# United Nations Development Group Iraq Trust Fund Project #:C9-32

Date and Quarter Updated: April- June 2011- 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter OPERATIONALLY CLOSED

Participating UN Organisation: UNOPS Sector: Governance

Government of Iraq – Responsible Line Ministry: Ministry of Planning and Development Cooperation (MOPDC)

Title	Conducting Le	ssons Learned and	Project Evaluati	ons for the IRFFI		
Geo. Location	National					
Project Cost	USD 990,038					
Duration	12 Months					
Approval Date	9 June 2010	Starting Date	14 June 2010	<b>Completion Date</b>	14.12.2010	
D	The Consents II	DEEL Danas Came	 	:	Extended to 14 June 2011	
Project Description					nission a comprehensive	
Description	lessons learned and evaluation exercise to distil accomplishments, lessons and experiences gained during the implementation of the UNDG ITF to assist Iraq to strengthen future programming and					
		aid effectiveness while informing the UN System and the donors of the mechanism, what worked				
			•		ember 2009 emphasized the	
		need to look at both development and operational effectiveness of the UNDG ITF following a				
	participatory process involving all stakeholders including non-IRRFI donors and the Government					
	of Iraq. Additionally, the donors reiterated their earlier request to follow up on the					
	recommendations and findings of the ScanTeam report.					
	The UNDG ITF Lessons Learned Exercise (LLE) is intended to provide lessons learned during the course of implementation of programmes and projects and their respective contributions in terms of achievements, constraints and failures, while critically reviewing the UNDG ITF processes and procedures and their role in maximizing the operational effectiveness of the UNDG ITF. To the extent possible, the LLE will gain from the ongoing independent evaluations of 37 UNDG ITF programmes/projects to objectively assess the programmatic contributions to development priorities and challenges in Iraq. The ongoing evaluations will significantly contribute to the LLE by providing a strong evidence base for the UNDG ITF contributions towards development results, while equally reflecting on the operational effectiveness of the programmes/ projects.					
	broader interna and weaknesse the UNDG ITF coordination m project will be	tional recovery, rest of the Gol's and The exercise will echanism that built	construction and donors' roles in I provide a basis ds on the effecti PS, in close coor	the management, coordor designing an effect	n Iraq, as well as strengths dination and oversight of tive Iraq-led aid es and mechanisms. The	

### **Development Goal and Immediate Objectives**

The outputs of this project will be in line with the UNCT GOT Outcome 4: Strengthened institutions, processes and regulatory framework of national and local governance.

### Joint Programme/Project Outcome(s):

JP 1: Strengthened institutions, processes and regulatory frameworks of national and local governance

JP Output 1.GOI and UNCT supported to generate lessons on Development and operational effectiveness of the UNDG-Iraq Trust Fund

**Key Activities** 

- 1. Preparatory Phase
- 2. Field work/ Data Collection
- 3. Data Analysis
- 4. Preparation/ finalization of the UNDG LLE document
- 5. Presentation of LLE document

#### NDS:

Indirectly contributes to Goal 6 (Improving the quality of life) and Section 10.2 (Monitoring and Evaluation)

### ICI Benchmarks (as per the Joint Monitoring Matrix 2008):

Indirectly contributes to Section 4.4 (Human Development and Human Security)

The key objectives of this comprehensive lessons learned exercise are:

- 1. To assess the development effectiveness of the programmes and projects administered under the UNDG ITF and to showcase the contributions and results of the UNDG ITF activities towards recovery, reconstruction and development efforts vis-à-vis Iraq's national priorities stipulated in NDS, ICI and MDGs.
- 2. To assess the effectiveness of the UNDG ITF processes in supporting compliance with the Paris Declaration principles and the UN reform process in Iraq.
- 3. To understand the relevance of design, legal arrangements and governance mechanisms of the UNDG ITF as well as internal UN coordination arrangements within a two-window MDTF vis-à-vis recovery, reconstruction and development priorities and challenges.
- 4. To provide an evidence base for the UN System and the MDTF office for the development and refinement of new and existing MDTFs respectively.
- 5. To guide the GoI, donors, and the UNCT in establishing effective coordination and operational mechanisms in support of aid and development effectiveness.

Outputs  1.1 GOI and UNCT supported to generate lessons on Development UNDG-Iraq Trust Fund  Activities  Preparatory Phase:  1.1.1 Selection and contracting of Consulting firm. 1.1.2 Inception/ scoping mission for the Lessons Learned Explain 1.1.3 Agreement on methodology and detailed work plan. 1.1.4 Participate at the initial stakeholder meeting to launch Field work/ Data Collection:  1.1.5 Review of documents, reports, supporting materials. 1.1.6 Meetings with relevant stakeholders. 1.1.7 Finalise questionnaires for primary data collection. 1.1.8 Field visits.	•
Activities  Preparatory Phase:  1.1.1 Selection and contracting of Consulting firm. 1.1.2 Inception/ scoping mission for the Lessons Learned Extended 1.1.3 Agreement on methodology and detailed work plan. 1.1.4 Participate at the initial stakeholder meeting to launch Field work/ Data Collection:  1.1.5 Review of documents, reports, supporting materials. 1.1.6 Meetings with relevant stakeholders. 1.1.7 Finalise questionnaires for primary data collection. 1.1.8 Field visits.	voraiso
1.1.1 Selection and contracting of Consulting firm. 1.1.2 Inception/ scoping mission for the Lessons Learned Extended 1.1.3 Agreement on methodology and detailed work plan. 1.1.4 Participate at the initial stakeholder meeting to launch Field work/ Data Collection:  1.1.5 Review of documents, reports, supporting materials. 1.1.6 Meetings with relevant stakeholders. 1.1.7 Finalise questionnaires for primary data collection. 1.1.8 Field visits.	voraico
<ul> <li>1.1.2 Inception/ scoping mission for the Lessons Learned Extended 1.1.3 Agreement on methodology and detailed work plan.</li> <li>1.1.4 Participate at the initial stakeholder meeting to launch Field work/ Data Collection:</li> <li>1.1.5 Review of documents, reports, supporting materials.</li> <li>1.1.6 Meetings with relevant stakeholders.</li> <li>1.1.7 Finalise questionnaires for primary data collection.</li> <li>1.1.8 Field visits.</li> </ul>	varaisa
<ul> <li>1.1.2 Inception/ scoping mission for the Lessons Learned Extended 1.1.3 Agreement on methodology and detailed work plan.</li> <li>1.1.4 Participate at the initial stakeholder meeting to launch Field work/ Data Collection:</li> <li>1.1.5 Review of documents, reports, supporting materials.</li> <li>1.1.6 Meetings with relevant stakeholders.</li> <li>1.1.7 Finalise questionnaires for primary data collection.</li> <li>1.1.8 Field visits.</li> </ul>	zarajea
<ul> <li>1.1.3 Agreement on methodology and detailed work plan.</li> <li>1.1.4 Participate at the initial stakeholder meeting to launch Field work/ Data Collection:</li> <li>1.1.5 Review of documents, reports, supporting materials.</li> <li>1.1.6 Meetings with relevant stakeholders.</li> <li>1.1.7 Finalise questionnaires for primary data collection.</li> <li>1.1.8 Field visits.</li> </ul>	ACILING
<ul> <li>1.1.4 Participate at the initial stakeholder meeting to launch Field work/ Data Collection:</li> <li>1.1.5 Review of documents, reports, supporting materials.</li> <li>1.1.6 Meetings with relevant stakeholders.</li> <li>1.1.7 Finalise questionnaires for primary data collection.</li> <li>1.1.8 Field visits.</li> </ul>	tereise.
Field work/ Data Collection:  1.1.5 Review of documents, reports, supporting materials. 1.1.6 Meetings with relevant stakeholders. 1.1.7 Finalise questionnaires for primary data collection. 1.1.8 Field visits.	the LL process evaluation process.
<ul><li>1.1.6 Meetings with relevant stakeholders.</li><li>1.1.7 Finalise questionnaires for primary data collection.</li><li>1.1.8 Field visits.</li></ul>	22 p200000 0 (anomaton p2000000)
<ul><li>1.1.6 Meetings with relevant stakeholders.</li><li>1.1.7 Finalise questionnaires for primary data collection.</li><li>1.1.8 Field visits.</li></ul>	
<ul><li>1.1.7 Finalise questionnaires for primary data collection.</li><li>1.1.8 Field visits.</li></ul>	
1.1.8 Field visits.	
Data Analysis:	
1.1.9 Undertake data analysis of the qualitative and quantita and data collection processes following the LL theme	
1.1.10 Review of the UNDG ITF programme/ project evaluate	
report as well as World Bank programme evaluations	
operational and development effectiveness at project/	
Preparation/ finalisation of the UNDG LLE document:	-
1.1.11 Preparation of the draft Lessons Learned report.	
1.1.12 Presentation on draft findings/ report to SC and MDTI	

	Steering Committee and GoI.
	1.1.13 Finalisation of the Report based on feedback from all relevant stakeholders.
	1.1.14 Submission of LL report to MDTF/ SCSO.
	1.1.15 Dissemination of the UNDG LLE document (a meeting will be organized in Baghdad to share
	the findings, key lessons learned and recommendations from the LLE).
Procurement	

<b>Funds Committed</b>	USD 937,234.69	% of approved	95%
<b>Funds Disbursed</b>	USD 926,431.26	% of approved	94%
Forecast final date	14 <sup>th</sup> June 2011/ OPERATIONALLY CLOSED	Delay (months)	6 months

Direct Beneficiaries	Number of Beneficiaries	% of planned (current status)
Men	n/a	
Women	n/a	
Children	n/a	
IDPs	n/a	
Others	n/a	
Indirect beneficiaries	By performing an LLE of the ITF arguably the population of Iraq and all beneficiaries of future MDTF will have improved mechanism for coordination of development and humanitarian needs.	
Employment generation (men/women)	n/a	

Quantitative achievements against objectives and results	% of planned	Notes
<b>JP Outcome 1:</b> Strengthened institutions, processes and regulatory frameworks of national and local governance	0	
Output 1.1: GOI and UNCT supported to generate lessons on Development and operational effectiveness of the UNDG-Iraq Trust Fund.	100	
1.1.1: Selection and contracting of Consulting firm.	100	
1.1.2: Inception/ scoping mission for the Lessons Learned Exercise.	100	
1.1.3: Agreement on methodology and detailed work plan.	100	
1.1.4: Participate at the initial stakeholder meeting to launch the LL process evaluation process.	100	
1.1.5: Review of documents, reports, supporting materials	100	
1.1.6: Meetings with relevant stakeholders.	100	
1.1.7: Finalise questionnaires for primary data collection.	100	
1.1.8: Field visits.	100	
1.1.9: Undertake data analysis of the qualitative and quantitative data acquired from the field work and data collection processes following the LL themes and key questions.	100	
1.1.10: Review of the UNDG ITF programme/ project evaluations and the consolidated evaluation report as well as World Bank programme evaluations to distil lessons, case studies on operational and development effectiveness at project/ programme level.	100	
1.1.11: Preparation of the draft Lessons Learned report.	100	
1.1.12: Presentation on draft findings/ report to SC and MDTF, IRFFI Donor Committee, UNDG ITF Steering Committee and GoI.	100	
1.1.13: Finalisation of the Report based on feedback from all relevant stakeholders.	100	
1.1.14: Submission of LL report to MDTF/ SCSO	100	
1.1.15: Dissemination of the UNDG LLE document (a meeting will be organized in Baghdad to share the findings, key lessons learned and recommendations from the LLE).	90	Meeting scheduled for Sept 2011

## Qualitative achievements against objectives and results

The LLE report has been shared with stakeholders, and additional comments and suggestions were taken on board by PWC. The report was finalised, translated and printed.

Future activities beyond the scope now of this project is the presentation of the LLE Report in Baghdad. Preparations have been made, but this will occur in September 2011.

## **Main implementation constraints & challenges (2-3 sentences)**

Scheduling for the delivery of the final report to the GoI and International Community proved challenging, with the moving of various key meetings to accommodate senior representatives. It was agreed to postpone the meeting until September with all project activities completed by 14<sup>th</sup> June 2011.