

Joint SDG Fund

Template for the Joint Programme Annual Progress Report

SDG FINANCING PORTFOLIO – COMPONENT 1

Cover page

Reporting period: June 30 – December 31, 2020

Country: Viet Nam

Joint Programme (JP) title: Supporting Viet Nam towards the 2030 integrated Finance Strategy for accelerating the achievement of the SDGs

Short title: 2030 Finance Strategy for Viet Nam

Start date (month/year): **July 2020**

End date (month/year): **June 2022**

RC (name): Kamal Malhotra

Government Focal Point (name and ministry/agency): **Name TBC/Ministry of Planning and Investment**

Representative of Lead PUNO (name and agency): **Caitlin Wiesen, RR, UNDP**

List of PUNOs: UNICEF, UNWOMEN, UNDP

RCO Main JP Focal Point (name): **Uzumma Erume**

E-mail: Uzumma.erume@un.org

Lead Agency Joint Programme Focal Point (name): **Nguyen Tien Phong** **E-mail:** nguyen.tien.phong@undp.org

Contact person for Joint Communications (name): **Tuan Trinh** **E-mail:** tuan.trinh@un.org

Budget (Joint SDG Fund contribution): 935,266

Overall budget (with co-funding): 1,235,266

Annual Financial Delivery Rate: 0%

$$- \text{Annual Delivery Rate (\%)} = \frac{\text{Expenditures PUNO 1+ Expenditures PUNO 2...}}{\text{Total transferred funds Jan 2020 to December 2020}};$$

Rate of Committed Funding : 0%

$$- \text{Committed Funding Rate (\%)} = \frac{\text{Committed money PUNO 1+ Committed money PUNO 2...}}{\text{Total transferred funds Jan 2020 to December 2020}}$$

	Expenditures January 2020 - December 2020	Committed resources January 2020- December 2020	Transferred Funds January 2020- December 2020	Annual Financial Delivery Rate (%)	Committed Funding Rate(%)
PUNO 1				#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
PUNO 2					
PUNO 3					
PUNO 4					
PUNO 5					

Short description of the Joint Programme (max 1 paragraph):

The overall objective of the joint GOV-UN Program (JP) is to support Viet Nam's transformation to a more inclusive, productive green and climate resilient economy and accelerate the achievement of the SDGs. The JP will assist the development and rolling out of Viet Nam's 2030 integrated financing and investment strategy, of which the SDGs are at

the heart, while considering the impact of the recent pandemic, COVID-19. This will be achieved through supporting Viet Nam's 2030 Finance Strategy, Medium-Term Investment Plan and Medium-Term Budget Plan to deliver the following expected results: (i) Strengthened resource allocation through better alignment of development finance with the new national Socio-Economic Development Plan (SEDP) as well as national SDG priorities; (ii) Improved effectiveness of public investment gained through better integration across government (vertically and horizontally); and (iii) Increased private sector investment, and quality FDI flows that support the development of green, productive domestic private enterprises.

Executive summary

The Government's new ODA regulation requires a long internal process of appraising and approving the JP document, after the funding has been confirmed by the SDG Fund. Furthermore the COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in delays in the Ministry of Planning and Investment (MPI) identifying the JP focal point department as well as the appraisal and approval process of MPI, which had to re-prioritize their tasks to address the new requirements induced by COVID-19.

UNDP and RCO have frequently followed-up with MPI and brought the issue of delays to the attention of decision makers at the highest level. Upon MPI's request to facilitate the Government's appraisal and approval of the JP, the UN provided clarification and additional details on: (i) the Government's roles and responsibilities in the management and implementation of the JP, (ii) the JP fund allocation by categories and related financial management modalities; and (iii) the detailed annual work plan for the first year.

As of 31 December 2020, (i) the MPI's focal point department (Department of Science, Education, Natural Resources and Environment – DENSRE – GoV's focal point on the SDGs/2030 Agenda) has been identified and (ii) the MPI's appraisal and approval process has been completed. There remains additional steps of: (i) revising and updating the JP document, its overall work plan and budget in consultation with the involved MPI's departments and other national partners; (ii) MPI assigning a DENSRE's leader to sign the revised JP document with annexes on the Government's roles and responsibilities in the management and implementation of the JP and revised/updated JP overall work plan including detailed the JP fund allocation by categories and related financial management modalities, (iii) detailing and approving the first year JP work plan in consultation with the involved MPI's departments and other national partners; and (iv) making necessary JP management and implementation arrangements. These additional tasks will take place during January-March 2021 to enable the start of the JP implementation.

As the result of the delays the JP delivery rate by 31 December 2020 is zero percent and no progress has been recorded in achieving the JP outputs and outcome.

It should be noted that due to COVID-19 and the high workload of the Government agencies in preparing for the Party's Congress in January/February 2021 and the five-year Socio-Economic Development Plan (2021-2030), the preparation, appraisal and approval of the Medium Term Public Investment Plan (MTIP) and Medium Term Budgetary Plan (MTBP) have been delayed until the second quarter of 2021. This means that while the delays in

the JP approval and initiation will pose challenges in delivering the results in the first year, the opportunities for the JP to make contributions to the INFF (MTIP and MTBP) remain significant.

A. Annual Progress (MAXIMUM 7 PAGES)

A.1 The overview of progress and challenges

A.1.1. Overall self-assessment

Provide a self-assessment on the overall JP progress in the last year.

- Above expectations (expected annual results fully achieved and additional progress made)
- On track (expected annual results achieved)
- Satisfactory (majority of expected annual results achieved)
- Not-satisfactory (majority of expected annual results not yet achieved)

The COVID-19 challenges and the Government's requirements for an internal process of appraising and approving the JP document including further clarifications and consultations have resulted in significant delays in the approval and initiation of the JP implementation.

A.1.2. Overall progress and key developments to date

As of 31 December 2020, (i) the MPI's focal point department (Department of Science, Education, Natural Resources and Environment – DENSRE – GoV's focal point on SDGs/2030 Agenda) has been identified and (ii) the MPI's appraisal and approval process has been completed. Further steps of (i) revising and updating the JP document, its overall work plan and budget in consultation with the involved MPI's departments and other national partners; (ii) MPI assigning a DENSRE's leader to sign the revised JP document with annexes on the Government's roles and responsibilities in the management and implementation of the JP and revised/updated JP overall work plan including detailed the JP fund allocation by categories and related financial management modalities, (iii) detailing and approving the first year JP work plan in consultation with the involved MPI's departments and other national partners and (iv) making necessary JP management and implementation arrangements will take place during January-March 2021 to enable the start of the JP implementation.

As the result of the delays of the JP appraisal and approval, the JP delivery rate by 31 December 2020 is zero percent and no progress has been recorded in achieving the JP outputs and outcome.

It should be noted that due to COVID-19 and the high workload of the Government agencies in preparing for the Party's Congress in January/February 2021, the preparation, appraisal and approval of the Medium Term Public Investment Plan (MTIP) and Medium Term Budgetary Plan (MTBP) have been delayed until the second quarter of 2021. This means that while the delays in the JP approval and initiation will pose challenges in delivering the results in the first year, the opportunities for the JP to make contributions to the INFF (MTIP and MTBP) remain significant.

A.1.3. Changes

Was the JP document modified in the past year?

- Yes
- No

The GoV's appraisal and approval process requires further details (in the JP annexes) on (i) the Government's roles and responsibilities in the management and JP implementation of the JP and (ii) the JP fund allocation by

categories and related financial management modalities. The UN has provided such details, which were included in the annexes of the JP documents and used for the Government's appraisal and approval process.

A.1.4. Challenges

Did the JP face any major challenges in the past year?

Yes

No

The Government's new ODA regulation requires a long internal process of appraising and approving the JP document, after the funding has been confirmed by the SDG Fund. Furthermore the COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in delays in the Ministry of Planning and Investment identifying the JP focal point department as well as the appraisal and approval process of the MPI, which had to re-prioritize their tasks to address the new requirements induced by COVID-19.

UNDP and RCO have frequently followed-up with MPI and brought the issue of delays to the attention of decision makers at the highest level on the JP appraisal and approval. Upon MPI's request to facilitate for the Government's appraisal and approval of the JP, the UN provided further clarification and details on: (i) the Government's roles and responsibilities in the management and JP implementation of the JP, (ii) the JP fund allocation by categories and related financial management modalities; and (iii) the detailed annual work plan of the first year.

The UN is closely following-up on further steps of (i) revising and updating the JP document, its overall work plan and budget in consultation with the involved MPI's departments and other national partners; (ii) MPI assigning a DENSRE's leader to sign the revised JP document with annexes on the Government's roles and responsibilities in the management and implementation of the JP and revised/updated JP overall work plan including detailed the JP fund allocation by categories and related financial management modalities, (iii) detailing and approving the first year JP work plan in consultation with the involved MPI's departments and other national partners and (iv) making necessary JP management and implementation arrangements during January-March 2021 to enable the start of the JP implementation.

A.1.5. COVID-19 and other strategic alignment

- Given the COVID-19 induced impact and challenges, the GoV agencies are updating five-year Socio-Economic Development Plan (2021-2025), and preparing the Medium-Term Public Investment Plan (MTIP) and Medium Term Budgetary Plan (MTBP) accordingly. The UN, during the process of refining and detailing the JP overall and first year work plans with the active participation of MPI and involved national partners will ensure the full alignment of the JP support to (i) the GoV recovery response to COVID-19 and (ii) the UN SERP including the UN support through the SDG Fund joint UN program on social protection.
- The key difference between SDG Fund and the Government's regulations is that the latter does not have regulations for appraising and approving "funding proposals", these regulations apply only to

program/project documents that are “guaranteed funding”. In this case the JP Document approved by the SDG Fund has been treated as a proposed program document with guaranteed funding, which needs to go through the appraisal and approval process. The value of this process as well as the steps of refining/detailing/approving the JP work plans is that once approved the JP will have full ownership by the Government.

A.2 Update on priority issues

A.2.1. Progress on Integrated National Financing Framework/SDG financing building blocks

Implementation stages	Planned (0%)	Emerging (1-49% progress)	Advancing (50-99% progress)	Complete (100% progress)	Previously completed	Not applicable	Comments/ Notes
1. Inception phase	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
2. Assessment & diagnostics	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
3. Financing strategy	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
4. Monitoring & review	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
5. Governance & coordination	X	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

No progress in the JP implementation was recorded during the reporting period due to the significant delays in the JP appraisal and approval.

A.2.2. Thematic focus

- | | | | |
|--------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| X Cross-cutting | <input type="checkbox"/> Social protection | <input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture | <input type="checkbox"/> Other..... |
| X Gender | <input type="checkbox"/> Health & nutrition | <input type="checkbox"/> Biodiversity | <input type="checkbox"/> Other..... |
| X Children & youth | X Climate change & nature | <input type="checkbox"/> Blue economy | <input type="checkbox"/> Other..... |

A.2.3. Gender marker

Did your Joint Programme feature Gender Results in the past year at the outcome or output level?

Yes

X No

Briefly explain: The JP implementation did not start during the reporting period due to the significant delays in the JP appraisal and approval.

Gender Marker total average scoring from 0 to 3 (as defined in the JP document): 2

The JP implementation did not start during the reporting period due to the significant delays in the JP appraisal and approval.

A.2.4. Vulnerable groups

Will the JP directly impact marginalized and/or vulnerable groups through a dedicated outcome or output?

Yes

No

List of marginalized and vulnerable groups	Dedicated Outcome	Dedicated Output	Status (planned/in progress/complete)
Women and girls			
Children			
Youth			
Persons with disabilities			
Older persons			
Minorities (incl. ethnic, religious, linguistic...)			
Indigenous peoples			
Persons of African Descent (when understood as separate from minorities)			
Migrants			
Refugees & asylum seekers			
Internally displaced persons			
Stateless persons			
Persons deprived of their liberty			
Peasants and rural workers			
Human rights defenders (incl. NGOs, journalists, union leaders, whistleblowers...)			
LGBTI persons (sexual orientation and gender identity)			
Persons affected by (HIV/AIDS, leprosy...)			
Persons with albinism			
Victims or relatives of victims of enforced disappearances			
Victims of (slavery, torture, trafficking, sexual exploitation and abuse...)			

A.2.5. Learning and sharing

The key lessons learned include: (i) the mis-match between the JP document formulation, appraisal and approval procedures of the SDG Fund/UN and the Government and (ii) uncertainty/risk caused by COVID-19 (or any other major shocks) will need to be taken into the account in the program design, initiation and implementation .

A.3 Update on events and partnerships

A.3.1. Events

Type of event	Yes	No	Comments
---------------	-----	----	----------

JP launch event	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	The JP implementation did not start during the reporting period due to the significant delays in the JP appraisal and approval.
Annual donors' event*	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	The JP implementation did not start during the reporting period due to the significant delays in the JP appraisal and approval.
Partners' event **	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	The JP implementation did not start during the reporting period due to the significant delays in the JP appraisal and approval.

A.3.2. Partnerships

Did your Joint Programme facilitate engagement with diverse stakeholders (e.g. parliamentarians, civil society, IFIs, bilateral/multilateral actor, private sector)?

- Yes
 No

The JP implementation did not start during the reporting period due to the significant delays in the JP appraisal and approval.

A.3.3. Additional financing

- Yes
 No

Source of funding	Yes	No	Co-funding or Co-financing?	Name of organization	Amount (USD)	Comments
Government	<input type="checkbox"/>	X				
Donors/IFIs	<input type="checkbox"/>	X				
Private sector	<input type="checkbox"/>	X				
PUNOs	<input type="checkbox"/>	X				
Other partners	<input type="checkbox"/>	X				

A.4 Strategic communications

Did the JP develop a strategic communications plan?

- Yes
 No

The JP implementation did not start during the reporting period due to the significant delays in the JP appraisal and approval. A strategic communication plan will be developed and agreed with all parties once the JP implementation has commenced. This will be based on the final JP design approved by the Government and SDG Fund.

N/A

B. Annual Results (MAXIMUM 3 PAGES)

B.1. SDG acceleration

List up to 3 main SDG targets that your Joint Programme primarily focused in 2020.

SDG: NA
 SDG: NA
 SDG: NA

The JP implementation did not start during the reporting period due to the significant delays in the JP appraisal and approval.

B.2. Contribution to Fund's global results

No contribution: The JP implementation did not start during the reporting period due to the significant delays in the JP appraisal and approval.

B.3. JP Outputs and outcomes

- Achievement of outputs

No progress. The JP implementation did not start during the reporting period due to the significant delays in the JP appraisal and approval.

- Achievement of outcomes

No progress. The JP implementation did not start during the reporting period due to the significant delays in the JP appraisal and approval.

B.4. Strategic Documents

Did the JP produce strategic documents in the last year (policy, strategies, analyses/assessments, methodological documents, and other relevant documents)?

Yes
 X No

The JP implementation did not start during the reporting period due to the significant delays in the JP appraisal and approval and as the result no strategic documents were produced by the JP in 2020. However, the UN, in 2020, provided following strategic documents: (i) UNDP-UN Women "*COVID-19 Socio-economic Impact on Vulnerable Households and Enterprises in Viet Nam: A Gender-sensitive Assessment*", (ii) UNDP-led UN working group's report on assessment of COVID-19 economic impact; (iii) UNICEF-led UN working group's report on assessment of COVID-19 social impact; (iv) UN report on assessment of COVID-19 social and economic impact, (v) UN Women - DFAT and Ministry of Labour-Invalids and Social Affairs: 10-year review on the implementation of the National Strategy on Gender Equality 2011-2020 (completed) and Financing for gender equality in Viet Nam (will be finalized in March 2021), (vi) UN Inputs to the development and finalization of 2021-30 socio-economic development strategy and 2021-2025 socio-economic development plans; and (vii) Preliminary consultation on children and 2021-30 national finance strategy.

C. Plan for the Next Year of implementation (MAXIMUM 2 PAGES)

C.1 Upcoming activities

January-March 2021: Initiating the JP implementation, including: (i) MPI signing the JP document, (ii) refining and approving the first year JP work plan in consultation with the involved MPI's departments and other national partners, especially in aligning JP support to the Government's COVID-19 recovery response and UN SERP; (iii) making necessary JP management and implementation arrangements (including the JP steering committee, recruitment of support staff, etc.) and (iv) developing JP strategic communications plan and organizing JP launch event. The key activity in this will be a virtual UN scoping mission with participation of INFF experts from regional/HQs aiming at helping the UN/PUNOs define (i) the INFF roadmap and related scope of JP work/support, (ii) partnership arrangements with EU and IFIs, the feasibility of the INFF steering committee and quality assurance group, and (iii) inception stage of the JP in Viet Nam.

March - December 2021:

- (i) updating the Development Finance Assessment and preparing a policy advisory note on development finance to achieve SDGs taking into the account the impact of COVID-19 impact; the JP planned (through detailed work planning) will provide necessary analysis and policy advice on COVID-19 impacts to support to the MTIP and MTBP, building on the recently published UN Reports on Assessment of the Social and Economic Impact of COVID-19 in Vietnam (September, 2020) which contains pertinent analysis as well as recommended actions for a swift economic recovery.
- (ii) identifying the finance-development targets/SDGs linkages and providing tools for establishing the VSDG - MTIP/MTBP linkages.
- (iii) organizing policy dialogues/advocacy events on financing challenges and priorities;
- (iv) conducting a study on fiscal decentralization and improving the integratedness of the public investment programs;

- (v) support the formulation of strategic directions for mobilizing and utilizing international public and private resources for achieving the SDGs, etc..

The first priority expected annual (January 2021-31 December 2021) result (under the outcome 1) of the JP is strengthened linkages between finance and national priority goals/SDGs in 2030 FS, MTIP and MTBP, taking into the account the new challenges induced by COVID-19. This result is expected to be delivered by the JP providing necessary analytical tools and advices (output 1.1) and facilitating multi-stakeholder dialogue on financing priorities (output 1.3).

Under the outcome 2, the expected annual result include improvement in coherence and integration (across government levels) of the MTIP. This will be delivered by the JP providing technical assistance and advice needed for developing integrated public investment management principles and operationalizing the principles through a digital platform for coherent management of public investment programs (output 2.1).

Under the outcome 3, the expected annual result includes the formulation of FDI attraction and management principles aiming at enhancing the linkages of FDI and domestic businesses. The UN evidence based policy advisory notes, policy advice and sharing International best practices contributing to the formulation/refinement of (i) Quality FDI strategy and Implementation plan (output 3.2), and Guidelines for designing innovative legal/regulatory instruments for mobilizing additional climate and green financial resources (output 3.3)

To support the achievement of these expected annual results, the JP will focus on engaging partners in policy dialogues as well as JP planning, monitoring, learning from JP Implementation for refining its support scope and approaches.

C.2. Expected changes

Following further changes to the work plan and budget, ToC, result framework of the JP between 1 Jan 2021 – 31 Dec 2021 are expected:

- The scoping mission and detailed work planning exercise with active participation of the national and international partners during February and March 2021 will lead to further changes (refining) the activities (and related budgets) to meet the needs of the national partners and updated timeline of the JP support.
- While the above-mentioned changes are expected to be minor, the changes to align the JP support to the Government's COVID-19 recovery response as well as implications (such as social distancing) induced by the Pandemic could lead to significant adjustments in the JP work plan, timelines and budget, ToC and result framework.
- The delay of the JP appraisal and approval leading to the delay in initiating the JP implementation as well as the requirements of the SDG Fund on (i) allocation of the fund in the first tranche among the

PUNOs and (ii) disbursement of 70% of the first transferred fund by the end of July 2021 will also lead to the changes in the JP January – December 2021 work plan and budget.

C.3. Risks and mitigation measures

Are there any changes made/expected to be made to the Risk Matrix?

Yes

No

Annex 1: Consolidated Annual Results

1. JP contribution to global programmatic results (annual)

Joint SDG Fund Global Outcome 2: Additional financing leveraged to accelerate SDG achievement (Update table below)

Indicators	Target 2020	Result 2020	Target 2021
2.1: Ratio of financing for integrated multi-sectoral solutions leveraged in terms of scope(for other/ additional sector/s or through new sources/means)	Not yet defined	Zero	To be defined
2.2: Ratio of financing for integrated multi-sectoral solutions leveraged in terms of scale(for the same multi-sectoral solution.)	Not yet defined	Zero	To be defined

Joint SDG Fund Global Output 4: Integrated financing strategies for accelerating SDG progress implemented (Update table below and provide details as requested)

Indicators	Target 2020	Result 2020	Target 2021	List of strategies/ frameworks developed
4.1: #of integrated financing strategies that were tested (disaggregated by % successful / unsuccessful)	0	0	2	
4.2: #of integrated financing strategies that have been implemented with partners in lead disaggregated by (1) government/public partners (2) civil society partners and (3) private sector partners	0	0	2	
4.3: # of functioning partnership frameworks for integrated financing strategies to accelerate progress on SDGs made operational	0	0	1	

2. Selected global performance indicators (annual)

2.1. Did your Joint Programme contribute to the improvement of the overall UNCT coherence in 2020?

Yes, considerably contributed

Yes, contributed

No

Explain briefly: The JP implementation was not initiated in 2020

2.2. Did your Joint Programme contribute to reduced transaction costs for the participating UN agencies in interaction with national/regional and local authorities and/or public entities compared to other Joint Programmes?

Yes,

No

N/A (if there are no other joint programmes in the country)

Explain briefly: The JP implementation was not initiated in 2020

2.3. Was your Joint Programme aligned with the UNCT Results Groups in 2020?

Yes

No

Explain briefly: The JP implementation was not initiated in 2020

3. Results as per JP Results Framework (annual)

Result / Indicators	Baseline	Expected 2020 Target	2020 Result	Reasons for variance from planned target (if any)	Expected 2021 target	Expected final target (if different from 2021)
Outcome 1:						
Outcome 1 indicator: Number of priority national SDG targets, especially on green, climate resilient and inclusive growth that are aligned with finance policies.	Not yet available	0	0		2	
Output 1.1						
Output 1.1 indicator: Number of tools provided and applied	Not yet available	0	0		3	
Output 1.1 indicator: Availability of guidance to integrate financing for gender equality in INFF	Not yet available	0	0		1	
Output 1.2						
Output 1.2 indicator: Availability of the monitoring system, that helps track development finance allocation and sending on national SDG targets including	NA	0	0		1	

on green, climate resilient and inclusive growth and gender equality						
Output 1.3						
Output 1.3 indicator: Availability of policy recommendations generated through multi-stakeholder dialogue on gender integration into formulation and implementation of Viet Nam's INFF	NA	0	0		Available	
Outputs 1.3 indicators: Number of multistakeholder consultations and dialogues	NA	0	0		2	Delay of the initiation of the JP implementation
Outcome 2 indicator: The number of public finance policies that applied integrated approach in designing and implementation and level of synergy created	NA	0	0		2	
Output 2.1. indicator: Standard Operation procedures introduced and applied in the MPI led e-portal for public investment management	NA	0	0		2	
Output 2.2. indicator: Number of principles and mechanisms designed and applied.	NA	0	0		1	
Outcome 3 indicator: Increased share of private sector investment in total investment	NA	0	0		10%	Delay in initiating JP implementation and COVID-19 impacts.
Output 3.1. indicator: Number of principles and best international practices introduced and applied in public investment policy	NA	0	0		2	
Output 3.2. indicator: Availability of the implementation plan	Polit Bureau resolution on FDI	0	0		available	
Output 3.3. indicator: Availability of guideline	NA	0	0		available	

Annex 2: List of strategic documents

1. Strategic documents that were produced by the JP

Title of the document	Date (month; year) when finalized	Brief description of the document and the role of the JP in finalizing it
NA		
NA		

2. Strategic documents for which JP provided contribution

Title of the document	Date (month; year) when finalized	Brief description of the document and the role of the JP in finalizing it
NA		
NA		

Other strategic documents provided by the UN:

(i) UNDP-UN Women "*COVID-19 Socio-economic Impact on Vulnerable Households and Enterprises in Viet Nam: A Gender-sensitive Assessment*", (ii) UNDP-led UN working group's report on assessment of COVID-19 economic impact; (iii) UNICEF-led UN working group's report on assessment of COVID-19 social impact; (iv) UN report on assessment of COVID-19 social and economic impact, (v) UN Women - DFAT and Ministry of Labour-Invalids and Social Affairs: 10-year review on the implementation of the National Strategy on Gender Equality 2011-2020 (completed) and Financing for gender equality in Viet Nam (will be finalized in March 2021), (vi) UN Inputs to the development and finalization of 2021-30 socio-economic development strategy and 2021-2025 socio-economic development plans; and (vii) Preliminary consultation on children and 2021-30 national finance strategy.

Annex 3: List of strategic communication documents

1. Have you created a strategic communication plan for the Joint Programme?

- Yes
 No

Explain briefly: Delays in the JP appraisal and approval, and initiation of the implementation

2. What percentage of the annual budget towards communications was utilized from the total budget? (Note that the entire JP comms budget must be min 5% of the total JP budget)

Explain briefly: No budget utilized due to delays in the JP appraisal and approval, and initiation of the implementation

3. Have visibility outcomes increased due to the provided funding for JP strategic communications?

- Yes
 No

Explain briefly: Delays in the JP appraisal and approval, and initiation of the implementation

4. Does the Country Profile Page on the Joint SDG Fund website contribute to your JP outreach?

- Yes
 No

Explain briefly: Delays in the JP appraisal and approval, and initiation of the implementation

5. How many articles (interviews, human interest stories, press releases, expert insights, etc) about your JP were published by an external media outlet (Non-UN published)?

Total number: 0

Explain briefly: Delays in the JP appraisal and approval, and initiation of the implementation

6. How many articles (interviews, human interest stories, press releases, expert insights, etc) about the Joint Programme were published by the UNCT and JP PUNOs?

Total number: 0

Explain briefly: Delays in the JP appraisal and approval, and initiation of the implementation

7. Have you received an increase of social media followers?

- Yes
 No

Total number: (Not mandatory)

Explain briefly: Delays in the JP appraisal and approval, and initiation of the implementation

Multi-Media Faucets

Title of the document	Date when finalized (MM/YY)	Brief description and hyperlink (if it exists)
NA		

Social Media Campaigns

Title of the document	Type (FB/Twitter/LinkedIn/Etc.)	Brief description and hyperlink (if it exists)
NA		

Annex 4: Updated JP Risk Management Matrix

Risks	Risk Level: (Likelihood x Impact)	Likelihood:	Impact:	Mitigating measures	Responsible Org./Person
		Certain - 5 Likely - 4 Possible - 3 Unlikely - 2 Rare - 1	Essential - 5 Major - 4 Moderate - 3 Minor - 2 Insignificant - 1		
Contextual risks					
Re-emergence of community transmission cases and related social distancing measures	20	5	4	Reactivating the GoV and UN social distancing and business continuity measures	UN and GoV
The complex Government regulations on the appraisal and approval of the JP document as well as its annual work plan and budget	15	5	3	Applying the lessons learned from the delays in the JP appraisal and approval, factoring the GoV requirements in work planning and budgeting	UN and GoV
Programmatic risks					
Reprioritization of the GoV goals and targets in responding to the CoVID-19	15	5	3	Close monitoring and consultation with GoV to ensure the JP support reflect/meet the reprioritized goals and targets of the GoV.	UN and GoV.
Institutional risks					
Buy-in and coordination of the involved PUNOs, IFIs and Gov Agencies as the result of reprioritization of their tasks in responding to COVID-19	12	4	2	Close consultation with involved partners and flexible adjustment of the JP WPs.	UN and Gov.
Fiduciary risks					

