

Section I: Identification and JP Status The China Culture and Development Partnership Framework

Semester: 2-11

| Country Thematic Window MDGF Atlas Project Program title | China Culture and Development 67155 The China Culture and Development Partnership Framework |
|---|--|
| Report Number | |
| Reporting Period | 2-11 |
| Programme Duration | |
| Official Starting Date | 2008-11-04 |
| Participating UN Organizations | * FAO * ILO * UNDP * UNESCO * UNFPA * UNICEF * UNICEF |

- * UNIDO
- * WHO



Implementing Partners

- * Ministry of Education (MOE)
- * Beijing Cultural Heritage Protection Center (CHP)
- * China Arts and Crafts Association (CA&CA)
- * China International Center for Economic and Technical Exchange (CICETE)
- * China National Museum of Ethnology (CNME)
- * Chinese Academy of Social Sciences (CASS)
- * Institute of Geographical Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences (IGSNRR)
- * Ministry of Agriculture (MOA)
- * Ministry of Commerce (MOFCOM)
- * Ministry of Health (MOH)
- * Ministry of Human Resources and Social Security (MOHRSS)
- * National Commission for UNESCO
- * National Population & Family Planning Commission (NPFPC)
- * State Administration of Cultural Heritage (SACH)
- * State Ethnic Affairs Commission (SEAC)

Budget Summary

| Total Approved | Budget |
|-----------------------|--------|
|-----------------------|--------|

| FAO | \$236,094.00 |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|
| ILO | \$471,206.00 |
| UNDP | \$1,457,190.00 |
| UNESCO | \$1,244,034.00 |
| UNFPA | \$500,152.00 |
| UNICEF | \$1,185,529.00 |
| UNIDO | \$428,321.00 |
| WHO | \$477,474.00 |
| Total | \$6,000,000.00 |
| Total Amount of Transferred To Date | |
| FAO | \$236,094.00 |
| ILO | \$471,206.00 |
| UNDP | \$1,457,190.00 |



| UNESCO | \$1,244,034.00 |
|--------------------------------|----------------|
| UNFPA | \$500,152.00 |
| UNICEF | \$1,185,529.00 |
| UNIDO | \$428,321.00 |
| WHO | \$477,474.00 |
| Total | \$6,000,000.00 |
| Total Budget Commited To Date | |
| FAO | \$201,058.00 |
| ILO | \$471,206.00 |
| UNDP | \$1,457,190.00 |
| UNESCO | \$1,244,034.00 |
| UNFPA | \$500,152.00 |
| UNICEF | \$1,181,669.56 |
| UNIDO | \$413,178.00 |
| WHO | \$477,474.00 |
| Total | \$5,945,961.56 |
| Total Budget Disbursed To Date | |
| FAO | \$201,058.00 |
| ILO | \$469,777.00 |
| UNDP | \$1,457,190.00 |
| UNESCO | \$1,214,022.00 |
| UNFPA | \$497,045.00 |
| UNICEF | \$1,056,981.00 |
| UNIDO | \$410,224.00 |
| WHO | \$477,474.00 |
| Total | \$5,783,771.00 |



Donors

As you can understand, one of the Goals of the MDG-F is to generate interest and attract funding from other donors. In order to be able to report on this goal in 2010, we would require you to advise us if there has been any complementary financing provided for each programme as per following example:

Please use the same format as in the previous section (budget summary) to report figures (example 50,000.11) for fifty thousand US dollars and eleven cents

| Туре | Donor | Total | For 2010 | For 2011 | For 2012 |
|-------------|--|--------------|--------------|-------------|----------|
| Parallel | UNICEF | \$22,000.00 | \$22,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Parallel | ILO | \$8,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$8,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| Cost Share | UNICEF | \$60,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$60,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| Cost Share | UNESCO | \$2,500.00 | \$0.00 | \$2,500.00 | \$0.00 |
| Counterpart | SEAC | \$270,000.00 | \$270,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Counterpart | Education authorities at all levels | \$61,000.00 | \$61,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Counterpart | Health/family planning authorities at county level | \$40,000.00 | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000.00 | \$0.00 |

DEFINITIONS

1) PARALLEL FINANCING – refers to financing activities related to or complementary to the programme but whose funds are NOT channeled through Un agencies. Example: JAICA decides to finance 10 additional seminars to disseminate the objectives of the programme in additional communities.

2) COST SHARING – refers to financing that is channeled through one or more of the UN agencies executing a particular programme. Example: The Government of Italy gives UNESCO the equivalent of US \$ 200,000 to be spent on activities that expand the reach of planned activities and these funds are channeled through UNESCO.

3) COUNTERPART FUNDS - refers to funds provided by one or several government agencies (in kind or in cash) to expand the reach of the programme. These funds may or may not be channeled through a UN agency. Example: The Ministry of Water donates land to build a pilot 'village water treatment plant' The value of the contribution in kind or the amount of local currency contributed (if in cash) must be recalculated in US \$ and the resulting amount(s) is what is reported in the table above.

Beneficiaries

| Beneficiary type | Targetted | Reached | Category of beneficiary | Type of service or goods delivered |
|------------------|-----------|---------|-------------------------|--|
| Direct | 7,089 | 9,379 | Citizens/Men | Awareness raising through workshops, dialogue, information sharing, etc |
| Direct | 9,242 | 9,158 | Citizens/Women | Awareness raising through workshops, dialogue, information sharing, etc |



| Beneficiary type | Targetted | Reached | Category of beneficiary | Type of service or goods delivered |
|------------------|-----------|---------|-------------------------|--|
| Direct | 29 | 16 | National Institutions | Generation of studies, surveys, statistics, cultural mappings |
| Direct | 408 | 239 | Local Institutions | Training and capacity building at the community, institutional, local, national levels |



Section II: JP Progress

1 Narrative on progress, obstacles and contingency Measures

Please provide a brief overall assessment (1000 words) of the extent to which the joint programme components are progressing in relation to expected outcomes and outputs, as well as any measures taken for the sustainability of the joint programme during the reporting period. Please, provide examples if relevant. Try to describe facts avoiding interpretations or personal opinions

Pleases describe three main achievements that the joint programme has had in this reporting period (max 100 words)

CDPF has successfully helped to:

•build capacity of local governments and communities to make consultation processes more inclusive and culturally sensitive;

promote culturally sensitive basic education;

·improve the quality of and increase the uptake of MCH services;

•foster culture-based economic development by promoting cultural tourism and strengthening local crafts sectors;

•address the employment situation of ethnic minorities and the cultural and linguistic obstacles they face;

•contribute to a better understanding and the protection of tangible and intangible cultural heritage, including agricultural heritage.

More than 10,000 members of ethnic minorities in remote counties have directly benefited from participation in the programme.

Progress in outcomes

The inclusion of ethnic minorities has been strengthened in the pilot counties through improved quality of and access to health and education services and building awareness about effective participatory and culture-sensitive programming and non-discrimination in employment. Ethnic minorities have, moreover, been empowered in the management of cultural resources and increasingly benefit from culture-based economic development through cultural mapping, the establishment of local crafts and tourism associations, and the strengthening of artisans, entrepreneurs and villagers in producing and marketing ethnic crafts and cultural tourism products.

Progress in outputs

Governance: A Cultural Impact Assessment Framework has been developed ready to be recommended to the Chinese People's Political Consultative Conference (to be held in March 2012) as a standard assessment exercise for all development projects in minority areas. An easy-to-understand brochure on cultural development has been drafted and is ready to be printed for dissemination among government officials in ethnic minorities areas.

Education: National and provincial workshops were held to share and discuss the results and recommendations of the output's ethnic education policy research with senior policy makers and academia.

Health: A joint endline survey was carried out among more than 3,000 respondents in both project and control counties. Survey results showed the improved access to and uptake of services, increased awareness of MCH knowledge, and improved household practices in MCH as indicated by an increase of hospital delivery rate, antenatal care coverage, and proportion of pregnant women aware of at least three pregnancy-related danger signs, by 31%, 21%, and 6%, respectively. Seven more maternity waiting rooms were established at townships in remote and mountainous areas bringing the total number of established waiting rooms to 12. A qualitative study on socio-cultural factors influencing the uptake of MCH services in ethnic minority areas has been conducted.

Culture-based livelihoods: Promotional tourism brochures have been produced for each pilot village in English and Chinese and disseminated to local ethnic affairs and tourism bureaux. Signage in Chinese and in local languages have been produced for all tourism villages by local communities with financial and technical support provided by the programme. Training in design for new products in embroidery, brocade, textiles, silverware sectors have led to the creation of over 65 new product designs. Market linkages



have been strengthened through the participation of ethnic artisans and entrepreneurs in national and international trade fairs Heritage protection: Ethnic minority communities' have completed the production of their own cultural maps, cultural archives and cultural calendars in 11 villages. Four villages revived local traditional celebrations as a result. The new museum exhibit in one village has been installed and the host community and local government officials trained on museum management and exhibition planning.

Measures taken for the sustainability of the joint programme

The programme had been designed to bring about changes both at the local and national level. Sustainability of programme interventions at the local level has been fostered by building capacity of local stakeholders, including through Training of Trainers, and by ensuring ownership through alignment with local and national development strategies and the use of participatory approaches. Sustainability at the national level has similarly been fostered by closely aligning the programme with national plans and strategies and by strengthening the capacity of national partners through joint programme delivery. Several national and provincial workshops have been held to share programme lessons and policy recommendations with senior decision makers and other key stakeholders, including a programme-wide Concluding Symposium in November 2011. Culture-based development for ethnic minorities has, moreover, been included among the outputs of the new UNDAF in China (2011-2015) and in some Agency country plans and strategies (e.g. UNFPA, UNDP, UNESCO) reflecting the intention of UN Agencies to continue the efforts initiated by the CDPF.

Are there difficulties in the implementation?

Administrative / Financial

What are the causes of these difficulties?

External to the Joint Programme

Briefly describe the current difficulties the Joint Programme is facing

Administrative/Financial: The differing administrative procedures of UN Agencies occasionally impede joint implementation. Partners usually need to sign a contract with each Agency, each with different budgeting and reporting requirements. In addition, different budgeting systems and requirements of UN Agencies and the MDG Achievement Fund overburden UN staff in budget reporting exercises.

Management: Multiple trainings organised by different agencies can overburden receiving local governments and sometimes even beneficiaries.

Briefly describe the current external difficulties that delay implementation

Poor road conditions, particularly in Guizhou, and the existence of several minority languages in the various pilot sites pose a larger challenge than originally envisaged.
 The large distance between Beijing (where UN Agencies and their national partners are located) and the pilot sites complicates the communication, monitoring and supervision work.

3) A programming approach that is sensitive to culture and tradition and that regards diverse cultures as valuable resources needs time to take root.

Explain the actions that are or will be taken to eliminate or mitigate the difficulties

Internal:

- Administrative/financial: This issue has to be addressed primarily at the global level. In the meantime, the UN in China is actively exploring how it can work together more effectively in order to contribute to China's development.

- Management: Closer consultation with counterparts on the training schedule and duration can help reduce the burden on local partners and beneficiaries.

External:



- In light of challenges such as poor transportation and multiple minority languages and given budget limitations, a number of outputs decided to concentrate their resources in selected pilot sites or implement one set of activities in one county and another set in another county to allow for maximum impact.

The development and strengthening of local capacities is progressive and will have to be pursued continuously during the entire program duration and possibly beyond.
 To promote a culture-based approach, the CDPF organizes learning events on culture-based development for UN staff and partner agencies. In addition, UN agencies and their national partners exert considerable efforts to sensitize CDPF stakeholders about the added value of cultural programming and strengthen relevant capacity (for further information see also annual report 2009). UNDP, for example, supported the participation of the National Programme Coordinator and community organizations capacity building expert in training/ exchange activities organized by the UNDP Regional Initiative on Indigenous Peoples' Rights and Development (RIPP) to learn about international policies and practices concerning rights-based inclusive development and to share China's experiences in ethnic affairs administration and culture-based socio-economic development with other developing countries.

2 Inter-Agency Coordination and Delivering as One

Is the joint programme still in line with the UNDAF? Yes true No false

If not, does the joint programme fit the national strategies? Yes No

What types of coordination mechanisms

Within the UN system, the CDPF falls under the working scope of the Theme Group on Poverty and Inequality (UNTGPI) and is co-chaired by the UNESCO Director and Representative in his capacity as Vice Chair of the UNTGPI.

Regular inter-agency meetings, mailing lists and the UN intranet are among the key mechanisms to foster joint planning and information sharing. Joint delivery is facilitated by means of joint missions, joint workshops and training courses, joint baseline surveys and publications, and the joint hiring of consultants. Moreover, a Joint Communication Strategy and Communication Guidelines have been developed.

China is currently implementing four JPs funded by the MDG-F. Supported by the RCO, the respective Programme Coordinators regularly share information and experiences with each other. In addition, the Programme Coordinators regularly meet together with the RCO to discuss and reflect upon cross-cutting issues.

In addition, the CDPF is actively joining hands with other ongoing UN programmes, e.g. the MDGF-funded Joint Programme on Youth, Employment and Migration and the UNDP programme "Poverty Reduction for Ethnic Minorities in China".

Please provide the values for each category of the indicator table below



| Indicators | Bas elin e | Current Value | Means of verification | Collection methods |
|--|------------------|---|--|---|
| Number of managerial practices (financial, procurement, etc) implemented jointly by the UN implementing agencies for MDF-F JPs | 0 | 3 NSC & PMC meetings, 10 inter-agency meetings, incl. 2 learning sessions, 20 output meetings, 9 joint training courses/workshops, 11 joint consultants | Quarterly and annual reports Meeting minutes, training/workshop agendas etc. | Compiling of quarterly and annual reports Compiling of meeting minutes, training/workshop agendas etc. |
| Number of joint analytical work (studies, diagnostic) undertaken jointly by UN implementing agencies for MDG-F JPs | 0 | 5 joint baseline surveys, 1 joint report, 2 joint programme brochure | CDPF publication list | CDPF publication list |
| Number of joint missions undertaken jointly by UN implementing agencies for MDG-F JPs | 0 | 6 joint missions | Quarterly and annual reports | Compiling of quarterly and annual reports |

3 Development Effectiveness: Paris Declaration and Accra Agenda for Action

Are Government and other national implementation partners involved in the implementation of activities and the delivery of outputs?

Not InvolvedfalseSlightly involvedfalseFairly involvedfalseFully involvedtrue

In what kind of decisions and activities is the government involved?

Policy/decision making Management: budget Management: procurement Management: service provision

Who leads and/or chair the PMC? UNESCO and SEAC

Number of meetings with PMC chair

2

Is civil society involved in the implementation of activities and the delivery of outputs?



Not involvedfalseSlightly involvedfalseFairly involvedtrueFully involvedfalse

In what kind of decisions and activities is the civil society involved?

Policy/decision making Management: budget Management: procurement Management: service provision

Are the citizens involved in the implementation of activities and the delivery of outputs?

Not involvedfalseSlightly involvedfalseFairly involvedtrueFully involvedfalse

In what kind of decisions and activities are the citizens involved? Policy/decision making Management: budget

Management: procurement

Where is the joint programme management unit seated? National Government

Current situation

The CDPF is implemented in close partnership between the UN, the Chinese government and other partners. A large number of programme activities are directly implemented by national and local government partners. The remaining activities are implemented by either research institutions or civil society organizations, in consultation and with the full support of relevant government institutions.

Both the Ministry of Commerce (MofCOM) as overall coordinator and the State Ethnic Affairs Commission (SEAC) as lead implementing agency have repeatedly demonstrated their strong commitment to the programme. The SEAC Minister participated, for example, in the launching ceremony and the SEAC Vice Minister participated in the NSC meeting and a joint mission together with the UN PMC Co-Chair. All other participating ministries are very supportive of the programme. They participate in key programme events and the development of Annual Work Plans and provide support in terms of coordination with local line bureaus and national research institutions as well as engagement of appropriate international and national expertise.

Civil society organizations, enterprises and citizens participate in varying forms and degrees depending on the specific nature of each intervention. Citizen participation is, for example, particularly strong in the tourism and governance components where villagers have developed their own village-level tourism plans and discussed them with the county government and tourism experts at a deliberative meeting. Villagers are also the driving force behind the cultural mapping process. Civil society organizations feature prominently



in the health, cultural mapping and crafts development components and enterprises and artisans play a key role in the crafts development component.

4 Communication and Advocacy

Has the JP articulated an advocacy & communication strategy that helps advance its policy objectives and development outcomes?

Yes true false No

Please provide a brief explanation of the objectives, key elements and target audience of this strategy

The strategic goal of the CDPF Communication Strategy is to "accelerate progress on the MDGs by raising awareness, strengthening broad- based support and action and increasing citizen engagement in MDG related policy and practice". Expected key outcomes are: i) Increased awareness and support for the MDGs and the MDG-F both at policy and general public level; ii) Programmes are leveraged for increased MDG results and citizen engagement in MDG-F and MDG processes are strengthened and iii) Improved accountability and transparency towards all partners. To achieve these outcomes, the Strategy targets the following audiences: local governments; media; the public; civil society; academia: and the private sector.

At the programme level, the PMO is responsible for facilitating communication and information sharing between all partners as well as communicating with the public, the media and other donor agencies by e.g. maintaining the programme website, liaising with donors and organizing public events and press conferences. As the programme has entered its final year, increased efforts are being made to share programme experiences with a wider audience. The UN PMC Co-Chair, for example, was interviewed on China Radio International and published an article in China Daily, China's leading English newspaper, on the programme's contribution to China's culture-based ethnic minority development. Moreover, the programme was introduced to international audiences at the UN Forum on Minority Issues in Geneva, at a UN knowledge fair in Vienna and at a regional UNDP workshop in Bangkok.

At the output level, UN agencies and their partners reach out by e.g. publishing survey and research results, engaging with national and local media, conducting training workshops, policy dialogues and health communication, and collaborating with community-based organizations, academic institutions and private enterprises. A documentary film was produced during the reporting period in cooperation with Shanghai TV to highlight the methodology and achievements of cultural mapping.

What concrete gains are the adovacy and communication efforts outlined in the JP and/or national strategy contributing towards achieving?

Increased awareness on MDG related issues amongst citizens and governments Increased dialogue among citizens, civil society, local national government in erlation to development policy and practice New/adopted policy and legislation that advance MDGs and related goals Key moments/events of social mobilization that highlight issues Media outreach and advocacy

What is the number and type of partnerships that have been established amongst different sectors of society to promote the achievement of the MDGs and related qoals?

Faith-based organizations Social networks/coalitions Local citizen groups 9 Private sector 9



Academic institutions 22 Media groups and journalist 10 Other

What outreach activities do the programme implement to ensure that local citizens have adequate access to information on the programme and opportunities to actively participate?

For more information kindly refer to the mini-monitoring report.



Section III: Millenium Development Goals Millenium Development Goals

Additional Narrative Comments

Please provide any relevant information and contributions of the programme to de MDGs, whether at national or local level

Please provide other comments you would like to communicate to the MDG-F Secretariat



Section IV: General Thematic Indicators

1 The development of government policies for the effective management of the country's cultural heritage and tourism sector strengthened and supported

1.1 Number of laws, policies or plans supported by the programme that explicitly aim to mainstream cultural diversity, and strengthen national and local government capacity to support the cultural and tourism sector.

Policies National Local

Laws National Local

Plans National Local

1.2 Please briefly provide some contextual information on the law, policy or plan and the country/municipality where it will be implemented (base line, stage of development and approval, potential impact,):

1.3 Sector in which the law, policy or plan is focused

Comments: Please specify how indicator 1.1 addresses the selected sectors



1.4 Number of citizens and/or institutions directly affected by the law, policy or plan

Citizens Total Urban Rural **National Public Institutions** Total Urban Rural **Local Public Institutions** Total Urban Rural **Private Sector Institutions** Total Urban Rural

1.5 Government budget allocated to cultural and tourism policies or programmes before the implementation of the Joint Programme (annual)

National Budget Local Budget

1.6 Variation (%) in the government budget allocated to cultural and tourism policies or interventions from the beginning of the programme to present time:



National Budget Overall Triggered by the Joint Programme

Local Budget Overall Triggered by the Joint Programme

Comments

2 Building the capacity of the cultural and tourism sector

2.1 Number of institutions and/or individuals with improved capacities through training, equipment and /or knowledge transferred

Public InstitutionsTotal3

Private Sector InstitutionsTotal180

Civil Servants

Total343Women95Men248

2.2 Number of actions/events implemented that promote culture and/or tourism

Cultural events (fairs, etc)Total2Number of participants398

Cultural Infrastructure renovated or built



8 Total Total number of citizens served by the infraestructure created 10000

Tourism infrastructure created 7

Total

Other, Specify Total

2.3 Number and type of mechanisms established with support from the joint programme that serve to document and/or collect statistics on culture and tourism.

Workshops

Total number 8 Number of participants 189 65 Women 124 Men

Statistics

Total 1 National Local

Information systems

1

Total National Local

Cultural heritage inventories

Total 11 National 11 Local

Other, Specify

Total National Local



3 Cultural and tourism potential leveraged for poverty reduction and development

3.1 Number of individuals with improved access to new markets where they can offer cultural and/or touristic services or products

CitizensTotal120Women36Men84% From Ethnic groups100

Tourism service providers

Total Women Men % From Ethnic Groups

Culture professionals

Total20Women5Men15% From Ethnic groups95

Artists

Total Women Men % From Ethnic groups

Artisans

Total100Women30Men70% From Ethnic groups95



Others, specify Total Women Men % From Ethnic Groups

3.2 Based on available data, please indicate the number of individuals or groups supported by the joint programme that have experienced a positive impact on health, security and income

Citizens

Total Women Men % From Ethnic Groups

Culture professionals

Total Women Men % From Ethnic Groups

Artists

Total Women Men % From Ethnic Groups

Cultural industries

Total Women Men % From Ethnic Groups

Artisans

Total Women Men



% From Ethnic Groups

Entrepreneurs

Total 7 Women Men 7 % From Ethnic Group 70

Tourism Industry

Total Women Men % From Ethnic Groups

Others, specify

Total 5815 School children in pilot schools Women 2821 School children in pilot schools Men 2994 School children in pilot schools % From Ethnic Groups 85 School children in pilot schools

3.3 Percentage of the above mentioned beneficiaries that have improved their livelihoods in the following aspects

Income % Of total beneficiaries 30

Basic social services (health, education, etc) % Of total beneficiaries 100

Security % Of total beneficiaries

Others, specify % Of total beneficiaries



3.4 Number of individuals with improved access to cultural services, products and/or infrastructure

Citizens Total Women Men %from Ethnic groups **Culture Professionals** Total 8 Women 5 3 Men %from Ethnic groups 60 Artists Total Women Men %from Ethnic groups Cultural industries Total Women Men %from Ethnic groups Artisans Total 79 Women 31 48 Men %from Ethnic groups 90 Entrepreneurs

Total 14 Women 4 Men 10



%from Ethnic groups 86

Tourism Industry Total

Women Men %from Ethnic groups

Other, Specify Total Women Men %from Ethnic groups

b. Joint Programme M&E framework

his template is the same as the one you will find in the JP documents. We have added 3 columns to provide spaces for baselines of the indicators as well as targets. All the values for indicators in this template are cumulative. This means the past values obtained accumulate (add up over time) as the joint programme gets implemented. We are expecting you to include not only the indicators but the value of these indicators. If you do not provide them, please explain the reason and how you are going to obtain this information for the next reporting period.

CDPF Program Monitoring Framework (revised June 2009 & further modified March 2010²)

| | rolovant to the | | methods | Responsibilities | indicators | (as of 31 Dec 2011) | | |
|---|--|---|--------------------|------------------|------------|---------------------|--|--|
| MDG Goals & indicators relevant to the programme: Goal 1: Eradicate Extreme Poverty Indicator 1. Proportion of population below \$1 (PPP) per day Indicator 2. Poverty gap ratio [incidence x depth of poverty] Indicator 3. Share of poorest quintile in national consumption Indicator 4. Prevalence of underweight children under-five years of age Goal 2: Universal Primary Education Indicator 7. Proportion of pupils starting grade 1 who reach grade 5 Indicator 8. Literacy rate of 15-24 year-olds | | | | | | | | |
| Goal 3: Gender Equality Indicator 9. Ratios of girls Indicator 10. Ratio of litera Indicator 11. Share of wor Goal 4: Reduce Child Mort Indicator 13. Under-five m Indicator 14. Infant morta Indicator 15. Proportion of Goal 5: Improve Maternal Indicator 16. Maternal mo Indicator 17. Proportion of | to boys in primar ate women to me men in wage emp ality nortality rate lity rate 1 year-old childr <u>Health</u> rtality ratio | ry, secondary and ter n, 15-24 years old loyment in the non-a ren immunised agains | gricultural sector | | | | | |

² Upon request by MDG-F Secretariat (email communication from Paula Pelaez dated 13 March 2010)

| | JP Outcome 1: The inclusion of ethnic minorities in cultural, socio-economic and political life strengthened through improved public policies and services. (All of the outputs of Outcome 1 address all the MDGs targeted in this Joint Programme.) | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Governance processes made more inclusive of ethnic minorities and sensitive to culturally based development strategies. | 200 local government officials, local People's Congress or CPPCC officials, community leaders and civil society representatives trained | Outcome reports Training material | Questionnaires, collection of documents, training workshops | UNDP, SEAC | 0 | 865 local government officials, local People's Congress or CPPCC officials, community leaders, civil society and local community representatives trained | | | |
| This component specifically targets MDG 0. Indicators are the number of successful trainings developed and provided. | UNESCO Cultural Diversity Programming Lens Toolkit translated, adapted to the local context, tested and disseminated to minimum of 200 participants at the governance capacity-building workshops | Publication of the diversity lens toolkit in Chinese; Consultation meetings and training workshops evaluation reports; list of participants and meeting/training materials | Field visit, report and data collection | UNESCO, SEAC | Generic UNESCO Cultural Diversity Programming Lens Toolkit available in English | Diversity Lens Toolkit translated and disseminated to 168 workshop participants; Toolkit further adapted and tested based on local feedback Results originally not planned or intended: - Cultural Impact Assessment framework drafted and ready to be proposed to the session of Chinese Political People's Conference in March 2012 by SEAC | | | |
| | At least 2 platforms for exchange on development strategies established | Recorded documents | Regular project progress report | UNDP, SEAC | 0 | Consultation workshop held in Congjiang to discuss issues of local community tourism development and strategies of long-term exchange mechanism | | | |
| 2. Strengthened policy and institutional capacity in developing and implementing culturally sensitive and quality basic education for | At least 60% of ethnic minority children in each of pilot schools fully informed about essential message on child-friendly and culture- sensitive schools | Baseline, field monitoring and interviews, end- line survey | Questionnaires, classroom observation | UNICEF and Ministry of Education | 0 | 100% of ethnic minority children in pilot schools were familiarized with child friendly and culture-sensitive schools through supply provision, including sports kits and library kits (in Mandarin & Tibetan) | | | |

| ethnic minority children. This component addresses MDG 2 and 3. The cited | At least 4 sets of local curricula developed for ethnic minority children living in pilot areas | Documents | Collection of documents | UNICEF and Ministry of Education | 0 | Local curricula outline for ethnic minority children living in pilot areas developed & 3 sets of local curricula finalized |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| indicators specify how these goals will be achieved. | At least 60% of ethnic minority teachers and school principals from pilot schools oriented towards child friendly and culture-sensitive teaching and management | Baseline, field monitoring and end-line survey | Questionnaires; Monitoring tools | UNICEF and Ministry of Education | 0 | 100% of ethnic minority teachers from pilot schools oriented on child friendly and culture-sensitive teaching and learning & 100% of teachers and principals trained on culture-sensitive management. |
| | At least 2 pilot counties developed scaling up plan by the end of project cycle | Documents | Collection of documents | UNICEF and Ministry of Education | 0 | All 3 pilot counties developed and began implementing scaling up plan |
| | 90% of available policies reviewed and analyzed and at least 12 case studies conducted involving both schools and communities | Documents, onsite verification | Desk review, field work | UNESCO MoE (NatCom) | 0 | 90% of available policies reviewed and analyzed and 12 cases studies conducted |
| | At least 20 policy makers as well as 45 local practitioners enabled to use UNESCO's policy recommendations and have participated in capacity building activities on framing and implementing culturally sensitive | Documents | Desk review, checklist | UNESCO MoE (NatCom) | 0 | 20 local education officials and administrators trained on formulating and implementing culturally sensitive education policies for ethnic minority children |

| | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|--|--|--|-----------------------|-----------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| | education policies for ethnic minority | | | | | |
| | children | | | | | |
| 3. Facilitate local adaptation of national MCH policy to assure improved participation in quality of, access to and knowledge and uptake of an | At least 60% of pregnant women in project counties who receive antenatal care at least 4 times (or alternatively ³ 30% increase compared to baseline) | Baseline and end line surveys | Questionnaire | UNICEF UNFPA | Congjiang 39.85% Leishan 43.55% Luxi 71.29% Longchuan 47.06% Gyamda 5.88% Hualong 7.46% Average: 47.9% | Average: 77.7% |
| essential package of evidence-based MCH and FP services and associated practices in ethnic minority areas, acknowledging | At least hospital delivery rate of 80% in project counties (or alternatively ² 30% increase compared to baseline) | Baseline and end line surveys | Questionnaire | UNICEF UNFPA | Congjiang 53.04% Leishan 37.34% Luxi 76.12% Longchuan 79.87% Gyamda 28.2% Hualong 57.85% Average: 60% | Average: 92.7% |
| acknowledging culture and traditional beliefs as key influences on service strategies and uptake prioritized by local administrators, and incorporating | At least 30% of women undertaking exclusive breast feeding of their baby for 6 months (or alternatively ² 50% increase compared to baseline) | Baseline and end line surveys | Questionnaire | UNICEF UNFPA | Congjiang 15.2% Leishan 30.8% Luxi 18.2% Longchuan 4.3% Gyamda 0% Hualong 18.2% Average: 17.5% | Average: 10.9% |
| improvements in human and financial resources, health systems and evaluation systems that specifically focus on the ethnicity of the providers and beneficiaries. | At least 70% of MCH information staff trained on MCH information management system | Training materials, reports, Adapted guidelines | Training workshops | WHO | 45% | 45% |
| This component addresses MDG 4 & 5. The detailed | | | | | | |

³ In counties where the base value is already too close to or exceeding the target value.

| indicators listed show how this will be measured. 4. Inclusion issues of minorities are better addressed through culture- based economic empowerment and | At least 2 counties are able to institutionalize availability of quality data on the situation of ethnic | Validated research reports | Regular reporting | ILO | 0 | Data on the situation of ethnic minorities institutionalised in 4 counties |
|--|--|---|----------------------|-----|---|--|
| non-discrimination. By addressing | minorities in the labour market | | | | | |
| unequal access to employment for ethnic minorities, this component tackles MDG 1 and 3. The | 50 labour officials with increased awareness and understanding of international labour standards and national legislation | Training attendance | Regular reporting | ILO | 0 | 177 labour officials with increased awareness and understanding of international labour standards and national legislation |
| success of this component will be indicated by the numbers of ethnic minorities and especially the female population among these communities who are engaged in new venues of | 100 policy-makers, labour officials, advisors, employers and persons involved in the settlement of labour disputes with an in-depth understanding of the concepts of discrimination and equal opportunity | Survey on attitudes and experiences | Regular reporting | ILO | 0 | 182 stakeholders attended anti-discrimination training |
| employment which can reduce their poverty. | In at least 2 counties a sustainable mechanism developed and implemented which will facilitate information exchange and training on anti- discrimination | Functioning mechanism | Regular reporting | ILO | 0 | Sustainable mechanism established in 4 counties for information exchange and training. |
| | 10 non-pilot sites are using the training materials | Field visits | Regular reporting | ILO | 0 | 21 non-pilot sites are using the training materials |

| | Two policy recommendations produced for local policy makers to decrease cultural and linguistic barriers and increase employment opportunities for ethnic minorities | Research papers and policy recommendations and workshops | Consultative (advisory) meetings | UNESCO, CASS | 0 | Baseline survey reports in 2 pilot counties on cultural and linguistic barriers to employment produced; research findings and recommendations disseminated at the final workshop |
|--|--|---|---|--------------|--|---|
| | thnic minorities en ne Outputs in JP O | | | | nd benefiting from cultur | al-based economic |
| 1. Improved approaches and capacity of ethnic minorities in understanding and protecting cultural (tangible and intangible) capital and ethnic awareness of cultural diversity | Ethnic minority communities' production of own cultural maps in 5 or more villages in Congjiang County | Cultural mapping archives and final publication | Field visit, report and data collection | UNESCO | 0 | Ethnic minority communities' production of own cultural maps ongoing in 11 villages in Congjiang County |
| This component addresses MDG 0, 1 and 3. The strategy centers on community- based involvement and ownership whose success will lead to improved | Production of new exhibit and museum catalogue in 2 or more villages in Congjiang County incorporating results of community-based mapping | Analytical report of museum baseline survey and evaluation reports of museum training workshops; museum catalogue | Field visit and desk review | UNESCO | 0 | A new exhibit installed in 1 village museum in Congjiang County |
| governance and human rights. The component also targets the inclusion of women. The | Master Plan on 1) agro-culture dynamic conservation and 2) alternative industries development | Master plan document; Training/workshop attendance; Publicity/advocacy documents | Monitoring in months 14, 24- 25, 33-34 | FAO | No relevant Master Plan has been developed | Outline of Master plan has been developed |

| resulting community museums will bring increased income to the communities. | 100 local stakeholders trained on conservation and development 6 publications published and at least 2 public information exchange meetings organized advocating agro- culture | | | | 0 | 80 local stakeholders trained on conservation and development 3 publications & 10 articles published; 10 public information meetings organized; 1 documentary & 1 photo exhibition on agriculture heritage produced/organized; 1 agriculture heritage conservation and development exhibition organized |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| 2. Capacity built and examples piloted on using participatory processes in managing minority community resources and | 120 local govt officials, community leaders and civil society representatives trained | Baseline, MTR, end line survey Training material | Questionnaires, collection of documents, Training workshops | UNDP, SEAC | 0 | 1,562 local govt officials, community leaders and civil society representatives trained |
| sustainability leveraging tourism for local livelihoods This component also addresses MDG 0, 1 and 3. The process of developing responsible, community- based tourism models will yield improved governance, | UNESCO Community-Based Tourism Capacity Building Handbook translated and disseminated to minimum of 50 participants at tourism consultations meetings and training workshops as well as 50 community residents | Publication of the toolkit in Chinese, consultation meetings and training workshops evaluation reports, list of participants and meeting/training materials | Field visit, report and data collection | UNESCO, SEAC | Generic UNESCO Community-Based Tourism Capacity Building Handbook available in English | Handbook translated and disseminated to 168 training participants, incl. 73 community residents |
| gender equality and increased community incomes. | Responsible and participatory cultural tourism models at village and cross-village trail piloted (1 | Onsite verification, reports | Field visit, regular progress report | UNDP, UNESCO, SEAC, local tourism administration | 0 | Participatory community tourism planning in 7 villages completed; community-based tourism development initiatives implemented in 7 villages |

| | trail) | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--------------|----|---|
| 3. Culture Based Local Economic Development (LED) and livelihood creation through: (i) provision of entrepreneurship | Number of jobs has increased by 20% in the enterprises supported by the programme, at least 50% of which are held by women | County labour bureau statistics on employment | Included in the annual report | ILO, MOHRSS | 0% | Number of jobs has increased by 52.3% in the enterprises supported by the programme while women's employment has increased by 63% in these enterprises |
| and business development services, and (ii) strengthening policy and institutional environment for ethnic minority arts and crafts sector | Marketability, quality and design of 2 product categories have been improved | Onsite verification, reports, survey | Field visit, data collection local staff recruited | UNIDO, SEAC | 0 | Training in design for new products in embroidery, brocade, textiles, silverware sectors creating over 65 new product designs; market assessment and test conducted through participation in trade fairs |
| This component addresses MDG 1 and 3. The indicators provided all address the number of jobs and businesses | Minimum of 40 businesses/artisans have received Entrepreneurship and Business Development Services (BDS) training | Onsite verification, reports | Field visit, meeting and interviews with counterparts and entrepreneurs | UNIDO, SEAC | 0 | Over 60 artisans/small business owners trained on aspects of business development including marketing, quality and business management |
| to be reached by the trainings in this component, and how this will improve economic opportunities. Women ethnic minorities will be targeted. | Minimum of 100 local stakeholders and craft-workers of ethnic minorities are trained on product development with emphasis on the balance of authenticity, innovation and marketing | Evaluation report, list of participants and training materials of the AWARD of Excellence Training Workshops | Field visit, report and data collection | UNESCO, CACA | 0 | 100 local stakeholders and crafts-workers of ethnic minorities trained on product development with emphasis on the balance of authenticity, innovation and marketing |

| Minimum of 100 stakeholders' understanding of the local situation of artisans and craft industry, with a culturally sensitive approach, is improved to raise awareness among stakeholders on the role of cultural traditions in economic development | Evaluation report, list of participants and training materials of the Participatory Craft Survey Training Workshops and analytical report of the Participatory Craft Survey | Field visit, report and data collection | UNESCO, CACA | 0 | 130 stakeholders' understanding of the local situation of artisans and craft industry, with a culturally sensitive approach, is improved to raise awareness among stakeholders on the role of cultural traditions in economic development; report on participatory craft survey currently being finalized |
|---|---|---|--------------|---|--|
| At least 2 provincial artisan networks and supporting institutions have been established or existing ones strengthened | Baseline and end line survey, consultation | Questionnaire, interview, consultation meeting | UNDP, SEAC | 0 | 2 county-level crafts associations established and strengthened & 1 prefectural- level association currently being established |

c. Joint Programme Results Framework with financial information

This table refers to the cumulative financial progress of the joint programme implementation at the end of the semester. The financial figures from the inception of the programme to date accumulated (including all cumulative yearly disbursements). It is meant to be an update of your Results Framework included in your original programme document. You should provide a table for each output.

Definitions on financial categories

- Total amount planned for the JP: Complete allocated budget for the entire duration of the JP.
- Estimated total amount committed: This category includes all amount committed and disbursed to date.
- **Estimated total amount disbursed**: this category includes only funds disbursed, that have been spent to date.
- **Estimated % delivery rate**: Funds disbursed over funds transferred to date.

JP Outcome 1:The inclusion of ethnic minorities in cultural, socio-economic and political life strengthened through improved public policies and services

| Programme | Activity | | YEA | R | UN AGENCY | RESPONSIBLE PARTY | Esti | mated Implem | entation Prog | ress |
|--|---|----|-----|----|-----------|-------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Outputs | | Y1 | Y2 | Y3 | | National/Local | Total amount Planned for the JP | Estimated Total amount Committed | Estimated Total Amount Disbursed | Estimated % Delivery rate of budget |
| Output 1.1: Governance | 1.1.1. Assess needs, coordinate stakeholders (meetings), invite and arrange for cultural experts. | x | | | UNESCO | SEAC | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 100% |
| processes made more inclusive of ethnic minorities and sensitive to | 1.1.2. Organize 1 training for 4 counties/prefectures (hire trainers and prepare training materials and equipment) for the local stakeholders. | x | x | x | UNESCO | SEAC | 87,072 | 87,072 | 70,000 | 80% |
| culturally based development strategies. | 1.1.3. Prepare draft translation of the toolkit that will fit into the capacity building training, test and adapt before translation. | x | | | UNESCO | SEAC | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 100% |
| | 1.1.4. Revise and adapt toolkit to suit local use after consultations with local stakeholders in training workshops. | | | x | UNESCO | SEAC | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 100% |
| | 1.1.5. Meetings between stakeholders, consultations to check activity progress and effectiveness. | x | x | x | UNESCO | SEAC | 28,000 | 28,000 | 23,000 | 82% |
| | 1.1.6. Partnership building and communication | х | х | Х | UNDP | SEAC, CICETE | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3000 | 100% |

| | 1.1.7.1 Carry out training activities for 50 community and civil society in making and implementing "inclusive policies" 1.1.7.2 Carry out an international training activity for government representatives. The curriculum will | x | x | x | UNDP | SEAC, CICETE | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|--------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| | include: (i) social and economic gains from increase participation and information by minority communities in policy making,(ii) the role of CSOs in bridging the gap between local constituencies and their representatives, (iii) the intrinsic and economic value of cultural assets, and (iv) the mutually supporting role of heritage protection and economic growth. | | | | | | 104,140 | 104,140 | 104,140 | 100% |
| | 1.1.8 Design, establish and test exchange mechanism in one or two pilot counties | х | х | Х | UNDP | SEAC, CICETE | 14,784 | 14,784 | 14,784 | 100% |
| | 1.1.9 Recommendations will be drafted to facilitate the inclusion of cultural minorities in public polices and services. | | x | X | UNDP | SEAC, CICETE | 4,197 | 4,197 | 4,197 | 100% |
| | 1.1.10 Monitoring and evaluation | | | Х | UNDP | SEAC, CICETE | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 100% |
| Output 1.2: Policies and institutionalized capacities in | 1.2.1. Set out baseline on learning and teaching status for ethnic minority children and teachers; | x | x | x | UNICEF | MOE, BNU | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | 100% |
| implementing culturally-sensitive | Conduct field monitoring trips and assessment | | | | | | | | | |
| and quality basic education for ethnic minorities enhanced | 1.2.2. Develop training materials in culturally appropriate languages; Conduct training workshops for teacher trainers and ethnic minority teachers; Promote children's | x | x | x | UNICEF | MOE, BNU | 157,946 | 157,946 | 157,946 | 100% |
| | participation in pilot schools; Provide sports, WASH and library books to remote primary schools | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1.2.3. Develop local curricula | | х | х | UNICEF | | 63,000 | 63,000 | 63,000 | 100% |

| | r | 1 | 1 | | | | | 1 | |
|---|---|---|---|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| guidelines, focusing on user- | | | | | | | | | |
| friendliness, respect for ethnicity and | | | | | | | | | |
| diverse culture and life-skills-oriented; | | | | | MOE, BNU | | | | |
| Develop at least 3 kinds of local | | | | | , - | | | | |
| curricula in culturally appropriate | | | | | | | | | |
| languages, focusing on local arts, | | | | | | | | | |
| safety and emergency preparedness, | | | | | | | | | |
| life skills; Pre-test and evaluate; Print | | | | | | | | | |
| and distribute to pilot schools | | | | | | | | | |
| preparedness, life skills; Pre-test and | | | | | | | | | |
| evaluation; Printing and distribution to | | | | | | | | | |
| pilot schools | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.2.4. Development and | х | х | х | UNICEF | MOE, BNU | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 | 100% |
| implementation of guideline on peer- | | | | | | | | | |
| learning amongst ethnic minority | | | | | | | | | |
| teachers; Regular Workshops on | | | | | | | | | |
| effects of peer-learning and | | | | | | | | | |
| interactive teacher support; Field | | | | | | | | | |
| guidance by provincial teacher | | | | | | | | | |
| trainers. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.2.5. Support of field documentation | | х | х | UNICEF | MOE, BNU | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 100% |
| by external resource persons; | | | | | | | | | |
| Workshops on experience exchange; | | | | | | | | | |
| Seminar on policy impact, replication | | | | | | | | | |
| and sustainability at higher level. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.2.6. Mobile training facilitates | х | х | х | UNICEF | MOE, BNU | 62,000 | 62,000 | 62,000 | 100% |
| including video projectors and vehicles | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.2.7. Documentation and in-depth | | | | | | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 100% |
| review and analysis of all existing | | | | | | -, | -, | -, | |
| education policy documents from the | | | | | | | | | |
| perspective of education for ethnic | Х | | | UNESCO | MOE | | | | |
| minorities – with particular focus on 2 | | | | | | | | | |
| project sites provinces. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.2.8. Preparing case studies and | | | | | | 99,500 | 99,500 | 99,500 | 100% |
| community-based studies to examine | | | | | | 55,500 | 55,500 | 55,500 | 100/0 |
| the actual implementation of | | | | UNESCO | | | | | |
| education policies targeted to | | Х | | | MOE | | | | |
| minority children, identify any barriers | | | | | | | | | |
| and gaps as well as best practices. | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.2.9. Analysis of field data vis-a-vis | | | | | | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 100% |
| national policies to draw policy | | | | | | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 100% |
| | | Х | | UNESCO | MOE | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| | recommendations and identify specific actions for effective implementation of education policies for ethnic minority children | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|--------|--|---------|---------|---------|------|
| | 1.2.10. A national workshop to share the findings, best practices and policy recommendations with policymakers and practitioners. | | | x | UNESCO | MOE | 68,863 | 68,863 | 56,197 | 82% |
| Output 1.3: Facilitate local adaptation of | 1.3.1 Baseline and endline survey conducted | х | | х | UNICEF | MCH Department at MOH, NCWCH | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 100% |
| national MCH policy to assure improved | 1.3.2 Baseline and endline survey conducted | Х | | Х | UNFPA | NCWCH (MOH) and CPDRC (NPFPC) | 37,000 | 37,000 | 37,000 | 100% |
| participation in, quality of, access | 1.3.3. Adaptation of nat. MCH guidelines | | | | UNICEF | MCH Department at MOH, NCWCH | 42,000 | 42,940 | 42,940 | 100% |
| to and knowledge and uptake of an | 1.3.4 Capacity building of local FP providers on MCH and quality of care | х | Х | | UNFPA | CPDRC (NPFPC) | 42,000 | 42,000 | 42,000 | 100% |
| essential package of MCH and FP services and associated practices in ethnic minority areas, | 1.3.5. Training of provincial, county and township level health providers on MCH and health communication strategies using a participatory approach and supply provision | x | x | x | UNICEF | MCH Department at MOH, NCWCH | 513,270 | 513,270 | 513,270 | 100% |
| acknowledging culture and traditional beliefs | 1.3.6. Baseline and endline cultural study conducted | Х | | х | UNFPA | Central University of Minorities | 40,000 | 40,000 | 37,595 | 94% |
| as key influences on service, strategies and uptake, prioritized by local administrators, | 1.3.7 Training and advocacy activities on community based intervention and culturally sensitive service provision (targeting local decision makers and providers) | X | X | X | UNFPA | NCWCH (MOH), CPDRC (NPFPC) and other partners (from academic and NGO sector) | 144,000 | 144,000 | 144,000 | 100% |
| and incorporating improvements in | 1.3.8 Improved household practices | х | х | x | UNICEF | MCH Department at MOH, NCWCH | 91,000 | 91,000 | 91,000 | 100% |
| human and financial resources, health systems | 1.3.9 Health promotion and client education activities locally designed and involving communities | Х | Х | х | UNFPA | NCWCH (MOH) and CPDRC (NPFPC) | 179,430 | 179,430 | 179,430 | 100% |
| management and monitoring and | 1.3.10 Technical assistance on culturally sensitive programming | Х | | | UNFPA | NCWCH (MOH) and CPDRC (NPFPC) | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 100% |
| evaluation systems | 1.3.11 The standard estimated cost of | х | Х | | WHO | MOH/NCWCH | 103,500 | 103,500 | 103,500 | 100% |

| that specifically | MCH/FP essential package . | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--|--------|-----|-------|--------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|------------|----------|
| focus on the | 1.3.12 Integrating MCH service model | Х | х | | WHO | MOH/HUST | 114,000 | 114,000 | 114,000 | 100% |
| ethnicity of the | in rural areas | | | | | | | | | |
| providers and | 1.3.13 Quality of MCH data improved. | х | Х | | WHO | MOH/NMCHSC | 135,000 | 135,000 | 135,000 | 100% |
| beneficiaries. | 1.3.14 M&E framework for MCH | х | х | | WHO | MOH/NCWCH | 111,500 | 111,500 | 111,500 | 100% |
| | service is available. | | | | | | | | | |
| Output 1.4: | 1.4.1 – Build up the knowledge base | х | х | Х | ILO | MOHRSS | 35,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 | 100% |
| Inclusion issues of | on the employment situation of ethnic | | | | | | | | | |
| minorities are | minorities in selected pilot sites | | | | | | | | | |
| better addressed | 1.4.2 – Capacity building for key | х | х | х | ILO | MOHRSS | 74,000 | 74,000 | 74,000 | 100% |
| through culture- | stakeholders to better implement | | | | | | | | | |
| based economic | legislation | | | | | | | | | |
| empowerment and | 1.4.3. Assess needs; review and | х | х | | UNESCO | CASS | 19,000 | 19,000 | 19,000 | 100% |
| non-discrimination | analyse policies and literature on | | | | | | | | | |
| | language barriers in China; select pilot | | | | | | | | | |
| | sites; first-round consultative | | | | | | | | | |
| | meetings, design overall strategies, | | | | | | | | | |
| | build project task force and | | | | | | | | | |
| | partnership with local governments. | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1.4.4. Qualitative research conducted | | х | Х | UNESCO | CASS | 67,500 | 67,500 | 65,400 | 97% |
| | on language and cultural barriers | | | | | | | | | |
| | facing ethnic minorities in the | | | | | | | | | |
| | workplace; analyse field data; produce | | | | | | | | | |
| | policy recommendations; foster | | | | | | | | | |
| | dialogues among governments and | | | | | | | | | |
| | CSOs and individuals. | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1.4.5. Produce final publication/tool | | | Х | UNESCO | CASS | 10,228 | 10228 | 10228 | 100% |
| | kits; | | | | | | | | | |
| | Provide technical support for | | | | | | | | | |
| | knowledge base on the employment | | | | | | | | | |
| | situation of ethnic minorities in | | | | | | | | | |
| | selected pilot sites. | | | | | | | | | |
| JP Outcome 2: | Ethnic minorities empowered | d in I | man | ageme | ent of cultu | ral resources and | benefiting | from cult | ural-based | economic |
| development | | | | | | - | _ | | | |
| Output 2.1: | 2.1.1. Preparation phase: Research | х | | | UNESCO | SACH, GACH | 27,000 | 27,000 | 27,000 | 100% |
| Improved | and planning; partnership building | | | | | | | | | |
| approaches and | with local government/stakeholders. | | | | | | | | | |
| capacity of ethnic | 2.1.2. Ethnic minority communities | х | х | Х | UNESCO | SACH, GACH, CHP | 109,000 | 109,000 | 109,000 | 100% |
| minorities in | production of own cultural maps; | | | | | | | | | |
| understanding and | Creation of new exhibit incorporating | | | | | | | | | |
| protecting cultural | results of community-based mapping; | | | | | | | | | |

| (tangible and | Production of museum catalogue. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|--------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| intangible) capital | 2.1.3.Training/capacity-building of | х | х | х | UNESCO | SACH, GACH, CNME | 54,008 | 54,008 | 50,000 | 93% |
| and ethnic | museum staff; | | | | | | | | | |
| awareness of | Knowledge sharing between museum | | | | | | | | | |
| cultural diversity | staff and ethnic minority community; | | | | | | | | | |
| | Creation of new exhibit incorporating | | | | | | | | | |
| | results of community-based mapping, | | | | | | | | | |
| | knowledge-sharing and training; | | | | | | | | | |
| | Production of museum catalogue. | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.1.4. Monitoring and Reporting | | х | х | UNESCO | SACH, GACH | 28,500 | 28,500 | 28,500 | 100% |
| | 2.1.5 Master Plan development on the | х | х | Х | FAO | CAS | 117,700 | 117,700 | 99,408 | 84% |
| | 1) dynamic conservation of | | | | | | | | | |
| | agricultural heritage systems (GIAHS) | | | | | | | | | |
| | and 2) alternative industries | | | | | | | | | |
| | development | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.1.6 Local workshop on dynamic | х | х | х | FAO | CAS | 64,200 | 64,200 | 64,200 | 100% |
| | conservation and development and | | | | | | | | | |
| | the stakeholder training | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.1.7 Publication and propaganda on | х | х | х | FAO | CAS | 37,450 | 37,450 | 37,450 | 100% |
| | agricultural systems, such as media | | | | | | | | | |
| | publication, information exchange, | | | | | | | | | |
| | etc. | | | | | | | | | |
| Output 2.2: | 2.2.1. Coordinate with local | х | | | UNESCO | SEAC | 11000 | 11000 | 11000 | 100% |
| Capacity built and | stakeholders | | | | | | | | | |
| examples piloted | 2.2.2. Assess needs of local ethnic | Х | | | UNESCO | SEAC | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 100% |
| on using | minority communities and survey the | | | | | | | | | |
| participatory | cultural resources that can be utilized. | | | | | | | | | |
| processes in | 2.2.3. Translate the Community-Based | х | х | Х | UNESCO | SEAC | 102,116 | 102,116 | 102,116 | 100% |
| managing minority | Tourism Capacity Building Handbook | | | | | | | | | |
| community | for the training workshops. | | | | | | | | | |
| resources and | 2.2.4. Discuss with local stakeholders | | | х | UNESCO | SEAC | 31,000 | 31,000 | 31,000 | 100% |
| sustainability | their concerns and development | | | | | | | | | |
| leveraging tourism for local livelihoods | plans. | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.2.5. Monitoring and Evaluation | х | х | х | UNESCO | SEAC | 28,000 | 28,000 | 28,000 | 100% |
| | 2.2.6 Partnership building and | х | х | х | UNDP | SEAC, CICETE | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 100% |
| | communication | | | | | , | , | , | , | |
| | 2.2.7 Carry out a training activity | х | х | Х | | | | | | |
| | which will be focused on tourism | | | | UNDP | SEAC, CICETE | 31,911 | 31,911 | 31,911 | 100% |
| | development and resource | | | | | , , | ,- | ,- | , - | |
| | management | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.2.8 Recommendations to improve | Х | Х | Х | UNDP | SEAC, CICETE | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 100% |

| | the provincial and local tourism strategies will be drafted as an outcome of consultation workshop or roundtables | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------|---|---|------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| | 2.2.9 Responsible cultural tourism models at pilot villages with strong community participation will be designed and established | х | x | Х | UNDP | SEAC, CICETE | 108,198 | 108,198 | 108,198 | 100% |
| | 2.2.10 Monitoring & Evaluation | х | | х | UNDP | SEAC, CICETE | 5,000 | 5,000 | 3,259 | 65% |
| Output 2.3: Culture Based Local | 2.3.1 – Adaptation of training materials | х | | x | ILO | MOHRSS | 136,660 | 136,660 | 136,660 | 100% |
| Economic Development (LED) and livelihood | 2.3.2 – Training of trainers | x x | x | | | | | | | |
| creation through: | 2.3.3 – Training of entrepreneurs | ^ | ^ | | | | | | | |
| (i) provision of entrepreneurship | 2.3.4 – Adaptation of VCD handbook | х | | Х | ILO | MOHRSS | 130,000 | 130,000 | 130,000 | 100% |
| and business development | 2.3.5 – Training of VCD facilitators | х | Х | | | | | | | |
| services, and (ii) | 2.3.6 – Pilot VCD in pilot sites | х | Х | | | | | | | |
| strengthening policy and institutional | 2.3.7 – Review of local business environment | | X | | ILO | MOHRSS | 64,720 | 64,720 | 63,291 | 98% |
| environment for ethnic minority | 2.3.8 – LED policy dialogues | | | х | | | | | | |
| arts and crafts | 2.3.9 – M & E | | х | Х | | | | | | |
| sector | 2.3.10 Partnership building and communication | х | х | х | UNDP | SEAC, CICETE | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 100% |
| | 2.3.11 Carry out training activities to support minority crafts sector. The target trainees will include representatives from local crafts associations and artisan networks. | x | x | X | UNDP | SEAC, CICETE | 37,500 | 37,500 | 37,500 | 100% |
| | 2.3.12 Support/create local non- government minority crafts associations and artisan networks | х | х | Х | UNDP | SEAC, CICETE | 36,284 | 36,284 | 36,284 | 100% |
| | 2.3.13 Design and develop marketing materials; Make plans and necessary preparation for promotional event to be held in 2011. | | x | x | UNDP | SEAC, CICETE | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 100% |

| 2.3.14 Monitoring and evaluation | | | Х | UNDP | SEAC, CICETE | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 100% |
|--|---|---|---|--------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| 2.3.15. Coordinate with local | х | | | UNESCO | CACA | 18,000 | 18,000 | 18,000 | 100% |
| stakeholders, form work team. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.3.16. Provide cultural expert to | Х | | | UNESCO | CACA | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 100% |
| assist in producing the Baseline study | | | | | | | | | |
| results. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.3.17. Provide cultural expert to | х | | | UNESCO | CACA | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 | 100% |
| assist in producing the Market | | | | | | | | | |
| assessment results. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.3.18. Organize 1 training workshop | х | х | Х | UNESCO | CACA | 71,000 | 71,000 | 71,000 | 100% |
| (for 3 counties/prefectures) to | | | | | | | | | |
| introduce the SEAL programme. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.3.19. Organize 1 training workshop | х | х | | UNESCO | CACA | 42,000 | 42,000 | 42,000 | 100% |
| for local stakeholders (from 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| counties/prefectures) on Participatory | | | | | | | | | |
| crafts survey Training. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.3.20. Publish survey results. | х | х | Х | UNESCO | CACA | 33,863 | 33,863 | 33,863 | 100% |
| 2.3.21. Organize 1 consultation | | х | Х | UNESCO | CACA | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 100% |
| workshop to discuss plans, progress | | | | | | | | | |
| and concerns. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.3.22. Provide cultural expert to | | х | Х | UNESCO | CACA | 19,000 | 19,000 | 19,000 | 100% |
| assist in the testing | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.3.23. Discuss among stakeholders on | х | х | x | UNESCO | CACA | 48,000 | 48,000 | 46,720 | 97% |
| the progress and effectiveness of the | | | | | | | | | |
| activities, produce report. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.3.24. Preparatory phase | Х | | | UNIDO | SEAC | 8,560 | 8,560 | 8,560 | 100% |
| 2.3.25. Needs assessment; and | Х | Х | | UNIDO | SEAC | 27,820 | 27,820 | 27,820 | 100% |
| consultations at county level | | | | | | 27,820 | 27,820 | 27,820 | 100% |
| 2.3.26. Market and Product analysis | Х | Х | | UNIDO | SEAC | 121,980 | 121,980 | 121,980 | 100% |
| conducted and disseminated | | | | | | 121,980 | 121,960 | 121,980 | 100% |
| 2.3.27. Design and local adaptation | | Х | | UNIDO | SEAC | F2 F00 | F3 F00 | F3 F00 | 100% |
| including translation | | | | | | 53,500 | 53,500 | 53,500 | 100% |
| 2.3.28. Training of trainers | | Х | | UNIDO | SEAC | 57,780 | 57,780 | 57,780 | 100% |
| 2.3.29. Capacity building for business | Х | Х | Х | UNIDO | SEAC | 117 440 | 110.004 | 40.040 | 250/ |
| support services | | | | | | 117,440 | 110,896 | 40,842 | 35% |
| 2.3.30. Dissemination of results | | 1 | Х | UNIDO | SEAC | 19,600 | 4,457 | 4,457 | 23% |
| 2.3.31. Monitoring and evaluation | Х | Х | Х | UNIDO | SEAC | 21,641 | 21,641 | 18,687 | 86% |