is not due to a failure on the part of the Project but due to the political indirect process design.

Following comments of stakeholders, the EDRC was involved in providing alternative dispute resolution opportunities, specifically mediation and arbitration, at the local polling locations during the indirect electoral process. For those services no official fees were collected and no records were kept of such interactions. Mediation and arbitration are tools often used in customary law, which, due to the serious challenges facing Somalia's official judicial system, often fills in the gaps. EDRC members engaged in arbitration and mediation on an informal level when deployed to the election locations in the FMS. This supposedly helped avoid formal complaints being filed, and it assumed that these alternative dispute resolution efforts contributed to a political climate reducing electoral violence.

The Project also maintained an ongoing relationship with the NIEC and successfully provided capacity building to the NIEC on EDR issues, key to the long-term sustainability of the project's efforts. In addition, the Project provided substantive support and resources to construct a fully functional, two-story office building with 25 offices and a meeting hall with a capacity of 100 people to facilitate the EDRM.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

To enhance women's participation in the indirect electoral process, IESG, in coordination with the senior leadership of the United Nations and the international donor partners, advocated for a reduction in the candidacy and complaints fees for women which successfully resulted in the candidacy registration fee being reduced by 50% but the NCC did not approve any reduction for complaint fees by women. One woman was party to a joint complaint submitted to the EDRC for HoP seat 209 (Somaliland) and which was unsuccessful. However, the small number of complaints filed means that it is difficult to determine exactly why women did not file complaints particularly as the number of women elected in this election was far less than the number achieved in 2016. IESG supported the EDRC Gender sub-committee to develop messages specific to women to raise their awareness to file complaints with EDRC. In addition, all ad hoc electoral committees were supposed to include 30% women as members, but no electoral committee met this requirement although international partners strongly advocated for such inclusion. The EDRC 21-member committee includes five women or 24%. IESG also provided gender sensitive advice to the NIEC on EDR related matters.

Outcome 2:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

Outcome 3:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

Outcome 4:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

Outcome 5:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

Outcome 6:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

Outcome 7:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

Outcome 8:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please use this text box to describe the *status* of progress (on track with evidence of peacebuilding outcomes, on track or off track), as well as briefly describe the progress and any analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome *

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at the **outcome** level in the table below

- If an outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 1: EDR mechanism is effectively strengthened in its capacity to prevent the outbreak of electoral violence by mitigating and resolving electoral complaints through formal and informal dispute resolution mechanisms.**

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
1.1	% of electoral disputes resolved in a correct, timebound and peaceful manner through formal dispute resolution and NIEC/EDRC dispute resolution body/staff /or local alternative dispute mediation	N/A	80%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Capacities of the NIEC further strengthened and made fully operational •Increased participation of citizens in political processes and elections 2020/2021 •EDRC procedures developed and followed by stakeholders 	<p>IESG conducted training and workshop sessions on EDR to NIEC Legal Department. IESG provided support to NIEC Legal on EDR structure and process concept note. IESG held weekly meetings with NIEC Legal Department where EDR related matters were discussed. The EDRC released statistical information stating that it adjudicated 6 complaints about the HoP indirect process in total.</p>	<p>Reason for variance and delay:</p> <p>FGS and FMS agreed in September 2020 to hold federal parliamentary elections through an indirect process similar to 2016. The NIEC and political parties were excluded from the process. An ad hoc Committee (EDRC) was established to resolve electoral complaints on the basis of a political negotiated procedural framework and not on the basis of a fully developed legal framework.</p>
1.2						

1.3						
-----	--	--	--	--	--	--

» Outcome 2:

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
2.1						
2.2						
2.3						

» Outcome 3:

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
3.1						
3.2						
3.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
4.1						
4.2						
4.3						

» Outcome 5:

Outcome 5	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
5.1						
5.2						
5.3						

» Outcome 6:

Outcome 6	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
6.1						
6.2						
6.3						

» Outcome 7:

Outcome 7	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
7.1						
7.2						
7.3						

» Outcome 8:

Outcome 8	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
8.1						
8.2						
8.3						

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Please indicate any significant project-related events anticipated in the next six months, i.e. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc. *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The Project ended on 28 February 2022. On 29 May, the Office of the Prime Minister issued a decree officially dissolving the indirect process ad hoc committees (FEIT, SEITs and EDRC). However, a PBF EDR Lessons Learned workshop is scheduled to take place on 18 and 19 June in Mogadishu, and the draft evaluation report, currently under final review will be finalized soon.

In a few sentences, explain whether the project has had a positive *human impact*. May include anecdotal stories about the project's positive effect on the people's lives. Include direct quotes where possible or weblinks to strategic communications pieces.

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant(2000 character limit)

N/A

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc..) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

Link 2

OPTIONAL

Link 3

OPTIONAL

» Monitoring

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 1000 characters including spaces.

UNDP has contracted a Third-Party Monitoring (TPM) firm to conduct monitoring and evaluation of various projects. The TPM exercise for Q1 and Q2 has been completed and a report received (related to Indirect Elections Support).

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

yes

no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Has the project launched perception surveys or other community-based data collection? *

yes

no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

» Evaluation

Has an evaluation been conducted during the reporting period? *

yes

no

Evaluation budget (in USD): *

24700

If project will end in next six months, describe the evaluation preparations *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Concept and Terms of Reference for the Evaluator were prepared, and an International Evaluator appointed.

Evaluation is under action and the final report is under review.

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project led to additional funding from other sources? *

yes

no

If yes, how many additional grants or donors has the project leveraged? *

1

Indicate name of funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project. *

Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately

Name of Funder *

MPTF

Amount in USD *

374805.76

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur? *

- No catalytic effect
- Some catalytic effect
- Significant catalytic effect
- Very Significant catalytic effect
- Don't Know
- Too early to tell

Please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The Project appeared to be relevant to deliver “inclusive, credible and transparent ‘one person, one vote’ elections...” in line with the UNSOM mandate. The Project successfully began to implement Output 1, enabling the NIEC to outline the legal and administrative foundation appropriate to a credible and effective EDRM, a foundation which can be used as a basis for building an EDRM in future elections. In addition, the Project was able to successfully complete an EDR outreach center and electoral offices under Output 2, which will allow the NIEC to operate as a more credibly independent and non-partisan body in the future.

However, the Somali political decision to side-line the NIEC and establish the ad hoc EDRC, with all EDRC decisions appealable to the NCC, made establishing a credible and effective EDRM for this indirect electoral cycle extremely challenging. None of the institutional capacity built in the EDRC will carry over to the next electoral cycle. However, the flexibility of the Project allowed it to adapt to the new context and deliver as well as possible within that context. The project provided the resources needed for the EDRC to function and to support the peaceful transfer of power. The EDRC’s considerable flaws existed despite the project’s work, not because of it.

None of the institutional capacity built in the EDRC will carry over to the next electoral cycle.

PART IV: COVID-19

Please respond to these questions if the project underwent any monetary or non-monetary adjustments due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

This section is optional. You can leave it blank if not relevant

Monetary adjustments:

Please indicate the total amount in USD of adjustments due to COVID-19:

Non-monetary adjustments: Please indicate any adjustments to the project which did not have any financial implications:

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

N/A

Please select all categories which describe the adjustments made to the project (and include details in general sections of this report):

- Reinforce crisis management capacities and communications
- Ensure inclusive and equitable response and recovery
- Strengthen inter-community social cohesion and border management
- Counter hate speech and stigmatization and address trauma
- Support the SG's call for a global ceasefire
- None
- Other (please describe):

Other, Please describe

If relevant, please share a COVID-19 success story of this project (i.e. how adjustments of this project made a difference and contributed to a positive response to the pandemic/prevented tensions or violence related to the pandemic etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation (related to COVID-19 or not) that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations? (1500 character limit)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The political process introduced on 17 September 2020 suspended the planned universal suffrage elections in line with the legal framework for an indirect electoral process. Thus, the Project activities could not be fully implemented as planned as some activities are not suitable to an indirect electoral process.

Due to slippage in the electoral timeline, the project closed before completion of the 2020/2022 indirect electoral process.

Final Steps

- **Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.**
- **A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.**
- **Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF (if on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and try again. If the problem persists, you can contact technical support at the email address below)**
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Anupah Makoond keshni.makoond@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.