PRF – PROJECT EXTENSION/ BUDGET RE-ALLOCATION WITH NO COST IMPLICATIONS TEMPLATE 3.5





PEACEBUILDING FUND Project Extension/ Budget Re-allocation with No Cost Implications¹

Project Title: Peacebuilding Secretariat	Recipient UN Organization(s): UNDP		
Project Contact: Anne Nyambura Address: UNDP Country Office, Juba, South Sudan Telephone: +211 955 059 427 E-mail: anne.nyambura@undp.org	Implementing Partner(s) – name & type (Government, CSO, etc):		
Project Number: 00086513 Use project number provided by UNDP MPTF Office	Project Location: Juba		
Project Description: The PBF Secretariat provides support to the Steering Committee. A support officer will be recruited to support the Head of the SSRF/PBF Secretariat in managing the PBF. The cost for monitoring and evaluation at the fund level and for hiring a Support Officer are considered as direct cost and covered from the PBF allocation of USD 10 million to South Sudan.	Total PBF project budget: \$ 1,200,000 Any non-PBF project contribution: None Total project budget: \$ 1,200,000 Project Start Date: 11 th May 2013 Initial Project End Date: 31 st May 2015 Revised End Date (if applicable): 30 th November 2016		

¹ Please use this form if there is a no-cost extension with no substantive effect of project results OR if there is a within-the-budget re-allocation of funds, affecting more than 15% of any budget category. This form does not need to be accompanied by a Transmittal Form (template 3.3). However, within 3 months of the proposed change, there should be JSC minutes indicating non-objection to the change by the JSC. The form and the minutes by JSC need to be submitted to MPTF and PBSO for information.

Gender Marker Score²:

4,6

Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective.

Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective.

Score I for projects that will contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly.

Score 0 for projects that are not expected to contribute noticeably to gender equality.

Project Outcomes: The project will ensure high-quality secretariat support including monitoring and evaluation at the fund level to the PBF Steering Committee and recipient UN Agencies.

PBF Focus Area³ which best summarizes the focus of the project (*select one*): Governance of peacebuilding resources

(for PRF-funded projects)					
Recipient UN Organization(s) (include one signature box per RUNO)	National Government counterpart				
Name of Representative Balazs Horvath Signature Name of Agency UNDP Date & Seal	Name of Government Counterpart Mary Jervase Signature Title Date & Seal				
UNITED NATIONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME UN SOUTH SUDAN South Studen	2 0 AUG 2015 Deputy Minister * Planning MOFEP MOFEP SOUTH SUDAN				

² PBSO monitors the inclusion of gender equality and women's empowerment all PBF projects, in line with SC Resolutions 1325, 1888, 1889, 1960 and 2122, and as mandated by the Secretary-General in his <u>Seven-Point Action Plan on Gender Responsive Peacebuilding</u>.

³ PBF focus areas:

^{1:} Support the implementation of peace agreements and political dialogue (Priority Area 1):

^(1.1) SSR, (1.2) RoL; (1.3) DDR; (1.4) Political Dialogue;

^{2:} Promote coexistence and peaceful resolution of conflicts (Priority Area 2):

^(2.1) National reconciliation; (2.1) Democratic Governance; (2.3) Conflict prevention/management;

^{3:} Revitalise the economy and generate immediate peace dividends (Priority Area 3);

^(3.1) Employment; (3.2) Equitable access to social services

^{4) (}Re)-establish essential administrative services (Priority Area 4)

^(4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity; (4.2) extension of state authority/local administration; (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including JSC/PBF Secretariats)

PRF – PROJECT EXTENSION/ BUDGET RE-ALLOCATION WITH NO COST IMPLICATIONS TEMPLATE 3.5

Table of contents:

Length: Max. 5 pages

- I. Reason for changes to the project and justification
- a) Nature of change and justification
- II. Budget impact
- a) Budget revision

I. Reason for changes to the project and justification

- a) Nature of change and justification: This section outlines the nature of the revision being sought and the justification for the change.
 - 1. The Peacebuilding Priority Plan for South Sudan expired on 31st May 2015. The Secretariat has made a follow up with the PBSO and been granted an interim extension to the 15th of September for agencies to continue on with activities. There is therefore the need to extend the both the Peacebuilding Priority Plan in order for partner agencies to complete their activities.
 - 2. Three of the PRF partners namely UNICEF, UNIDO/ILO and UNOPS have requested for no cost extensions to December 2016 for the first two and 31st August 2016 for the latter.
 - 3. The Secretariat will need to ensure high quality support including monitoring and evaluation at the fund level to the PBF Steering Committee and recipient UN Agencies during their period of activities.
 - 4. The Secretariat will also be required to compile bi-annual and annual reports for the Steering Committee and the PBSO during this time of the RUNOs active projects.

II. Budget impact

There is no budget revision proposed.

a) Budget revision:

Table 1: Project Activity Budget

Outcome 1: Overs	ght, Coordination, monit	oring and technical s	upport provided by the Technical
Secretariat to the S	teering Committee and th	ne recipient UN Ager	ncies.
Output number	Output name	Output budget	Any remarks (e.g. on types of inputs provided or budget justification)
Output 1.1	All Steering Committee decisions	\$ 600,000	Staff and other personnel
	implemented and quality assurance and compliance provided for the programmes.	\$ 21, 495	Supplies, Commodities, Materials (includes: Office stationary, airtime, fuel, vehicle maintenance)
Output 1,2	Effective support is given to the UN Agencies		
Output 1.3	Monitoring and Evaluation of UN	\$ 500,000	Travel: Project site visits/Monitoring and

PRF – PROJECT EXTENSION/ BUDGET RE-ALLOCATION WITH NO COST IMPLICATIONS TEMPLATE 3.5 $\,$

	Secretariat/SC/donors/Getc.)	FoSS
Outcome 2:		
Output 2.1		
Output 2.2		
Output 2.3		•
Etc		•
TOTAL	\$ 1,121,495	

Table 2: Project budget by UN categories by RUNO

PBF PROJECT BUDGET			
CATEGORIES	AMOUNT		
1. Staff and other personnel	600,000		
Supplies, Commodities, Materials (includes: Office stationary, airtime, fuel, vehicle maintenance)	21,495		
3. Equipment, Vehicles, and Furniture (including Depreciation)	-		
4. Contractual services	0		
5. Travel: Project site visits/Monitoring and Evaluation/assets hand- over trips (including Secretariat/SC/donors/GoSS etc.)	500,000		
6. Transfers and Grants to Counterparts	0		
7. General Operating and other Direct Costs	0		
Sub-Total Project Costs			
8. Indirect Support Costs**	78,505		
TOTAL	1,200,000		

^{*} The rate shall not exceed 7% of the total of categories 1-7, as specified in the PBF MOU and should follow the rules and guidelines of each recipient organization. Note that Agency-incurred direct project implementation costs should be charged to the relevant budget line, according to the Agency's regulations, rules and procedures.