#### **RUNO ANNUAL PROJECT REPORT**

#### **TEMPLATE 4.4**





## PEACEBUILDING FUND (PBF) ANNUAL PROJECT PROGRESS REPORT **COUNTRY:** Yemen **REPORTING PERIOD: 1 JANUARY – 31 DECEMBER 2014**

### **Programme Title & Project Number**

Programme Title: Enhance trust between security institutions and the general population

Programme Number (if applicable)

MPTF Office Project Reference Number: 00091371

| Recipient UN C   | rganizations     | Impleme  |
|--|------------------|--|
| List the organizations that have the MPTF Office under this prog                             |                  | List the national counter<br>NGOs & others) and oth<br>Organizations: Nation<br>of Interior, Ministry of |
| Programme/Proje  | ct Budget (US\$) | Progran  |
| PBF contribution (by RUNO) USD 1, 000.000  |                  | Overall Duration (month months  Start Date <sup>2</sup> (dd.mm.yyyy 2014                                 |
| Government Contribution (if applicable) n/a Other Contributions (donors) (if applicable) n/a |                  | Original End Date <sup>3</sup> (dd  Current End date <sup>4</sup> (dd.m  July 2016                       |
| TOTAL:   | USD 1, 000.000   |  |

## nting Partners

rparts (government, private, ner International al Policy Academy, Ministry Human Rights, NGOs.

#### nme Duration

hs) 24

) 25 July

mm.yyyy)

May 2016

m.yyyy)

## Programme Assessment/Review/Mid-Term Eval.

Assessment/Review - if applicable please attach

Yes No Date:

Mid-Term Evaluation Report – if applicable please attach

Yes ☐ No Date:

#### **Report Submitted By**

Name: Arbena Kuriu

Title: Human Rights Officer

Participating Organization (Lead): OHCHR

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The MPTF Office Project Reference Number is the same number as the one on the Notification message. It is also referred to

<sup>&</sup>quot;Project ID" on the MPTF Office GATEWAY

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The start date is the date of the first transfer of the funds from the MPTF Office as Administrative Agent. Transfer date is available on the MPTF Office GATEWAY

As per approval of the original project document by the relevant decision-making body/Steering Committee.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> If there has been an extension, then the revised, approved end date should be reflected here. If there has been no extension approved, then the current end date is the same as the original end date. The end date is the same as the operational closure date which is when all activities for which a Participating Organization is responsible under an approved MPTF / JP have been completed.

|  | Email address: akuriu@ohchr.org |
|--|---------------------------------|
|--|---------------------------------|

## **PART 1 – RESULTS PROGRESS**

#### 1.1 Assessment of the current project implementation status and results

# For PRF projects, please identify Priority Plan outcome and indicators to which this project is contributing:

*Priority Plan Outcome to which the project is contributing.* Institutional frameworks address long-standing grievances and lay the basis for accountable institutions that respect and protect human rights.

#### Priority Plan Outcome indicator(s) to which project is contributing.

Indicator 4: Percentage of amendments of the national legislation governing the security sector that comply with international human rights standards proposed by policy makers.

Indicator 5: Percentage of target population with positive perceptions of the roles of law enforcement authorities (disaggregated by target versus non-target districts, gender, age)

Indicator 6: Percentage of claims of human rights violations committed by law enforcement authorities are positively responded to (disaggregated by gender and age).

For both IRF and PRF projects, please rate this project's overall achievement of results to date: on track

For both IRF and PRF projects, outline progress against each project outcome, using the format below. The space in the template allows for up to four project outcomes.

<u>Outcome Statement 1:</u> Authorities in Yemen have enacted a legislative framework and established institutional mechanisms for law enforcement in compliance with international human rights standards

### Rate the current status of the outcome: on track

| Indicator 1:   | Baseline: None  |
|--|---|
| Number of amendments to the national legislation comply with international human rights standards.  Indicator 2: | Target: At least 5 Progress: During the reporting period there was some progress with regard to the drafting of the Constitution which includes a chapter on Rights Freedoms. |
| Indicator 3:   | Baseline:<br>Target:<br>Progress:   |
|  | Baseline: Target: Progress:   |

#### **Output progress**

List the key outputs achieved under this Outcome in the reporting period (1000 character limit). Outputs are the immediate deliverables for a project.

1. Yemeni policy makers have increased capacity to harmonise the legislative framework with international human rights standards and best practices.

During the reporting period, OHCHR organised consultations with policy makers to discuss areas of intervention. In consultation with counterparts, Terms of References were drafted for a consultancy to provide technical assistance in the area of security sector legislative reform and standard setting. Technical assistance was provided to the constitutional drafting process in order to develop the Bill of Rights and integrate international human rights standards and principles throughout the draft Constitution which will set the basis for the comprehensive legislative reform including in the security sector.

2. Yemeni authorities have established necessary monitoring and complaint mechanisms to ensure implementation of the amended legislation on law enforcement, compliant with international human rights standards.

n/a

#### **Outcome progress**

Describe progress made during the reporting period toward the achievement of this outcome. This analysis should reflect the above indicator progress and the output achievement. Is there evidence of the outcome contributing to peacebuilding and to the specific conflict triggers? Is the theory of change that underpins the project design still relevant for this outcome (3000 character limit)?

During the reporting period the main national efforts were focused towards the drafting of the new Constitution. The initial versions of the draft produced by the Constitutional Drafting Committee (CDC) integrated international human rights standards including in the area of security sector to some degree. Continuous technical support will be provided in order to ensure that highest possible human rights standards and principles are integrated. The Constitution will serve as the basis for amendment of the legislative framework and policies which govern the security sector. The process of drafting the Constitution contributes to the peacebuilding as the members of CDC have the support of various parties involved in hostilities.

#### Reasons for low achievement and rectifying measures

If sufficient progress is not being made, what are the key reasons, bottlenecks and challenges? Were these foreseen in the risk matrix? How are they being addressed and what will be the rectifying measures (1500 character limit)?

<u>Outcome Statement 2:</u> Law enforcement authorities increasingly carry out their duties in compliance with international human rights standards.

#### Rate the current status of the outcome: on track

| Indicator 1:                                 | Baseline: Not known                  |
|--|--------------------------------------|
|  | Target: 30 %                         |
| Percentage of human rights claims to the MoI | Progress:Baseline not determined yet |
| positively responded to.                     |                                      |
|  | Baseline:                            |
| Indicator 2:                                 | Target:                              |

|              | Progress:                         |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|
| Indicator 3: | Baseline:<br>Target:<br>Progress: |

#### **Output progress**

List the key outputs achieved under this Outcome in the reporting period (1000 character limit). Outputs are the immediate deliverables for a project.

- 1. The Ministry of Interior has adopted a gender sensitive Code of Conduct on roles and responsibilities of law enforcement authorities and a Training of Trainers programme is formalized and implemented:
- -Consultations took place with the Ministry of Interior Department of Human Rights to draft the Terms of Reference for a consultancy on development of Codes of Conduct and training curricula.
- 2. A pilot programme on a comprehensive human rights curriculum at the National Training Academies on law enforcement is adopted.
- Consultations with the National Policy Academy took place to discuss the needs and priorities for the development of the training curricula on human rights.
- 3. A communication mechanism between law enforcement authorities and the general public is established and functional.
- n/a
- 4. Responsible officials in the MoI are aware of human rights violations committed by the police.
- A team of human rights monitors was recruited and started conducting HR monitoring.

#### **Outcome progress**

Describe progress made during the reporting period toward the achievement of this outcome. This analysis should reflect the above indicator progress and the output achievement. Is there evidence of the outcome contributing to peacebuilding and to the specific conflict triggers? Is the theory of change that underpins the project design still relevant for this outcome (3000 character limit)?

The Peace and Partnership Agreement, signed between Al-Houthi and other political parties following the Al-Houthi military breakthrough in the capital Sana'a, referred to reforms in the security sector.

The human rights monitoring system which was established by OHCHR will help develop the baseline and evidence-based interventions with regards to the capacity of law enforcement authorities to prevent human rights violations and undertake corrective measures when violations occur.

## Reasons for low achievement and rectifying measures

If sufficient progress is not being made, what are the key reasons, bottlenecks and challenges? Were these foreseen in the risk matrix? How are they being addressed and what will be the rectifying measures (1500 character limit)?

## **Outcome Statement 3:**

#### Rate the current status of the outcome: Please select one

| Indicator 1: | Baseline:<br>Target:<br>Progress: |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|
| Indicator 2: | Baseline:<br>Target:<br>Progress: |
| Indicator 3: | Baseline: Target: Progress:       |

#### **Output progress**

List the key outputs achieved under this Outcome in the reporting period (1000 character limit). Outputs are the immediate deliverables for a project.

#### **Outcome progress**

Describe progress made during the reporting period toward the achievement of this outcome. This analysis should reflect the above indicator progress and the output achievement. Is there evidence of the outcome contributing to peacebuilding and to the specific conflict triggers? Is the theory of change that underpins the project design still relevant for this outcome (3000 character limit)?

#### Reasons for low achievement and rectifying measures

If sufficient progress is not being made, what are the key reasons, bottlenecks and challenges? Were these foreseen in the risk matrix? How are they being addressed and what will be the rectifying measures (1500 character limit)?

## **Outcome Statement 4:**

#### Rate the current status of the outcome: Please select one

| Indicator 1: | Baseline:<br>Target:<br>Progress: |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|
| Indicator 2: | Baseline:<br>Target:<br>Progress: |
| Indicator 3: | Baseline:<br>Target:<br>Progress: |

## **Output progress**

List the key outputs achieved under this Outcome in the reporting period (1000 character limit). Outputs are the immediate deliverables for a project.

#### **Outcome progress**

Describe progress made during the reporting period toward the achievement of this outcome. This analysis should reflect the above indicator progress and the output achievement. Is there evidence of the outcome contributing to peacebuilding and to the specific conflict triggers? Is the theory of change that underpins the project design still relevant for this outcome (3000 character limit)?

#### Reasons for low achievement and rectifying measures

If sufficient progress is not being made, what are the key reasons, bottlenecks and challenges? Were these foreseen in the risk matrix? How are they being addressed and what will be the rectifying measures (1500 character limit)?

## 1.2 Assessment of project evidence base, risk, catalytic effects, gender in the reporting period

| Evidence base: What is the                 |  |
|--|--|
| evidence base for this report and          |  |
| for project progress? What                 |  |
| consultation/validation process has        |  |
| taken place on this report (1000           |  |
| character limit)?                          |  |
| Funding gaps: Did the project fill         |  |
| critical funding gaps in                   |  |
| peacebuilding in the country?              |  |
| Briefly describe. (1500 character limit)   |  |
| <u>Catalytic effects</u> : Did the project |  |
| achieve any catalytic effects, either      |  |
| through attracting additional              |  |
| funding commitments or creating            |  |
| immediate conditions to unblock/           |  |
| accelerate peace relevant                  |  |
| processes? Briefly describe. (1500         |  |
| character limit)                           |  |
| Risk taking/innovation: Did the            |  |
| project support any innovative or          |  |
| risky activities to achieve                |  |
| peacebuilding results? What were           |  |
| they and what was the result? (1500        |  |
| character limit)                           |  |
| Gender: How have gender                    |  |
| considerations been mainstreamed           |  |

| in the project to the extent possible? Is the original gender marker for the project still the right one? Briefly justify. (1500 character limit) |  |
|---|--|
| Other issues: Are there any other   |  |
| issues concerning project   |  |
| implementation that should be   |  |
| shared with PBSO? This can  |  |
| include any cross-cutting issues or   |  |
| other issues which have not been  |  |
| included in the report so far. (1500  |  |
| character limit)  |  |

#### PART 2: LESSONS LEARNED AND SUCCESS STORY

#### 2.1 Lessons learned

Provide at least three key lessons learned from the implementation of the project. These can include lessons on the themes supported by the project or the project processes and management.

| Lesson 1 (1000   |  |
|------------------|--|
| character limit) |  |
| Lesson 2 (1000   |  |
| character limit) |  |
| Lesson 3 (1000   |  |
| character limit) |  |
| Lesson 4 (1000   |  |
| character limit) |  |
| Lesson 5 (1000   |  |
| character limit) |  |

## 2.2 Success story (OPTIONAL)

Provide one success story from the project implementation which can be shared on the PBSO website and Newsletter as well as the Annual Report on Fund performance. Please include key facts and figures and any citations (3000 character limit).

#### PART 3 - FINANCIAL PROGRESS AND MANAGEMENT ARRANGEMENTS

## 3.1 Comments on the overall state of financial expenditure

Please rate whether project financial expenditures are on track, slightly delayed, or off track: on track

If expenditure is delayed or off track, please provide a brief explanation (500 characters maximum):

Please provide an overview of expensed project budget by outcome and output as per the table below.5

| Output     | Output name |       | Approved | Expensed | Any remarks on |
|------------|-------------|-------|----------|----------|----------------|
| number     |             | RUNOs | budget   | budget   | expenditure    |
| Outcome 1: |             |       |          |          |                |
| Output 1.1 |             |       |          |          |                |
| Output 1.2 |             |       |          |          |                |
| Output 1.3 |             |       |          |          |                |
| Outcome 2: |             |       |          |          |                |
| Output 2.1 |             |       |          |          |                |
| Output 2.2 |             |       |          |          |                |
| Output 2.3 |             |       |          |          |                |
| Outcome 3: |             |       |          |          |                |
| Output 3.1 |             |       |          |          |                |
| Output 3.2 |             |       |          |          |                |
| Output 3.3 |             |       |          |          |                |
| Outcome 4: |             |       |          |          |                |
| Output 4.1 |             |       |          |          |                |
| Output 4.2 |             |       |          |          |                |
| Output 4.3 |             |       |          |          |                |
| Total:     |             |       |          |          |                |

## 3.2 Comments on management and implementation arrangements

Please comment on the management and implementation arrangements for the project, such as: the effectiveness of the implementation partnerships, coordination/coherence with other projects, any South-South cooperation, the modalities of support, any capacity building aspect, the use of partner country systems if any, the support by the PBF Secretariat and oversight by the Joint Steering Committee (for PRF only). Please also mention if there have been any changes to the project (what kind and when); or whether any changes are envisaged in the near future (2000 character maximum):

\_

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>$  Please note that financial information is preliminary pending submission of annual financial report to the Administrative Agent.