

# Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report



**PEACEBUILDING  
FUND** 

## PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to [keshni.makoond@un.org](mailto:keshni.makoond@un.org)

Click Next below to start

### » Report Submission

Type of report \*

Semi-annual

Annual

Final

Other

Date of submission of report \*

2022-07-07

Name and Title of Person submitting the report \*

**Evans Binan Dami (Mental Health and Psychosocial Support Officer, IOM The Gambia)**

Name and Title of Person who approved the report \*

**Fumiko Nagano (Chief of Mission, IOM The Gambia)**

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? \*

yes

no

Did PBF Secretariat review the report? \*

*If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.*

yes

no

Not Applicable

### » Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? \*

yes  no

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

Asia and the Pacific       Central & Southern Africa       East Africa  
 Europe and Central Asia       Global       Latin America and the Caribbean  
 Middle East and North Africa       West Africa

Country of project implementation \*

Benin       Burkina Faso       Cote D'Ivoire  
 Gambia       Guinea       Guinea-Bissau  
 Liberia       Mali       Mauritania  
 Niger       Nigeria       Senegal  
 Sierra Leone       Togo       Other, Specify

Other, please specify \*

---

Project Title \*

00113367: Addressing conflict over land and natural resources  
 00130106: Promoting peace and social cohesion through provision of mental health services and psychosocial well-being of SGBV survivors in The Gambia  
 00120496: Strengthening Community Access to Justice, Community Policing and Effective SGBV Response  
 00119440: Strengthening community coping mechanisms against risks of climate induced conflicts and to minimize gender related vulnerabilities and tensions in The Gambia  
 00119603: Strengthening inclusive citizen engagement for more accountable governance in The Gambia  
 00113472: Strengthening sustainable and holistic reintegration of returnees in the Gambia  
 00129530: Support to the implementation and monitoring of the Truth, Reconciliation and Reparations Commission (TRRC) recommendations in The Gambia  
 00124863: Young women and men as stakeholders in ensuring peaceful democratic processes and advocates for the prevention of violence and hate speech  
 Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document \*

*EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities*

---

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented \*

*If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa*

Asia and the Pacific       Central & Southern Africa       East Africa  
 Europe and Central Asia       Global       Latin America and the Caribbean  
 Middle East and North Africa       West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report \*

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document \*

*EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal*

---

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented \*

Other, Please specify \*

---

Project Start Date \*

2018-12-17

---

Project end Date \*

2022-03-17

---

Has this project received an extension? \*

YES, Cost Extension

YES, No Cost Extension

YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions

NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? \*

YES, Cost Extension

YES, No Cost Extension

YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions

NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund \*

yes

no

If yes, please select which \*

National Trust Fund

Regional Trust Fund

## Recipients

Is the lead recipient a UN agency or a non UN entity? \*

UN entity

Non-UN Entity

Please select the lead recipient \*

UNDP: United Nations Development Programme  IOM: International Organization for Migration

UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund

OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women

UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees  UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund

FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization  WFP: World Food Programme

UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme

UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme  ILO: International Labour Organization

WHO: World Health Organization  PAHO/WHO

UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund  UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime

UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services

UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization  ITC: International Trade Centre

UNDPO  Other, Specify

Other, Please specify \*

---

Are there other recipients for this project? \*

No other recipients

Yes, other UN recipients only

Yes, other non-UN recipients only

Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients recipients \*

UNDP: United Nations Development Programme     IOM: International Organization for Migration  
 UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund  
 OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights  
 UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women  
 UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees     UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund  
 FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization     WFP: World Food Programme  
 UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme  
 UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization  
 UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme     ILO: International Labour Organization  
 WHO: World Health Organization     PAHO/WHO  
 UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund     UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime  
 UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services  
 UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization     ITC: International Trade Centre  
 UN Department of Peace Operations     Other, Specify

Other, Please specify \*

---

Please select other non-UN recipients \*

Action Aid     The African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)  
 Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)  
 American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)     Avocats Sans Frontières  
 Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium     Avocats sans frontières Canada     Christian Aid Ireland  
 CARE International UK     The Carter Center, Inc.  
 Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF     COIPRODEN  
 Concern Worldwide     CORDAID     CORD Burundi  
 DanChurchAid     Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)     Fund for Congolese Women  
 Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)     Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)  
 Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)     HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation  
 Humanity & Inclusion (HI)     Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)  
 International Alert     Interpeace     Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation  
 Life and Peace Institute (LPI)  
 (MDG-EISA) Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar  
 Mercy Corps     MSIS-TATAO     Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)  
 ONG AZHAR     OXFAM     Peace Direct  
 PNG UN Country Fund     Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez  
 Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)     Saferworld  
 Search for Common Ground (SFCG)     SismaMujer     Tearfund  
 Trocaire     World Vision International     World Vision Myanmar  
 ZOA     Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify \*

---

## Financial Reporting

### » Delivery by Recipient

---



---

**Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization**

**Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.**

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in US Dollars*

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget <i>(calculated automatically)</i>
<b>IOM: International Organization for Migration</b>	1200000 *	1200000 *	1199999 *	100%
				%
<b>UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund</b>	550000 *	550000 *	537137 *	97.66%
<b>ITC: International Trade Centre</b>	550000 *	550000 *	539756 *	98.14%
				%
				%
				%
				%
				%
				%

	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2300000</b>	<b>2300000</b>	<b>2276892</b>	<b>99%</b>

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **99%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

Correct  Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % \*

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)? \*

15

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 345000**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

Correct  Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars \*

375390.01

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 341533.8**. Is this correct? \*

Correct  Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars \*

375391.57

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE.

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

PBF Project Financial Report June 2022 \_ Strengthening Sustainable and Holistic Reintegration of Returnees in The Gambia 

## Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project \*

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project \*

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project \*

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? \*

Select all that apply

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

## PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.
- If relevant, please include any COVID-19 related considerations, adjustments and results and respond to section IV. **(This section is optional)**

Briefly outline the *status of the project* in terms of implementation cycle, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.*

With the overall vision of the project to facilitate sustainable and holistic reintegration of returning migrants in the economic, social and psychosocial dimensions in a manner that contributes to peacebuilding, from December 18, 2018 to March 17, 2022 the project recorded significant achievements. It was successfully able to recruit staff and work in close partnership with key government and non-governmental partners and achieved its overall objectives. With its three main outcomes, the project has contributed to reduced stigma and trauma faced by returnees, enhanced social inclusion and cohesion among returning migrants and host communities, and a more balanced and positive public perception of return migration, returnees, and their roles in community stabilization, through the following key achievements:

Launched the National Coordination Mechanism on Migration (NCM) in November 2019 and operationalized eight (8) Thematic Working Groups (TWGs) by June 2021. Established community-based reintegration task force in December 2020 with ten (10) community projects completed. 3. Established a Project Technical Committee (PTC) in September 2020. The PTC met four (4) times to discuss implementation progress and organized three (3) field monitoring visits to the project sites. 4. 5,500 community members including returnees engaged in dialogue, shared learning, and intergenerational sessions, with an additional 510 involved in moonlight storytelling in the seven (7) regions.

Summarize the *main structural, institutional or societal level change* the project has contributed to. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project

*FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION ONLY; (1500 character limit)*

Having established the NCM, in November 2019, and under the leadership of the Office of the Vice President (OVP) the Government of The Gambia (GoTG) has put in place a coordination structure to ensure a whole-of-government approach on migration governance. The NCM provided the platform for discussions on migration governance in the eight (8) Thematic Working Groups (TWGs): Border Management, Communication and Advocacy, Cross-cutting issues, Internal Migration, Labour Migration, Migration and Development, Migration Data, Policy and Legislation, Return and Reintegration.

The project has significantly contributed as 1) the Mental Health and Psychosocial Support (MHPSS) The MHPSS framework and curriculum gave the Ministry of Health (MoH) an integrated approach to the community mental health, and addressed knowledge gaps 2) the refurbishment of Tanka-Tanka psychiatric facility further ensured decent conditions for the patients admitted to the facility by providing dignified spaces and improved sanitary s the need, 3) GoTG has strengthened capacity to facilitate sustainable reintegration by establishing community-based reintegration structures, 4) community linkages strengthened through and social inclusion and reintegration of returnees based on dialogue sessions, moonlight storytelling

## PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have

1   2   **3**   4   5   6   7   8   more than 8.



Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document	
Outcome 1: <b>Gambian society has a balanced and positive perception of return migration</b>	*
Outcome 2: <b>The Government of The Gambia demonstrate strengthened capacity to facilitate sustainable reintegration contributing to enhanced social inclusion and cohesion</b>	*
Outcome 3: <b>Returnees enjoy enhanced access to psychosocial, political, and economic reintegration services</b>	*
Outcome 4:	*
Outcome 5:	*
Outcome 6:	*
Outcome 7:	*
Outcome 8:	*
Additional Outcomes <i>If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here</i>	*

**Outcome 1:**

**Gambian society has a balanced and positive perception of return migration**

Rate the current status of the outcome progress \*

1. Off Track  2. On Track  3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

The research on reintegration and peacebuilding highlighted the roles of returnees in community peacebuilding initiatives. As part of the research findings, 68 per cent of community members interviewed believed that migrants need more financial support for a more sustainable reintegration. Interestingly, an overwhelming 72 per cent of returnees were very open to staying and contributing to national and community development efforts. Findings from the study have been used throughout the project's implementation phase, for more sustainable outcomes.

Following the initial rounds of consultations with key stakeholders including border officials, national and local authorities as well as The Gambia Bureau of Statistics (GBoS), the Displacement Tracking Matrix (DTM) for The Gambia was launched in November 2021. The platform generated evidence-based data on the population mobility within and outside The Gambia, in four identified Flow Monitoring Points (FMPs): Brikama in West Coast Region (WCR), Basse Upper River Region (URR), Barra and Farafenni North Bank Region (NBR). To ensure a more community-driven approach and ownership of the process, Flow Monitoring (FM) activities were done with local partners and a total of eight (8) monthly Flow Monitoring Reports (FMR) and two (2) quarterly Flow Monitoring Surveys (FMS) have been published from June 2021 to January 2022. The FMR and FMP have generated data for discussions on migration at two NCM Thematic Working Groups (TWGs) on Internal Migration, and Data, Policy and Legislation and at regular consultations with government partners on migration-related issues.

The project has strengthened and supported the GoTG through the Ministry of Information and Communication Infrastructure, which acts as Chair of the Communication Platform anchored under the Communication and Advocacy TWG of the NCM. Since the validation of the communication strategy, the action plan on the communications platform was implemented with the first government TV and radio programs held.

Support was also provided to the National Youth Council (NYC) by establishing and operationalizing the Youth Toll-Free line (1216) for returnees and young people in general to provide information on job services and job referrals. By the end of the project, 201 calls were recorded (from 159 males and 42 females), including returnees. DoI also engaged and rolled out the job centre services and referrals to regional youth centres. Within the span of the project, activities have been able to complement other projects through social media reach, TV programs and radio talk shows of the TekkiFii programs under other International Trade Centre (ITC) projects - and in partnership with the National Youth Council regional TekkiFii awareness activities were organized in Bansang (CRR) and Kudang (CRR)

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.*

The research on return and reintegration and its implication on peacebuilding adopted a youth and gender-sensitive approach, with most interviewees being returnees between the ages of 18-35 years. Among family members, there were 24 respondents from parents/guardians (12 males and 12 females) and 24 respondents from siblings (12 males and 12 females) per cluster. From the host community members, there were 30 respondents per cluster, comprising five (5) village alkalos/VDC chairmen, five (5) religious' leaders, ten (10) women's group leaders and ten (10) youth leaders (5 males and 5 females) per cluster.

In recruiting DTM enumerators for the data collection, gender was considered with a total of fifteen enumerators, including eight (8) males and seven (7) females, showing a 46 % female composition. Overall, from July 2021 to January 2022 both male and female travellers were 50 % as observed.

Additionally, the job referral program and awareness-raising with key messages on migration, return and reintegration under this project did not only cater for returnees (mostly youth returnees) but also their families and community members

**Outcome 2:**

**The Government of The Gambia demonstrate strengthened capacity to facilitate sustainable reintegration contributing to enhanced social inclusion and cohesion**

Rate the current status of the outcome progress \*

1. Off Track  2. On Track  3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

The NCM launch (Annex C: NCM) also included the Global Compact for Migration (GCM) prioritization exercise where the GoTG selected 15 priority objectives out of the GCM 23 objectives, to address in the short to mid-term. Under the overall guidance of the OVP as the lead for the NCM and technical lead of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, International Cooperation and Gambians Abroad (MOFA), the first National Voluntary Review of The Gambia GCM implementation progress was finalized and published in April 2021 – and a second review meeting took place in February 2022 to review and update on progress of GCM implementation in The Gambia as part of the Government's preparation ahead of the International Migration Review Forum (IMRF) New York in May 2022

The project Enhanced access to MHPSS services for returnees and communities as the national MHPSS framework (Annex D) and a training curriculum (Annex E) on the MHPSS needs of migrants was developed. Office and printing supplies were provided to facilitate dissemination of the documents. Furthermore, the capacity of mental health practitioners has been strengthened with 725 (392 males, 333 females) health care workers from private and public hospitals trained to support covid response and MHPSS.

Through an IOM-driven initiative, and in coordination with the Department of Community Development (DCD), communities of high return and beneficiaries' needs were identified, in January 2021 for community-based reintegration. IOM took the lead to support partners and stakeholders in trainings to establish the CBR, however, concerns have emerged from the lack of sustainability of the approach used by other partners who only provided economic reintegration. In February 2022, Mbolu Association, an implementing partner for ITC's training of six (6) returnees on Tuk-tuk operation as part of the PBF project raised concerns about the way in which the returnees are being trained without support first in MHPSS and rehabilitation of their psychological state. To mitigate the concerns, IOM:1) delivered MHPSS training for ITC's CBR beneficiaries 2) organized a mini-information session for all partners on modalities of a holistic and sustainable reintegration on the importance of psychosocial support to ensure consistency, even so, some partners couldn't participate. In March 2022, the project handed over ten (10) community projects (Annex F).

To strengthen CSOs, the project partnered with Starfish International in Kiang Kwinella in the Lower River Region (LRR) and trained 35 community members (32 women and 3 men) including families affected by irregular migration and human trafficking, on soap making, tie and dye, batik and basic literacy. The referral platform ([www.dol.gm](http://www.dol.gm)) and staff emails set up for DoL created platforms for awareness of available opportunities in The Gambia, on top of media campaigns on QTV and GRTS. These are all demonstrations of the government's enhanced capacity to manage reintegration.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.*

Gender mainstreaming was considered in implementing activities under this outcome. The MHPSS and Infection Prevention Control (IPC) trainings had 54 % male and 46% representations among health, protection, and frontline workers across the regions in the Gambia. The community-based livelihood interventions ensured gender mainstreaming and youth inclusion since the inception stage, by involving women and young returnees in the consultations for pre-identifying and validating projects alongside community members. The active involvement of Youth Against Irregular Migration (YAIM), a local non-governmental organization further ensured the inclusion of returnees in the consultation processes and the project implementation. Furthermore, the craft production training in Kiang had 91% female and 9% male trainees, promoting inclusiveness according to their peculiar needs.

**Outcome 3:**

**Returnees enjoy enhanced access to psychosocial, political, and economic reintegration services**

Rate the current status of the outcome progress \*

1. Off Track  2. On Track  3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

The formation of three Migrant Peer Support Groups (MPSGs) in three regions of high return gave returnees the chance to drive community-led initiatives as trainings provided improved their understanding of stress and coping mechanisms and also helped them build resilience through home visits, tie and dye-making, cooking competitions, visits to schools and shared their experiences, and awareness-raising on stigma and discrimination which have contributed to their sustainable reintegration.

The refurbishment and additional construction at Tanka-Tanka increased the facility's bed capacity from 100 to 150, has changed the ecosystem of MHPSS in The Gambia. The initiative aimed at enhancing the well-being of mental health patients and creating safe and dignified spaces that are in line with the international safety, security, and sanitary standards. Additional recreational items were also donated to facilitate psychosocial support activities and recovery. Furthermore, 60 personnel (male 36, female 24) from the facility were trained in February 2022, on facility management, MHPSS, Infection Prevention Control (IPC), and conflict/crisis management.

The Youth Centres in Bundung and Pakalinding were refurbished, and the Bundung centre hosted some activities in 2020 and 2021, mainly returnees and community members. Also, ten (10) coaches participated in an intensive five-day ToT workshop in March 2021, that combined theoretical training about essential football-based life skills for employability and entrepreneurship using Life Skills Curriculum. Eight (8) of the coaches in the ToT workshop received additional resources to support the rollout of the employability and entrepreneurship program in KMC, WCR and NBR. The coaches have implemented a four-month Kick 4 Trade workshops using the Kick for Trade curriculum in their communities with 200 participants. Since the launch of the training, two refresher workshops have been held to support the eight coaches during the roll-out of their workshops.

The shared learning sessions in seven (7) regions have engaged over 5,500 community members including returnees, vulnerable young people, opinion leaders, family members, women leaders, chiefs, and religious leaders in intergenerational dialogues and enhanced understanding of reintegration and irregular migration and facilitated the engagements returnees in decision-making processes

A five-day bootcamp was held for 50 migrant returnees and the moonlight storytelling sessions with 510 participants created a safe space for migrants to share their stories, and be trained on irregular migration and reintegration, entrepreneurship skills, and peacebuilding and to benefit from psychosocial support, fostering social cohesion. The community surveillance and contact tracing initiative involved 80 young people including returnees sensitized community members including young people on COVID-19 and the signs shown in suspected cases.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.*

Gender mainstreaming principles were strictly adhered to in the implementation of key activities under this outcome area. Overall, 60% of beneficiaries were females and 40% males. For instance, the mobile health outreach benefitted more females than males. The migrant peer support groups had 33.3% female and 66.7 % male, and the photography training sessions had both male and female beneficiaries. Furthermore, shared learning sessions, moonlight storytelling sessions, and the community contact tracing and surveillance initiative featured young people including women and migrant returnees, empowering women and young people to participate in community initiatives

**Outcome 4:**

Rate the current status of the outcome progress \*

1. Off Track  2. On Track  3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.*

<b>Outcome 5:</b>
Rate the current status of the outcome progress *
<input type="radio"/> 1. Off Track <input type="radio"/> 2. On Track <input type="radio"/> 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results
Progress summary *
<i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.</i>
Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome
<i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.</i>
<b>Outcome 6:</b>
Rate the current status of the outcome progress *
<input type="radio"/> 1. Off Track <input type="radio"/> 2. On Track <input type="radio"/> 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results
Progress summary *
<i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.</i>
Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome
<i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.</i>
<b>Outcome 7:</b>
Rate the current status of the outcome progress *
<input type="radio"/> 1. Off Track <input type="radio"/> 2. On Track <input type="radio"/> 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results
Progress summary *
<i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.</i>
Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome
<i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.</i>
<b>Outcome 8:</b>
Rate the current status of the outcome progress *
<input type="radio"/> 1. Off Track <input type="radio"/> 2. On Track <input type="radio"/> 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results
Progress summary *
<i>Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.</i>
Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome
<i>Please limit your response to 1500 characters including spaces.</i>

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please use this text box to describe the *status* of progress (on track with evidence of peacebuilding outcomes, on track or off track), as well as briefly describe the progress and any analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

### INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at the **outcome** level in the table below

- If an outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Gambian society has a balanced and positive perception of return migration

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
1.1	% of community members reporting negative perception of returnees (disaggregated in M/F)	59% (2018)	30%	Final evaluation/survey	<p>24% (2020)</p> <p>By gender, the proportions were 22.9% and 26.7% for males and females respectively</p> <p>Perceptions about returnees have substantially improved during the last years, Gambians are more aware of the threats of the migration and have a more positive idea of returnees' contribution at economic and social level. Stigma is still present but waning.</p>	

1.2	% of 4000 returnees reintegrating (provided with reintegration assistance) in their communities (disaggregated in M / F)	Baseline: Population of 4000 returnees	70% of returnees reintegrate in the communities	Final evaluation/survey	79% (2020). By gender, 78.6% of males and 80.0% of females reported being reintegrated	
1.3					A Reintegration Sustainability Survey was conducted with 594 returnees (575 Male and 19 Female) who arrived in The Gambia between 2017 - 2021. Overall, 79% of the sample have a high 'composite' reintegration score' equal to and above 0.66, indicating that they have reached a level of economic self-sufficiency, social stability within their communities, and psychosocial well-being"	



» Outcome 2: The Government of The Gambia demonstrate strengthened capacity to facilitate sustainable reintegration contributing to enhanced social inclusion and cohesion

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
2.1	% of returnees reporting satisfaction with GoTG services to support reintegration	6.5 % (2020)	40% increase in satisfaction	End of project assessment done	2% of the returnees reported satisfaction with support from the government.	Although the reasons for the low proportions are not known, the assumption is that returnees either do not perceive those interventions are provided by the government or they may have not received the support
2.2	Indicator 2.b % of government officials reporting through the National Coordination Mechanism on Migration (NCM)	0	80%	End of project assessment done. 100% of targeted government official supporting NCM through participation in meetings. Based on the evaluation report, good coordination is in place	Following the launch of NCM, 23 agencies and ministries are already part of the platform and meeting regularly. The NCM has all its 8 TWGs operational.  Under the lead of OVP, The NCM created a platform for discussions on migration governance. Through the NCM the National Migration Policy, among others were achieved. Good level of coordination in place.	

2.3	Low coordination	High coordination (60%)	High - M&E and end of project report	High - M&E and end of project report	<p>All 8 TWGs have been operationalized with regular meetings attended by different actors</p> <p>The 8 TWGs have different degrees of activity, and all of them, have a shared yearly working plan</p>	
-----	------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------------------	--------------------------------------	---	--

» Outcome 3: Returnees enjoy enhanced access to psychosocial, political, and economic reintegration services

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
3.1	% of returnees reporting adequate social inclusion after return (disaggregated M/F)	38% (2018 - two years after return)	60%	End project evaluation done	62% of returnees reported adequate social inclusion (2020) By gender, 65.7% of males and 53.3% of females reported adequate social inclusion. 85% of returnees reported that they "feel strongly that they are part of the community"; and, 88% stated that they 'never' and/or 'rarely' felt discriminated since their return (Reintegration Sustainability Survey, 2021).	

3.2	% of returnees reporting high level of stress after return	71% (2018)	40%	End of project evaluation done	45% (2020) For male returnees, 47.1% reported living with high stress while for their female counterparts, the proportion was 40%.	
					71% of returnees stated that they 'never' and/or 'only rarely' experience distress' (Reintegration Sustainability Survey, 2021).	
					Although stress is still experienced by some returnees, to a lesser extent many of them are able to make a living, they have access to MHPSS support as well as they enjoy a greater social integration in their communities	

3.3	% of returnees reporting being able to earn sufficient income after return (disaggregated M/F)	57% (2018)	70%	End of project evaluation done	69% (2020); The results show that 68.6% and 70% of males and females respectively reported being able to earn sufficient income after return  64% of returnees stated that they are employed. (Reintegration Sustainability Survey, 2021)  Despite the difficult Gambian economic context, returnees are progressively able to earn money, also due to the income generation activities at the rural and urban levels, promoted by the intervention
-----	--	------------	-----	--------------------------------	--

» Outcome 4:

Outcome	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
4.1						
4.2						
4.3						

» Outcome 5:

Outcome 5	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
5.1						
5.2						
5.3						

» Outcome 6:

Outcome 6	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
6.1						
6.2						
6.3						

» Outcome 7:

Outcome 7	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
7.1						
7.2						
7.3						

» Outcome 8:

Outcome 8	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator Milestone	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any)
8.1						
8.2						
8.3						
If the project has more than 8 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes <span style="float: right;">*</span>						

**PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues**

Please indicate any significant project-related events anticipated in the next six months, i.e. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc. \*  
 Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.  
**The project ended March 17 2022.**

In a few sentences, explain whether the project has had a positive *human impact*. May include anecdotal stories about the project's positive effect on the people's lives. Include direct quotes where possible or weblinks to strategic communications pieces.  
*This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant(2000 character limit)*

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc..) to illustrate the human impact of the project  
**OPTIONAL**

File 1  
**OPTIONAL**  
 Additional links-12\_58\_7.docx

File 2  
**OPTIONAL**  
 Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3  
**OPTIONAL**  
 Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project  
**OPTIONAL**

Link 1  
**OPTIONAL**  
<https://www.facebook.com/61732531133/posts/10158526328861134/?d=n>

Link 2  
**OPTIONAL**  
<https://eur02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.youtube.com%2Fwatch%3Fv%3DsJ0wB5ysyl4&data=05%7C01%7Cevbinan%40iom.int%7C821ae44469174eb28ba508da48abbae1%7C1588262d23fb43b4bd66bc>

Link 3  
**OPTIONAL**  
<https://gambia.unfpa.org/en/news/community-shared-learning-strategy-ending-discrimination-against-returnee-migrants-and>

#### » Monitoring

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period \*

*Please limit your response to 1000 characters including spaces.*

In November 2020 a joint monitoring visit with government and UN partners took place. Among sites visited were: Gamjobs RESTART project, Department of Labour, Bundung Youth Centre, beneficiaries of shared learning sessions (Kerr Sait Maram -CRR North), PBF outreach beneficiaries (URR).

As part of the project's regular monitoring exercises, in May 2021, a joint project monitoring involving the government, UN partners, and other partners took place. Among sites visited were: Bundung Youth Centre, MPSPG URR, National Youth Council (GBA - Toll Free Line), Bundung Youth Centre, Shared Learning Sessions in CRR, Outreach clinic in NBR, and other locations.

In September 2021, another joint monitoring exercise was conducted. The monitoring team visited different project activity implementation sites.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? \*

*If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'*

yes

no

Please provide a brief description \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

All outcome indicators have baseline. The overall impact of the project is evaluated through the final project evaluation.

Has the project launched perception surveys or other community-based data collection? \*

yes

no

Please provide a brief description \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

A baseline survey was done during the first phase of the project. During the development of the research study under Outcome one (1), perception surveys were deployed which led to the development of the report. Likewise, a consultancy to determine knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP) was launched and reports were made available. The study was designed to support the Risk Communication and Community Engagement (RCCE) efforts as well as to determine the effectiveness of all RCCE activities conducted under the project as part of COVID-19 reprogramming.

#### » Evaluation

Has an evaluation been conducted during the reporting period? \*

yes

no

Evaluation budget (in USD): \*

20000

If project will end in next six months, describe the evaluation preparations

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

#### » Catalytic Effect



Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project led to additional funding from other sources? \*

yes

no

If yes, how many additional grants or donors has the project leveraged? \*

1

Indicate name of funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project. \*

*Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately*

Name of Funder \*

**UEFA Foundation for Children**

Amount in USD \*

200000

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur? \*

No catalytic effect

Some catalytic effect

Significant catalytic effect

Very Significant catalytic effect

Don't Know

Too early to tell

Please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect \*

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

The funds from the UEFA Foundation for children were used for the development of employability and entrepreneurship toolkit - Kick for Trade Program - using football and coaches to popularize the concept of sports for peace and development and provided football materials for the rollout of the Kick4Trade program to the selected communities

#### PART IV: COVID-19

Please respond to these questions if the project underwent any monetary or non-monetary adjustments due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

*This section is optional. You can leave it blank if not relevant*

*Monetary adjustments:*

*Please indicate the total amount in USD of adjustments due to COVID-19:*

96683

Non-monetary adjustments: Please indicate any adjustments to the project which did not have any financial implications:

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

**Project Technical Committee meetings were done online, the deployment of mobile health outreaches was structured to curtail the risk of infections, trainings were done on Infection Prevention Control (IPC), Risk Communication and Community Engagements RCCE and MHPSS.**

Please select all categories which describe the adjustments made to the project (and include details in general sections of this report):

Reinforce crisis management capacities and communications

Ensure inclusive and equitable response and recovery

Strengthen inter-community social cohesion and border management

Counter hate speech and stigmatization and address trauma

Support the SG's call for a global ceasefire

None

Other (please describe):

Other, Please describe

If relevant, please share a COVID-19 success story of this project (i.e. how adjustments of this project made a difference and contributed to a positive response to the pandemic/prevented tensions or violence related to the pandemic etc.)

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

**Currently, people living in rural areas can have access to vaccine doses and regular campaigns are organized by the regional health administrators as trained young people were essential and continued to sensitize their communities on the importance of vaccination whilst debunking false beliefs.**

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation (related to COVID-19 or not) that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations? (1500 character limit)

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.*

**Despite supports provided to GoTG in key areas to address COVID-19, there is still a lot to be done to strengthen the system against any future pandemics. The community-based structures also need strengthening through other platforms, to continue to consolidate on the gains of the project.**

#### Final Steps

- **Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.**
- **A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.**
- **Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF (if on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and try again. If the problem persists, you can contact technical support at the email address below)**
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

***If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Anupah Makoond [keshni.makoond@un.org](mailto:keshni.makoond@un.org)***

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.