

# Section I: Identification and JP Status Supporting Gender Equality and Women's Human Rights in Nation-building of Timor-Leste

Semester: 2-12

Country Timor-Leste

Thematic Window Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment

MDGF Atlas Project

Program title Supporting Gender Equality and Women's Human Rights in Nation-building of

Timor-Leste

Report Number

Reporting Period 2-12

Programme Duration Official Starting Date

Participating UN Organizations

- \* IOM
- \* UNDP
- \* UNFPA
- \* UNICEF
- \* UN Women



Implementing Partners \* Ministry of Education (MOE)

\* Fokupers

\* Fundasuan Alola

\* JSMP

\* Ministry of Finance

\* Ministry of Foreign Affairs

\* Ministry of Health (MOH)

\* Ministry of Social Solidarity

\* Pradet

\* Rede Feto

\* Secretary of State for the Promotion of Equality

# **Budget Summary**

# **Total Approved Budget**

| Total    | \$4,955,000.00 |
|----------|----------------|
| IOM      | \$1,283,716.00 |
| UN Women | \$1,657,394.00 |
| UNICEF   | \$465,450.00   |
| UNFPA    | \$918,445.00   |
| UNDP     | \$629,995.00   |

## **Total Amount of Transferred To Date**

Total \$0.00

**Total Budget Committed To Date** 

Total \$0.00

**Total Budget Disbursed To Date** 

Total \$0.00

## **Donors**

As you can understand, one of the Goals of the MDG-F is to generate interest and attract funding from other donors. In order to be able to report on this goal in 2010, we would require you to advise us if there has been any complementary financing provided for each programme as per following example:



Please use the same format as in the previous section (budget summary) to report figures (example 50,000.11) for fifty thousand US dollars and eleven cents

| Туре | Donor | Total | For 2010 | For 2011 | For 2012 |
|------|-------|-------|----------|----------|----------|
|      |       |       |          |          |          |

#### **DEFINITIONS**

- 1) PARALLEL FINANCING refers to financing activities related to or complementary to the programme but whose funds are NOT channeled through Un agencies. Example: JAICA decides to finance 10 additional seminars to disseminate the objectives of the programme in additional communities.
- 2) COST SHARING refers to financing that is channeled through one or more of the UN agencies executing a particular programme. Example: The Government of Italy gives UNESCO the equivalent of US \$ 200,000 to be spent on activities that expand the reach of planned activities and these funds are channeled through UNESCO.
- 3) COUNTERPART FUNDS refers to funds provided by one or several government agencies (in kind or in cash) to expand the reach of the programme. These funds may or may not be channeled through a UN agency. Example: The Ministry of Water donates land to build a pilot 'village water treatment plant' The value of the contribution in kind or the amount of local currency contributed (if in cash) must be recalculated in US \$ and the resulting amount(s) is what is reported in the table above.

#### **Beneficiaries**

Beneficiary type Targetted Reached Category of beneficiary Type of service or goods delivered



# **Section II: JP Progress**

# 1 Narrative on progress, obstacles and contingency Measures

Please provide a brief overall assessment (1000 words) of the extent to which the joint programme components are progressing in relation to expected outcomes and outputs, as well as any measures taken for the sustainability of the joint programme during the reporting period. Please, provide examples if relevant. Try to describe facts avoiding interpretations or personal opinions

Pleases describe three main achievements that the joint programme has had in this reporting period (max 100 words)

**Progress in outcomes** 

**Progress in outputs** 

Measures taken for the sustainability of the joint programme

Are there difficulties in the implementation?

What are the causes of these difficulties?

Briefly describe the current difficulties the Joint Programme is facing

Briefly describe the current external difficulties that delay implementation

Explain the actions that are or will be taken to eliminate or mitigate the difficulties

# 2 Inter-Agency Coordination and Delivering as One

Is the joint programme still in line with the UNDAF?

Yes

No

If not, does the joint programme fit the national strategies?

Yes

No

What types of coordination mechanisms



#### Please provide the values for each category of the indicator table below

Indicators

Baselin Current Means of Collection
e Value verification methods

Number of managerial practices (financial, procurement, etc) implemented jointly by the UN implementing agencies for MDF-F JPs

Number of joint analytical work (studies, diagnostic) undertaken jointly by UN implementing agencies for MDG-F JPs

Number of joint missions undertaken jointly by UN implementing agencies for MDG-F JPs

# 3 Development Effectiveness: Paris Declaration and Accra Agenda for Action

Are Government and other national implementation partners involved in the implementation of activities and the delivery of outputs?

Not Involved Slightly involved Fairly involved Fully involved

In what kind of decisions and activities is the government involved?

Who leads and/or chair the PMC?

Number of meetings with PMC chair

Is civil society involved in the implementation of activities and the delivery of outputs?

Not involved Slightly involved Fairly involved Fully involved

In what kind of decisions and activities is the civil society involved?

Are the citizens involved in the implementation of activities and the delivery of outputs?

Not involved Slightly involved Fairly involved



Fully involved

In what kind of decisions and activities are the citizens involved?

Where is the joint programme management unit seated?

**Current situation** 

# **4 Communication and Advocacy**

Has the JP articulated an advocacy & communication strategy that helps advance its policy objectives and development outcomes? Yes
No

Please provide a brief explanation of the objectives, key elements and target audience of this strategy

What concrete gains are the adovacy and communication efforts outlined in the JP and/or national strategy contributing towards achieving?

What is the number and type of partnerships that have been established amongst different sectors of society to promote the achievement of the MDGs and related goals?

Faith-based organizations
Social networks/coalitions
Local citizen groups
Private sector
Academic institutions
Media groups and journalist
Other

What outreach activities do the programme implement to ensure that local citizens have adequate access to information on the programme and opportunities to actively participate?



# **Section III: Millenium Development Goals Millenium Development Goals**

# **Additional Narrative Comments**

Please provide any relevant information and contributions of the programme to de MDGs, whether at national or local level

Please provide other comments you would like to communicate to the MDG-F Secretariat



# **Section IV: General Thematic Indicators**

| 1 Mainstreaming gender in p | olicy making | and improving th | ne legal system to | recognize and | guarantee the | rights of women |
|-----------------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 00 1                        | , ,          |                  |                    | <u> </u>      | 0             | <u> </u>        |

1.1 Number of laws, policies or plans supported by the programme that explicitly address gender based discrimination and promote gender equality and women's empowerment.

#### **Policies**

No. National No. Local

#### Laws

No. National No. Local

#### **Plans**

No. National No. Local

# 1.2 Are they in line with international commitments adopted by the country (particularly CEDAW)? Please, specify:

Please briefly provide some contextual information on the law, policy or plan and the country/municipality where is going to be implemented (base line, stage of development and approval, potential impact of the policy)

1.3 Sector in which the law, policy or plan focuses:

**Comments** 



1.4 Government Budget allocated to gender equality policies or programmes before the implementation of the Joint Programme

## **National Budget**

Total

# **Local Budget**

Total

1.5 % variation in the Government's budget devoted to gender equality policies or programmes from the beginning of the joint programme to present time

## **National Budget**

% Overall

% Triggered by the Joint Programme

## **Local Budget**

% Overall

% Triggered by the Joint Programme

1.6 Number of citizens and/or institutions the law policy or plan directly affect

Citizens
National Public Institutions
Local Public Institutions
Private Institutions

1.7 Number of institutions, civil servants and citizens trained with the support of the Joint Programme to take informed decisions on gender related issues



#### **Public institutions**

Total

## **Private Sector Institutions**

Total

## **Civil Servants**

Total Women Men

#### Citizens

Total Women Men

2 Improving participation of women in economic life and public decision making of their community and/or country

# **Budget**

National budget Total Local budget

Number of women empowered and/or trained with the support of the joint programme who gained access and/or improved their economic rights

No. women No. urban % Ethnic group Specify

Number of women empowered and/or trained with the support of the joint programme who improved their income

Type of improvements generated by the Joint Programme on the beneficiaries' wellbeing through the improvement of economic rights/income generation

2.1 Number of women empowered and/or trained with the support of the joint programme who gained access and/or improved their economic rights



Women Urban Ethnic group Rural

| 2.2 Number of women em | powered and/or trained | with the support of | of the joint program | nme who improved their income |
|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
|                        |                        |                     |                      |                               |

Women Urban Ethnic Group Rural

2.3 Type of improvements generated by the Joint Programme on the beneficiaries' wellbeing through the improvement of economic rights/income generation

#### Comments

2.4 Number of women who, gained access to public decision making with the support of the joint programme

Total number Urban % Ethnic group National % Local

3 Decreasing the level of violence against women/girls and improving support provided to victims of violence





Total Women Girls Urban Rural/indigenous

3.2 Number of women/girls who have used anti-violence services (e.g. shelter, medical or legal support, etc), anti-discrimination and/or reproductive health care with the support of the joint programme

Total Women Girls Urban Rural/Indigenous

- 3.3 Variation (%) of gender based violence cases reported to the police from the beginning of the Joint Programme to present time
- 4 Awareness rising on gender equality issues and enabling an environment for women exercising their rights
- 4.1 Number and type of partners targeted sensitized on gender related issues



Civil servants
Private institutions
Community organizations
Religious leaders
Other, specify

No. National Level No. Local Level

4.2 Indicate the type of media /awareness raising action used