PRF – PROJECT EXTENSION/ BUDGET RE-ALLOCATION WITH NO COST IMPLICATIONS TEMPLATE 3.5





PEACEBUILDING FUND

Project Extension/ Budget Re-allocation with No Cost Implications¹

Project Title: South Jonglei Youth Literacy & Peace building Initiative	Recipient UN Organization(s): UNICEF		
Project Contact: Address: Jonathan Veitch	Implementing Partner(s) – name & type (Government, CSO, etc): (Min. of General Education, Ministry of Culture, Youth & Sports, BRAC, Youth organizations)		
Telephone: Tel: +211 912176145/149 ext. 100 Mobile: +211 912176444, +211 954993379 E-mail: jveitch@unicef.org			
Project Number: PBF/SSD/D-1 (PRF)	Project Location: Jonglei State (Twic East, Akobo, Pibor)		
Project Description: Support the continuation of ALP activities, especially in Pibor, Minkaman and Akobo. These are critical in sustaining the ongoing learning of literacy skills for at -isk adolescents and youth. This project will contribute towards national efforts in laying economic foundations to reduce economic marginalization and competition over scare resources and peacebuilding.	Total PBF project budget: \$768,260 Any non-PBF project contribution: \$631,740 Total project budget: \$1,400,000		
	Project Start Date: 5 Apr 2013 Initial Project End Date: 31 Oct 2014 1st Revised End Date (if applicable): 31 Aug 2015 2nd Revised End Date (if applicable): Dec 31st 2015		
Gender Marker Score ² :2_[Score 3 for projects that have gender as a significant objective. Score 1 for projects that will contribute in some way expected to contribute noticeably to gender equality.]	to gender equality, but not significantly. Score 0 for projects that are not		
Project Outcomes: 8-Short term employment generation; 9-St	istainable livelihoods		
PBF Focus Area ³ which best summarizes the focus of the projeting 3:Revitalise the economy and generate immediate peace divides (3.1) Employment; (3.2) Equitable access to social services	ect (select one): ands (Priority Area 3);		

¹ Please use this form if there is a no-cost extension with no substantive effect of project results OR if there is a within-the-budget re-allocation of funds, affecting more than 15% of any budget category. This form does not need to be accompanied by a Transmittal Form (template 3.3). However, within 3 months of the proposed change, there should be JSC minutes indicating non-objection to the change by the JSC. The form and the minutes by JSC need to be submitted to MPTF and PBSO for information.

² PBSO monitors the inclusion of gender equality and women's empowerment all PBF projects, in line with SC Resolutions 1325, 1888, 1889, 1960 and 2122, and as mandated by the Secretary-General in his <u>Seven-Point Action Plan on Gender Responsive Peacebuilding</u>.

³ PBF focus areas:

^{1:} Support the implementation of peace agreements and political dialogue (Priority Area 1):

^(1.1) SSR, (1.2) RoL; (1.3) DDR; (1.4) Political Dialogue;

^{2:} Promote coexistence and peaceful resolution of conflicts (Priority Area 2):

^(2.1) National reconciliation; (2.1) Democratic Governance; (2.3) Conflict prevention/management;

^{3:} Revitalise the economy and generate immediate peace dividends (Priority Area 3);

^(3.1) Employment; (3.2) Equitable access to social services

^{4) (}Re)-establish essential administrative services (Priority Area 4)

^(4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity; (4.2) extension of state authority/local administration; (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including JSC/PBF Secretariats)

Recipient UN Organization(s)
(include one signature box per RUNO)

Name of Representative: Jonathan Veitch

Name of Agency: UNICEF South Sudan

Date & Seal | 8 | 5 | 5 |

Date & Seal | 8 | 5 | 5 |

Date & Seal | 8 | 5 | 5 |

Date & Seal | 8 | 5 | 5 |

Date & Seal | 8 | 5 | 5 |

Date & Seal | 8 | 5 | 5 |

Date & Seal | 8 | 5 | 5 |

Date & Seal | 8 | 5 | 5 |

Date & Seal | 8 | 5 | 5 |

Date & Seal | 8 | 5 | 5 |

Date & Seal | 8 | 5 | 5 |

Date & Seal | 8 |

Date & Se

PRF – PROJECT EXTENSION/ BUDGET RE-ALLOCATION WITH NO COST IMPLICATIONS TEMPLATE 3.5 $\,$

Table of contents:

Length: Max. 5 pages

I. Reason for changes to the project and justification

a) Nature of change and justification

No-Cost Extension request

II. Budget impact

a) Budget revision:

There is no budget change.

I. Reason for changes to the project and justification

a) Nature of change and justification: This section outlines the nature of the revision being sought and the justification for the change.

This second no-cost extension (for the period of 1st September, 2015 to 31st December 2015) is being sought for the following reasons:

- 1. Access: Since the start of the project, there has been constraints in accessing Jonglei State, partly due to heavy rains and the continuing conflict. The armed conflict that erupted in Juba in December 2013 eventually spread to Jonglei state and enormously affected project start up as reflected in the previous no cost extension request.
- 2. Increasing numbers of youth: Due to access to Pibor, there has been an increased demand on services provided due to increased number of youth accessing the services. A key challenge here relates to the time it takes to procure supplies as well as the rainy season hampering the transportation of the supplies to the youth.
- 3. Working in the opposition-controlled areas: During the assessment and consultation mission to Walgak, there was a great deal of suspicion towards any peacebuilding-related activities in the opposition-controlled areas. The implementing partner, CMI, was asked by the local authorities to leave the area earlier than planned for the team's safety and this contributed to the delays. However, CMI and Nile Hope was able to later continue with the planned activities.
- 4. Project Local Evaluation: Due to the above, the evaluation has been delayed and the extension will provide time for proper evaluation to effect. The additional 4-month nocost extension will enable the evaluation of the program to be finalized within the requested extension time frame, i.e. 31st December 2015 and proper documentation of lessons learnt. The project handover can also be accomplished within the period of the NCE.

II. Budget impact:

Budget revision: No change in budget. To date, funding utilisation of the PBF project is 80 per cent.

$\mbox{PRF}-\mbox{PROJECT}$ EXTENSION/ BUDGET RE-ALLOCATION WITH NO COST IMPLICATIONS TEMPLATE 3.5

CATEGORIES	Original Budget	Proposed increase/ decrease	Proposed new budget
1. Staff and other personnel	120,000	0	120,000
2. Supplies, Commodities, Materials	40,000	0	40,000
3. Equipment, Vehicles, and Furniture (including Depreciation)	-	0	-
4. Contractual services	50,000	0	50,000
5.Travel	30,000	0	30,000
6. Transfers and Grants to Counterparts	358,000	0	358,000
7. General Operating & other Direct Costs	120,000	0	120,000
Sub-Total Project Costs	718,000	0	718,000
8. Indirect Support Costs*	50,260	0	50,260
TOTAL ⁴	768,260	0	768,260

^{*} The rate shall not exceed 7% of the total of categories 1-7, as specified in the PBF MOU and should follow the rules and guidelines of each recipient organization. Note that Agency-incurred direct project implementation costs should be charged to the relevant budget line, according to the Agency's regulations, rules and procedures.

⁴ The total in the original budget and in the proposed new budget must be the same if using this template.