

Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report



**PEACEBUILDING
FUND** 

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to keshni.makoond@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- Semi-annual
- Annual
- Final
- Other

Date of submission of report *

2022-06-11

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Evans Binan Dami (Mental Health and Psychosocial Support Officer, IOM The Gambia)

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Fumiko Nagano (Chief of Mission, IOM The Gambia)

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- yes
 no

Did PBF Secretariat review the report? *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

- yes
 no
 Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

- yes no

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="radio"/> Global | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input checked="" type="radio"/> West Africa | |

Country of project implementation *

- | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Benin | <input type="radio"/> Burkina Faso | <input type="radio"/> Cote D'Ivoire |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Gambia | <input type="radio"/> Guinea | <input type="radio"/> Guinea-Bissau |
| <input type="radio"/> Liberia | <input type="radio"/> Mali | <input type="radio"/> Mauritania |
| <input type="radio"/> Niger | <input type="radio"/> Nigeria | <input type="radio"/> Senegal |
| <input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone | <input type="radio"/> Togo | <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify |

Other, please specify *

.....

Project Title *

- 00113367: Addressing conflict over land and natural resources
- 00130106: Promoting peace and social cohesion through provision of mental health services and psychosocial well-being of SGBV survivors in The Gambia
- 00120496: Strengthening Community Access to Justice, Community Policing and Effective SGBV Response
- 00119440: Strengthening community coping mechanisms against risks of climate induced conflicts and to minimize gender related vulnerabilities and tensions in The Gambia
- 00119603: Strengthening inclusive citizen engagement for more accountable governance in The Gambia
- 00113472: Strengthening sustainable and holistic reintegration of returnees in the Gambia
- 00129530: Support to the implementation and monitoring of the Truth, Reconciliation and Reparations Commission (TRRC) recommendations in The Gambia
- 00124863: Young women and men as stakeholders in ensuring peaceful democratic processes and advocates for the prevention of violence and hate speech
- Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

.....

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date *

2018-12-17

Project end Date *

2022-03-17

Has this project received an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund *

- yes
 no

If yes, please select which *

- National Trust Fund
 Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the lead recipient a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- UN entity
 Non-UN Entity

Please select the lead recipient *

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
 UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
 OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
 UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
 UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
 FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
 UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
 UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
 UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
 WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
 UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
 UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
 UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
 UNDPO Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project?

*

- No other recipients
- Yes, other UN recipients only
- Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients recipients

*

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
- UN Department of Peace Operations Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

*

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid | <input type="checkbox"/> The African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC) | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada | <input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK | <input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc. | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF | <input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide | <input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID | <input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP) | <input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI) | <input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> International Alert | <input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace | <input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> (MDG-EISA) Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps | <input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO | <input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR | <input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM | <input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund | <input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM) | <input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG) | <input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer | <input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ZOA | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify | |

Other, Please specify *

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars**

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget <i>(calculated automatically)</i>
IOM: International Organization for Migration	* 1200000	* 1200000	* 1199999	100%
	*	*	*	%
UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund	* 550000	* 550000	* 537137	97.66%

	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	2300000	2300000	2276922	99%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **99%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)? *

20

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 460000**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

218949.25

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 455384.4**. Is this correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

375391.57

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

PBF Project Financial Report June 2022 _ Strengtjhening Sustainable and Holistic Reintegration of Returnees in The G: 

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.
- If relevant, please include any COVID-19 related considerations, adjustments and results and respond to section IV. **(This section is optional)**

Briefly outline the *status of the project* in terms of implementation cycle, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

With the overall vision of the project to facilitate sustainable and holistic reintegration of returning migrants in the economic, social and psychosocial dimensions in a manner that contributes to peacebuilding, the project has brought significant achievements during the overall implementation period covering from December 18, 2018 to March 17, 2022. The project successfully recruited staff and worked in close partnership with key government and non-governmental partners and has contributed to reduced stigma and trauma faced by returnees, enhanced social inclusion and cohesion among returning migrants and host communities, and a more balanced and positive public perception of return migration, and the roles of returnees in community stabilization, through the following key achievements, among others:

- 1. Launched the National Coordination Mechanism on Migration (NCM) in November 2019 and operationalized eight (8) Thematic Working Groups (TWGs) by June 2021**
- 2. Established community-based reintegration task force in December 2020 with ten (10) community projects completed.**
- 3. Established a Project Technical Committee (PTC) in September 2020. The PTC met four (4) times to discuss implementation progress and organized three (3) field monitoring visits to the project sites.**
- 4. 5,500 community members including returnees engaged in dialogue, shared learning, and intergenerational sessions, with an additional 510 involved in moonlight storytelling in the seven (7) regions.**

Summarize *the main structural, institutional or societal level change* the project has contributed to. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project

FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION ONLY; (1500 character limit)

Having established the NCM, in November 2019, and under the leadership of the Office of the Vice President (OVP) the Government of The Gambia (GoTG) has put in place a coordination structure to ensure a whole-of-government approach on migration governance. The NCM provided the platform for discussions on migration governance in the eight (8) Thematic Working Groups (TWGs): Border Management, Communication and Advocacy, Cross-cutting issues, Internal Migration, Labour Migration, Migration and Development, Migration Data, Policy and Legislation, Return and Reintegration.

Structural changes are evident as: 1) The Mental Health and Psychosocial Support (MHPSS) The MHPSS framework gave the Ministry of Health (MoH) an integrated approach to the community mental health system, whilst the curriculum addressed knowledge gaps in MHPSS, particularly in health institutions whose learning curricula had sparsely incorporated MHPSS despite the need, 2) GoTG has strengthened capacity to facilitate sustainable reintegration by establishing community-based reintegration structures, 3) community linkages strengthened through and social inclusion and reintegration of returnees based on dialogue sessions, moonlight storytelling, among others.

PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have

1 2 **3** 4 5 6 7 8 more than 8.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:

Gambian society has a balanced and positive perception of return migration

*

Outcome 2:

The Government of The Gambia demonstrate strengthened capacity to facilitate sustainable reintegration contributing to enhanced social inclusion and cohesion

*

Outcome 3:

Returnees enjoy enhanced access to psychosocial, political and economic reintegration services.

*

Outcome 4:

*

Outcome 5:

*

Outcome 6:

*

Outcome 7:

*

Outcome 8:

*

Additional Outcomes

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

*

Outcome 1:

Gambian society has a balanced and positive perception of return migration

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The research on reintegration and peacebuilding highlighted the roles of returnees in community peacebuilding initiatives. As part of the research findings, 68 per cent of community members interviewed believed that migrants need more financial support for a more sustainable reintegration. Interestingly, an overwhelming 72 per cent of returnees were very open to staying and contributing to national and community development efforts. Findings from the study have been used throughout the project's implementation phase, for more sustainable outcomes.

The Displacement Tracking Matrix (DTM) generated evidence-based data on the population mobility within and outside The Gambia, in four identified Flow Monitoring Points (FMPs): Brikama in West Coast Region (WCR), Basse Upper River Region (URR), Barra and Farafenni North Bank Region (NBR). To ensure a more community-driven approach and ownership of the process, Flow Monitoring (FM) activities were done with local partners and a total of eight (8) monthly Flow Monitoring Reports (FMR) and two (2) quarterly Flow Monitoring Surveys (FMS) have been published from June 2021 to January 2022. The FMR and FMP have generated data for discussions on migration at two NCM Thematic Working Groups (TWGs) on Internal Migration, and Data, Policy and Legislation and at regular consultations with government partners on migration-related issues

The project has strengthened and supported the GoTG through the Ministry of Information and Communication Infrastructure which acts as Chair of the Communication Platform which is anchored under the Communication and Advocacy TWG of the NCM. Since the validation of the communication strategy, the action plan on the communications platform was implemented with the first government TV and radio programs held. The media outreach (through TV and radio) hosted by the Ministry of Information and Communication (MOICI) contributed to awareness-raising on return and reintegration. MOICI also developed media sensitization engagements and held TV and Radio shows to discuss migration concepts and misconceptions.

In July 2021, the project supported the OVP on a nationwide tour to directly engage returnees, community leaders and local government authorities on the topic "Irregular migration and social cohesion". Support was also provided to the National Youth Council (NYC) by establishing and operationalizing the Youth Toll-Free line (1216) for returnees and young people in general, with 201 calls recorded (159 males and 42 females) including returnees. Additional support was provided to National Youth Council regional TekkiFii awareness activities, through music and entertainment, were organized in Bansang (CRR) and Kudang (CRR). In total, 32 radio talk shows took place and the Gambians' perception of return migration has been influenced positively. Department of Labour (DoL) also engaged and rolled out the job centre services and referrals to regional youth centres.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

The research on return and reintegration and its implication on peacebuilding adopted a youth and gender-sensitive approach, with most interviewees being returnees between the ages of 18-35 years. Among family members, there were 24 respondents from parents/guardians (12 males and 12 females) and 24 respondents from siblings (12 males and 12 females) per cluster, ten (10) women's group leaders and ten (10) youth leaders (5 males and 5 females) per cluster, among others. DTM enumerators for data collection had eight (8) males, and seven (7) females, showing a 46 % female composition. The eight (8) FMR reports generated from June 2021 to January 2021 showed a total of 27708 (male=50%, 50%=female) travellers have been captured through daily observation.

Additionally, the referral program and key messages on migration, return and reintegration under this project did not only cater for returnees (mostly youth returnees) but also their families and community members which included women.

Outcome 2:

The Government of The Gambia demonstrate strengthened capacity to facilitate sustainable reintegration contributing to enhanced social inclusion and cohesion

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The NCM launch also included the Global Compact for Migration (GCM) prioritization exercise where the GoTG selected 15 priority objectives out of the GCM 23 objectives, to address in the short to mid-term. Under the overall guidance of the OVP as the lead for the NCM and technical lead of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, International Cooperation and Gambians Abroad (MOFA), the first National Voluntary Review of The Gambia GCM implementation progress was finalized and published in April 2021 – and a second review meeting took place in February 2022 to review and update on progress of GCM implementation in The Gambia as part of the Government's preparation ahead of the International Migration Review Forum (IMRF) New York in May 2022

The project Enhanced access to MHPSS services for returnees and communities as the national MHPSS framework and a training curriculum on the MHPSS needs of migrants was developed. Office and printing supplies were provided to facilitate dissemination of the documents. Furthermore, the capacity of mental health practitioners has been strengthened with 725 (392 males, 333 females) health care workers from private and public hospitals trained to support covid response and MHPSS.

Through an IOM-driven initiative, and in coordination with the Department of Community Development (DCD), communities of high return and beneficiaries' needs were identified, in January 2021 for community-based reintegration. IOM took the lead to support partners and stakeholders in trainings to establish the CBR, however, concerns have emerged from the lack of sustainability of the approach used by other partners who only provided economic reintegration. In February 2022, Mbolo Association, an implementing partner for ITC's training of six (6) returnees on Tuk-tuk operation as part of the PBF project raised concerns about the way in which the returnees are being trained without support first in MHPSS and rehabilitation of their psychological state. To mitigate the concerns, IOM:1) delivered MHPSS training for ITC's CBR beneficiaries 2) organized a mini-information session for all partners on modalities of a holistic and sustainable reintegration on the importance of psychosocial support to ensure consistency, even so, some partners couldn't participate. In March 2022, the project handed over ten (10) community projects .

To strengthen CSOs, the project partnered with Starfish International in Kiang Kwinella in the Lower River Region (LRR) and trained 35 community members (32 women and 3 men) including families affected by irregular migration and human trafficking, on soap making, tie and dye, batik and basic literacy. The referral platform (www.dol.gm) and staff emails set up for DoL created platforms for awareness of available opportunities in The Gambia, on top of media campaigns on QTV and GRTS. These are all demonstrations of the government's enhanced capacity to manage reintegration.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

Gender mainstreaming was considered in implementing activities under this outcome. The MHPSS and Infection Prevention Control (IPC) trainings had 54 % male and 46% representations among health, protection, and frontline workers across the regions in the Gambia. The community-based livelihood interventions ensured gender mainstreaming since the inception stage by involving women and returnees in the consultations for pre-identifying and validating projects with community members included women and returnees. The active involvement of Youth Against Irregular Migration (YAIM) further ensured the inclusion of the returnees in the consultation processes and the project implementation. Furthermore, the craft production training in Kiang had 91% female and 9%, male trainees, promoting inclusiveness according to their peculiar needs

Outcome 3:

Returnees enjoy enhanced access to psychosocial, political and economic reintegration services.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The formation of three Migrant Peer Support Groups (MPSGs) in three regions of high return gave returnees the chance to drive community-led initiatives as trainings provided improved their understanding of stress and coping mechanisms and also helped them build resilience through home visits, tie and dye-making, cooking competitions, visits to schools and shared their experiences, and awareness-raising on stigma and discrimination which have contributed to their sustainable reintegration.

The refurbishment and additional construction at Tanka-Tanka increased the facility's bed capacity from 100 to 150, has changed the ecosystem of MHPSS in The Gambia. The initiative aimed at enhancing the well-being of mental health patients and creating safe and dignified spaces that are in line with the international safety, security, and sanitary standards. Additional recreational items were also donated to facilitate psychosocial support activities and recovery. Furthermore, 60 personnel (male 36, female 24) from the facility were trained in February 2022, on facility management, MHPSS, Infection Prevention Control (IPC), and conflict/crisis management.

The Youth Centres in Bundung and Pakalinding were refurbished, and the Bundung centre hosted some activities in 2020 and 2021, mainly returnees and community members. Also, ten (10) coaches participated in an intensive five-day ToT workshop in March 2021, that combined theoretical training about essential football-based life skills for employability and entrepreneurship using Life Skills Curriculum. Eight (8) of the coaches in the ToT workshop received additional resources to support the rollout of the employability and entrepreneurship program in KMC, WCR and NBR. The coaches have implemented a four-month Kick 4 Trade workshops using the Kick for Trade curriculum in their communities with 200 participants. Since the launch of the training, two refresher workshops have been held to support the eight coaches during the roll-out of their workshops.

The shared learning sessions in seven (7) regions have engaged over 5,500 community members including returnees, vulnerable young people, opinion leaders, family members, women leaders, chiefs, and religious leaders in intergenerational dialogues and enhanced understanding of reintegration and irregular migration and facilitated the engagements returnees in decision-making processes

A five-day bootcamp was held for 50 migrant returnees and the moonlight storytelling sessions with 510 participants created a safe space for migrants to share their stories, and be trained on irregular migration and reintegration, entrepreneurship skills, and peacebuilding and to benefit from psychosocial support, fostering social cohesion. The community surveillance and contact tracing initiative involved 80 young people including returnees sensitized community members including young people on COVID-19 and the signs shown in suspected cases.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

Gender mainstreaming principles were strictly adhered to in the implementation of key activities under this outcome area. Overall, 60% of beneficiaries were females and 40% males. For instance, the mobile health outreach benefitted more females than males. The migrant peer support groups had 33.3% female and 66.7 % male, and the photography training sessions had both male and female beneficiaries. Furthermore, shared learning sessions, moonlight storytelling sessions, and the community contact tracing and surveillance initiative featured young people including women and migrant returnees empowering women and young people to participate in community initiatives.

Outcome 4:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

Outcome 5:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

Outcome 6:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

Outcome 7:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

Outcome 8:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please use this text box to describe the *status* of progress (on track with evidence of peacebuilding outcomes, on track or off track), as well as briefly describe the progress and any analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome *

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at the **outcome** level in the table below

- If an outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 1: Gambian society has a balanced and positive perception of return migration**

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
1.1	Indicator 1.a % of community members reporting negative perception of returnees (disaggregated in M/F)	59% (2018)	30%	Final evaluation/survey	24% (2020) By gender, the proportions were 22.9% and 26.7% for males and females respectively	
1.2	1.b % of 4000 returnees reintegrating (provided with reintegration assistance) in their communities (disaggregated in M / F)	Baseline: Population of 4000 returnees	70% of returnees reintegrate in the communities	Final evaluation/survey	79% (2020); By gender, 78.6% of males and 80.0% of females reported being reintegrated	
1.3						

» Outcome 2: The Government of The Gambia demonstrate strengthened capacity to facilitate sustainable reintegration contributing to enhanced social inclusion and cohesion

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
2.1	% of returnees reporting satisfaction with GoTG services to support reintegration	6.5 % (2020)	40% increase in satisfaction	End of project assessment to be done	2% of the returnees reported satisfaction with support from the government.	Although the reasons for the low proportions are not known, the assumption is that returnees either do not understand government interventions or they may have not received the support altogether.
2.2	% of government officials reporting through the National Coordination Mechanism on Migration (NCM)	0	80	End of project assessment to be done	Following the launch of NCM, 23 agencies and ministries are already part of the platform and meeting regularly. The NCM has all its 8 TWGs operational	

2.3	Increased coordination level of reintegration efforts between public and private partners	Low coordination	High coordination (60%)	M&E and end of project report	All 8 TWGs have been operationalized with regular meetings attended by different actors	
-----	--	-------------------------	--------------------------------	--	--	--

» **Outcome 3: Returnees enjoy enhanced access to psychosocial, political and economic reintegration services.**

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
3.1	% of returnees reporting adequate social inclusion after return (disaggregated M/F)	38% (2018 - two years after return)	60%	End project evaluation to be done	62% of returnees reported adequate social inclusion (2020) By gender, 65.7% of males and 53.3% of females reported adequate social inclusion	
3.2	% of returnees reporting high level of stress after return	71% (2018)	40%	End project evaluation to be done	45% (2020) For male returnees, 47.1% reported living with high stress while for their female counterparts, the proportion was 40%.	

3.3	% of returnees reporting being able to earn sufficient income after return (disaggregated M/F)	57% (2018)	70%	End project evaluation to be done	69% (2020); The results show that 68.6% and 70% of males and females respectively reported being able to earn sufficient income after return	
-----	--	------------	-----	-----------------------------------	--	--

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
4.1						
4.2						
4.3						

» Outcome 5:

Outcome 5	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
5.1						
5.2						
5.3						

» Outcome 6:

Outcome 6	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
6.1						
6.2						
6.3						

» Outcome 7:

Outcome 7	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
7.1						
7.2						
7.3						

» Outcome 8:

Outcome 8	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
8.1						
8.2						
8.3						

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Please indicate any significant project-related events anticipated in the next six months, i.e. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

None. The project ended on March 17, 2022

In a few sentences, explain whether the project has had a positive *human impact*. May include anecdotal stories about the project's positive effect on the people's lives. Include direct quotes where possible or weblinks to strategic communications pieces.

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant(2000 character limit)

1. **The support provided to GoTG by refurbishing Tanka Tanka has positively impacted the ecosystem of mental health in The Gambia.** "Sometimes, we are worried about the support and resources we need to ensure that basic needs are met," remark made by Dr. Mustapha Bittaye, Director of Health Services at the Ministry of Health. "This support is timely; it is a permanent increase in bed capacity that will serve the people in need", he added (link)
2. **The female survivor group of the December 2019 shipwreck intervention later became a migrant peer support group and continued to support each other. In March 2022, the group engaged in a livelihood training through tie and dye workshop.** "We became a family and that means we will continue to support ourselves as a group and share our experiences with others who could also benefit from it, even beyond the project " said one of the group members.
3. **Six-month training on livelihood initiatives (soap making, tie and die, batik with basic literacy) implemented by Starfish International supported with participants with start-ups (seed capital) and enhanced their busy ideas.** "This training has provided us with the opportunity to work together with our brothers (returnees) that we thought will never come our way. This brought us together" said Arra Ceesay- a trainee in the livelihood initiative. See links: link
4. **In December 2019, ACTIVISTA The Gambia organized community-shared learning sessions in 16 communities across the country to enhance understanding on irregular migration and social inclusion.** "We wish our young people had the opportunity to participate in such forum before they departed for the backway journey" said Abdul Aziz Kah of Medina Sering Mass (link)
5. **The dialogue and intergenerational sessions carried out in five regions, have provided platforms for returnees to share their stories with their peers fostering social cohesion and peaceful co-existence(Link)**
6. **Sports are very useful in engaging youths and are among ways to reach community members irrespective of their academic and social status** "anywhere you go, sports are the only vehicle to engage with teenagers. I can go everywhere and talk about migration while playing basketball. Kids become passionate about the sport and understand the risks of migration." Muhammed Jim Njie, Founder of the Hope Basketball Academy stated. link

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc..) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Links to human impact stories-17_23_18.docx



File 2

OPTIONAL

Additinal links-17_44_16.docx



File 3

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

<https://twitter.com/IOMGambia/status/1509124039603896324?t=0ChsB9mb50g18dyCw3-6YA&s=19>

Link 2

OPTIONAL

<https://www.facebook.com/61732531133/posts/10158526328861134/?d=n>

Link 3

OPTIONAL

https://youtu.be/_qYeyK0de9M

» **Monitoring**

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 1000 characters including spaces.

Monitoring: Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period (1000-character limit)

In November 2020 a joint monitoring visit with government and UN partners took place. Among sites visited were: Gamjobs RESTART project, Department of Labour, Bundung Youth Centre, beneficiaries of shared learning sessions (Kerr Sait Maram -CRR North), PBF outreach beneficiaries (URR).

As part of the project regular monitoring exercises, in May 2021, a joint project monitoring involving the government, UN partners, and other partners took place. Among sites visited were: Bundung Youth Centre, MPSG URR, National Youth Council (GBA - Toll Free Line), Bundung Youth Centre, Shared Learning Sessions in CRR, Outreach clinic in NBR, and other locations.

In September 2021, another joint monitoring exercise was conducted. The monitoring team visited different project activity implementation sites

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

yes

no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

All outcome indicators have baseline. To measure the overall impact of the project outcomes each outcome has outcomes indicators which will be evaluated through the final project evaluation

Has the project launched perception surveys or other community-based data collection? *

yes

no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

A baseline survey was done during the first phase of the project. During the development of the research study under Outcome one (1), perception surveys were deployed which led to the development of the report. Likewise, a consultancy to determine knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP) was launched and reports were made available. The study was designed to support the Risk Communication and Community Engagement (RCCE) efforts as well as to determine the effectiveness of all RCCE activities conducted under the project as part of COVID-19 reprogramming.

» Evaluation

Has an evaluation been conducted during the reporting period? *

- yes
 no

Evaluation budget (in USD): *

20000

If project will end in next six months, describe the evaluation preparations

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Following the end of the project in March 2022, a consultant was hired for the project end evaluation after a rigorous recruitment process. The desk review phase (review of project reports, inception meeting with project technical team and research methodology) was completed. The fieldwork was targeted for completion by mid-May 2022. Stakeholders and intervention areas have been identified by the consultant with the aim of using both qualitative and quantitative research methods to collect and analyze data to be able to measure the impact of project activities in sustainably reintegrating returnees in The Gambia.

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project led to additional funding from other sources? *

- yes
 no

If yes, how many additional grants or donors has the project leveraged? *

1

Indicate name of funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project.

Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately

Name of Funder *

UEFA Foundation for Children

Amount in USD *

200000

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur? *

- No catalytic effect
- Some catalytic effect
- Significant catalytic effect
- Very Significant catalytic effect
- Don't Know
- Too early to tell

Please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The funds from the UEFA Foundation for children were used for the development of employability and entrepreneurship toolkit - Kick for Trade Program - using football and coaches to popularize the concept of sports for peace and development and provided football materials for the rollout of the Kick4Trade program to the selected communities

PART IV: COVID-19

Please respond to these questions if the project underwent any monetary or non-monetary adjustments due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

This section is optional. You can leave it blank if not relevant

Monetary adjustments:

Please indicate the total amount in USD of adjustments due to COVID-19:

96683

Non-monetary adjustments: Please indicate any adjustments to the project which did not have any financial implications:

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Project Technical Committee meetings were done online, the deployment of mobile health outreaches was structured to curtail the risk of infections, trainings were done on Infection Prevention Control (IPC), Risk Communication and Community Engagements RCCE and MHPSS.

Please select all categories which describe the adjustments made to the project (and include details in general sections of this report):

- Reinforce crisis management capacities and communications
- Ensure inclusive and equitable response and recovery
- Strengthen inter-community social cohesion and border management
- Counter hate speech and stigmatization and address trauma
- Support the SG's call for a global ceasefire
- None
- Other (please describe):

Other, Please describe

If relevant, please share a COVID-19 success story of this project (i.e. how adjustments of this project made a difference and contributed to a positive response to the pandemic/prevented tensions or violence related to the pandemic etc.)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Currently, people living in rural areas can have access to vaccine doses and regular campaigns are organized by the regional health administrators as trained young people were essential and continued to sensitize their communities on the importance of vaccination whilst debunking false beliefs.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation (related to COVID-19 or not) that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations? (1500 character limit)

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Despite supports provided to GoTG in key areas to address COVID-19, there is still a lot to be done to strengthen the system against any future condition. The community-based structures also need strengthening through other platforms, to continue to consolidate on the gains of the project.

Final Steps

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF (if on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and try again. If the problem persists, you can contact technical support at the email address below)
- Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Anupah Makoond keshni.makoond@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.
