

## PROJECT HALF YEARLY PROGRESS UPDATE

## AS OF JANUARY – JUNE 2013

Project No & Title:	PBF/SSD/E-1 Conflict Prevention Through Access to Water Points (Haffirs and Boreholes)				
<b>Recipient Organization(s)</b> <sup>1</sup> :	United Nations Office for Project Services (UNOPS)				
Implementing Partners (Government, UN agencies, NGOs etc)	PACT (int'l NGO), State Ministry of Physical Infrastructure (Jonglei State); Ministry of Water Resources and Irrigation; County Commissioners Offices (in the target counties)				
Location:	Jonglei State, South Sudan				
Total Approved Budget <sup>2</sup>	\$5,920,352				
Funds Committed <sup>3</sup>	\$5,920,352	% of funds committed / total approved budget:	100% / \$5,920,352		
Expenditure <sup>4</sup> :	\$0	% of expenditure / total budget: (Delivery rate)	0%/\$5,920,352		
Project Approval Date:	11 May 2013	Possible delay in			
Project Start Date:	11 May 2013	operational closure date (Number of months)			
Expected Operational Project Closure Date:	10 May 2015	(Number of months)			
	Outcome Area (from Priority Plan or Project Document):  Number 11. Public service delivery				
PBF Outcome Area <sup>5</sup>					
Qualitative assessment of achievements and challenges	Provide a qualitative assessment of the level of progress towards overall achievements of the Project at both the outcome and output level  The initial community engagement activities for the choice of locations for the water access points (Output 1) cannot begin until the onset of the climatic dry season in Jonglei State – as anticipated in the Project Document. The dry season is not expected to commence until at least January 2014. Thus this output shall not be				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Please note that where there are multiple agencies, only one consolidated project report should be submitted.

<sup>2</sup> Approved budget should be the amount transferred to Recipient Organisations

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Funds committed are defined as the commitments made through legal contracts for services and works according to the financial regulations and procedures of the Recipient Organisations. <sup>2</sup> Actual payments (contracts, services, works) made on commitments.

<sup>5</sup> Reference to be made to outcomes of the Priority Plan or PBF Performance Management Plan (PMP)

fulfilled until at least the next reporting period. This was anticipated in the Project Document.

No other outputs were planned for this reporting period. And given that the project is at the startup phase this reporting period, no progress towards overall achievements has been made at the outcome level.

- Use the project indicators and target for the measuring of achievements (see target table at the end)
- What are the major expected and/or unexpected highlights of results?

As no results have been registered - as anticipated - this reporting period, there are no expected and/or unexpected highlights of results to report.

This reporting period the project office in Bor was selected and staff identified. The counties where these water points are to be constructed were selected by the Jonglei State Governor. The Bill of Quantities and specifications for the haffirs and boreholes were submitted to the Ministry of Water for endorsement. Once endorsement is obtained an Invitation to Bid – the procurement process to select contractors for the physical works – will be published. Procurement activities for project assets are also underway..

- Did the results impact the causes and drivers of conflict?

  As no results have been registered as anticipated this reporting period, no impacts on the causes and drivers of conflict can be measured
  - Were there catalytic effects additional funding commitments or unleashing peace relevant processes?

Mobilization has not yet occurred so no catalytic effects this reporting period

• What are the risks / challenges – and how to address them? Risks and challenges:

Insecurity - seeking alternative sites in coordination with the state government Climatic conditions - tendering and planning during the wet season, stakeholder consultation and construction during the dry season

Contractor capacity – Works packages are divided into three lots. One lot is for the construction of two haffirs, another lot for the other two haffirs and a third lot for all four boreholes. Splitting the works packages in this way mitigates risks related to contractors' capacity. If the contractor for one of the lots to construct haffirs falls behind or defaults, the contractor for the other haffirs lot can take over. All the boreholes works are given to one contractor because a smaller work package for boreholes would not be economically attractive for contractors.

- What can be expected as additional results by the end of year? 4 community consultations and awareness campaigns conducted
  - Is there any need to adjust project strategies?

No, just the project locations (different counties within Jonglei State) in consultation with the state government and in light with prevailing insecurity in the state that makes some of the initial locations impractical

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT: Using the Programme Results Framework from the Project Document - provide an update on the achievement of indicators at both the outcome and output level in the table below. Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, clear explanation should be given explaining why, as well as plans on how and when this data will be collected.

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	Planned Indicator Targets	Targets actually achieved	Reasons for Variance (if any)	Risks
Outcome 1 <sup>6</sup> Water related conflict decreased	Indicator 1.1 The number of violent incidents in target communities	(TBD)	(TBD)	Data collection did not commence during this period – project at the mobilization stage		
	Indicator 1.2 The number of violent incidents along migratory routes where haffirs have been constructed					
Output 1.1 Boreholes Constructed	Indicator 1.1.1 Number of boreholes constructed	0	4	Data collection did not commence during this period – project at the mobilization stage		
Output 1.2 Haffirs Constructed	Indicator 1.2.1 Number of haffirs constructed	0	4	Data collection did not commence during this period – project at the mobilization stage		
Output 1.3 Community employed	Indicator 1.3.1 Number of labour- days created at the	(TBD)	(TBD)	Data collection did not commence during this period – project at the		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Either country relevant (from the Priority Plan or Project Document) or PMP specific.

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	Planned Indicator Targets	Targets actually achieved	Reasons for Variance (if any)	Risks
	construction site (male/female/youth)			mobilization stage	Ţ.	
Outcome 2 The rate of water-related disease decreased	Indicator 2.1 Infection rate for diseases such as diarrhea, intestinal worms and parasitic infection	(TBD)	(TBD)	Data collection did not commence during this period – project at the mobilization stage		
Outcome 3 Access to water improved	Indicator 3.1 Travel time to water source Indicator 3.2 % of population using an improved water source increased	(TBD)	(TBD)	Data collection did not commence during this period – project at the mobilization stage		
Output 3.1 WUCs formulated	Indicator 3.1.1 Number of community consultations and awareness campaigns conducted		4	Data collection did not commence during this period – project at the mobilization stage		
	Indicator 3.1.2 Number of WUCs formulated	0	4	Data collection did not commence during this period – project at the mobilization stage		
Output 3.2 Youth and Female are	Indicator 3.2.1 Number of youth trained	(TBD)	(TBD)	Data collection did not commence during this period – project at the		

	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baselines	Planned Indicator Targets	Targets actually achieved	Reasons for Variance (if any)	Risks
trained				mobilization stage		
	Indicator 3.2.2 Number of female trained	(TBD)	(TBD)	Data collection did not commence during this period – project at the mobilization stage		