

PBF PROJECT PROGRESS REPORT

COUNTRY: Guinea Bissau

TYPE OF REPORT: SEMI-ANNUAL, ANNUAL OR FINAL: semi-annual

YEAR OF REPORT: 2021

Project Title: No Ianda Djuntu- Drawing the pathway together: new leadership for meaningful participation, peace and stability in Guinea Bissau Project Number from MPTF-O Gateway: IRF 125914							
If funding is disbursed into a national or regional trust fund: <input type="checkbox"/> Country Trust Fund <input type="checkbox"/> Regional Trust Fund Name of Recipient Fund:	Type and name of recipient organizations: NUNO Interpeace (Convening Agency)						
Date of first transfer: 12/02/2021 Project end date: 12/06/2021 Is the current project end date within 6 months? No							
Check if the project falls under one or more PBF priority windows: <input type="checkbox"/> Gender promotion initiative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Youth promotion initiative <input type="checkbox"/> Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions <input type="checkbox"/> Cross-border or regional project							
Total PBF approved project budget (by recipient organization): <table><thead><tr><th>Recipient Organization</th><th>Amount</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>Interpeace</td><td>\$ 341000.00</td></tr><tr><td>Total:</td><td>\$ 34100000.00</td></tr></tbody></table> Approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget: 23% of project budget (64% of first tranche) *ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE*		Recipient Organization	Amount	Interpeace	\$ 341000.00	Total:	\$ 34100000.00
Recipient Organization	Amount						
Interpeace	\$ 341000.00						
Total:	\$ 34100000.00						
Gender-responsive Budgeting: Indicate dollar amount from the project document to be allocated to activities focussed on gender equality or women's empowerment: 102.300 USD (30% as by last revision from PBSO) Amount expended to date on activities focussed on gender equality or women's empowerment: 23.082 USD.							
Project Gender Marker: GM2 Project Risk Marker: Low Project PBF focus area: 2.2 Democratic Governance							
Report preparation: Project report prepared by: Interpeace Programme Manager for Guinea Bissau Project report approved by: PBF Secretariat in Bissau Did PBF Secretariat review the report: Yes							

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- *Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.*
- *Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.*
- *Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.*
- *Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.*
- *Please include any COVID-19 related considerations, adjustments and results and respond to section IV.*

PART 1: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

Briefly outline the **status of the project** in terms of implementation cycle, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) (1500 character limit):

Despite the project official starting date has been set on the 12th of February 2021, the implementation of the project started earlier in January 2021 with a kick-off meeting involving the whole project team to revise the project logic, activities and indicators and develop the M&E and Annual Workplan. In January, an MoU between Interpeace and Voz di Paz, its implementing partner in Guinea Bissau, including the financial arrangement between the two organizations has been drafted and the project team was consolidated. The team, which counts on a total of 10 members, is composed by 1 Programme Manager from Interpeace, 3 Researcher Assistants, 1 Audio-visual Manager and 1 Audio-visual Assistant from Voz di Paz fully dedicated to the project and 1 Driver, 1 Accountant, 1 Research Coordinator and 1 Administrator, always from Voz di Paz, who are partially employed by the project. In February 2021 was organized a meeting with the PBF Secretariat to revise the Annual Workplan and M&E Plan. New indicators were added in the M&E Plan and a mid-term evaluation was included in the plan, which has entailed a minor change to the budget with a reallocation of 855,80 USD from the final evaluation budget line to the monitoring one. As explain in the respective Note to the File submitted to the PBF Secretariat, the change is administrative in nature and will not impact the project's results. Implementation of activities in the regions started in March 2021 with dialogue sessions and the creation of youth platforms at the regional level.

Please indicate any significant project-related events anticipated in the next six months, i.e. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc. (1000 character limit):

In line with the workplan defined, no public events are foreseen in the next 6 months. The project team will focus on the operationalization of a micro-grant mechanism to financially and technically support selected youth initiatives to advocate for a more meaningful youth participation in decision making processes. Planned activities for the next 6 months also include the monitoring of youth platforms created in this first months of implementation, the training on storytelling and communication techniques of some of their members and the broadcasting of radio programmes developed based on intergenerational dialogues carried out in the different regions.

FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION: summarize **the main structural, institutional or societal level change the project has contributed to**. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project. (1500 character limit):

The project is not within six months of completion yet. Nevertheless, baseline information collected in the first months of the project shows that the project is aligned with the context and with beneficiaries needs, which contributes to achieve the main changes that the project aims to bring about.

As by the data of the project baseline, the majority of youth participating in the process consider to not have full access to institutions (66%) and think their participation in decision making is limited (46%) while, on the other side, 71% of institutional actors involved refer they do involve young people in decision making and 98% consider them as valid counterpart in decision making. The strong difference in the perception of different generations shed light on the importance of a relational approach to make decision making processes more inclusive to youth. By involving actors from different generations in dedicated dialogues, the project aims to improve the **relationship between elders and youth**, creating a mutual understanding which would be key entry point to concretely materialise and enhance youth participation. Even the results from the national dialogue process carried out in the first months of implementation highlighted this point: spaces for concertation and exchanges among different generations and the development of dedicated channels and policies to improve youth access to institutions have been mentioned as priorities in almost all the dialogue sessions.

Another key element is youth confidence, only half (55%) of youth leaders surveyed and involved in the project demonstrating confidence in his/her capacities to influence decision making processes at the local and national level. The project aims to increase youth **confidence and capacities** to act and influence decision making. The creation of protected spaces for youth networking and youth peer-to-peer capacity building in the first months of the project, gave to youth leaders the opportunity to increase their capacities and directly test them, defining their associative rules, developing key relationships with other civil society organizations, and mobilizing local institutional actors. The commitment of youth leaders to organize activities despite the lack of financial support in this first phase of the project, demonstrate their interest and need to increase their capacities and confidence.

In a few sentences, explain whether the project has had a positive **human impact**. May include anecdotal stories about the project's positive effect on the people's lives. Include direct quotes where possible or weblinks to strategic communications pieces. (2000 character limit):

The project aims to set the basis to rethink youth participation in decision making processes in the country. To do so, the project is contributing to create a common understanding about the need of increasing and enhancing youth participation in those processes by identifying obstacles and opportunities to their meaningful participation. Despite being in its first months of implementation, some elements already emerged from the project activities carried out. Cooperation between generations has been identified as one of the most relevant elements to create meaningful participation: if on one side project participants recognize that *“old generations must recognize that youth have capacities and they need to cede space to them. Is not only when they need youth force that old generations must remember of them”*, on the other side participants to the dialogue sessions also highlighted that *“if youth do not listen to old generations how they can listen to youth?”*. The project is also contributing to identify possible pathways for youth meaningful participation. Among them, youth capacity building is also considered key in this regard: *“It is fundamental for youth to build their capacity to participate and create a change in the paradigm making old people trust in their new competencies and innovative capacities”*. In the coming months, the project will work to improve capacities and

self-confidence of youth leaders to allow them leading independent advocacy activities throughout the country to increase youth participation in decision making at the local level.

PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- *“On track” refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.*
- *“On track with peacebuilding results” refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.*

If your project has more than four outcomes, contact PBSO for template modification.

Outcome 1:

Relationships between youth leaders and key government institutions/authorities at the national and local level are improved.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress: on track

Progress summary: *(3000 character limit)*

In the first 6 months of the project, 394 people from different generations have been involved in a national dialogue process to develop a common vision on challenges and opportunities of youth participation in decision making at the local level. The dialogue, carried out in 11 localities in all the regions of the country, gave the opportunity to connect youth leaders active at the regional level with representatives of key government institutions belonging to older generations (Output 1.1). 42% of participants were representatives of key institutions and 59% were youth under 35, which have been identified after a careful mapping of all the formal and informal youth-based organizations in the country. 55% of youth participating in the dialogues come from organizations/initiatives that are already working to enhance youth participation.

The dialogue launched the foundation to develop a common understanding on youth participation needs and obstacles existing at the local level. At the end of each dialogue session, a document with main priorities to enhance youth future engagement and inclusion in decision making bodies/instances at regional level (Output 1.2) has been validated by all participants, including members from institutions. Each one of the 11 documents elaborated at the regional level entails the priorities for effective youth participation tackling the obstacles and barriers identified during the dialogue process. More than 160 representatives from the Local Administration, traditional institutions, various branch of Security and Defence Forces (SDF) and Political Parties sections validated the priorities identified, showing a certain openness to the dialogue with younger generation.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women’s Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome:

(1000 character limit)

Despite the equal representation of women in all the dialogue sessions (48% of overall participants were women), only 1 of the recommendations in the 11 roadmaps developed included gender related issues. Consideration on young women participation and on the need of an equal representation of young men and women in decision making have been raised only in the session held in Bissau, where the debates on women participations is more advanced and legitimated. This shows the distance between the context in the regions and in Bissau. To better understand further aspects beyond this dynamic, this issue will be addressed in the midterm evaluation moment.

Outcome 2: Capacities and self-confidence of young men and women to influence public decision-making at the local, regional and national level are strengthened.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress: on track

Progress summary: (3000 character limit)

The project contributed to connect 212 youth leaders (64% younger than 30) at the regional level through the creation of 11 mentoring platforms (Output 2.1) in 11 localities following the conduction of the regional intergenerational dialogues. While meetings are still ongoing, platforms already met 19 times around the different regions, with an average participation of 10 members for each platform (50%), giving youth the opportunity to exchange about their own experiences in youth participation and strengthen their personal network. 42% of meetings carried out included peer to peer capacity building on topics as advocacy and sensitisation techniques, conflict management, youth participation, risk associated with social media use and human rights issues linked with youth (forced marriage and sex-transmitted illness). Participating youth leaders also defined the mentoring platform's structures and action plan including the design and implementation of sensitization activities at the community level. Among the actions identified at the end of the meetings, most platforms listed: the presentation of the platform to the local and traditional authorities, the organization of sensitization activities through local radios and the creation of capacity building opportunities for youth and youth associations at the community level. Most of these activities have been then carried out independently by each platform with the only remote supervision of Voz di Paz staff. No financial support has been giving to participants at this stage of the project, nor for the organization of platforms meetings, nor for the organization of the above-mentioned activities. If on one hand, this contributes to diminish the number of participants in the platforms' meetings, on the other, it shows the engagement and commitment of those youth who participated to pursue their ambitions of meaningfully participate in local governance and decision making.

In these first months the project team has also carried out a reflection on how to increase young leaders' access to technical and financial support (Output 2.2) and defined main steps and criteria for the operationalization of a micro-grant mechanism that will enable local initiatives promoting youth engagement in decision-making to be designed and implemented by the participant youth leaders.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome:

(1000 character limit)

With 51% of platforms members who are women, their participation within the platforms is equal to men's one. Participation of women seems stable also in the meetings carried out by

the different platforms at the regional level, with an average participation of 5 women (out of 10 average participants).

Outcome 3: Local/regional and national support for new youth-owned leadership model is increased challenging the common narrative around young men and women leadership.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress: on track

Progress summary: (3000 character limit)

Along with the dialogue sessions carried out in the first months of the project, the audio-visual team of Voz di Paz collected the interventions of participants which constitute the base for the production of 22 radio programmes which will be broadcasted nationwide starting from the month of July 2021 through national and community radios. 11 Small videos capturing the contents of each dialogue session are also being produced by the audio-visual team of Voz di Paz and will be disseminated through social media.

In line with the workplan, trainings on storytelling and communication techniques are expected to be held in the months of October and November to members of the platforms so to allow them to document the activities which will be granted in the framework of the micro-grant mechanism. Radio debates for dissemination of youth experiences along the project are going to be organized only from January 2022.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women’s Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome: (1000 character limit)

In line with the workplan, the activities under this outcome will be implemented mainly in the II and III semesters of the project. No specific consideration on gender equality and women’s empowerment are thus possible at the moment.

PART III: CROSS-CUTTING ISSUES

<p><u>Monitoring:</u> Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period (1000 character limit)</p> <p>In collaboration with the global MEL Manager of Interpeace and the Specialist in Peacebuilding Monitoring, Evaluation and Reporting of the PBF Secretariat in Bissau, the project team revised the Results Framework of the project and elaborated the M&E plan accordingly, which included the additions of new indicators in the result matrix and a mid-term evaluation to assess the impact of the project.</p>	<p>Do outcome indicators have baselines? yes</p> <p>Has the project launched perception surveys or other community-based data collection? yes</p> <p>The project team developed two questionnaires, one for youth and one for representatives of institutions, to define a baseline for the project. The questionnaires have been applied to all participants to the activities carried out and data collected will serve as a base to measure further progress towards outcome 1 and 2. The project team developed also a monitoring system based on a KoBo online questionnaire to ensure support to youth mentoring platforms in the regions collecting real-time information on their meetings and orienting them, when needed, in the development of their objectives. Data collected allow the</p>
---	--

	project team to better understand the level of participation within the platforms, supporting their members meanwhile allowing their independence in the organization and development of their meeting.
Evaluation: Has an evaluation been conducted during the reporting period? no	Evaluation budget (response required): 16.205,20 USD If project will end in next six months, describe the evaluation preparations (1500 character limit):
Catalytic effects (financial): Indicate name of funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project.	Name of funder: Amount:
Other: Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations? (1500 character limit)	

PART IV: COVID-19

Please respond to these questions if the project underwent any monetary or non-monetary adjustments due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

- 1) Monetary adjustments: Please indicate the total amount in USD of adjustments due to COVID-19:

\$ 0,00

- 2) Non-monetary adjustments: Please indicate any adjustments to the project which did not have any financial implications:

To comply with covid-19 prevention measures published in January 2020, the number of participants in the first dialogue sessions have been reduced to 25, impacting the overall number of participants in the national dialogue. After the first sessions and in compliance with the Governmental Decree, Voz di Paz contacted the High Commissariat for Covid-19 which allowed the implementation of the activities with a higher number of people (40 in each) but with further restrictions such as social distances and the obligation to wear mask. All the following sessions have been carried out as foreseen in the project document, always in compliance with the High Commissariat indications to ensure the security of participants.

3) Please select all categories which describe the adjustments made to the project (*and include details in general sections of this report*):

- Reinforce crisis management capacities and communications
- Ensure inclusive and equitable response and recovery
- Strengthen inter-community social cohesion and border management
- Counter hate speech and stigmatization and address trauma

- Support the SG's call for a global ceasefire
- Other (please describe): prevention

If relevant, please share a COVID-19 success story of this project (*i.e. how adjustments of this project made a difference and contributed to a positive response to the pandemic/prevented tensions or violence related to the pandemic etc.*)

PART V: INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the **Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments**- provide an update on the achievement of **key indicators** at both the outcome and output level in the table below (if your project has more indicators than provided in the table, select the most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight). Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
Outcome 1 Relationships between youth leaders and key government institutions/authorities at the national and local level are improved.	Indicator 1.1 Percentage of youth leaders who perceive institutional actors are more accessible disaggregated by age and sex	34% of youth involved in the project think institutions are accessible or very accessible	70% of young men leaders and 70% of young women leaders participating in the dialogue process	50% of youth involved in the project think institutions are accessible or very accessible after the mid-term evaluation		
	Indicator 1.2 Percentage of key governmental/institutional authorities who reports	Even if 98% recognize youth as important partners in	60% of key governmental/institutional authorities'			

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
	considering youth leaders as equal partners in policy making increases	decision making only 71% of institutions representatives said they always or often involved youth in decision making	men and women			
Output 1.1 Young men and women leaders are connected to representatives of key government institutions, including government institutions in	Indicator 1.1.1 Percentage of participants selected that are part of initiatives/ organizations/ programs already acting for youth advancement in Guinea Bissau disaggregated by sex and age	n/a	90% of participants are from the institutions and organizations mapped by the project team	n/a	55% of participants belong to institutions and organizations already acting for youth advancement in Guinea Bissau	Despite the mapping, the project team decided to involve participants from Security and Defence Forces and from Political Parties to ensure the participation of all relevant segment of Guinea Bissau society, consequently diminishing the participants from CSO.

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
charge of promoting gender equality/women's empowerment and participation	Indicator 1.1.2 Number and quality of people involved along the national dialogue process disaggregated by sex and age	n/a	440 people, 50% women, 30% represent government institutions, 30% youth under 35	n/a	394 participants in the dialogue sessions. 48% women, 42% representant from institutions and 59% younger than 35 years old	To comply with covid-19 prevention measures, the number of participants in the first dialogue sessions have been reduced. Following that an agreement with the High Commissariat for Covid-19 allowed to include a higher number of people always ensuring the security of participants.
	Indicator 1.1.3 Evidence/examples of continued engagement with representatives of key government institutions by young men and young women participants of the project after the project dialogues		At least 5 stories	At least 2 stories after the mid term evaluation		New indicator added after ProDoc approval and revision of the project Results Framework. Data to be collected near the end of the project at end line survey.
Output 1.2 Participants in the dialogue process	Indicator 1.2.1 Share of roadmaps documents including a common strategy	n/a	100% (11, one for each dialogue session)	n/a	100%- at the end of each dialogue session the product of the session with main	

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
develop a common vision on youth meaningful participation and set regional roadmaps for their future engagement and inclusion in decision making bodies/instances at local/regional and national level	and action plans identified and approved by all youth and institutional participants present Indicator 1.2.2 Number and quality of people who participate in the validation of roadmaps documents disaggregated by sex and age	n/a	440 people, 50% women, 30% represent government institutions, 30% youth under 35	n/a	priorities for youth participation has been validated by all the present in the dialogue session 394 participants in the dialogue sessions. 48% women, 42% representant from institutions and 59% younger than 35 years old	To comply with covid-19 prevention measures, the number of participants in the first dialogue sessions have been reduced. Following that an agreement with the High Commissariat for Covid-19 allowed to include a higher number of people always ensuring the security of participants.
	Indicator 1.2.3 Share of commonly conceived recommendations in the roadmaps documents that are focused on women's	n/a	40% of roadmap doc	n/a	9% –only 1 out of the 11 dialogues sessions, in Bissau, explicitly focused a recommendation on young women participation	Despite the fact the topic has been explicitly mentioned along the sessions, only one of the dialogue sessions focused on this. This will be address in further occasions with the platforms and in the mid-term evaluation moment to better understand the reason beyond it.

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
	empowerment and participation					
	Indicator 1.2.4 Number of institutions that formally endorse the recommendations elaborated at regional level.	n/a	At least 5 for each session	n/a	165 representatives from different institutions participated in the validation (average of 15 for each session)	New indicator added after ProDoc approval and revision of the project Results Framework.
Outcome 2	Indicator 2.1 Percentage of youths participating in the process who feel more involved in decision making at the community, regional and national level disaggregated by sex and age	Youth involvement is “low” or “very low” at the local level for 51% of participants and at the national level for 58% of participants	70% of young women and 70% of young men participating in the process feel more involved in decision making at the community, regional and national level disaggregated	At least 61% of youth considered their involvement in decision making “medium” or “high” at the local and national level after the mid		

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
			by sex and age	term evaluation		
	Indicator 2.2 Percentage of youths who feel more confident in their ability to influence public institutions disaggregated by sex and age	56% of youth is confident or strongly confident in its capacity to influence decision making	70% of young women and 70% of young men feel more confident in their ability to influence public institutions disaggregated by sex and age	At least 63% of youth involved in the process is confident or strongly confident in its capacity to influence decision making after the mid term evaluation		
Output 2.1 220 young leaders, 50% women, are supported and connected	Indicator 2.1.1 Number of youths supported by the project and connected through the mentoring platforms	n/a	220, 50% young women, 35% younger than 30	n/a	212 youth involved in the platform. 51% women and 64% younger than 30 years old.	Due to the coincidence with other events some people in Quinhamel and São Domingo invited in the activity didn't manage to participate.

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
through mentoring platforms.	disaggregated by sex and age					
	Indicator 2.1.2 Number of exchange meetings realized by each platform	n/a	33 (at least 3 for each platform)	At least 17 meetings after the end of the II trimester	19 meetings convened (2 in Quinhamel, 2 in Buba, 2 in Catió, 3 in Bafatá, 3 in Gabu, 2 in Bubaque, 2 in Canchungo, 1 in São Domingo, 1 in Mansoa and 1 in Farim)	Activity not finalized yet. Depending on the date of their creation, youth platforms started to meet monthly. Platforms meetings are organized by each platform independently and will continue to take place up to the end of the third trimester, but they can potentially be carried out along the project implementation period and beyond it (see indicator 2.1.4).
	Indicator 2.1.3 Share of meetings at which capacity building topics are discussed	n/a	90% of meetings	At least 45% at the end of the II trimester	42% of meetings include capacity building moments	Activity not finalized yet. Depending on the date of their creation, youth platforms started to meet monthly. Platforms meetings are organized by each platform independently and will continue to take place up to the end of the third trimester, but they can potentially be carried out along the project implementation period and beyond it (see indicator 2.1.4).
	Indicator 2.1.4	n/a	20%	n/a	0	New indicator added after ProDoc approval and revision of the project Results Framework.

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
	Share of participants that report continued engagement with others after the formal engagement with platforms end					Data to be collected near the end of the project at end line survey.
	Indicator 2.1.5 level of satisfaction of participants with the platform mechanism	n/a	At least 50% of participants are satisfied of the activity and its results	At least 25% after the mid term evaluation	0	New indicator added after ProDoc approval and revision of the project Results Framework. Data to be collected near the end of the project at end line survey.
Output 2.2 Young leaders' access to technical and financial support to design and develop local initiatives promoting youth	Indicator 2.2.1 Existence of a micro-grant mechanism	n/a	1 microgrant mechanism	n/a	0	Activity not initiated yet
	Indicator 2.2.2 Number of youth leaders who access funds to implement actions to promote youth participation in decision making disaggregated by sex and age	n/a	24 (indicatively 2 for each platform), 50% women	n/a	0	Activity not initiated yet

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
engagement in decision-making is increased	Indicator 2.2.3: Share of selected proposals that focus on young women's access and participation to decision making	n/a	50%	n/a	0	Activity not initiated yet
	Indicator 2.2.4: Share of funded projects that meet their objective	n/a	80%	n/a	0	Activity not initiated yet
	indicator 2.2.5: Evidence/examples of how micro-grants have allowed the youth to be viewed as decision-makers in their communities	n/a	At least 5 stories	n/a	0	New indicator added after ProDoc approval and revision of the project Results Framework. Data to be collected near the end of the project at endline survey. Activity not initiated yet.
Outcome 3 Local/regional and national support for new youth-	Indicator 3.1 Percentage of positive reactions and comments to the videos posted online	n/a	70% of reactions are positive	At least 35% of positive reactions	0	Activity not initiated yet

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
owned leadership model is increased challenging the common narrative around young men and women leadership	Indicator 3.2 Percentage of positive reaction to youth experiences disseminated along radio debates	n/a	70% of comments received along radio programme broadcast are supporting comments	At least 35% of supporting comments	0	Activity not initiated yet
Output 3.1 Successful experiences of youth leaders are collected by youth leaders themselves and disseminated to key institutions.	Indicator 3.1.1 Number of videoclips produced by youth to document youth experiences	n/a	24 (1 videoclip for each youth led action granted in the framework of O2)	n/a	0	Activity not initiated yet
	Indicator 3.1.2 Number of radios programme produced with material collected along the dialogue process	n/a	11 radio programme broadcasted 2 time per week by 2 national radio and 1 per week by	At least 5 radio programme after the mid term evaluation	0	Activity not initiated yet

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
	broadcasted nationwide		community radio			
	Indicator 3.1.3 Number of radio debates between youth leaders and key people from the older generation broadcasted nationwide	n/a	20 radio debates broadcasted	n/a	0	Activity not initiated yet