

# Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report



**PEACEBUILDING  
FUND** 

## PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to [keshni.makoond@un.org](mailto:keshni.makoond@un.org)

Click Next below to start

## » Report Submission

Type of report \*

- Semi-annual
- Annual
- Final
- Other

Date of submission of report \*

2022-06-08

Name and Title of Person submitting the report \*

**Mario TEDO Operations Expert FAO Guinea Bissau**

Name and Title of Person who approved the report \*

**Luisa KIELING PBF Specialist Secretariat Guinea Bissau**

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? \*

- yes  
 no

Did PBF Secretariat review the report? \*

*If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.*

- yes  
 no  
 Not Applicable

### » Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? \*

- yes  no

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- |  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific         | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa                     |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia      | <input type="radio"/> Global                    | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input checked="" type="radio"/> West Africa    |   |

Country of project implementation \*

- |                                    |                                    |  |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Benin        | <input type="radio"/> Burkina Faso | <input type="radio"/> Cote D'Ivoire            |
| <input type="radio"/> Gambia       | <input type="radio"/> Guinea       | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Guinea-Bissau |
| <input type="radio"/> Liberia      | <input type="radio"/> Mali         | <input type="radio"/> Mauritania               |
| <input type="radio"/> Niger        | <input type="radio"/> Nigeria      | <input type="radio"/> Senegal                  |
| <input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone | <input type="radio"/> Togo         | <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify           |

Other, please specify \*

---

Project Title \*

- 00130107: Creating safe and empowering public spaces with women to mitigate climate-security risks and sustain peace in Guinea-Bissau
- 00129698: Enhancing the human rights protection system in Guinea-Bissau
- 00129743: Inclusive Peaceful Land Management in OIO, CACHEU and BIOMBO regions
- 00125914: No landa Djuntu- Drawing the pathway together: new leadership for meaningful participation, peace and stability in Guinea Bissau
- 00119912: Political Stabilization and Reform through Confidence Building and Inclusive Dialogue
- 00119443: Secretariat Project: Support to project coordination and monitoring of the United Nations Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) Projects in Guinea-Bissau
- 00119444: Strengthening the justice and security sector response to drug trafficking and transnational organized crime to reduce insecurity in Guinea-Bissau
- Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document \*

*EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities*

---

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented \*

*If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa*

- |   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific         | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia      | <input type="checkbox"/> Global                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa               |  |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report \*

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document \*

*EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal*

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented \*

Other, Please specify \*

Project Start Date \*

2021-12-17

Project end Date \*

2023-06-16

Has this project received an extension? \*

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? \*

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund \*

- yes  
 no

If yes, please select which \*

- National Trust Fund  
 Regional Trust Fund

## Recipients

Is the lead recipient a UN agency or a non UN entity? \*

- UN entity  
 Non-UN Entity

Please select the lead recipient \*

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme     IOM: International Organization for Migration  
 UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund  
 OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights  
 UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women  
 UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees     UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund  
 FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization     WFP: World Food Programme  
 UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme  
 UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization  
 UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme     ILO: International Labour Organization  
 WHO: World Health Organization     PAHO/WHO  
 UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund     UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime  
 UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services     UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization  
 ITC: International Trade Centre     UNDPO     Other, Specify

Other, Please specify \*

Are there other recipients for this project? \*

- No other recipients  
 Yes, other UN recipients only  
 Yes, other non-UN recipients only  
 Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients recipients \*

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme  IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees  UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization  WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme  ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization  PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund  UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services  UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- ITC: International Trade Centre  UN Department of Peace Operations  Other, Specify

Other, Please specify \*

---

Please select other non-UN recipients \*

- |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid  | <input type="checkbox"/> The African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)                               |  |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières   |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium   | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada  | <input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK   | <input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc.   |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN   |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide   | <input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID   | <input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid  | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)             |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)   | <input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation   |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)               |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> International Alert   | <input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace  | <input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI)  |  |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> (MDG-EISA) Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar |  |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps   | <input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO  | <input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR   | <input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM   | <input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund   | <input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez                       |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)   | <input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld  |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG)   | <input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer  | <input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire  | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International  | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ZOA   | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify   |  |

Other, Please specify \*

## Financial Reporting

### » Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars**

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

<b>Recipients</b>	<b>Total Project Budget</b> (in US \$)  <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	<b>Transfers to date</b> (in US \$)  <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	<b>Expenditure to date</b> (in US \$)  <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	<b>Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget</b> (calculated automatically)
<b>FAO : Organisation pour l'alimentation et l'agriculture</b>	*  1073926	*  751758	*  640782	59.67%
	*	*	*	%
<b>UNHABITAT : Programme des Nations Unies pour les établissements humains</b>	*  426074	*  298252	*  165547	38.85%



<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1500000</b>	<b>1050010</b>	<b>806329</b>	<b>53.7</b> <b>6%</b>
--------------	----------------	----------------	---------------	--------------------------

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **53.76%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

Correct  Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % \*

### » Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)? \*

32,9

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 493500**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

Correct  Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars \*

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 265282.24**. Is this correct? \*

Correct  Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars \*

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. \*

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

ANNEX D FAO -UN HAB GB Semestrial report 08062022-14\_25\_58.xlsx



## ***Project Markers***

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project \*

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project \*

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project \*

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? \*

*Select all that apply*

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

## PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

---

## NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.
- If relevant, please include any COVID-19 related considerations, adjustments and results and respond to section IV. **(This section is optional)**

Briefly outline the *status of the project* in terms of implementation cycle, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.*

**FAO and UN-Habitat have completed the following preliminary activities:**

- **FAO implemented partners were contracted: INTERPEACE with a) a letter of Agreement (LoA) signed on 17/03/2022 for a total amount of USD 496,000 for services related to part of Outcome 1 and 2 of the project ; b) Action & Development (AD), with a LoA signed on 11/03/2022, for a total amount of FCFA 22,607,388; and c) a LoA with the Farmers Federation KAFO, signed on 11/03/2022, for a total amount of FCFA 42,726,700; The expected services from these 2 NGO are related to the outcome 3 of the project.**
- **FAO, UN-Habitat and INTERPEACE held project kick-off meetings with relevant partners at the national level, including the Ministry of Territorial Administration and Local Power (MATPL) and the Ministry of Public Works, Housing and Urbanism (MOPHU) in February and March 2022**
- **FAO conducted a Training 13/04/2022 with KAFO and AD on project implementation and coordination, activities, as well as baseline data collection;**
- **FAO, UN-Habitat, INTERPEACE, Voz di PAZ, KAFO and AD conducted a meeting on 26/05/2022 for preselecting targeted communities in the 3 regions;**
- **Finally FAO, UN-Habitat and INTERPEACE revised the project M&E plan with the PBF Secretariat, having finalised it and submitted the final version to the Secretariat on 08/04/2022.**

Summarize *the main structural, institutional or societal level change* the project has contributed to. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project

*FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION ONLY; (1500 character limit)*

N/A

## PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have \*

1 2  3 4 5 6 7 8 more than 8.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: \*

**Formal, informal institutions and citizens share a common vision of problems linked with land governance and cooperate in the implementation of possible solutions enhancing the implementation of the Land Law.**

Outcome 2: \*

**Prevention and management of conflict related to land governance is more effective thanks to the collaboration of formal and traditional institutions and communities and actively involved youth in conflict prevention and management**

Outcome 3: \*

**Underrepresented young men and young women are empowered to act as a cohesive group, agree on common and shared fundamentals, and play an active role in land management in their communities**

Outcome 4: \*

Outcome 5: \*

Outcome 6: \*

Outcome 7:

\*

Outcome 8:

\*

Additional Outcomes

*If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here*

\*

**Outcome 1:**

**Formal, informal institutions and citizens share a common vision of problems linked with land governance and cooperate in the implementation of possible solutions enhancing the implementation of the Land Law.**

---

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

\*

1. Off Track    2. On Track    3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

## Progress summary

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

The project focused building foundations for mutual understanding, reducing the vertical distance between institutions responsible for land governance and youth. Dialogues on obstacles and solutions for inclusive land governance were carried out in 8 localities (Susana, Ingoré and Canchungo in Cacheu; Safim and Quinhamenl in Biombo; and Nhacra, Farim and Bissorá in Oio) by Voz di Paz and Interpeace 275 people, including youths and representatives of key government and traditional institutions participated to unveil the dynamics of land conflict and the role youth play in land governance. Despite the fact that the totality of institutional representatives recognized that youth should participate in land related decision making, 44% also recognized that youth real participation is “weak”. This confirmation in youth perception in decision making on land related issues, which 68% of youth participants interviewed believe is “limited” or “very limited”. This percentage rises to 89% on young women participation. While investigating main obstacles to youth participation through the dialogue sessions, the project team identified numerous elements: among others, the traditional rules, the stigma linked with age, and the lack of experiences of youth. The contribution of participants in the dialogues will be further analysed by the project team in an internal atelier (june 2022) and recommendations to make land governance more inclusive will be developed and shared with all relevant stakeholders at the regional and national level. All the dialogues sessions have been recorded by Voz di Paz audio-visual team and the material collected will be used as a base to produce radio programmes which will be broadcasted nationwide (july 2022). Along the dialogues, Interpeace and Voz di Paz, also asked participants to identify those communities which are more affected by land related conflict or where existing small conflict could easily escalate.

UN-Habitat carried out 9 meetings with relevant national and regional institutions to collect information on land conflict dynamics in the area of intervention. Based on these discussions, as well as the above mentioned dialogues, the project team met to select the 11 communities which will be involved in the participatory collaborative territory planning exercises. The project team also started building the engagement of relevant national institutions, namely MATPL (Ministry of Territorial Administration and Local Power) and MOPHU (Ministry of Public Works, Housing and Urbanism) , to ensure their buy-in in the coming stages of implementation. A member of the National Land Commission, participated in all the dialogue sessions. Also technicians and representatives of both the MCJD (Ministry of Culture, Youth and Sports) and the MATPL participated. These institutions will be invited into a series of meetings to accompany the project implementation, validate its outputs and ensure national ownership of its results.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.*

**Women actively participated along the dialogue sessions even though their participation has not been equal to men's one. Despite the efforts done by the project team, only 34% of the 275 participants in the dialogue sessions were women. In fact, of those who were invited in the dialogue as key actors and decision makers, only 43 out of 130 were women, proving that land management in Guinea Bissau is, in fact, a men's business. Considering this situation, the project will make greater efforts in the coming activities to bring women within the decision making spaces.**

## Outcome 2:

**Prevention and management of conflict related to land governance is more effective thanks to the collaboration of formal and traditional institutions and communities and actively involved youth in conflict prevention and management**

Rate the current status of the outcome progress \*

1. Off Track  2. On Track  3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

### Progress summary \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

**The dialogues organised under outcome 1 represented the opportunity for the project team to better understand the reality of conflict management and resolution mechanisms, as well as current capacities at the local level. Among participants in the dialogues, 78 were members of the sectoral land committees created by the land law to administer land issues and manage land related conflicts. Despite 62% of interviewed land commissions' members evaluating the land commission as functional and 43% rating its engagement as "average " or "high", the majority of youth (55%) do not have any knowledge of the existence of land commissions. Of those 31 youth who mentioned they had land related issues, only 3 cases have been analysed by the land commission at the sector level and its intervention have been rated as "limited useful". Finally, when asked about the number of cases that have been presented to the land commission, commission members didn't offer a coherent answer. Based on the data collected and the meetings organised with the President of the National Land Commission, the project team decided to expand the training on conflict management and resolution foreseen for young men and women under outcome 2 also to key members of the sectoral lands commissions in all the sectors of the area of the project implementation. The dialogue sessions and the baseline collection allowed the project team to investigate youth participation in land related conflict management and resolution. In general, the analysis done along the project design have been confirmed by 28% of youth and 44% of institutions representatives who think youth participation in land related conflict management and resolution is "weak", while the majority of youth (42%) think it is just "reasonable". This has also been confirmed by the fact that 42% of youth mentioned they have never participated in conflict management and resolution activities in the last 12 months. Based on these results, the project team will build its engagement with youth and land commissions at the sector level to make prevention and management of conflict related to land more effective and inclusive, ensuring the collaboration of different stakeholders and actively involving youth.**

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.*

N/A

### Outcome 3:

**Underrepresented young men and young women are empowered to act as a cohesive group, agree on common and shared fundamentals, and play an active role in land management in their communities**

Rate the current status of the outcome progress \*

1. Off Track  2. On Track  3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

**Following the project partners meeting on 26/05/2022, where the list of communities in conflicts (internal or between communities) in the 3 regions was shared by UN-HABITAT and Interpeace/Voz di Paz, AD and KAFO have selected 30 communities for the Outcome 3 including the 11 selected communities selected for outcome 1.**

**To reinforce KAFO and AD capacities to implement the activities with the communities, such as listening groups and saving & and loan systems, they received documentation on existing FAO experiences in West Africa. It was also agreed with them that a rotative saving & loan system (Tontines) will be supported as it is present in most communities. To facilitate the works with communities, AD and KAFO are currently receiving from FAO, IT equipment and motorbikes.**

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.*

**AD and KAFO are currently conducting participatory meetings with each of the 30 communities's leaders, including youth and women representatives, to discuss the project's activities to be conducted. Then they will agree to work with existing youth and women groups of each community**

### Outcome 4:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress \*

1. Off Track  2. On Track  3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.*

**Outcome 5:**

Rate the current status of the outcome progress \*

1. Off Track  2. On Track  3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.*

**Outcome 6:**

Rate the current status of the outcome progress \*

1. Off Track  2. On Track  3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.*

**Outcome 7:**

Rate the current status of the outcome progress \*

1. Off Track  2. On Track  3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.*

**Outcome 8:**

Rate the current status of the outcome progress \*

1. Off Track  2. On Track  3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.*

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please use this text box to describe the *status* of progress (on track with evidence of peacebuilding outcomes, on track or off track), as well as briefly describe the progress and any analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome \*

**INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT**

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at the **outcome** level in the table below

- If an outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
  - Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)
-

**» Outcome 1: Formal, informal institutions and citizens share a common vision of problems linked with land governance and cooperate in the implementation of possible solutions enhancing the implementation of the Land Law.**

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	Percentage of institutional participants who develop a better understanding on barriers for youth participation in land governance and possible solutions	TBD	50% of the institutions representatives participating in the activities under outcome 1 affirm they are more aware		N/A	To set the baseline data for this indicator. Data collected in the baseline will be compared with the results of the dialogue which are going to be consolidated along a dedicated atelier in June 2022. Baseline data will be included in the November annual report
1.2	Number of institutions with improved knowledge and capacity to carry out collaborative land use and management processes at the community level	7 (6 demarcation brigades and the Directorate General for Geography and Cadastre)	20 (including 10 community associations + sector and national level government institutions)			Indicator added in the project's M&E plan. Baseline data are currently being analysed so to be able to disaggregate them by gender and locality

1.3	Percentage of youth participants who believe decision-making is inclusive and responsive regarding land management	23,6% of youth participants believe decision making on land issues is inclusive	50% of the youth participating in the project affirm they feel more included			Indicator added in the project's M&E plan. Baseline data are currently being analysed so to be able to disaggregate them by gender and locality
-----	--	---	--	--	--	---

**» Outcome 2: Prevention and management of conflict related to land governance is more effective thanks to the collaboration of formal and traditional institutions and communities and actively involved youth in conflict prevention and management**

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	Percentage of youth trained in by the project that carry out and participate in conflict prevention and management activities at the community, sector and regional level.	10,2 % of youth participants always or often took part in local conflict prevention and management activities, while 42,5 % never took part.	At least 50% disaggregated by age, gender and region			Baseline data are currently being analysed so to be able to disaggregate them by gender and locality
2.2	Percentage of members of sectoral land commissions who think the commission is capable to respond to people needs in terms of land related conflict	63% of members of sectoral land commissions think the commission is functional; 43% of members of sectoral land commissions think the commissions are highly engaged				Indicator added in the project's M&E plan. Baseline data are being analysed so to be able to disaggregate them by gender and locality

2.3	Percentage of youth who think that land commission mechanism significantly improved at the end of the project	44% of youth know about land commissions in their area and 58% think their engagement is medium or high	an increase of 30%			Indicator added in the project's M&E plan. Baseline data are being analysed so to be able to disaggregate them by gender and locality
-----	---	---	--------------------	--	--	---

**» Outcome 3: Underrepresented young men and young women are empowered to act as a cohesive group, agree on common and shared fundamentals, and play an active role in land management in their communities**

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1	Number of young men and young women organised	TBD	600			baseline data collection in process
3.2	Number of groups active in land management in their community	TBD	60			baseline data collection in process
3.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1						
4.2						
4.3						

» Outcome 5:

Outcome 5	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
5.1						
5.2						
5.3						

» Outcome 6:

Outcome 6	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
6.1						
6.2						
6.3						

» Outcome 7:

Outcome 7	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
7.1						
7.2						
7.3						

» Outcome 8:

Outcome 8	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
8.1						
8.2						
8.3						

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes \*

### PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Please indicate any significant project-related events anticipated in the next six months, i.e. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc. \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

**In the next six months the project will focus on carrying out the participatory collaborative territory planning exercise in 10 communities (output 1.2), training youth and members of sector land commission in conflict prevention and resolution methods (Output 2.1) and work with youth to dynamize and equipe youth groups in 30 communities (Output 3.1**

**the activities related to Outcome 3 will be developed in 30 communities in particular : 1) finanlization of baseline data collection and analysis 2) facilitation of capacity developpement of 60 listening groups**

In a few sentences, explain whether the project has had a positive *human impact*. May include anecdotal stories about the project's positive effect on the people's lives. Include direct quotes where possible or weblinks to strategic communications pieces.

*This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant(2000 character limit)*

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc..) to illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

---

File 1

**OPTIONAL**

Cliquez ici pour téléverser un fichier. (< 10MB)

---

File 2

**OPTIONAL**

Cliquez ici pour téléverser un fichier. (< 10MB)

---

File 3

**OPTIONAL**

Cliquez ici pour téléverser un fichier. (< 10MB)

---

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

---

Link 1

**OPTIONAL**

---

Link 2

**OPTIONAL**

---

Link 3

**OPTIONAL**

---

**» Monitoring**

---

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period \*

*Please limit your response to 1000 characters including spaces.*

**In the first months, the project team revised the M&E plan with support of the PBF Secretariat including additional indicators at the output and outcome level. New indicators have been included in the result matrix. The team also developed the questionnaire for the collection of baseline referred to Outcomes 1 and 2. The questionnaire was applied to participants in the dialogue activities carried out between March and May 2022 and results have been included in the present report. The team developed the tools and methodology for collection of baseline referred to outcome 3 which will be finalised in the 30 communities selected in June based on the results of the dialogues processes. Finally, the kick-off and follow up meetings with relevant entities at national and local levels (e.g. MATPL, MOPHU) provided the data to set the missing baseline for indicators in Outcome 1.**

Do outcome indicators have baselines? \*

*If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'*

yes

no

Please provide a brief description \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

**the baseline data of indicator 1.1 on Outcome 1, and indicators 3.1 and 3.2 have to be completed . Also baseline data will have to be desagregated by age and gender**

Has the project launched perception surveys or other community-based data collection? \*

yes

no

Please provide a brief description \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

## » Evaluation

Has an evaluation been conducted during the reporting period? \*

yes

no

Evaluation budget (in USD): \*

40000

If project will end in next six months, describe the evaluation preparations

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

## » Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project led to additional funding from other sources? \*

yes

no

If yes, how many additional grants or donors has the project leveraged? \*

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur? \*

No catalytic effect

Some catalytic effect

Significant catalytic effect

Very Significant catalytic effect

Don't Know

Too early to tell

Please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

## PART IV: COVID-19

Please respond to these questions if the project underwent any monetary or non-monetary adjustments due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

*This section is optional. You can leave it blank if not relevant*

*Monetary adjustments:*

*Please indicate the total amount in USD of adjustments due to COVID-19:*

Non-monetary adjustments: Please indicate any adjustments to the project which did not have any financial implications:

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

**NO**

Please select all categories which describe the adjustments made to the project (and include details in general sections of this report):

- Reinforce crisis management capacities and communications
- Ensure inclusive and equitable response and recovery
- Strengthen inter-community social cohesion and border management
- Counter hate speech and stigmatization and address trauma
- Support the SG's call for a global ceasefire
- None
- Other (please describe):

Other, Please describe

---

If relevant, please share a COVID-19 success story of this project (i.e. how adjustments of this project made a difference and contributed to a positive response to the pandemic/prevented tensions or violence related to the pandemic etc.)

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

---

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation (related to COVID-19 or not) that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations? (1500 character limit)

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

---

### Final Steps

- **Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.**
- **A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.**
- **Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF (if on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and try again. If the problem persists, you can contact technical support at the email address below)**
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

***If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Anupah Makoond [keshni.makoond@un.org](mailto:keshni.makoond@un.org)***

---

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.

---