

# Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report (Updated template October 2022)



**PEACEBUILDING  
FUND** 

## PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to [keshni.makoond@un.org](mailto:keshni.makoond@un.org)

Click Next below to start

## » Report Submission

Type of report \*

- Semi-annual
- Annual
- Final
- Other

Date of submission of report \*

2022-11-16

Name and Title of Person submitting the report \*

**Emma Sale, Project Manager, Climate Security in the Pacific Project**

Name and Title of Person who approved the report \*

**Justin Shone, Team Leader a.i., Resilience and Sustainable Development Team**

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? \*

- yes  
 no

Did PBF Secretariat or the PBF Focal point in the resident coordinator office review the report? \*

*If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.*

- yes  
 no  
 Not Applicable

Any additional comment from the PBF Secretariat/ RCO Focal point on this report

## » Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border or regional project? \*

- yes  no

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented \*

*If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa*

- |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia         | <input type="checkbox"/> Global                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa    | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa               |  |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report \*

- 00122865/6/7: Climate Security in the Pacific  
 Other, Specify

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented \*

- Kiribati
- Marshall Islands
- Myanmar
- Papua New Guinea
- Philippines
- Solomon Islands
- Sri Lanka
- Tuvalu
- Other, Specify

Project Start Date \*

2020-07-17

Project end Date \*

2023-01-16

Has this project received an extension? \*

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? \*

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund \*

- yes
- no

## Recipients

Is the lead recipient a UN agency or a non UN entity? \*

- UN entity  
 Non-UN Entity

Please select the lead recipient \*

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme     IOM: International Organization for Migration  
 UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund  
 OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights  
 UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women  
 UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees     UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund  
 FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization     WFP: World Food Programme  
 UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme  
 UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization  
 UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme     ILO: International Labour Organization  
 WHO: World Health Organization     PAHO/WHO  
 UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund     UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime  
 UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services  
 UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization     ITC: International Trade Centre  
 UNDPO     Other, Specify

Are there other recipients for this project? \*

- No other recipients
- Yes, other UN recipients only
- Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients recipients \*

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme  IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees  UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization  WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme  ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization  PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund  UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization  ITC: International Trade Centre
- UN Department of Peace Operations  Other, Specify

## Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

2

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Tuvalu Climate Change Department (TCCD), Ministry of Finance**

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date \*

22861

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner \*

*Please limit your response to 175 words*

**The disbursed funds will enable TCCD to provide timely support to the implementation of pilot activities on Nui Island. Considering the irregular inter-island shipping services in Tuvalu, TCCD will utilize the fund to pay for the ship charter fee at any time. This will enable the project to avoid unnecessary delays in organizing future missions to Nui Island and the implementation of the pilot project due to irregular inter-island shipping services. Most importantly, it allows the project to make 100% upfront payment for the charter fee in accordance with the local ship charter operator's policy.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner \*

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner \*

**Fuligafou**

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date \*

40000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner \*

*Please limit your response to 175 words*

**These funds are supporting local NGOs to implement practical climate risk management actions such as tree planting and coral restoration and participating in climate-related capacity building workshops. The specific tasks to be conducted by Fuligafou are listed below:**

- 1) Design a plan for tree planting and coral restoration initiatives.**
- 2) Conduct a capacity building workshop to train other local NGOs, youth, women and girls and other vulnerable groups on the implementation of tree planting and coral restoration initiatives and other sustainable climate actions.**
- 3) Produce a summary report or a short video to highlight/showcase the achievements.**
- 4) Design and conduct a national capacity building workshop that aims to build capacity of local women to overcome the adverse impacts of climate change and be able to secure household livelihoods.**
- 6) Produce a summary report to highlight the success of national capacity building workshop and recommendations.**

Does the project have an active steering committee? \*

- yes  
 no

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months? \*

1

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months? Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with? \*

*Please limit your response to 275 words*

**Consistent engagements with government counterparts have taken place over the last 6 months via national and regional level events. The month of May was the busiest with the convening of three national workshops and one regional workshop on climate security risk assessments, one informal engagement with Fiji-based donors, one virtual donor mission, and one project board meeting. In August, a two-day regional dialogue was convened to workshop the findings of the draft regional climate security assessment framework. Awareness-raising workshops also continued at national levels. In October, a high-level Pacific climate security dialogue was convened in New York, while the Pacific climate action network was also supported by the project. Across all events, representation was well-balanced with participants from grassroots and communities, NGOs, CSOs, Non-State Actors, Government technical and policy officials, Ambassadors in New York, and Government ministers.**

## Financial Reporting

### » Delivery by Recipient

**Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization**

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in US \$)	Transfers to date (in US \$)	Expenditure to date (in US \$)	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
	<i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	<i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	<i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	



<b>UNDP: United Nations Development Programme</b>	2567630 *	2567630 *	1596550 *	62.18 %
<b>IOM: International Organization for Migration</b>	632370 *	632370 *	342757 *	54.2 %
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3200000</b>	<b>3200000</b>	<b>1939307</b>	<b>60.6 %</b>

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **60.6%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

Correct  Incorrect

### » Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)? \*

15

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 480000**. Can you confirm that this is correct? \*

Correct  Incorrect

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is \*

**US \$ 290896.05**. Is this correct?

Correct  Incorrect

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. \*

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

Novpbf\_cross\_border\_project\_budget\_template\_2020\_english (1)-18\_12\_53.xlsx



## ***Project Markers***

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project \*

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project \*

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project \*

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? \*


*Select all that apply*

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None


## PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of Partners \*




Staff Recruitment \*




Collection of baselines

\*



Identification of beneficiaries

\*



Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the *status of the project* Briefly outline the *status of the project* in terms of implementation cycle, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

*Please limit your response to 250 words*

- **Implementation of pilot initiatives in Kiribati, RMI, and Tuvalu: Food cubes have arrived in Funafuti/Tuvalu and will be installed in early December. Pilot activities have been installed in Mejatto/RMI. For Kiribati, the vendor is hired, and materials will arrive in Kiribati by third week of December.**
- **PCSN dialogues, and Deep Dive Assessments: Up to five PCSN dialogues have been conducted to-date. The Deep Dive Assessment has commenced, and individual interviews are ongoing.**
- **Climate Security Risk Assessments and development of Risk Profiles: The draft PCSAF and country profiles are being revised. The high-level summaries of country profiles were presented at COP27 side event. An update of PCSAF was presented to PIFS FSRS on 17th November (there was no objection on the PCSAF).**
- **Support to CANCC: funds are used to support COP27 preparation, participation and post-COP dialogues, as well as purchase of office equipment for the CANCC secretariat.**
- **Policy works on embedding climate security concept into national policies and budgetary processes: this is complete for Tuvalu and RMI. For Kiribati, a local consultant was hired and is now commencing the work.**
- **Pacific Climate Security Mediation Training: A consortium of consultants has been hired, comprising peacebuilding practitioners and academics from PACSIA, Conciliation Resources, and Transcend Oceania. Contract dates are from November 2022 to January 2023. An inception meeting was held on 16th November, and the first draft deliverable was submitted.**
- **Climate Security Development Partners Dialogue: this is scheduled for late January-early February 2023.**

Summarize the main structural, institutional or societal level change the project has contributed to. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project

*Please limit your response to 550 words*

**The project has been providing solutions and actions that ensure the inclusion and active participation of women, youth, and marginalized groups, enabling them to design and implement risk management strategies to address climate security effectively.**

**This participatory process has allowed to identify and implement effective resilience-building initiatives at community level, ensuring an inclusive approach to building resilience.**

**For Sinkiagi Taulamati, proud Tuvaluan suffering from disability, “the project is recognizing the importance of including vulnerable groups such as youth, women, and people with disabilities to be part of the process. We are the vulnerable groups, and our voices and perspectives should always be taken into consideration. The climate-related security issues that the project highlighted during the consultation were relevant as they truly represent the real-life challenges that people are facing. Those dialogues are necessary for enabling the project to address the real climate security issues that people are facing and to implement pragmatic interventions based on the needs of vulnerable groups like us.”**

**In RMI, the project is conducting social and emotional learning sessions and conflict resolutions talks specifically designed to support community members to identify and address potential conflict related to climate change. In the words of Arbi Rubon and the people of Ebon, “only a united community can overcome conflict and adapt to the impacts of climate change. To build resilience, we need to harmonize not just with nature but with each other.”**

**The voice of youth has been reflected on the podcast Catching the last wave, in which Caleb Pollard and Belyndar Rikimani of the youth-led organization Pacific Islands Students Fighting Climate Change (PISFCC), said that the project “can help youth to address climate security in the Pacific and expand our voice with resources and expertise through collaboration with young people”.**

**The project conducted a series of national and regional workshops, which focused on how to identify and assess specific climate-related security risks, as well as discussing entry points to address those risks. Participants included civil society organizations: Mercy Masta from Conciliation Resources, for example, said that “the workshop is very important because it gives an opportunity to Pacific Islanders to ensure findings are locally-rooted and based on the realities of the Pacific”, while Paulo from Transcend Oceania declared that “no such work has been done on climate change and conflict, especially on what climate security means for us as Pacific people”.**

## **PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME**

### **NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:**

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.
- In the results table, please be concise, you will have 3000 characters, including blank spaces to provide your responses

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have \*

0 1 2  3 4 5 more than 5

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: \*

**Atoll states and regional actors assess and are empowered to address security threats of climate change**

Outcome 2: \*

**Strengthened understanding, articulation and addressing of key climate-related security risks with a focus on atoll nations and key climate security areas emerging in the region**

Outcome 3: \*

**Stronger advocacy by atoll nations and Pacific island countries in global fora combatting climate change through greater emphasis on its impact on peace and security**

**Outcome 1:** Atoll states and regional actors assess and are empowered to address security threats of climate change

Rate the current status of the outcome progress \*

1. Off Track  2. On Track  3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

## Progress summary

*Please limit your response to 350 words*

**Outcome 1: two of three outputs are complete, with notable changes reported in establishing dedicated catalytic local capacity in all three countries, and coordination capacity strengthened in PIFS to inform the Boe Declaration Action Plan. Close to 1,500 people have been consulted across all three countries with an almost equal number of women and men. Overwhelming response was received for the perception baseline survey where 80-90% of the 230 respondents consider climate change to destabilize peace and stability and identified that in-person training and access to knowledge products could help increase the understanding of climate security threats. Participants fear that the very disappearance of their land as well as droughts, limited resources and migration are unique challenges faced by atolls that need exceptional and expedited support from partners. Food security are the priority climate-related issues associated with stability and peace. Water, food, and agricultural projects are the most urgent needs identified in the survey. Coordination with regional organizations such as PIFS, SPC and SPREP was enhanced. Furthermore, better alignment with other ongoing work at regional level was pursued including with IOM, ESCAP and ILO who are currently working on a Regional Climate Mobility Framework, with PIFS, under the PCCSMH project.**

**Outcome 2: Four of the five outputs are complete, with significant reported in the development of three country-specific Climate Security Profiles, the draft Regional Climate Security Assessment Framework that were developed through country-focused consultative process and outreach arrangements and improved regional dialogue through the PCSN. The draft country profiles and regional framework are being revised and final endorsed versions will be available by January 2023. The Deep Dive Assessment is work-in-progress.**

**Outcome 3: One of three outputs is complete. All three countries are establishing radio programmes and to procure hand-held radios. In times of climate emergencies, such as cyclones and other extreme-weather events, radios play a critical role in emergency communication and disaster preparedness and relief, delivering life-saving information to remote communities. Tuvalu has established its radio programme, and procured and distributed 300 hand-held radios to communities.**

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*Please limit your response to 350 words*

**All consultation and survey processes were inclusive of women and youth. In Kiribati, 60% of the 116 respondents that took part the perception baseline survey were women. In the Republic of Marshall Islands, 41% of baseline survey respondents were women. In Tuvalu, 50% of 510 people who were consulted and engaged in awareness raising events were women. Also, 53% of 63 respondents to the baseline survey were women.**

**The project team is currently working with the UNDP Gender Task Force and to undertake country-specific gender surveys for each country (Kiribati, Republic of Marshall Islands, and Tuvalu) to determine how men and women are impacted by climate-induced conflicts and to be able to develop tailor-made approaches that would address these either in the current or next phase of the project.**

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key outcome indicators for **Outcome 1** in the table below

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (3000 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Atoll states and regional actors assess and are empowered to address security threats of climate change

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.1	Number of countries demonstrating progress towards establishing cross governmental recommendations/mechanisms on addressing climate security	0	3 countries	3	Consultations with the governments and national stakeholders have been conducted in the three countries. The national project coordinators are working with existing and new national mechanisms to integrate climate security into the national policies and budgetary processes.
1.2	Extent of CANCC members understanding of regional and national climate security issues	TBD	90%	TBD	Engagement with CANCC Secretariat has started and initiating dissemination following Palau conference.  Funds are allocated for the establishment of CANCC Secretariat, and capacity building for COP27 and pre-COP and post-COP events.



1.3	<b>Extent of PIFS members understanding of regional and national climate security issues</b>	0	3	3	
-----	--	---	---	---	--

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

0    1    2    **3**    4    5    more than 5

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

**Dedicated catalytic local capacity in three member states of the Coalition of Atoll Nations on Climate Change (CANCC) to drive country level project implementation, dialogue, analysis and direction on critical climate change security issues.**

Output 1.2

**Dedicated catalytic capacity within CANCC to support Atoll Nations collaboration on climate security matters and their unique advocacy at all levels.**

Output 1.3

**Coordination capacity strengthened in the Pacific Islands Forum to support the developing regional understanding of climate security contributing to and informing the Boe Declaration Action Plan.**

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» **Output 1.1**

<p>Output 1.1: Dedicated catalytic local capacity in three member states of the Coalition of Atoll Nations on Climate Change (CANCC) to drive country level project implementation, dialogue, analysis and direction on critical climate change security issues.</p>	<p>Performance Indicators</p> <p><i>Describe the indicator</i></p>	<p>Indicator Baseline</p> <p><i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target</p> <p><i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress to date</p> <p><i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p>	<p>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</p> <p><i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p>
<p>1.1.1</p>	<p><b>Number of countries with a coordination mechanism informing the direction on critical climate security issues</b></p>	<p><b>0</b></p>	<p><b>3</b></p>	<p><b>3</b></p>	

1.1.2	<p>Number of countries with country driven and cross governmental priorities including gender priorities on critical climate security issues established</p>	0	3	3	<p>Support was provided to the institutionalization of the Coalition of Low-lying Atolls on Climate Change (CANCC) through: (i) participation in the Palau Our Oceans Conference (13-14 April) and convening of CANCC Roundtable; (ii) offer of support to the establishment of CANCC Secretariat in the Republic of Marshall Islands and desks in Kiribati and Tuvalu, and provision of technical and advisory assistance to support formalization and operationalization of CANCC.</p>
1.1.3					

» Output 1.2

---

<p>Output 1.2: Dedicated catalytic capacity within CANCC to support Atoll Nations collaboration on climate security matters and their unique advocacy at all levels.</p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Performance Indicators</p> <p><i>Describe the indicator</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Indicator Baseline</p> <p><i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target</p> <p><i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Indicator progress to date</p> <p><i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</p> <p><i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p> <p>.....</p>
---	---	--	---	---	--

1.2.1	Number of countries adopting the recommendations on permanent support to the CANCC	0	3	0	Support was provided to the institutionalization of the Coalition of Low-lying Atolls on Climate Change (CANCC) through: (i) participation in the Palau Our Oceans Conference (13-14 April) and convening of CANCC Roundtable; (ii) offer of support to the establishment of CANCC Secretariat in the Republic of Marshall Islands and desks in Kiribati and Tuvalu, and provision of technical and advisory assistance to support formalization and operationalization of CANCC.
1.2.2	Extent of which the Position paper for strengthening the CANCC partnership with the UN is validated and disseminated	0	1 position paper for strengthening the CANCC partnership with the UN is validated and disseminated	0	This is dependent on the MOU that will be signed by CANCC members
1.2.3					

» Output 1.3

<p>Output 1.3:          Coordination capacity strengthened in the Pacific Islands Forum to support the developing regional understanding of climate security contributing to and informing the Boe Declaration Action Plan.</p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Performance Indicators</p> <p><i>Describe the indicator</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Indicator Baseline</p> <p><i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target</p> <p><i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Indicator progress to date</p> <p><i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)</p> <p><i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p> <p>.....</p>
--	---	--	---	---	--

1.3.1	Extent of PIFs capacity in coordinating regional support towards informing BOE declaration action plan with climate security matters (scale)	0	Regional support and collaboration gauged amongst key stakeholders on establishing regional understanding of climate security and contribution to Boe Declaration Action plan	1	The Regional Dialogue was successfully conducted (31 August – 1 September) as led by PIFS with support from IOM and UNDP. The Dialogue comprised PIF members – both Government officials and Non-State Actors. The Dialogue successfully reviewed the key components of the draft regional Pacific Climate Security Assessment Framework (PCSAF) such as the analytical framework, the pathways, and entry points. The PCSAF is being revised and will be made available for PIF endorsement on 17 November.
-------	--	---	---	---	--

1.3.2	The extent of which the action plan for the implementation of the Boe Declaration integrated climate security recommendations.	0	1 action plan for the implementation of the Boe Declaration integrated climate security recommendation	1	This indicator has been achieved. The Climate Security Specialist is supporting PIFS in the Boe Declaration Action Plan implementation. The draft PCSAF has been presented to the PIF FSRS, without any objections received.
-------	--	---	--	---	--

1.3.3

**Outcome 2:** Strengthened understanding, articulation and addressing of key climate-related security risks with a focus on atoll nations and key climate security areas emerging in the region

Rate the current status of the outcome progress \*

1. Off Track  2. On Track  3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary \*

*Please limit your response to 350 words*

**Four of the five outputs are complete, with significant reported in the development of three country-specific Climate Security Profiles, the draft Regional Climate Security Assessment Framework that were developed through country-focused consultative process and outreach arrangements and improved regional dialogue through the PCSN. The draft country profiles and regional framework are being revised and final endorsed versions will be available by January 2023. The Deep Dive Assessment is work-in-progress.**

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome \*

*Please limit your response to 350 words*

**The consultations organized by the project team during the national and regional climate security risk assessment workshops are strongly integrating gender equality aspects. For example, the regional workshop for the development of Pacific Climate Security Assessment Framework sought the inclusion of a gender and inclusiveness considerations dedicated session, facilitated by Regional Gender Advisor, from the BRH in coordination with Pacific office gender specialist. Female participation has been at least 50% in the workshops organized by the country coordinators and Adelphi. The Regional Dialogue ensured a balanced representation and equal participation of men and women, including the youth. Furthermore, the climate security risks identified through emerging pathways, recognizes different impacts and challenges that women and men experience on their security as result of climate change, such as, among others, aspects related to gender-based violence (such as sexual violence) when temporary relocation occurs after natural disasters. Finally, the Climate Security Country Profiles for the three project countries and the Regional Climate Security Risk Assessment Framework are gender-responsible and reflect the specific needs of women under climate security.**

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key outcome indicators for **Outcome 2** in the table below

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

**» Outcome 2: Strengthened understanding, articulation and addressing of key climate-related security risks with a focus on atoll nations and key climate security areas emerging in the region**



Outcome 2	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.1	<p><b>Percentage of national stakeholders who consider that the security threats linked to climate change for their country are clear and mitigation measures have been identified (disaggregated by gender)</b></p>	<p><b>Tuvalu</b> Baseline (female): 66% Target: 80% Baseline (men): 62% Target: 80%</p> <p><b>Kiribati</b> Baseline (female): 60% Target: 80% Baseline (men): 75 % Target: 80%</p> <p><b>Marshall Islands</b> Baseline (female): 62% Target: 80% Baseline (men): 58% Target: 80%</p>	80%	TBD	<p>The perception baseline survey has been completed and results are available. The end of project perception survey will be collected before the end of the project (November-December 2022) and will establish the end of project indicator target.</p>

2.2	Percentage of women and youth who consider their needs are reflected in the assessment and mitigation measures.	<p><b>Tuvalu</b>  <b>Baseline</b>  (female): 36%  <b>Target: 80%</b>  <b>Baseline (men):</b>  60%  <b>Target: 80%</b></p> <p><b>Kiribati</b>  <b>Baseline</b>  (female): 35%  <b>Target: 80%</b>  <b>Baseline (men):</b>  36%  <b>Target: 80%</b></p> <p><b>Marshall Islands</b>  <b>Baseline</b>  (female): 35%  <b>Target: 80%</b>  <b>Baseline (men):</b>  41%  <b>Target: 80%</b></p>	80%	0	The perception baseline survey has been completed and results are available. The end of project perception survey will be collected before the end of the project (November-December 2022) and will establish the end of project indicator target.
-----	---	---	-----	---	--

2.3					
-----	--	--	--	--	--

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

0    1    2    3    4    **5**    more than 5

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

**Three country specific Climate Security Profiles developed that will identify critical climate security issues as the basis for action, resource mobilization and advocacy in the three focus countries, building on existing assessment as relevant.**

Output 2.2

**Country focused inclusive consultative process and outreach arrangements established in Tuvalu and RMI that help to inform, validate and address and respond to Climate Change Security risks over time.**

Output 2.3

**Pilot or implement at least four initiatives (one per focus country and additional in Kiribati) that address an identified climate security priority at country and/or the community level.**

Output 2.4

**The objective of the PCSN is to ensure cross-disciplinary information sharing and brainstorming, effective partner collaboration through the implementation of the project, including input to related activities of other practitioners and institutions stakeholders in the space**

Output 2.5

**Pacific climate security findings informed the UN Conceptual Approach to Climate-Related Security Risk Assessments - strengthening both the regional and global framework for understanding climate security**

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

**» Output 2.1**

Output 2.1: Three country specific Climate Security Profiles developed that will identify critical climate security issues as the basis for action, resource mobilization and advocacy in the three focus countries, building on existing assessment as relevant.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
--	---	--	---	---	--

2.1.1	Number of countries with gender-sensitive Climate security Profiles established	0	3	3	Gender-Sensitive Climate Security profiles for all three countries have been developed, reviewed and are being revised.
2.1.2	Number of policy and management frameworks developed, adjusted or updated at national and regional levels.	0	3	2	The policy research is complete in RMI and Tuvalu “to identify best practices and gaps in operationalizing climate-related policy frameworks, and outline ways to include climate security considerations to climate finance, development finance, and security finance fora”. The policy research is commencing in Kiribati.

2.1.3	<p>The policy research is ongoing in RMI and Tuvalu “to identify best practices and gaps in operationalizing climate-related policy frameworks, and outline ways to include climate security considerations to climate finance, development finance, and security finance fora”. To date (November), the policy research has completed in Tuvalu and almost complete in RMI, while it is commencing in Kiribati.</p>	0	3	3	<p>Gender-responsible Climate Security profiles for all three countries have been developed and reviewed, and are being revised.</p>
-------	--	---	---	---	--

» Output 2.2

<p>Output 2.2: Country focused inclusive consultative process and outreach arrangements established in Tuvalu and RMI that help to inform, validate and address and respond to Climate Change Security risks over time.</p>	<p>Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i></p>	<p>Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p>	<p>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p>
<p>2.2.1</p>	<p><b>Number of inclusive dialogue and outreach arrangements undertaken per country</b></p>	<p><b>0</b></p>	<p><b>3 per country</b></p>	<p><b>41</b></p>	<p><b>In Kiribati, more than 7 stakeholders conducted with 200 people consulted (45% women). In RMI, 36 consultations conducted reaching 610 people from 15 communities. In Tuvalu, 20 consultations reaching 728 people (55% women).</b></p>

2.2.2	Number of participants, disaggregated by sex and age who have participated in the dialogues	0	Total Number per country 500 Percentage of 50% women Percentage of 50% youth	1,538 (~50% men and 50% women)	In Kiribati, a total of 200 people participated in the dialogues (45% women). In RMI, a total of 610 people participated in the dialogues. In Tuvalu, a total of 728 people participated in the dialogues (398 women and 330 men).
1.2.3					

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3: Pilot or implement at least four initiatives (one per focus country and additional in Kiribati) that address an identified climate security priority at country and/or the community level.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
---	---	--	---	---	---

2.3.1	Number of gender-sensitive initiatives selected per country addressing climate security priority	0	1	1 per country	The selection process has been completed in 3 countries (Kiribati and Tuvalu, and RMI).
2.3.2	Percentage, disaggregated, who consider that the pilots have significantly improve the capacities of the community to deal with climate security issues	0	70%	0	This information will only be available after the pilots are installed (by early January 2023).
2.3.3	Number of documented lessons learned highlighting pilot interventions in addressing climate security priorities	0	10	0	The Implementation of pilot interventions will start in November 2022. To date (November), pilot materials have arrived in Tuvalu (Funafuti) and RMI (Mejatto), while shipment for the Kiribati pilot materials will be made in third week of December.

» Output 2.4



<p>Output 2.4: The objective of the PCSN is to ensure cross-disciplinary information sharing and brainstorming, effective partner collaboration through the implementation of the project, including input to related activities of other practitioners and institutions stakeholders in the space</p>	<p>Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i></p>	<p>Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p>	<p>Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p>
<p>2.4.1</p>	<p><b>Extent of which PCSN is established and demonstrated effective partner collaboration, information sharing and exchanges</b></p>	<p>No</p>	<p><b>PCSN is established and demonstrated effective partner collaboration, information sharing and exchanges</b></p>	<p><b>PCSN is established and operational</b></p>	
<p>2.4.2</p>	<p><b>Percentage of women members of the PCSN</b></p>	<p>0</p>	<p>40%</p>	<p>46%</p>	

2.4.3	% of participants to the regional forum who consider that their understanding of the issue has improved and that the plan of action is clear	0	75%	40%	
-------	--	---	-----	-----	--

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5: Pacific climate security findings informed the UN Conceptual Approach to Climate-Related Security Risk Assessments - strengthening both the regional and global framework for understanding climate security	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

2.5.1	Extent of Regional Climate Security Risk Assessment Framework incorporating climate change, human security, inclusivity (including gender and youth issues), and traditional security as relevant	0	1 Regional Climate Security Risk Assessment Framework incorporating climate change, human security, inclusivity, and traditional security established in line with the Principles of the Framework for Resilient Development in the Pacific	1	
2.5.2	% of stakeholders who consider that the Risk Assessment properly addresses the risks link to climate change	0	80%	40%	
2.5.3	Number of national, regional and global reports and frameworks informed by the Pacific climate security findings	0	At least 3 including the UN Conceptual approach to climate-related security risk assessments.	0	This is work-in-progress

**Outcome 3:** Stronger advocacy by atoll nations and Pacific island countries in global fora combatting climate change through greater emphasis on its impact on peace and security

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

\*

1. Off Track
  2. On Track
  3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

## Progress summary

*Please limit your response to 350 words*

**One of three outputs is complete. All three countries are working on establishing radio programmes and to procure hand-held radios to allow communities to keep informed on weather reports and learn about local and international news and upcoming events. In times of climate emergencies, such as cyclones and other extreme-weather events, radios play a critical role in emergency communication and disaster preparedness and relief, delivering life-saving information to remote communities. To date (November), Tuvalu has established its radio programme, and procured and distributed 300 hand-held radios to communities. RMI has placed an order for the same, while Kiribati is identifying suppliers.**

The project participated in panel discussions, including at the post COP-26 event with Pacific Ambassadors in New York, the Group of Friends on Climate and Security, the second PCSN meeting, the EU-UN Dialogue on Prevention, Stabilization and Peacebuilding, the high-level Pacific Climate Security Dialogue, and presented high level summaries of the climate security risk assessments at a UNFCCC COP27 side event. The podcast series "Catching the last wave", has been the most successful and 15 episodes have been published, including interviews with high level profiles such as the Minister for the Ministry of Finance in Tuvalu, the Honourable Seve Paeniu. Videos and human-interest stories in all three countries have made partners, the wider public, and especially the PBF donors appreciate what climate security means for atoll nations and why it is extremely important to prioritize them.

The first ever Climate Security Mediation Training will be held through the project, as planned between the UN agencies and PIFS. The project participated in a virtual workshop via the Connect through Peace network and through this engagement, undertook follow-up discussions with Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) such as PACSIA, Conciliation Resources, and Transcend Oceania. The project has engaged a consortium of consultants to develop an 'integrated Pacific mediation, dialogue, and multi-party process for climate security impacted communities', through partnership with CSOs in conflict prevention and peacebuilding.

Concept notes for the second phase were also developed with IOM.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*Please limit your response to 350 words*

**The gender perspective and the specific needs of youth and the vulnerable groups have been included in the narratives of policy work, mediation training, development partners' dialogue, and every communication and advocacy product, especially human-interest stories. A podcast focusing on the role of Pacific youth in the climate security discourse is also available.**

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key outcome indicators for **Outcome 3** in the table below

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

**» Outcome 3: Stronger advocacy by atoll nations and Pacific island countries in global fora combatting climate change through greater emphasis on its impact on peace and security**

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.1	<b>Percentage of country representatives and project stakeholders that consider that the Pacific Islands are better equipped to advocate in international fora.</b>	0	80%	40%	<b>Based on the ongoing climate security risk assessments, and communication tools (via podcasts, videos, google stories, press releases, social media), country representatives are being equipped to advocate for climate security issues in international fora.</b>
3.2	<b>Percentage of country and regional representatives who considered that the project has increased the visibility of climate security on global fora.</b>	0	80%	40%	<b>As above, and from national, regional and international events (such as workshops, consultations, regional and international dialogues), the project has increased the visibility of climate security on global fora.</b>

3.3	<b>Number of Pacific Atoll Islands Leaders' statements advocating at the global level combatting climate change and addressing its impact on peace and security.</b>	0	<b>At least three in 2020 and 2021</b>	3	<b>CANCC leaders have expressed the importance of climate change as the major threat to their national securities at various for a e.g. the UNGA, the high-level Pacific climate security dialogue, etc. Articles and videos are available online.</b>
-----	--	---	--	---	--

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

0    1    **2**    3    4    5    more than 5

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

**Greater awareness and reflection of positions on climate fragility and security for Pacific SIDS and low-lying atoll nations in relevant fora**

Output 3.2

**Identification, mobilization and coordination of resources for addressing the unique climate security challenges of the focus countries.**

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» **Output 3.1**

<p>Output 3.1: Greater awareness and reflection of positions on climate fragility and security for Pacific SIDS and low-lying atoll nations in relevant fora</p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Performance Indicators</p> <p><i>Describe the indicator</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Indicator Baseline</p> <p><i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target</p> <p><i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Indicator progress to date</p> <p><i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p> <p>.....</p>	<p>Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)</p> <p><i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p> <p>.....</p>
---	---	--	---	---	---

3.1.1	<p>Number of countries with established country level strategies on climate security informed by climate security profiles</p>	0	3	3	<p>All three countries are working on establishing radio programmes and to procure hand-held radios to allow communities to keep informed on weather reports and learn about local and international news and upcoming events. In times of climate emergencies, such as cyclones and other extreme-weather events, radios play a critical role in emergency communication and disaster preparedness and relief, delivering life-saving information to remote communities. To date (November), Tuvalu has established its radio programme, and procured and distributed 300 hand-held radios to communities. RMI has placed an order for the same, while Kiribati is identifying suppliers.</p>
-------	--	---	---	---	--



3.1.2	Number of CANCC members countries useing the Security Profiles (SP) to advocate positions on climate security at global fora	0	3 CANCC members are advocating on the SP position on climate security at global fora	3	To date, 3 CANCC members and Pacific SIDS were supported at a high-level Pacific Climate Security Dialogue in New York (12 October). The objectives were to: (i) highlight key findings of the climate security risk assessments and stimulate a discussion in addressing climate security challenges and main issues in the Pacific; and (ii) mobilise support for the next phase for the Climate Security initiative in the Pacific region.
3.1.3					

» Output 3.2

--

<p>Output 3.2: Identification, mobilization and coordination of resources for addressing the unique climate security challenges of the focus countries.</p>	<p>Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i></p>	<p>Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p>	<p>Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p>
<p>3.2.1</p>	<p><b>Number of countries with Resourcing strategies established (1 per country and a regional one) informed by national climate security profiles</b></p>	<p><b>0</b></p>	<p><b>3</b></p>	<p><b>0</b></p>	<p><b>This is planned for mid-January 2023, once the Climate Security Risk Country Profiles, and regional PCSAF are finalized and endorsed.</b></p>

3.2.2	1 good practice document on integrated approaches to address climate security challenges at regional and global levels	0	1	0	This will be based on the policy research, which is completed in RMI and Tuvalu “to identify best practices and gaps in operationalizing climate-related policy frameworks, and outline ways to include climate security considerations to climate finance, development finance, and security finance fora”. The policy research is commencing in Kiribati.
3.2.3	Number of countries negotiating inclusion of loss and damage issues faced by Pacific countries and atoll countries across the region and internal for a readiness on how to address loss and damage in the Pacific.	0	3	3	This took place at the UNFCCC COP27, and the three countries were supported with a side event to present the high-level summaries of the Climate Security Risk Assessment findings. High level and technical officials from each of the three countries participated in the event.

### PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next 6 months (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc. )

- yes  
 no

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

2

Event Title:

**Development Partners' Dialogue on Climate Security in the Pacific**

Date (can be tentative)

2023-01

Location (if known)

**Suva, Fiji**

Target Audience

*Select as many as applicable*

- Senior Government officials
- Other Government officials
- Civil Society
- Youth
- Women
- Military or Police Personnel
- Traditional Leaders
- Donors
- Businesses/ Private Sector
- Former Combattants
- Journalists
- Artists
- Other

Objectives \*

*Please limit your response to 150 words*

**To officially launch the Climate Security Risk Assessment Profiles (for Kiribati, Republic of Marshall Islands, and Tuvalu), and the regional Pacific Climate Security Risk Assessment Framework (PCSAF), and present concept notes to donors for the second phase of a climate security project.**

Any other information on the event

Event Title: \*

**Mediation Training for addressing climate-induced conflicts**

Date (can be tentative) \*

2023-01

Location (if known)

**Virtual**

Target Audience \*

*Select as many as applicable*

- Senior Government officials
- Other Government officials
- Civil Society
- Youth
- Women
- Military or Police Personnel
- Traditional Leaders
- Donors
- Businesses/ Private Sector
- Former Combattants
- Journalists
- Artists
- Other

Objectives

\*

*Please limit your response to 150 words*

**To implement the developed Facilitator's Guide (based on a tested methodology) for supporting capacity building initiatives on peaceful local dispute resolution for local climate-induced related conflicts.**

Any other information on the event

## Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project on their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

How many key stakeholders does this project have?

\*

3

1

Key Stakeholder :

\*

**All stakeholders in Kiribati**

What were the challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation?

\*

**In Kiribati, climate change is considered as the main factor to destabilize peace and stability and identified that in-person training and access to knowledge products could help increase the understanding of climate security threats. Stakeholders fear that the very disappearance of their land and food security are the priority climate-related issues associated with stability and peace. Water, food, and agricultural projects are the most urgent needs identified in the survey.**

What has been the impact of the project on their lives

*Please limit your response to 350 words*

**The project has been providing solutions and actions that ensure the inclusion and active participation of women, youth, and marginalized groups, enabling them to design and implement risk management strategies to address climate security effectively. This participatory process has allowed to identify and implement effective resilience-building initiatives at community level, ensuring an inclusive approach to building resilience.**

\*

Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

*Please limit your response to 350 words*

**The voice of youth has been reflected on the podcast *Catching the last wave*, in which Caleb Pollard and Belyndar Rikimani of the youth-led organization Pacific Islands Students Fighting Climate Change (PISFCC), said that the project “can help youth to address climate security in the Pacific and expand our voice with resources and expertise through collaboration with young people”.**

**The project conducted a series of national and regional workshops, which focused on how to identify and assess specific climate-related security risks, as well as discussing entry points to address those risks. Participants included civil society organizations: Mercy Masta from Conciliation Resources, for example, said that “the workshop is very important because it gives an opportunity to Pacific Islanders to ensure findings are locally-rooted and based on the realities of the Pacific”, while Paulo from Transcend Oceania declared that “no such work has been done on climate change and conflict, especially on what climate security means for us as Pacific people”.**

\*

2

Key Stakeholder :

**All stakeholders in the Republic of Marshall Islands**

\*

What were the challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation?

**In the Republic of Marshall Islands, stakeholders identified that in-person training, access to knowledge products and translation of products and publications could help increase the understanding of security threats linked to climate change. Participants fear the very disappearance of their land as well as droughts, limited resources and migration are unique challenges faced by atolls that need exceptional and expedited support from partners.**

\*

What has been the impact of the project on their lives

*Please limit your response to 350 words*

**The project has been providing solutions and actions that ensure the inclusion and active participation of women, youth, and marginalized groups, enabling them to design and implement risk management strategies to address climate security effectively. This participatory process has allowed to identify and implement effective resilience-building initiatives at community level, ensuring an inclusive approach to building resilience.**

\*

Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

*Please limit your response to 350 words*

**In RMI, the project is conducting social and emotional learning sessions and conflict resolutions talks specifically designed to support community members to identify and address potential conflict related to climate change. In the words of Arbi Rubon and the people of Ebon, “only a united community can overcome conflict and adapt to the impacts of climate change. To build resilience, we need to harmonize not just with nature but with each other.”**

\*

3

Key Stakeholder :

**All stakeholders in Tuvalu**

\*

What were the challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation?

\*

**In Tuvalu, stakeholders consider climate change to affect stability and peace within or amongst communities. Stakeholders identified that in-person training, access to knowledge products and translation of products and publications could help increase the understanding of security threats linked to climate change. Water, and food and agricultural projects are considered the most urgent measures to address climate security risks.**

What has been the impact of the project on their lives

\*

*Please limit your response to 350 words*

**The project has been providing solutions and actions that ensure the inclusion and active participation of women, youth, and marginalized groups, enabling them to design and implement risk management strategies to address climate security effectively. This participatory process has allowed to identify and implement effective resilience-building initiatives at community level, ensuring an inclusive approach to building resilience.**

Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

\*

*Please limit your response to 350 words*

**For Sinkiagi Taulamati, proud Tuvaluan suffering from disability, "the project is recognizing the importance of including vulnerable groups such as youth, women, and people with disabilities to be part of the process. We are the vulnerable groups, and our voices and perspectives should always be taken into consideration. The climate-related security issues that the project highlighted during the consultation were relevant as they truly represent the real-life challenges that people are facing. Those dialogues are necessary for enabling the project to address the real climate security issues that people are facing and to implement pragmatic interventions based on the needs of vulnerable groups like us."**

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had

*Please limit your response to 650 words*

**Not applicable**

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc..) to illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

File 1

*For photos, please use high resolution JPEG format*

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

File 2

*For photos, please use high resolution JPEG format*

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)



File 3

*For photos, please use high resolution JPEG format*

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

**OPTIONAL**

Link 1

Link 2

Link 3

## Monitoring

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period \*

*Please limit your response to 350 words*

**The project conducts weekly monitoring meetings with the project team, biannual and monthly oversight meetings with RCO and team management, and financial monitoring meetings with the larger team once a week and once a month.**

Do outcome indicators have baselines? \*

*If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'*

yes

no

Please provide a brief description \*

*Please limit your response to 350 words*

**Outcome indicators have baselines**

Has the project launched perception surveys or other community-based data collection? \*

yes

no

Please provide a brief description \*

*Please limit your response to 350 words*

**The perception baseline survey has been completed and results are available. The end of project perception survey will be collected before the end of the project (November-December 2022) and will establish the end of project indicator target.**

## Evaluation

Has an evaluation been conducted during the reporting period? \*

yes

no

Evaluation budget (in USD): \*

60000

If project will end in next six months, describe the evaluation preparations \*

*Please limit your response to 350 words*

**The Final Evaluation commenced in early September and is well underway to be completed by December 2022. Inception meetings were held, and the Inception Report has been finalized and accepted. Interviews with stakeholders and UN agencies have been conducted and the Report is being drafted.**

## Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project led to additional funding from other sources? \*

yes

no

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur (Ways in which the project has supported the expansion or creation of programs and policies supporting peace, both within and outside the UN system)? \*

- No catalytic effect
- Some catalytic effect
- Significant catalytic effect
- Very Significant catalytic effect
- Don't Know
- Too early to tell

Please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. ways in which the project has supported the expansion or creation of programs and policies supporting peace, both within and outside the UN system \*

*Please limit your response to 350 words*

**Internally within UNDP, the project has brought the two main programme teams closer i.e. the Resilience and Sustainable Development and Effective Governance teams. The two teams have conceptualized ideas for the second phase of climate security and developed concept notes in response to the Rising Nations Initiative (RNI) recently launched by the Prime Minister of Tuvalu, with support from the Presidents of Kiribati and the Republic of Marshall islands.**

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy. \*

- yes
- no

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the duration of the project \*

*Please limit your response to 350 words*

**The climate security risk assessment country profiles and regional climate security assessment framework, once finalized and endorsed, will guide the work on climate security beyond the project timeframe. With these documents, resource mobilization strategies and action plans could be developed to address specific climate security risks for each country and across specific sectors.**

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

**Delays with procurement of goods and services and hiring of project staff have significantly impacted the project.**

Annex: Please use this space to upload any additional document you may want to the report ( ex. Additional detail on indicator reporting)

[Click here to upload file. \(< 5MB\)](#)

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.

---