

PEACEBUILDING FUND Peacebuilding and Recovery Facility

PROJECT DOCUMENT COVER SHEET

Project Title:	I	Recipient UN Organization:
PBF Coordination Office		United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)
Project Contact:	I	Implementing Partner(s): None
Philip Dive Address: UNIPSIL, Cabenda Hotel, Signal Hill, Freetown – Sierra Leone Telephone: 076 966 077 E-mail:dive@un.org		
Project Number: To be completed by UNDP MDTF Office	I	Project Location: Freetown
Project Description The project aims to support the Development Partners Committee (DEPAC), its secretariat, DACO, and the various MDAs in managing the project portfolio financed by the PBF. The main functions of the Coordination Office are the following: (1) Ensuring timely submission of reports, (2) Providing secretariat support and guidance to the Development Partners Committee (DEPAC), (3) Assuring respect of PBF requirements, (4) Provide oversight during project implementation, (5) Engage in advocacy mainly through quarterly publications and engagement with local authorities. By supporting the optimal use of the PBF allocation to the Sierra Leone's second Priority Plan, the PBF Coordination office thus contribute to the country's peace building initiative.	 () ()] 	Total Project Cost: 100,000 US\$ Peacebuilding Fund: 100,000US\$ Government Input: Other: Total:100,000 US\$ Project Start Date and Duration: 1 st January 2011 One year
Gender Marker Score ¹ : NA		
PBF Priority Area(s) and Outcomes:		

¹ The PBSO monitors the inclusion of women and girls in all PBF projects in line with:
SC Resolution 1325 (inclusion of women in prevention and resolution of conflict and in peacebuilding)
SC Resolution 1612 (protection of children affected by armed conflict);
SC Resolution 1820 (prevention of sexual violence and women in situations of armed conflict); and

SC Resolution 1820 (prevention of sexual violence and women in studious of armed connect), and
 SC Resolution 1888 (re-enforcing Resolution 1820)
 SC Resolution 1889 (re-enforcing Resolution 1325)
 PBSO measures inclusion of women and girls at project planning stage based on intended results and allocated budgets. PBSO also monitors and documents the progress and results of these projects separately to inform the SC and UN system.

December 7, 2010

The project indirectly contributes to PBF outcomes 5, 7 and 8 of priority area 2, as it will support the formulation and the implementation of projects in the areas of political dialogue, women empowerment and human rights.

Outputs and Key Activities:

Outputs

- Steering Committee able to make decisions at appropriate time to ensure project delivery
- Portfolio of PBF-funded projects well-managed with timely and accurate reporting
- Projects delivered results in line with PBF Country priority plan and PBF results framework
- Government's counterparts capacities to manage their projects increased

Key Activities:

- Providing the DEPAC secretariat support including organizing meetings, recording minutes and sharing documents with members of the DEPAC
- Documenting, communicating and ensuring follow-up of the DEPAC's decisions, particularly ensuring submission of appropriately signed and complete documentation on approved projects to the UNDP MDTF Office
- Maintaining a database on implementing partners
- In consultation with partners, developing project selection criteria to be adopted by the DEPAC
- Reviewing and analyzing concept notes and project proposals, including ensuring all technical review, and submitting recommendations to the DEPAC
- Supporting inter-project coordination and providing guidance to Recipient UN Organizations on common methodology for Project / project costing, monitoring and evaluation and related issues
- Organizing specific project impact monitoring and evaluation training and review (including independent impact evaluations)
- Tracking the implementation of projects and making recommendations for improvements, if deemed necessary
- Identifying problems that may arise in relation to project delivery and management and advising the DEPAC on appropriate action, with follow up and reporting back on progress or lack thereof
- Reviewing reports and status updates
- Acting as a local repository of knowledge regarding the rules and regulations of the PBF and related management arrangements
- As directed by the DEPAC Co-Chairs, supporting information sharing (including bulletins), awareness raising and training as required
- Ensuring linkages of PBF (insert country name) to national processes, in particular with (insert national planning frameworks, other UN frameworks e.g. e Poverty Reduction Strategy (PRS)) and the related supportive efforts of the UN and partners
- Promoting PBF awareness especially amongst Government and civil society and international partners
- Documenting issues and periodically sharing 'lessons learnt' with the DEPAC and PBSO
- Any other related tasks as directed by the DEPAC Co-Chairs

Co-chairs of the DEPAC			
Mr. Michael von der Schulenburg Signature Executive Representative of the Secretary General of the United Nations Date & Seal 07/12/10	Honorable Doctor Samura Kamara Signature Minister of Finance and Economic Development Date & Seal 07/12/10		
Mr. Vijay Pillai Signature Country Manager of the World bank Date & Seal $07/12/10$			
Recipient UN Organization(s)	National Implementing Partner(s)		
Ms. Mia Seppo Signature	Replace with: Name of Head of Partner Signature Name of Institution Date & Seal		
UNDP Date & Seal $7/122010$			
	Replace with: Name of Head of Partner Signature Name of Institution Date & Seal		

<u>COMPONENT 1</u>: Situation Analysis

The move from Peacekeeping to Peacebuilding in Sierra Leone was achieved in a manner that sets the example for other States emerging from conflict to follow. In partnership with the Government the UN's Peacekeepers, along with the British Army and ECOWAS, established peace and moved effectively through several recovery activities, including disarmament, parliamentary and presidential elections, the Truth and Reconciliation Commission and the Special Court.

In the bid to support Government's peace building strategy and to address quick-impact and catalytic gap filling issues, Sierra Leone was brought on the PBC agenda and subsequently benefited a thirty-five million dollar envelope from the PBF in March 2007. This support targeted five priority areas: democracy and Good Governance, Justice and Security, Youth Employment, Capacity for Public Administration and Emergency Support to Energy. A multistakeholder National Steering Committee including Government, the UN, CSOs, Donors and IFIs was formed and was Co-chaired by the ERSG and the Government. A Support Secretariat was staffed in September 2007, to provide administrative and programmatic support including that on oversight in the planning, implementation, monitoring and evaluation of the PBF projects. The Support Office has programmed the first thirty five million dollars envelope and its work was rated above satisfactory by the PBF Mid-Term review report.

In line with Security Council resolution 1829 (2008) UNIPSIL was created and within its first six months presented the Peace building Commission with the Joint Vision, an Integrated Strategic Framework that dove-tailed with the priorities set by the Government's Agenda for Change (PRSPII). The Outcome Paper from the Special Session on Sierra Leone in June 2009 commended the Government's Agenda for Change, calling on all the member States to align their country specific strategy documents to the priorities set out in the Agenda for Change. The Outcome paper also noted that the UN Joint Vision strategy supporting the Agenda for Change, was an innovative contribution to Peace building that assisted the Government in a manner that upheld the Paris Declaration on Aid Effectiveness.

In the context of the 2012 elections and based on an analysis of on-going and expected funding shortages of the overall UN Joint Vision planned interventions, the UN Country Team in consultation with the Government of Sierra Leone and the PBSO concluded that it was a priority to secure by the end of 2010 some financial support for the Joint Vision programmes that addresses issues of democratic elections, women's empowerment and human rights which are viewed as critical to securing peaceful co-existence even in the months running up to the 2012 elections. A priority plan estimated at 7,000,000 US\$ was submitted to the Peacebuilding and Recovery Facility (PRF). As contracts for the Support Office Staff runs out in December 2010, 100,000 US\$ has been earmarked within the USD 7,000,000 envelope to ensure a well-functioning Secretariat in support of the Government's management of this second PBF portfolio through the DEPAC and its associated structures.

<u>COMPONENT 2</u>: Narrative Section: Project justification

The current project essentially aims at equipping the Government of Sierra Leone and its Development partners with a well-functioning secretariat (PBF Coordination Office) focused on the management of the PRF portfolio.

The main functions of the Coordination Office are the following:

- Ensuring timely submission of and reporting on projects to stakeholders
- Providing secretariat support and guidance to the Development Partners Committee

(DEPAC) to ensure projects in line with peacebuilding objectives as per PBF priority areas and country priority plan.

- Assuring respect of PBF requirements, MoUs and Procedures planned to allow check and balances and optimal delivery of projects
- Provide oversight during project implementation through monitoring
- Engage in advocacy mainly through quarterly publications and engagement with local authorities

All these functions aim at supporting the optimal use of the PBF allocation to the Sierra Leone's second Priority Plan and therefore on-going peacebuilding priorities in the country.

The PBF Support Office has been receiving non projectised support from the PBSO in New York since 2007. With the increase in support offices and a review of the PBSO's mode of operation, project support offices has been seen top bring about increased effectiveness in accomplishing the key tasks of such support offices at the national level. The project will directly support the programming of the second PBF envelope and promote coordination and oversight that will be fed back to the key stakeholders involved with the projects at the policy/strategic level.

The projects has an immediate catalytic effect on the engagement of stakeholders in the peacebuilding process as one of the main function of the Coordination Office is to safeguard the respect of the PBF requirements, MoUs and Procedures and therefore ensure the consultation and participation of all designated stakeholders in the project instruction and delivery processes. This will indirectly foster an inclusive dialogue among the key supporters of the peacebuilding activities.

For example, the PBC Coordination will ensure in collaboration with DACO that all stakeholders are informed and fully aware of PBF projects status through the diffusion of the necessary documents before the DEPAC meets.

<u>COMPONENT 3</u>: Logical Framework (including implementation strategy)

A PBC Support Office staff (PBF Coordination Specialist) will be recruited to liaise between all relevant MDAs and work closely with related staff in DACO in the MoFED. He will be located in the Ministry of Foreign Affairs (MoFA). Through constant collaboration between the PBF Coordination Office and colleagues in MoFA and DACO, the staff, especially within the Government's arms, will increasingly be capacitated to coordinate peace building initiatives at the Government level and take over from the UNIPSIL staff. It is envisaged that in due course, the Government may want to put a structure in place to work with the UN Coordination Office in providing support and oversight for non PBF projects in support of the UNIV. There is thus a possibility of the UNIPSIL PBF Support Staff been co-opted fully as SPU staff when the PBF project will have been completed.

2. Logical Framework

Objectives	Measurable indicators/Targets	Means of verification	Important assumptions
PBF Priority Area Promote coexistence and peaceful conflict resolution	Quantitative ways of measuring or qualitative ways of judging timed achievement of goal	Cost-effective methods and sources to quantify or assess indicators	External factors necessary to sustain objectives in the long run
5. National reconciliation processes that promote culture of inclusion and peaceful resolution of conflicts are strengthened and the most urgent human rights legacies of the conflict addressed, including responsible media.	 Increased number of initiatives of collaboration and effective consensus- building among leaders National and local capacities to address disputes and/or emerging conflicts enhanced Effective implementation of TRC agreements Independent, non partisan media, respecting diversity Representative participation of women in dialogue, conflict resolution and peacebuilding process Enhanced cross- cultural understanding among youth) 	Cost-effective methods and sources to quantify or assess indicators	(Immediate Objective to Development Objective) External conditions necessary if achieved project purpose is to contribute to reaching project goal
7. Exercising of fundamental human rights by general public improved to redress enduring practices of political and economic exclusion, e.g. through support to institutional HR mechanisms, safeguard and oversight arrangements for the promotion of fundamental human rights	 Increased access to justice mechanisms Dedicated, autonomous Human Rights, Land etc. Commissions settle disputes and manage conflicts in transparent and timely fashion at national and local levels Increased participation of marginalized groups 		

December 7, 2010

in decision-making • % of women testimonies in TRC 8. Women are empowered to overcome specific post-conflict providing protection,	
testimonies in TRC 8. Women are • No. of women empowered to overcome accessing services specific post-conflict providing protection,	
8. Women are empowered to overcome specific post-conflict • No. of women accessing services providing protection,	
empowered to overcome specific post-conflictaccessing services providing protection,	
specific post-conflict providing protection,	
hardship (e.g. psychical including	
hardship (e.g. psychicalincludingand economic security,psychosocial support	
political participation) • Reduction of	
and to end gender-based incidents of gender	
violence and based violence	
discrimination Increased access to	
economic resources	
for women headed	
households	
(inheritance, land	
rights etc)	
% of women	
parliamentarians	
stable or increasing	
Law reform	
eliminates gender discrimination	
(CEDAW, SC1325,	
1820, property,	
inheritance etc)	
OUTPUTS: Project delivery	
timeframe respected.	
1. DEPAC able to make Decisions on funds Minutes of the DEPAC does	snot
decisions at appropriate allocation and issues meet with the reg	
time to ensure projects pertaining to the project planned in the aid	
delivery delivery made without Action Plan. Alter	
affecting the project decision making p	process
delivery timeframe agreed upon.	
2. Portfolio of PBF- Projects documents PBF project PBF Government	
funded projects well- approved in reasonable management and partners with capa	
managed with timely delays; delivery spreadsheet effectively managed	
and accurate reporting and advocacy Funds allocated in projects	
reasonable delays PBF quarterly and annual reports	
Quarterly flyers and Bi-	
annual newsletters	
produced PBF midterm review	
report	
Reduced delays in	
project completion	
against approved	
timelines	
Reporting on projects	

	and priority plan carried out on time and with quality standards Evaluation completed on time and with quality	
	standards	
3. Projects delivered results in line with PBF Country priority plan	Link between projects and priority plan clearly established in approved	Prodocs
and PBF results framework	prodocs.	Minutes of DEPAC
	Impact of projects towards priority plans' objectives clearly	Evaluation Report
	demonstrated in the evaluation of the PRF allocation to SL.	
4. Government's counterparts capacities to manage their projects	Increased participation of DACO	Minutes of Meetings
increased	Increased collaboration between DACO and	
	MoFA Peacebuilding section	

Main Activities	Inputs	Rough Cost Estimate (optional)	Person(s) responsible for mobilizing inputs
Providing the DEPAC secretariat support including organizing meetings, recording minutes and sharing documents with members of the DEPAC Documenting, communicating and ensuring follow-up of the DEPAC's decisions, particularly ensuring submission of appropriately signed and complete documentation on approved projects to the UNDP MDTF Office Maintaining a database on implementing partners In consultation with partners,	Programme Officer – SC10 Administratio n Associate – SC8 Office Equipment and supplies		
developing project selection criteria to be adopted by the DEPAC			
Reviewing and analyzing concept notes and project proposals, including ensuring all technical			

review, and submitting recommendations to the DEPAC		
Supporting inter-project		
coordination and providing		
guidance to Recipient UN Organizations on common		
methodology for Project / project		
costing, monitoring and evaluation		
and related issues		
Tracking the implementation of		
projects and making		
recommendations for improvements, if deemed		
improvements, if deemed necessary		
Identifying problems that may		
arise in relation to project delivery		
and management and advising the		
DEPAC on appropriate action,		
with follow up and reporting back		
on progress or lack thereof Reviewing reports and status		
updates		
Acting as a local repository of		
knowledge regarding the rules and regulations of the PBF and related		
management arrangements		
As directed by the DEPAC Co-		
Chairs, supporting information		
sharing (including bulletins),		
awareness raising and training as		
required Organizing specific project impact	Workshops	
monitoring and evaluation training	materials and	
and review (including independent	provincial	
impact evaluations)	travel	
	-	
	Evaluation consultant	
	team	
Ensuring linkages of PBF to	PBF	
national processes, in particular	Specialist	
with the Agenda for Change and	placed at	
the related supportive efforts of the	Ministry of	
UN and partners Promoting PBF awareness	Foreign Affairs	
especially amongst Government	1 111113	
and civil society and international		
partners		
Documenting issues and		
periodically sharing 'lessons		
learnt' with the DEPAC and PBSO Any other related tasks as directed		
Any other related tasks as directed		

by the DEPAC Co-Chairs		

<u>COMPONENT 4</u>: Budget A detailed budget is attached.

PBF PROJECT BUDGET (in US\$)			
CATEGORIES	AMOUNT		
1. Supplies, commodities, empment and transport	28,625		
2. Personnel (staff, consultants and travel)	48,000		
3. Training of counterparts	8,000		
4. Contracts	7,000		
5. Other direct costs	1,833		
Sub-Total Project Costs			
Indirect Support Costs**	6,542		
TOTAL	100,000		

* See the UNDG Harr available on http://www ** The rate shall not ex should follow the rules direct project implement Agency's regulations,

zed reporting to Donors for Joint Programmes approved in 2006 and

d 7% of the total of categories 1-5, as specified in the PBF MOU and guidelines of each recipient organization. Note that Agency-incurred n costs should be charged to the relevant budget line, according to the and procedures.

<u>COMPONENT 5</u>: Management Arrangements

A brief description of project implementation arrangements to ensure the cost-effective and efficient attainment of the outputs identified in the logical framework.

UNDP will be the recipient UN agency of this project. The PBF Coordination Office will function as per the rules and regulations of UNDP. Located within the Integrated Strategic Planning Unit of UNIPSIL, the PBF Coordination Office will report to the ERSG, RC, RR through the head of the Strategic Planning Unit who will perform strategic oversight of the office.

As the SPU is the hub for the programming of all UN Joint Vision programmes, the integration of the PBF-SCO will enhance the coordination of the PBF projects with other peace building initiatives of the Joint Vision and more generally in support of the Agenda for Change

<u>COMPONENT 6</u>: Monitoring and evaluation

Systems for project monitoring, including lessons learnt, and impact assessment, including what data will be collected, how, how often, and who will be in charge. Recipient UN Organizations should ensure that the plan fulfils Global PBF M&E requirements, as detailed in the Priority Plan. See also the PBF Results Framework for guidance.

The United Nations system uses performance evaluation checklist to measure staff performance in the execution of their duties. This system is tied to renewal of contracts and the award of bonuses at the end of the year. The PBF Support Office being a project unit of the UNDP, it will be guided by this procedure for the evaluation of staff. Day to day monitoring and oversight will be provided by the head of the SPU. The PBF will also undergo a midterm review and a final evaluation as part of the overall PBF portfolio. This independent process will triangulate ongoing monitoring and evaluation by the head of the SPU.

COMPONENT 7:

Two key risks have been identified in the project log frame:

A: The DEPAC does not meet with the regularity planned in the aid Policy Action Plan.

Risk Issue:

The DEPAC being a high-level stakeholder forum involving Government, Donors, IFIs etc, the organization of its meetings has been irregular since the finalization of the aid policy. Administrative capacity challenges and overlaps with other high level meetings have been among others the main factors of limitation.

Risk Mitigation:

The PBF-SCO in concert with the SPU will seek for ways to maintain good communication and engagement with the Co-chairs. The DEPAC co-chairs will if necessary agree on ad hoc procedures to discuss the PBF project portfolio. In full consultation with the DEPAC co-chairs, special waivers could be sought to allow for key policy makers to deputize the Co-chairs in the event that important matters that cannot wait need to be discussed.

B: PBF Government partners with capacity to effectively manage the projects

Risk Issue:

As has been seen over the life of implementing the first PBF portfolio, project delays have been

December 7, 2010

associated with among others the capacity of Government counterparts to be able to roll-out projects in time and within budgets. This capacity issue has singularly been the cause of majority of the delays involved in the implementation of PBF projects. Most counterparts have complained low level of knowledge on PBF reporting requirements and that of the recipient agencies.

Risk Mitigation:

The PBF-SCO based on lessons learnt will firstly ensure that direct costs are incurred by projects to recruit competent nationals to work on these projects who shall be placed within the Ministries. In the event that such Ministries have project management units, they shall be tasked with providing such support. As a modus operandi, the PBF in concert with the recipient agency shall conduct pre-implementation trainings for Government stakeholders on PBF requirements, reporting, project cycle management and RoP of recipient agencies.

December 7, 2010