



[**COUNTRY:** Papua New Guinea]

PROJECT HALF YEARLY PROGRESS UPDATE

PERIOD COVERED: JANUARY – JUNE 2017

FINAL

Project No & Title:	PBF/Promoting security and social cohesion in Bougainville		
Recipient Organization(s)¹:	UNDP & UNFPA		
Implementing Partners (Government, UN agencies, NGOs etc):	Office of the Chief Secretary to the Government of Papua New Guinea, Office of the Chief Secretary to Autonomous Government of Bougainville. ABG Department for Community Development. ABG Peace Division Bougainville Council of Elders Bougainville Women’s federation Bougainville Family and Sexual Violence Action Committee. Nazareth Center for Rehabilitation		
Total Approved Budget :²	USD 1,500,000 (Budget 2017 - \$577,644.22) amount expended so far \$648,537.1		
Preliminary data on funds committed :³	USD 577,644.22	% of funds committed / total approved budget:	100%
Expenditure⁴:	USD 240,035.15	% of expenditure / total budget: (Delivery rate)	46.5%
Project Approval Date:	June 2014	Possible delay in operational closure date (Number of months)	Request for a no cost extension through the JSC to PBSO
Project Start Date:	August 2015		

¹ Please note that where there are multiple agencies, only one consolidated project report should be submitted.

² Approved budget is the amount transferred to Recipient Organisations.

³ Funds committed are defined as the commitments made through legal contracts for services and works according to the financial regulations and procedures of the Recipient Organisations. Provide preliminary data only.

⁴ Actual payments (contracts, services, works) made on commitments.

⁵ PBF focus areas are:

PBF Focus Areas are:

1: Support the implementation of peace agreements and political dialogue (Priority Area 1):

(1.1) SSR, (1.2) RoL; (1.3) DDR; (1.4) Political Dialogue;

2: Promote coexistence and peaceful resolution of conflicts (Priority Area 2):

(2.1) National reconciliation; (2.2) Democratic Governance; (2.3) Conflict prevention/management;

3: Revitalise the economy and generate immediate peace dividends (Priority Area 3);

(3.1) Employment; (3.2) Equitable access to social services

4) (Re)-establish essential administrative services (Priority Area 4)

(4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity; (4.2) extension of state authority/local administration; (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including JSC/ PBF Secretariats)

Expected Operational Project Closure Date:	December 2017		
Project Outcomes:	1. Increased community social cohesion and community security through access to trauma healing and reconciliation services. 2. Community police, trauma counsellors and health workers dealing with the FSV provide more effective and informed services on trauma response and reconciliations.		
PBF Focus Area⁵ (select one of the Focus Areas listed below)	Conflict prevention and management		

Qualitative assessment of progress

*For each intended outcome, provide **evidence** of progress during the reporting period.*

In addition, for each outcome include the outputs achieved. (1000 characters max per outcome.)

Outcome 1:

This reporting period saw good progress towards the establishment of the three regional youth resource centres. With a Youth Policy for Bougainville in place, these resource centres will contribute greatly to addressing the thematic areas under this policy. Progress has also been made with the establishment of the coordination desk in the ABG CS office. UNDP's support to low cost trauma healing services is contributing positively to impacting communities as demonstrated by an increase from 10% to 20% of people having a greater level of accessibility to trauma healing services. Of the people accessing these services, 70% reported having high satisfaction with the services received. With UNDP working closely with the government and DFAT addressing a Mental Health Policy for Bougainville, there is now an improvement from 5% to 14 % in response and reporting by the working groups on family support services, mental health and trauma healing and counselling.

Outcome 2:

This outcome is aimed at improving and strengthening community police, trauma counsellors and health workers dealing with FSV to provide more effective and informed services on trauma response and reconciliations. In terms of identifying low cost community interventions, it was reported that 25% knew about the services provided by the Nazareth Centre for Rehabilitation. With this, UNDP has progressed work with the Patupatuai Centre for Rehabilitation to increase their work around healing of the memory in the communities in South Bougainville. Equipment to support the expansion of the trauma healing programs in South Bougainville have been provided. According to the community based monitoring reports, approximately 70% of the population reported positive impact of healing of the memory work contributing immensely to reconciling and unifying factions in Bougainville. This work contributed to President Momis' unification plan which manifested in the Roreinang unification of former fighters.

Outcome 3:

Outcome 4:

<p><i>Do you see evidence that the project is having a positive impact on peacebuilding? (1000 characters max.)</i></p>	<p>The three regional youth resource centres are a renewed positive vigour for change as viewed by the majority of young Bougainvilleans. Although the centres are nearing construction phase, many youths have expressed their gratitude towards this intervention as far reaching, easy and inclusive. Progressively from 75% of local youths not being aware of any training to improve life skills and promote social behaviour, this reporting period saw 35% of citizens in targeted communities reporting significant improvement in social cohesion among youths with less complaints to the police. Coherently, continuous support to the Dept. of Health and Family Support Centres through training of trauma counsellors and their deployment in health facilities in North Bougainville, will go a long way in dealing with trauma related to depression and its subsequent treatment. District level monitoring of services will be conducted with vehicle provided for this purpose by UNDP.</p>
<p><i>Were there catalytic effects from the project in the period reported, including additional funding commitments or unleashing/unblocking of any peace relevant processes? (1000 characters max.)</i></p>	<p>The Patupatua Centre for Rehabilitation identified as a low cost intervention is a catalyst for addressing unification among families, ex-combatants and former factions. With the increased support to this centre from UNDP, the Catholic Diocese in Bougainville co-funded through meeting the labour costs for construction workers to build facilities for counselling. In addition, the establishment of the three regional youth centres in Bougainville are a catalyst for positive change. The Autonomous Bougainville Government will co-fund the construction phase of these building with US\$50,000. The Department of Education and the University of Papua New Guinea Open Campus have provided land and will be engaged in drafting a curriculum and running these centres. This UNDP intervention will significantly provide opportunities for youth up-skilling especially those that lost formal education time 'lost generation'. Unification of former fighting factions is a key outcome of this project.</p>
<p><i>If progress has been slow or inadequate, provide main reasons and what is being done to address them. (1000 characters max.)</i></p>	<p>The establishment of a coordination desk within the Autonomous Bougainville Chief Secretary's office has been slightly delayed as with the establishment of the youth resource centres. The delay was caused by a number of reasons such as capacity issues of ABG, as well as difficulty in accessing land in Bougainville. Land has now been allocated in all the regions and all the integrated youth resource centres will be established based on joint partnership with UNFPA. Inherent delays could be attributed to a DIM implementation framework which seemed to have created doubts on partner ownership of the programme. Weak absorptive capacities of the various agencies within ABG supposed to be dealing with social cohesion issues e.g. department of Community Development. Mentoring and Coaching continue to be the approach adopted by UNDP as it continues to work with the ABG department of Health and DFAT to deliver project activities within the duration of the Peacebuilding Priority Plan.</p>

<p><i>What are the main activities/expected results for the rest of the year? (1000 characters max.)</i></p>	<p>For the next reporting period June - December, planned activities include; follow up meetings after the signing of the the MOU related to unification of former combatants in Arawa in May this year, supporting grassroots to deal with peace and security in their own communities, mainstreaming human rights based approaches within the new Local Level Governments (LLG) which now have equal representation of men and women. Scoping and training of trauma counsellors will progress for Central Bougainville and the Family Support Centres and Men's Hub supported. Integrated Youth Resource Centres will be established in all the 3 regions. Some work will also be done with the District Peace and Security Committees on how they should interphase with the Bougainville Police Service (BPS) to provide safety and security for communities. UNDP will partner with the Bougainville Peace Building Programme BPBP and the Department of Bougainville Peace Agreement Implementation to carry out this work.</p>
<p><i>Is there any need to adjust project strategies/ duration/budget etc.? (1000 characters max.)</i></p>	<p>At this stage, there is no need to adjust project strategies, however what is required will be a no cost extension to enable this project of the PPP to be implemented fully. This extension will give enough time for UNDP to implement the activities using the peace fund so as to make meaningful impact in the various communities across AROB.</p>
<p><i>What is the project budget expenditure to date (percentage of allocated project budget expensed by the date of the report) – preliminary figures only? (1000 characters max.)</i></p>	<p>The project budget expenditure to date is 68.4% of the total allocated budget. There is an anticipated gradual increase within the months of June to July which will increase the delivery rate for the rest of the year.</p>
<p><i>Any other information that the project needs to convey to PBSO (and JSC) at this stage? (1500 characters max.)</i></p>	

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT: *Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document- provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at both the outcome and output level in the table below. Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation in the qualitative text above. (250 characters max per entry)*

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)	Adjustment of target (if any)
Outcome 1 Increased community social cohesion and community security) through access to trauma healing and reconciliation services.	Indicator 1.1 Capacity of ABG to coordinate work on trauma healing and reconciliations.	5% of the stakeholders are more satisfied with service quality and coordination by ABG.	15.3% of the stakeholders are more satisfied with the the service quality and the coordination by ABG	At least 25% -35% of the stakeholders are more satisfied with service quality and coordination by ABG.	on track	Not at this stage
	Indicator 1.2					
	Indicator 1.3					
Output 1.1 Services addressing trauma healing, reconciliation and social cohesion are coordinated	Indicator 1.1.1 Working groups focusing on service provision on trauma healing, reconciliation and social cohesion convened and functional.	At least 5% improvement in response and reporting by Working groups in Buka, Arawa and Buin to the ABG	At least 10-25% improvement in response and reporting by Working groups in Buka, Arawa and Buin to	14% improvement in response and reporting by Working Groups in Buka, Arawa and Buin to the ABG Administration.	on track	Not at this stage

and accessible to beneficiaries in the three regions of Bougainville.		Administrati on.	the ABG Administratio n through the FSVAC.			
	Indicator 1.1.2 Number of Health Centres/Health Workers providing services consistently on trauma healing, counselling and reconciliation.	FSC centres in Buka and Arawa provide limited coordination but are not fully operational.	At least 1 community level coordination group established in selected district's for coordination of referral, response and prevention interventions	The FSVAC group in Arawa and Buka functioning well and providing advisory support	on track	Not at this stage
Output 1.2 Increased capacity of the ABG and key partners to implement activities on peace, security, social cohesion and reconciliatio n.	Indicator 1.2.1 Number and types of stakeholders disaggregated by age, gender trained on roles and responsibilities.	0	Training plan with assessment tools and learning modules developed/del ivered by Quarter 4, 2016.	Training plan developed but training not conducted yet as this has to be done with DBPAI and BPBP	on track Planning on track	Not at this stage No adjustment required at this stage
	Indicator 1.2.2 Level of satisfaction by the stakeholders	10% of the population can access services on	At least 10-25% improvement per year &	20% of the population can access services on trauma counselling	on track	Not at this stage

	trained on peace, security, social cohesion and reconciliation.	trauma counselling & 87% expressed satisfaction	More satisfied with service quality (disaggregated by sex).	& 70% expressed satisfaction in the services received		
Output 1.3 Increased understanding by youths on human rights, peacebuilding and conflict prevention to contribute to community development, peace and social cohesion.	Indicator 1.3.1 Number of problematic and peace threatening youths (both male and female) identified by the community in the 3 Regions displaying positive behavior change as a result of the Self-Management Training.	83% of the population had experienced problems with threatening and peace disturbing youths in the community.	Total of 180 youths trained over 3 years (disaggregated by sex).	50 youths trained in this reporting period	on track	Not at this stage
	Indicator 1.3.2					
Outcome 2	Indicator 2.1					
	Indicator 2.2					
Output 2.1	Indicator 2.1.1					
	Indicator 2.1.2					

Output 2.2	Indicator 2.2.1					
	Indicator 2.2.2					
Output 2.3	Indicator 2.3.1					
	Indicator 2.3.2					
Outcome 3	Indicator 3.1					
	Indicator 3.2					
Output 3.1	Indicator 3.1.1					
	Indicator 3.1.2					
Output 3.2	Indicator 3.2.1					
	Indicator 3.2.2					
Output 3.3	Indicator 3.3.1					
	Indicator 3.3.2					
Outcome 4	Indicator 4.1					
	Indicator 4.2					
Output 4.1	Indicator 4.1.1					
	Indicator 4.1.2					

Output 4.2	Indicator 4.2.1					
	Indicator 4.2.2					
Output 4.3	Indicator 4.3.1					
	Indicator 4.3.2					