

Reporting for Project 00123032 for SERPs implementation

(Budget per project USD 53,000)

As per the MOU signed with UNDP,

The allocation to each country of the First Call for Proposals will directly support the work of UN Resident Coordinators in jumpstarting and coordinating implementation of the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response with national governments and across partners. This allocation can be used to support

- (a) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals and
- (b) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

As per the SG Designate email to the RCs informing them of the allocation:

- (a) to coordinate and accelerate formulation, approval and publication of a costed Socio-Economic Response Plan based on the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response
- (b) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals; and
- (c) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

Narrative Reporting at the conclusion of the project

1. Each RCO receiving the funds is required to report against the following questions.
- 2.

#	Question	Response
1	Please explain how the funding provided under this project was leveraged to “coordinate and accelerate” formulation, approval, and publication of a costed SERP in your country.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The RCO received in July 2020 53,000 (RCS activity 11) all of which was utilized. • The UN Belize Socioeconomic Response and Recovery Plan (SERP) was officially launched and widely distributed in January 2021 under the leadership of the Resident Coordinator. The SERP included a detailed COVID-19 Joint Programme Portfolio that detailed all planned programmatic actions, implementing timeline and budgetary framework under each SERP pillar and outcome. • The socio-economic response plan guided UN programmatic actions for the period July 2020 - December 2021 and provided the government with a flexible and adaptable tool, evidence-driven, and analytically based to be carried out by the UN country team and with UNDP’s technical expertise. • The COVID-19 Pandemic also exacerbated the need for many projects aiming to increase access to basic services for the Belizean population, particularly those in marginalized communities. Therefore, the RCO collaborated with UNDP in co-funding the formulation of an SDG Investor Mapping Report and Data Sets in October 2021. • The SDG Investor Map was developed to provide investors with insights into local markets and opportunities that both advance SDG goals and generate financial returns for investors, particularly in the COVID-19 era. We consider this joint initiative with UNDP important and innovative to address

		the gaps and challenges in the economic sphere that the pandemic left.
2	Were the funds used to support the proposal development for any of the Covid-19 MPTF calls? <i>[If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Funds were also used towards consultancy cost for the preparation of joint project documents for consideration by the COVID19 response and recovery fund (COVID19 MPTF). The COVID-19 joint health response programme was funded and fully implemented under MPTF. The programme titled 'COVID-19 response to vulnerable population and frontline workers in Belize' was jointly implemented by PAHO/WHO, ILO, UNHCR and UNFPA.
3	Were the funds used to build a pipeline of implementable programs? <i>[If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Three joint programmes were prepared under the consultancy to support the proposal development for the MPTF call. One proposal was funded and implemented (<i>described above</i>) and the other two were entered into global solutions catalogue that was launched on the UN COVID-19 Recovery website. The SERP also included, as an annex, a joint programme portfolio that outlined all ongoing, proposed and pipelined programmatic actions that are aligned to the SERP pillars and outcomes. The SERP programme portfolio was incorporated in the UN Belize joint work plan (JWP) for 2020 – 2021 cycle to highlight the UN in Belize mobilization efforts to address the impact of COVID-19.
4	<i>Please provide the project delivery rate</i>	The project delivery rate was 100%. All funds utilized across various activities.
5	Learning and Reflection on the use of funds. <i>[Please also share at least two suggestions for improving the UN coordination to implement the SERPs.]</i>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Alignment of SERP Implementation and reporting with JWP implementation and reporting: To ensure effective and consistent implementation and reporting of the SERP, it may be useful for the processes not to be seen as different and apart. The late incorporation of the SERP programme portfolio into the UN country implementation plan may have led to delays in the reconfiguration of programme activities to address the impact of COVID-19. Inclusion of external partners in planning, monitoring and implementation process: With improved involvement of and ownership by national government, CSOs, IFIs and other external partners in the planning process to develop the SERP, there may be strengthened interest to implement the plan. Global vs. National Indicators: While there exists global indicators and framework to monitor and report on the UNs global effort to tackle COVID-19, for some countries, there may be a need for national or intermediate indicators as data availability may be an issue.

If applies, briefly list how the allocation was spent by product:

#	Title of product	Approximate USD
1.	Aligned to the priority outcomes of the UN Socio-Economic Response Plan to COVID-19 in Belize, a consultant was engaged for the preparation of joint programme proposal(s) in consultations with UN agencies, funds and programmes including costed results framework (direct contribution to deliverable as a part of CCA consultancy)	\$10,000
2.	Co-funding support to UNDP for development of an SDG Investor Mapping and Data Sets to provide investors with insights into local markets and opportunities that both advance SDG goals and generate financial returns for investors, particularly in the COVID-19 era.	\$43, 000

Reporting for Project 00123032 for SERPs implementation

(Budget per project USD 53,000)

As per the MOU signed with UNDP,

The allocation to each country of the First Call for Proposals will directly support the work of UN Resident Coordinators in jumpstarting and coordinating implementation of the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response with national governments and across partners. This allocation can be used to support

- (a) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals and
- (b) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

As per the SG Designate email to the RCs informing them of the allocation:

- (a) to coordinate and accelerate formulation, approval and publication of a costed Socio-Economic Response Plan based on the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response
- (b) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals; and
- (c) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

Narrative Reporting at the conclusion of the project

1. Each RCO receiving the funds is required to report against the following questions.
- 2.

#	Question	Response
1	<p>Please explain how the funding provided under this project was leveraged to “coordinate and accelerate” formulation, approval, and publication of a costed SERP in your country.</p> <p>[Respondents are required to report the OVERALL results achieved and to briefly summarize the undertaken activities]</p>	<p>The primary use of the MPTF funding was to support development of the UN Bhutan COVID-19 Socio-Economic Response Plan (SERP). Overall, the MPTF support allowed the RCO to play a critical role in bringing together the UN system to jointly develop, cost and implement the SERP.</p> <p>Specifically, the funding was used to support engaging two national experts/consultants to lead development of the plan: one expert on economic and environment dimensions (and their intersection) and a second focusing on social sectors. The finance also helped the RCO develop and implement an inclusive stakeholder consultation process to ensure a comprehensive SERP that reflected the needs of diverse stakeholders in the country including vulnerable groups. This included a wide consultative process across the UN system, non-UN development partners, Government, civil society, academia, and the private sector. These critical inputs would not have been possible without the MPTF support.</p> <p>The final plan was published and presented to Government. It provided an important guide for UN Bhutan’s partnership with the Royal Government of Bhutan in response to COVID-19. This included: 1) helping UN agencies, the Government, and development partners adapt</p>

		and strengthen support to respond to COVID-19 across the five thematic areas; 2) giving a consolidated view of activities within and outside the UN, thereby supporting complementarity and partnerships; 3) supporting resource mobilization for a scaled up COVID-19 response through baseline evidence of country needs, mapping of priorities, and costing of activities.
2	Were the funds used to support the proposal development for any of the Covid-19 MPTF calls? <i>[If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]</i>	The completed SERP provided the foundation for UN Bhutan proposals to further funding calls, including to the COVID-19 MPTF. The SERP represented a comprehensive review of country needs together with identification of specific areas where the UN could support. As a result, this was a very good baseline for further funding proposals for agencies, funds and programme.
3	Were the funds used to build a pipeline of implementable programs? <i>[If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]</i>	<p>As mentioned, the SERP identified specific areas where the UN could introduce new or scaled up support in response to country priorities. This analysis was used by the RCO to support further inter-agency project proposals. Critically, it was also used at the individual agency level to support programme development and resource mobilization.</p> <p>The SERP was also fully integrated into the UN's core work, including especially the UNSDPF and Annual Work Plan (AWP). SERP priorities and new activities were reflected in the UNCT's work programme and its re-programmed resource framework. The SERP also identified new approaches and technology needed for the emergency response in ways that were integrated into UN work after the crisis, such as the use of digital solutions for continuity of education and learning, information-sharing, inter-agency coordination, and service delivery. In the end, the SERP thus provided an input across the UNCT's work, especially related to prioritization of needs and identifying potential agency responses like livelihood related support to vulnerable groups.</p> <p>As an example, this included a new joint partnership with RCO, UNDP, the Asian Development Bank, and the Ministry of Finance to strengthen macroeconomic forecasting and modelling, especially to estimate economy-wide impacts of COVID-19 shocks and develop alternative scenarios. This was viewed as an important complement to the SERP. The project supported a strengthened partnership with the Ministry of Finance, which subsequently led to additional new follow up activities such as to strengthen data collection and analysis. The analysis also further supported identification of areas of need and potential UN project support. The Ministry of Finance presented results to the UN system and other development partners.</p>
4	<i>Please provide the project delivery rate. [If this is less than 75%, please explain the reasons for having the reported rate]</i>	100%
5	Learning and Reflection on the use of funds. <i>[Please also share at least two suggestions for</i>	UN Bhutan integrated the indicators for the SERP into the UNSDPF from the very beginning which supported both UN coordination and results monitoring. The integration also helped to communicate the long-term

	<i>improving the UN coordination to implement the SERPs.]</i>	intention of the SERP. A learning from SERP is the timing and overlap with prioritization and re-prioritization exercises carried out by the government on all development programmes and activities in view and response to the pandemic, which often stretches over months. While this is somewhat unavoidable there was perhaps some “consultation fatigue” from Government and key partners.
--	--	---

If applies, briefly list how the allocation was spent by product:

#	Title of product	Approximate USD ¹
1.	UN Bhutan COVID-19 Socio-Economic Response Plan (SERP)	\$22,393.23
2.	Strengthening Macroeconomic Forecasting and Modelling in Bhutan: Estimating multi-dimensional impacts of COVID-19 and developing alternative future scenarios	\$14,433.33
3.	Bhutan VNR (Including to integrate impacts of COVID and identify emerging priorities)	\$12,161.43

¹ Note that \$4282.17 was allocated for UNDP GMS fees.

Reporting for Project 00123032 for SERPs implementation

(Budget per project USD 53,000)

As per the MOU signed with UNDP,

The allocation to each country of the First Call for Proposals will directly support the work of UN Resident Coordinators in jumpstarting and coordinating implementation of the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response with national governments and across partners. This allocation can be used to support

- (a) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals and
- (b) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

As per the SG Designate email to the RCs informing them of the allocation:

- (a) to coordinate and accelerate formulation, approval and publication of a costed Socio-Economic Response Plan based on the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response
- (b) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals; and
- (c) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

Narrative Reporting at the conclusion of the project

1. Each RCO receiving the funds is required to report against the following questions.

A short background:

The UN COVID-19 MPTF in 2020 had allocated \$50,000 to the countries targeted under the first call, including Cambodia. The allocation aimed to support the UN RC in jumpstarting and coordinating the implementation of the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response with the Royal Government of Cambodia and across partners. This includes creating the evidence base to provide a convincing investment case for supporting unfunded priorities and building a pipeline of programmes under the UN Framework.

Based on that UN COVID-19 MPTF together with the RCO Coordination Budget¹, the United Nations Resident Coordinator Office in Cambodia funded two assessments jointly implemented by ILO, UNIDO, UNOPS and WHO.

The first assessment was on “Industry 4.0/ Digital Assessment for Cambodia Garment Sector” implemented by ILO and UNIDO and aimed to increase understanding on how automation is being adapted in garment enterprises; what the future of work looks like in this regards, and the types of skill sets that would be required for this potentially increasingly automated sector. Focusing on assessing the digital readiness of garment enterprises; GFT’s digitization strategy including plans for increased automation, type of technologies, skills required and strategy to build these skills in the garment sector, as well as determining which technologies can be realistically be integrated given their existing business structure.

The second assessment was on “Rapid Assessment of Hospital Preparedness” implemented by UNOPS and WHO in a close collaboration with Ministry of Health (MOH) of the Royal Government of Cambodia. The assessment aimed to:

- Provide a general understanding of existing health service capacity in terms of numbers of staff and patients and existing physical space and equipment;

¹ RCO had used 16,234USD \$ from RCO Coordination Budget to fund the assessment in addition to funding from UN COVID-19 MPTF

- Identify gaps to properly install the equipment being procured in the context of COVID-19 emergency and to improve the health service response for infectious diseases;
- Identify “quick wins” and priorities for next steps in terms of resources allocation.
- Provide policy and strategic recommendations to develop an effective and sustainable master plan/investment plan for strengthening of the referral hospital infrastructure and equipment.

#	Question	Response
1	<p>Please explain how the funding provided under this project was leveraged to “coordinate and accelerate” formulation, approval, and publication of a costed SERP in your country. [Respondents are required to report the OVERALL results achieved and to briefly summarize the undertaken activities]</p>	<p>The funding provided under this project was leveraged to “coordinate and accelerate” the formulation of the Strategic Framework and Programmes for Economic Recovery in the Context of Living with Covid-19 in a New Normal 2021-2023 of the Royal Government of Cambodia (RGC) in different ways as following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The assessment on “Industry 4.0/ Digital Assessment for Cambodia Garment Sector” was conducted and report is finalized². The results of the assessment were used to inform some key ministries (Ministry of Industry, Science, Technology and Innovation, Ministry of Labour and Vocational Training, Ministry of Economy and Finance) focusing on Industry 4.0 in the garment sector, Garment Manufacturers’ Association in Cambodia, and international brands, especially on the ongoing efforts to modernize the garment sector through increased digitalization. In addition, the assessment’s result was also used to inform the implementation of Cambodia’s Garment, Footwear, and Travel Goods Sector Development Strategy (2020-2025). And last but not least, the results of the assessment were used to increase awareness of the required actions to be taken in a post-COVID scenario. 2. The assessment on “Rapid Assessment of Hospital Preparedness” was undertaken in 45 Provincial and District Level Referral Hospitals to measure the health infrastructure preparedness for supporting Cambodia’s COVID-19 response and other future health emergencies. The report is finalized and endorsed³ by the Ministry of Health, Cambodia and circulated to the hospital assessed and the development partners. The assessment provided insights on a better understanding of the health care system readiness and preparedness as well as the suitability and capacity of existing health facilities. In a broader context, this rapid assessment supported the Ministry of Health and more widely the RGC to identify and prioritize interventions to, appropriately utilize the proposed medical equipment and accommodate the change in service delivery to address COVID-19 needs, and for longer term hospital service improvements through policy and strategic recommendations for subsequent investments.
2	<p>Were the funds used to support the proposal development for any of the Covid-19 MPTF calls? <i>[If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]</i></p>	No
3	<p>Were the funds used to build a pipeline of implementable programs?</p>	<p>The funds were used for these two assessments as a kind of seed fundings. Agencies involved have discussed and moved forward through establishing joint proposals seeking for resource mobilizations from other development partners as below:</p>

² The report is attached

³ The report is available as attached

	<p><i>[If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UNIDO and ILO had agreed on a joint proposal for funding to work on the implementation and capacity development aspects of the recommendations of the assessment, also to support the government’s sector strategy. Both agencies will jointly reach out to potential donors to fund a more comprehensive project. • UNOPS and WHO had agreed to expand the collaboration on joint project development where WHO will focus on health technical aspects and UNOPS will focus on the operations, procurement and infrastructure. Both agencies had agreed to convene the follow up meetings in order to plan for the discussion with potential development partners.
4	<p><i>Please provide the project delivery rate. [If this is less than 75%, please explain the reasons for having the reported rate]</i></p>	<p>The overall delivery rate of those two assessments was 93% (delivery rate of first assessment was 90% and the second assessment was 96%).</p> <p>ILO had returned 4,000USD \$ back to RCO Cambodia (under the first assessment) and an amount of USD 1,243 are remain at UNOPS for the future use.</p>
5	<p>Learning and Reflection on the use of funds. <i>[Please also share at least two suggestions for improving the UN coordination to implement the SERPs.]</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The funds were very timely and indeed responded to the emergency needs of the country during the crisis. It also helped to enhance the position of the United Nations during the pandemic in supporting the Royal Government of Cambodia. • The funds had also played an important role in supporting the resident coordinator system reform. It helped increasing collaboration, coherence and synergies among UN agencies during and after the pandemic. From that seed funding, UN agencies have jointly developed proposals/projects for seeking funds from other development partners.

If applies, briefly list how the allocation was spent by product:

#	Title of product	Approximate USD
1.	First Assessment on “Industry 4.0/ Digital Assessment for Cambodia Garment Sector”	Total amount funded: USD 32,994 (ILO: 14,202, UNIDO: 18,792 ⁴) Delivery rate was 90% Fund was used for conducting the assessment (consultant).
2.	Second assessment on “Rapid Assessment of Hospital Preparedness”	Total amount funded: USD 33,240 Delivery rate was 96% Fund was used for conducting the assessment (consultant).

⁴ Out of this, 16,234 USD \$ was from RCO Coordination Budget

Reporting for Project 00123032 for SERPs implementation

(Budget per project USD 53,000)

As per the MOU signed with UNDP,

The allocation to each country of the First Call for Proposals will directly support the work of UN Resident Coordinators in jumpstarting and coordinating implementation of the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response with national governments and across partners. This allocation can be used to support

- (a) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals and
- (b) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

As per the SG Designate email to the RCs informing them of the allocation:

- (a) to coordinate and accelerate formulation, approval and publication of a costed Socio-Economic Response Plan based on the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response
- (b) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals; and
- (c) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

Narrative Reporting at the conclusion of the project

1. Each RCO receiving the funds is required to report against the following questions.

2.

#	Question	Response
1	Please explain how the funding provided under this project was leveraged to “coordinate and accelerate” formulation, approval, and publication of a costed SERP in your country. [Respondents are required to report the OVERALL results achieved and to briefly summarize the undertaken activities]	<p>UN RC Georgia has concentrated on immediate needs to support the most vulnerable and disadvantaged groups; strengthen the capacity of safety of health care workers in hospitals that has been transformed as COVID-19 clinics, as well to support health care workers in Abkhazia; to support the long-standing communication and advocacy campaign in cooperation with National Center Disease Control [NCDC, one of the main actors of government in amid COVID1-9]; to ensure the Azerbaijani, Armenian and Russian-language schools and pre-school institutions in Georgia COVID-19 guidebooks on the local languages.</p> <p>RC in consultation with the UNCT, Interagency Coordination Council, and the Ministry of IDPs, Health, Labour and Social Affairs of Georgia achieved the following results:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Public information campaign on vaccination reached various target groups2. Capacity of health care workers in hospitals strengthened3. Most vulnerable and disadvantaged groups received support, in particular people with disabilities4. Azerbaijanian, Armenian and Russian-language schools and pre-school institutions improved their capacity to introduce preventive measures. <p>These activities underpinned the initial UNCT Georgia joint response and built ground for reinforced collaboration among entities to develop the SERP.</p>

2	Were the funds used to support the proposal development for any of the Covid-19 MPTF calls? <i>[If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]</i>	No
3	Were the funds used to build a pipeline of implementable programs? <i>[If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]</i>	Not directly, but many UNCT agencies implemented substantial COVID-19 related programming in 2020-2021
4	<i>Please provide the project delivery rate. [If this is less than 75%, please explain the reasons for having the reported rate]</i>	98.9%
5	Learning and Reflection on the use of funds. <i>[Please also share at least two suggestions for improving the UN coordination to implement the SERPs.]</i>	In general, the future modalities would depend on the nature of the next crises. The key recommendation would be to avoid beefing up parallel processes and instead use the UNSDCF implementation framework for UN coordination as much as possible. Completely parallel pathway for global UN reporting on COVID-19 SERP implementation created unnecessary burden at the country level, especially in 2021 when COVID-19 response was simply part of overall country programming.

If applies, briefly list how the allocation was spent by product:

#	Title of product	Approximate USD
1.	C-19 vaccination leaflets and brochures; public service announcements on C-19 vaccination	15,000
2.	Materials and components purchased for the GEFPOR beneficiaries	3,298
3	Medical gowns (3005 pieces) purchased for Mmedi 22 pediatric clinic	6,010
4	Medical gloves (380 boxes) purchased for Mmedi 22 pediatric clinic	3,990
5	Covid-19 guidebooks for the Azerbaijani, Armenian and Russian-language schools and pre-school institutions in Georgia	4,248
6	4 TV programs on C-19-related topics	7,428
7	Medical items purchased for Sukhumi Infectious Hospital and delivered by UNDP	10,013
	TOTAL	49,987
	F&A	2,467
	Grand Total	52,454

Reporting for Project 00123032 for SERPs implementation

(Budget per project USD 53,000)

As per the MOU signed with UNDP,

The allocation to each country of the First Call for Proposals will directly support the work of UN Resident Coordinators in jumpstarting and coordinating implementation of the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response with national governments and across partners. This allocation can be used to support

- (a) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals and
- (b) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

As per the SG Designate email to the RCs informing them of the allocation:

- (a) to coordinate and accelerate formulation, approval and publication of a costed Socio-Economic Response Plan based on the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response
- (b) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals; and
- (c) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

Narrative Reporting at the conclusion of the project

1. Each RCO receiving the funds is required to report against the following questions.
- 2.

#	Question	Response
1	<p>Please explain how the funding provided under this project was leveraged to “coordinate and accelerate” formulation, approval, and publication of a costed SERP in your country.</p> <p>[Respondents are required to report the OVERALL results achieved and to briefly summarize the undertaken activities]</p>	<p>The funding was used for the publication and further dissemination of both the COVID-19 Socio-Economic Impact Assessment and Socio-Economic Response Plan:</p> <p>https://guatemala.un.org/es/download/47526/86303 https://guatemala.un.org/es/download/52495/95341</p> <p>It helped the RCO present the SEIA and SERP in several venues including to bilateral donors, national and subnational government institutions, civil society, academia, etc.</p> <p>In addition, a SEIA complementary study was undertaken regarding the green economy, considering that the initial document did not specifically address this issue.</p>
2	<p>Were the funds used to support the proposal development for any of the Covid-19 MPTF calls?</p> <p>[If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]</p>	<p>Before receiving the resources to support the RCOs, the UNS in Guatemala designed 4 joint programmatic proposals in the following topics / SERP pillars:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Health and adequate quarantine for vulnerable population - health first. 2. Gender-responsive integrated national household social registry – protecting people.

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Rebuild a better economy for rural population affected by COVID-19 pandemic – economic response and recovery. 4. Building back better by addressing VAWG during COVID-19 recovery – Social cohesion and community resilience. <p>Two out of these four proposals (1 and 2) were submitted for funding to the UN COVID-19 Response & Recovery Fund, and were approved for USD 1 million and USD 850 thousand, respectively. The other two (3 and 4) became part of the “Solutions Catalogue”.</p>
3	<p>Were the funds used to build a pipeline of implementable programs? <i>[If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]</i></p>	<p>The resources received financed the development of 4 new joint programmatic proposals to support the Socio- Economic Response Plan (SERP). These proposals were sent to the UN COVID-19 Response & Recovery Fund for the integration of the “Solutions Catalogue”. The goal was to mobilize resources for the following topics through the MPTF COVID-19:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Food security and nutrition – protecting people. 2. Education – protecting people. 3. Health – protecting people. 4. Resilience – Social cohesion and community resilience. <p>Since no resources were mobilized through the MPTF or other sources, these proposals, as well as the analyses carried out for their formulation, were used to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Update the Common Country Analysis in 2021. ○ Support the UNS joint planning exercise as part of the new UNSDCF 2020-2025 by including elements of these proposals in the Joint Work Plan 2021-2025. <p>Furthermore, 7 life stories were prepared to communicate and make visible the efforts being made by the UNS in response to COVID-19:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The return of migrants during the pandemic (<i>El retorno de migrantes durante la pandemia</i>) 2. <i>Spinning human rights in Guatemala: the struggle of the Mayan weavers</i> 3. Being a woman in the rural area of Huehuetenango during the pandemic (<i>Ser mujer en el área rural de Huehuetenango durante la pandemia</i>) 4. Medical care through a phone call (<i>Atención médica al alcance del teléfono</i>) 5. The gift of accompanying births (<i>El don de acompañar partos</i>) 6. Living on the run (<i>Vivir huyendo</i>) 7. Magic in the transit shelter (<i>Magia en el albergue de tránsito</i>)
4	<p><i>Please provide the project delivery rate. [If this is less than 75%, please explain the reasons for having the reported rate]</i></p>	<p>The delivery rate was 77%</p>
5	<p>Learning and Reflection on the use of funds. <i>[Please also share at least two suggestions for improving the UN coordination to implement the SERPs.]</i></p>	<p>The funds provided by the UN COVID-19 MPTF supported the development of proposals to respond to the impacts of COVID-19 on Guatemalan population living in vulnerable conditions. The proposals were designed to support the implementation of programs that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that in</p>

		<p>the medium and long terms, strengthen national capacities to response to future emergencies through improvements in the design of social programs that contribute to build a national Social Protection System.</p> <p>Proposals also supported the adoption of technology in the health and education sector with the introduction of telemedicine services to remote areas of the country during the pandemic. Internet and digital services were also introduced in rural schools to support long distance education, as well as education programs and supplies that allowed children to learn from home with support from their parents.</p> <p>Aligning the design of proposals with the UNSDCF 2020-25 gave UNCT’s technical teams the opportunity to jointly design initiatives with a medium- and long-term common vision, after the immediate response to the pandemic, in support of the sustainability of the results achieved during the pandemic in the health, education and social protection sectors.</p> <p>Suggestions for improving the UN coordination to implement the SERPs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Humanitarian Country Team and national and UN technical development teams must work together to design common outcomes for the UNSDCF in support of the implementation of the triple nexus. Having (emergency response-development) common outcomes in the UN Framework will increase coordination, reduce workload for AFP, and avoid duplications by promoting a common governance, coordination and M&E structure for UN emergency, development and sustaining peace initiatives. ○ Identify SERP indicators by regions (LAC, Africa, Europe, Asia) and support the adaptation of these indicators to the countries context to facilitate the inclusion of SERP indicators in UNSDCFs to monitor joint outputs implementation.
--	--	--

If applies, briefly list how the allocation was spent by product:

#	Title of product	Name	Approximate USD
1.	Design and publishing (online document), as well as dissemination material (Power Point Presentations)	COVID-19 Socio-Economic Impact Assessment COVID-19 Socio-Economic Response Plan	\$6,500.70
2.	Design and publishing: COVID-19 testimonies.	Life Stories Guatemala COVID-19	\$7,421.87
3.	COVID-19 Socio-Economic Impact Assessment (SEIA) complementary study.	Analysis of the effects and impacts of COVID-19 on the green economy in Guatemala.	\$4,478.81
4.	PRODOC, Joint Program, SERP	Design of a Joint Program for Pillar 2 and 3 of the Socioeconomic Response Plan to COVID-19 of the United Nations System in Guatemala.	\$5,656.48
5.	PRODOC, Joint Program, SERP	Design of a Joint Program -UNESCO, UNICEF and UNFPA- for pillar 2 of the Socioeconomic Response Plan to COVID-19 of the United Nations System in Guatemala: Pedagogical	\$3,487.72

		strengthening of distance and hybrid education, with a gender perspective, to guarantee quality learning for Guatemalan students.	
6.	PRODOC, Joint Program, SERP	Design of a joint program for the 5th pillar of Social Cohesion and Community Resilience, of the Socioeconomic Response Plan to COVID-19 of the United Nations System in Guatemala.	\$5,250.00
7.	PRODOC, Joint Program, SERP	Design of a Joint Program for the Health pillar of the Socioeconomic Response Plan to COVID-19 of the United Nations System in Guatemala.	\$4,504.97
8.	n/a	Administration fee - GMS UNDP 2020	\$962.30
9.	n/a	Administration fee - GMS UNDP 2021	\$3,275.92
10.	n/a	Net reduction of allocation from HQ	\$11,961.23*
TOTAL			\$54,092.76

* After the deadline for executing the budget of June 30, 2021, the COVID-19 MPTF Secretariat reduced the allocation by USD12,257.61, during the 3rd quarter of 2021. During the 4th quarter, it deposited an additional USD296.38 USD to complete the UNDP GMS 2021. Therefore, the net reduction was US\$11,961.23.

Reporting for Project 00123032 for SERPs implementation

(Budget per project USD 53,000)

As per the MOU signed with UNDP,

The allocation to each country of the First Call for Proposals will directly support the work of UN Resident Coordinators in jumpstarting and coordinating implementation of the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response with national governments and across partners. This allocation can be used to support

- (a) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals and
- (b) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

As per the SG Designate email to the RCs informing them of the allocation:

- (a) to coordinate and accelerate formulation, approval and publication of a costed Socio-Economic Response Plan based on the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response
- (b) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals; and
- (c) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

Narrative Reporting at the conclusion of the project

1. Each RCO receiving the funds is required to report against the following questions.
- 2.

#	Question	Response
1	Please explain how the funding provided under this project was leveraged to “coordinate and accelerate” formulation, approval, and publication of a costed SERP in your country. [Respondents are required to report the OVERALL results achieved and to briefly summarize the undertaken activities]	A part of the funding received was used to hire a consultant to effectively coordinate and consolidate programmes and activities towards the formulation of an 18-month UNCT Jamaica Socioeconomic Response Plan which clearly articulated the linkages between the proposed response framework, Jamaican Vision 2030 and the SDGs. This SERRP was finalized and approved by the government in support of the national COVID-19 response and recovery. The SRRP was designed to operationalize a gender- and human rights-based multidimensional approach to respond, recover and build back better from the impacts of COVID-19 in critical areas. The Plan is organized by five streams of focus – or pillars of the UN framework for the immediate socio-economic response to COVID-19, providing an integrated support package to protect the needs and rights of people living under the duress of the pandemic, with particular focus on the most vulnerable groups, and people who are at risk of being left behind. SERP provided a framework for agencies to coordinate their response to the pandemic and helped to mobilize internal and external funding. The SERP not only included activities surrounding the immediate needs of the country but also covers the longer-term socio-economic impact on Jamaica.

2	Were the funds used to support the proposal development for any of the Covid-19 MPTF calls? <i>[If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]</i>	Yes, funds were used to support the hiring of a consultant to support the development of a consolidated UNCT Jamaica programme proposal for Windows 1 & 2 of the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals.
3	Were the funds used to build a pipeline of implementable programs? <i>[If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]</i>	Yes, the funds were also used to build a pipeline of implementable programmes (3 Concept Notes developed and submitted for Window 3) which were developed in coordination with relevant UN agencies by the hired Consultant.
4	<i>Please provide the project delivery rate. [If this is less than 75%, please explain the reasons for having the reported rate]</i>	The project delivery rate is 100%
5	Learning and Reflection on the use of funds. <i>[Please also share at least two suggestions for improving the UN coordination to implement the SERPs.]</i>	<p>The funds provided proved to be invaluable in supporting rapid coordination and response amongst UN agencies and the government. Also, the opportunity to utilize savings from 2020 in Q1-Q2 2021 to flexibly respond to the needs of government while staying true to the mandate of the fund was very much appreciated. As such the RCO used the identified savings to engage with Jamaica's Ministry of Health and Wellness and identified opportunities for supporting their COVID-19 Communications Strategy, in particular the components related to vaccination hesitancy. The RCO provided technical support for the conceptualization of an awareness building and behaviour-change campaign. The savings were exhausted through financial support provided for the development, production, and dissemination of billboards in rural communities and on the exterior of public transport buses. This sought to maximize the reach of public information campaigns for hard-to-reach and rural communities.</p> <p>Suggestions for improving UN Coordination to implement the SERPs include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Encouraging more open and regular communication among agencies to promote greater visibility as well as unity of purpose and uniformity of action. 2. Greater flexibility to use previously earmarked funds to respond to urgent needs as identified in the SERP. 3. Encouraging more coordinated joint responses and resource mobilization efforts versus individual agency work. 4. Encouraging inter-agency support for sustainable ways to assist the health and other affected sectors in planning and responding to present and future health emergencies for example through contingency planning.

If applies, briefly list how the allocation was spent by product:

#	Title of product	Approximate USD
---	------------------	-----------------

1.	Development of COVID 19 MPTF Proposals and the Socio-Economic Response and Recovery Plan for Jamaica (Consultant)	\$41,000
2.	COVID-19 Vaccine Hesitancy Campaign (in partnership with the Ministry of Health and Wellness) – Support to the Ministry’s Strategy to promote sustained messaging around COVID-19 and the national vaccination campaign	\$9,700
3.		

Reporting for Project 00123032 for SERPs implementation

(Budget per project USD 53,000)

As per the MOU signed with UNDP,

The allocation to each country of the First Call for Proposals will directly support the work of UN Resident Coordinators in jumpstarting and coordinating implementation of the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response with national governments and across partners. This allocation can be used to support

- (a) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals and
- (b) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

As per the SG Designate email to the RCs informing them of the allocation:

- (a) to coordinate and accelerate formulation, approval and publication of a costed Socio-Economic Response Plan based on the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response
- (b) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals; and
- (c) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

Narrative Reporting at the conclusion of the project

1. Each RCO receiving the funds is required to report against the following questions.

#	Question	Response
1	<p>Please explain how the funding provided under this project was leveraged to “coordinate and accelerate” formulation, approval, and publication of a costed SERP in your country.</p> <p>[Respondents are required to report the OVERALL results achieved and to briefly summarize the undertaken activities]</p>	<p>Overall results achieved:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - An initial SEIA was put together comprising two elements under UNDP and UNICEF lead respectively followed by a comprehensive and fully costed SERP developed to guide UN programming in light of the pandemic and rapidly evolving situation in the country and region, including necessary steps to allow for business continuity. - In collaboration with MoFA, MoH and MPI, 2 MPTF joint proposals were developed, one technically led by UNDP on Supporting provincial health preparedness and surge capacities, including at points of entry in Lao PDR and one under the technical lead of UNFPA on Supporting essential sexual, reproductive, maternal, newborn, child and adolescent health services during COVID-19 in Lao PDR, both which were subsequently successfully approved by the SDG Fund and implemented. - A Multi-Stakeholder Task Force on COVID-19 impacts was convened under the co-lead of DPM and UN RC with the overall objective to facilitate the recalibration of the then near final draft 9th National Socio-Economic Development Plan (NSEDP). The high-level consultation dialogues received very positive feedback from stakeholders, which led to further requests for continued strategic UN coherent engagement on national

		<p>planning priorities to keep them more context appropriate as the pandemic developed.</p> <p>Summary of key activities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provide direct coordination support to the establishment and operations of the COVID – 19 Crisis Management team (CMT) and its sub working groups. Since its establishment, the CMT has been the main UN forum for discussion and preparation of proposed UNCT decision-making on a consolidated UN response to crisis, including SERP and other prioritized actions, including the joint WFP/RCO coordination and the humanitarian air service; ensuring business continuity planning and UN Duty of care (including vacc of staff, securing medical evacuation routed and overall staff wellbeing), and scaling up planning for multi-sectoral prevention, mitigation and response during the pandemic time, including UN contribution to the national COVID-19 vaccination programme. - Provide strategic coordination and logistics support to the establishment and operations of the Multi-Stakeholder Task Force on COVID-19 impacts and recalibration of the 9th NSEDP, including organizing the five high-level dialogues on 1) Human capital, 2) Macro-fiscal framework, 3) Labour and Migration, 4) Trade and Value chains and 5) Green growth, Resilience, and Risk Management. - Provide crucial coordination support throughout the process of formulation, validation and publication of SEIA and SERP, including convening meetings between various technical working groups and the CMT/UNCT throughout the process. - Provide key coordination support for the UNCT's preparation of the MPTF joint proposals, including convening various CMT/UNCT and CTM sub-groups meeting, preparing suggested meeting outputs/outcomes, keeping meeting minutes and coordinating with Agencies on the technical substance of the proposals.
2	<p>Were the funds used to support the proposal development for any of the Covid-19 MPTF calls?</p> <p><i>[If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]</i></p>	<p>Yes- the funds were used to support the development of two proposals funded in Lao PDR in response to the COVID-19 MPTF call.</p> <p>Specific activities undertaken include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Coordinating and supporting the CMT and its sub-groups' discussion throughout the preparation of the proposals for COVID-19 MPTF - Coordinating and supporting the development of various background documents as part of the Social Economic Impact Assessment (SEIA) and other processes to inform proposal development. - Coordinating the Government and partners to maintain an inter-ministerial and inter-agency coordinated approach throughout the proposal development process. - Coordinating UNCT efforts on UN Duty of Care and maintain business continuity amid various COVID-19 restrictions and preventive measures.
3	<p>Were the funds used to build a pipeline of implementable programs?</p>	<p>The Funds were used to support the development of proposed projects funded under the MPTF. Longer-term response was planned through</p>

	<i>[If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]</i>	the development of the new 2022-2026 UNSCDF and support to the recalibration of the 9 th NSEDP.
4	<i>Please provide the project delivery rate. [If this is less than 75%, please explain the reasons for having the reported rate]</i>	100%
5	<i>Learning and Reflection on the use of funds. [Please also share at least two suggestions for improving the UN coordination to implement the SERPs.]</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Responses are likely to be faster and more effective if built on existing mechanisms and frameworks. Strategic frameworks therefore should be designed in consideration of possible development emergencies to facilitate speedy response when required. - It is valuable to have flexible resources that can be allocated as needed by the RC/O in response to rapidly changing context, across the different capacities of the UNCT. More tightly constrained resources may limit the opportunity to broaden the engagement of the national counterparts and the collective UNCT work under the coordination of the RC/O. - The impartial coordination role of the RC, and the additional coordination capacity within the RCO, made a critical difference to both the speed and level of integration of the UN's response. - Given the very rapidly changing environment, including changes in practical operational restrictions (e.g. travel and meetings), flexibility in the use of funds was very valuable in supporting the development of alternative solutions as needed.

If applies, briefly list how the allocation was spent by product:

#	Title of product	Approximate USD
1.	Multistakeholder COVID-19 taskforce background papers and key recommendations for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Macro-fiscal framework - Human capital - Trade and Value chain - Green growth - Labour and Migration 	\$ 7,000 incl. consolation meetings, interpretation and translation costs
2.	A fully costed SERP	
3.	2 MPTF successful proposals on <i>Supporting provincial health preparedness and surge capacities, including at points of entry in Lao PDR</i> and <i>Supporting essential sexual, reproductive, maternal, newborn, child and adolescent health services during COVID-19 in Lao PDR</i>	
4.	A bank of ideas/draft proposals on COVID-19 response, with some included in the Global Catalogue of unfunded projects.	

Lesotho Reporting for Project 00123032 for SERPs implementation

(Budget per project USD 53,000)

As per the MOU signed with UNDP,

The allocation to each country of the First Call for Proposals will directly support the work of UN Resident Coordinators in jumpstarting and coordinating implementation of the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response with national governments and across partners. This allocation can be used to support

- (a) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals and
- (b) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

As per the SG Designate email to the RCs informing them of the allocation:

- (a) to coordinate and accelerate formulation, approval and publication of a costed Socio-Economic Response Plan based on the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response
- (b) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals; and
- (c) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

Narrative Reporting at the conclusion of the project

1. Each RCO receiving the funds is required to report against the following questions.

#	Question	Response
1	<p>Please explain how the funding provided under this project was leveraged to “coordinate and accelerate” formulation, approval, and publication of a costed SERP in your country.</p> <p>[Respondents are required to report the OVERALL results achieved and to briefly summarize the undertaken activities]</p>	<p>UN Lesotho prepared a costed SERP which informed the activities and expected results in response to COVID-19 fully funded by UNDP. The UN engaged extensively with the government for the development of a national recovery plan to which these funds were planned for use. The government opted not to develop a COVID-19 response and recovery plan hence the funds were used primarily to gather information and evidence for implementable programmes.</p> <p>The funds were then used for the formulation of Socio-Economic Response Plan targeted for one of the most vulnerable and affected group of People with Disabilities (PWD). This included development of COVID-19 risk communication materials for people with disabilities in braille, videos and dissemination workshops.</p> <p>Studies on the impact of COVID-19 for youths and PWDs were undertaken for evidence-based planning and response.</p>
2	<p>Were the funds used to support the proposal development for any of the Covid-19 MPTF calls?</p> <p>[If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]</p>	No.

3	<p>Were the funds used to build a pipeline of implementable programs? <i>[If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]</i></p>	<p>Yes. The funds were used to gather information and evidence for development of implementable programs. Two impact studies were undertaken on the impact of COVID-19 on two key vulnerable groups of the population: the youth and the People With Disabilities. For the study on the impact of COVID-19 on People with Disabilities, the evidence was used for the development of a Disability Inclusion project to which UN Lesotho has been provided with seed funding of \$70000 under UNDIS. The impact of COVID-19 on Youth study has provided evidence that has been used for the development of an inter-agency joint proposal on unlocking the potential of youth through empowerment. This is one of the flagship joint proposal that UN Lesotho is working on and mobilizing resources for.</p>
4	<p><i>Please provide the project delivery rate. [If this is less than 75%, please explain the reasons for having the reported rate]</i></p>	<p>100%</p>
5	<p>Learning and Reflection on the use of funds. <i>[Please also share at least two suggestions for improving the UN coordination to implement the SERPs.]</i></p>	<p>1. To improve coordination, efforts should have been made to align the SERP with the UNDAF joint workplans to avoid parallel structures being formed that is using the UNDAF Results Groups mechanisms. 2. The SERP was rated highly as encouraging inter-agency joint programme and proposal development and resource mobilisation, and indeed a number of joint proposals have been crafted using the SERP. However, many of the proposals developed remained in a pipeline for funding. Hence the resource mobilization funds should have been mobilized at a global level as the SERPs were being developed. 3. The SERP was rated less highly in encouraging inter-agency collaboration, particularly joint planning and programming. It was noted that whilst reporting may be a joint exercise, implementation was still largely undertaken at the agency level. Integrating into the UNDAF Joint Work plans from the start could have improved the joint planning.</p>

If applies, briefly list how the allocation was spent by product:

#	Title of product	Approximate USD
1.	Impact of COVID-19 on Adolescents and Youth	\$10,000
2.	Impact of COVID-19 on People with Disabilities	\$10,000
3.	Infographics on impact studies of COVID-19 on youth and People with Disabilities	\$11,000
4.	Risk communication materials for People with Disabilities (PWDs)	\$12,000
5.	Evaluation of Lesotho Socio-economic Response Plan (SERP)	\$0.00

Reporting for Project 00123032 for SERPs implementation

(Budget per project USD 53,000)

As per the MOU signed with UNDP,

The allocation to each country of the First Call for Proposals will directly support the work of UN Resident Coordinators in jumpstarting and coordinating implementation of the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response with national governments and across partners. This allocation can be used to support

- (a) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals and
- (b) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

As per the SG Designate email to the RCs informing them of the allocation:

- (a) to coordinate and accelerate formulation, approval and publication of a costed Socio-Economic Response Plan based on the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response
- (b) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals; and
- (c) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

Narrative Reporting at the conclusion of the project

1. Each RCO receiving the funds is required to report against the following questions.
- 2.

#	Question	Response
1	Please explain how the funding provided under this project was leveraged to “coordinate and accelerate” formulation, approval, and publication of a costed SERP in your country. [Respondents are required to report the OVERALL results achieved and to briefly summarize the undertaken activities]	The funding was invaluable in : (1) coordinating the communication around the immediate response to Covid (vaccination) and ensuring necessary information could reach people and groups at highest risk of socio-economic impact, and (2) collecting data pertaining to people and social groups left behind in 22 regions of Madagascar, so to anticipate future crises, and prepare/tailor socio-economic responses in the future.
2	Were the funds used to support the proposal development for any of the Covid-19 MPTF calls? [If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]	Not directly. But results of the LNOB analysis will incidentally better prepare the office in the understanding of the socioeconomic disparities and disparate conditions which will need to be tackled to remove this permanent layer of discrimination and exclusion.
3	Were the funds used to build a pipeline of implementable programs? [If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]	Not directly. But results of the LNOB analysis will incidentally better prepare the office in the understanding of the socioeconomic disparities and disparate conditions which will need to be tackled to remove this permanent layer of discrimination and exclusion.

4	<i>Please provide the project delivery rate. [If this is less than 75%, please explain the reasons for having the reported rate]</i>	The funding has been totally used by end of 2021.
5	Learning and Reflection on the use of funds. <i>[Please also share at least two suggestions for improving the UN coordination to implement the SERPs.]</i>	<p>Suggestions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Set up of a mechanism (platform, blog, community of practice), for quickly and informally sharing – across UNCTs - information, emerging ideas, and initiatives to cope with such crises and to build back better. 2. Ensure that a general framework for SERPs is available under a common and operational HDP approach.

If applies, briefly list how the allocation was spent by product:

#	Title of product	Approximate USD
1.		
2.		
3.		

Reporting for Project 00123032 for SERPs implementation

(Budget per project USD 53,000)

As per the MOU signed with UNDP,

The allocation to each country of the First Call for Proposals will directly support the work of UN Resident Coordinators in jumpstarting and coordinating implementation of the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response with national governments and across partners. This allocation can be used to support

- (a) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals and
- (b) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

As per the SG Designate email to the RCs informing them of the allocation:

- (a) to coordinate and accelerate formulation, approval and publication of a costed Socio-Economic Response Plan based on the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response
- (b) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals; and
- (c) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

Narrative Reporting at the conclusion of the project

1. Each RCO receiving the funds is required to report against the following questions.
- 2.

#	Question	Response
1	Please explain how the funding provided under this project was leveraged to “coordinate and accelerate” formulation, approval, and publication of a costed SERP in your country. [Respondents are required to report the OVERALL results achieved and to briefly summarize the undertaken activities]	<p>The funding provided under this project was used to enhance the evidence-based for the programming of the emerging area of preventing extremism. Under this project, a study was conducted to gauge the context of the faith related issued especially amongst the young people in the Maldives that has recently led to incidents involving extremism, violence and youths going to Syria and other similar war zones for Jihad. This study aimed help to assess youth mentality which will be a cautionary step towards addressing this issue at a national level, which is also related to the Pillar 5 of SERP, which is Social Cohesion.</p> <p>The funding was also utilized to improve strategic communications and accelerate the advocacy efforts by designing and delivering a series of practical online workshops for the UN Communications Group to deliver in the Communications Strategy, which contributed to report on the achievement of the priorities outlined in the SERP.</p>
2	Were the funds used to support the proposal development for any of the Covid-19 MPTF calls? [If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]	Yes. The funds were used to build the communication skills of the UNCG (6 members) by developing a needs assessment of the communications capacity of the members. Moreover, funds were also utilized to seek the support from a consultant to design the first UN Maldives Communications Strategy 2021 – 2025.

		These led to enhance the quality of the formulated joint proposals
3	Were the funds used to build a pipeline of implementable programs? <i>[If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]</i>	The funds were used to develop proposals for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increasing the evidence-base for the programming of the emerging area of preventing extremism. • Improving strategic communication of the UN Maldives in delivering the priorities of the SERP. • Increasing awareness on the implications of COVID-19 with CSOs on achieving the SDGs by the year 2030. • Increase accessibility of transitional justice bill and its application in implementations of relevant interventions in the SERP.
4	<i>Please provide the project delivery rate. [If this is less than 75%, please explain the reasons for having the reported rate]</i>	The delivery of the funding allocated was USD 49,783.25. Based on this, the delivery rate is 93%.
5	Learning and Reflection on the use of funds. <i>[Please also share at least two suggestions for improving the UN coordination to implement the SERPs.]</i>	<p>The funds were used for specific purposes which contributed to the implementation of the areas highlighted in the Socio-Economic Response Plan. Such as support was obtained to translate the drafted “Transitional Justice Act”, design a self-paced and interactive online course with modules on all the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) catered to the local context and relevant to Civil Society in the Maldives etc.</p> <p>Although the specific funding commitments jointly contributed to the overall results of the areas highlighted in the SERP, perhaps in future, the funding could be best placed by contributing specifically to the coordination of activities highlighted under the pillars of the SERP.</p> <p>Moreover, the funding could be used for joint initiatives between two or three agencies, which would also help achieve the coordination between the agencies.</p>

If applies, briefly list how the allocation was spent by product:

#	Title of product	Approximate USD
1.	Islamic University of Maldives Research on Radicalisation and Extremism	10,873.25
2.	CSO SDG E-Learning Platform Consultancy	5400.00
3.	Transitional Justice Bill Translation	960.00
4.	Strategic Communications Advisor	19,950.00

Reporting for Project 00123032 for SERPs implementation

(Budget per project USD 50,000)

Narrative Reporting at the conclusion of the project

Country: Republic of Moldova

#	Question	Response
1	<p>Please explain how the funding provided under this project was leveraged to “coordinate and accelerate” formulation, approval, and publication of a costed SERP in your country.</p> <p><i>[Respondents are required to report the OVERALL results achieved and to briefly summarize the undertaken activities]</i></p>	<p>The provided support helped increase the visibility of the UNCT Moldova SERP aiming for increased resource mobilization and partnerships for implementation. With the provided funding the UNCT/RCO Moldova were able to further fine tune the developed SERP as well as develop and launch of a dedicated interactive landing webpage for the SERP. The provided funding has also been used to develop SERP related implementable programmes and a survey – Moldova Comprehensive Gender Assessment, that included analysis of the impact of COVID from a gender perspective. The Gender Assessment was then used to devise specific gender components into SERP programming. (Access the Assessment here).</p> <p>Partially due to the support the UNCT was able to mobilize funding for at least 3 projects listed in the SERP.</p> <p>RC/O has subcontracted several companies and consultancies in the framework of the current project, i.e. project document development, gender survey (including impact of covid), SERP website development, graphical design and printing services, video production, translation services.</p>
2	<p>Were the funds used to support the proposal development for any of the Covid-19 MPTF calls?</p> <p><i>[If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]</i></p>	<p>No. The funds were not used to prepare project proposals for the UN COVID MPTF calls. The proposals for 2 calls of the MPTF were prepared by UNCT Moldova and RCO before the SERP support was provided.</p>

3	Were the funds used to build a pipeline of implementable programs? <i>[If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]</i>	Yes. Specialized consultancy was contracted to develop a programme proposal as part of SERP to be implemented by UNICEF, IOM, UNDP, UNFPA, WHO and OHCHR with a total budget of \$2.5M.
4	<i>Please provide the project delivery rate. [If this is less than 75%, please explain the reasons for having the reported rate]</i>	The rate of implementation of the project is 76%. Higher delivery rate was not possible as the funds were allocated after the SERP was developed.
5	Learning and Reflection on the use of funds. <i>[Please also share at least two suggestions for improving the UN coordination to implement the SERPs.]</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - While the funds were made available rapidly considering the context, we would suggest allowing access to funding earlier in time as soon as a crisis starts. - The SERP should use a similar (but simplified) methodology (result matrix, etc.) as UNSDCFs have so their incorporation into the Cooperation Frameworks and monitoring is straightforward. - SERPS methodology allow rapid appeals and could be shared at HQ level with partners.

If applies, briefly list how the allocation was spent by product:

#	Title of product	Approximate USD
1.	Development of the website for the SERP	5,959.00
2.	Text editing and proofreading services for SERP and SERP Website	2,556.00
3.	Graphical design and printing services for SERP	1,732.00
4.	Consultancy services- programme document development contained in the SERP	15,000.00
5.	Consultancy services- gender survey	9,330.00
6.	Production of short video for SERP communication on social media	928.00
7.	Graphic design and translation services	2,862.00
	TOTAL	38,367.00

Reporting for Project 00123032 for SERPs implementation

(Budget per project USD 53,000)

As per the MOU signed with UNDP,

The allocation to each country of the First Call for Proposals will directly support the work of UN Resident Coordinators in jumpstarting and coordinating implementation of the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response with national governments and across partners. This allocation can be used to support

- (a) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals and
- (b) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

As per the SG Designate email to the RCs informing them of the allocation:

- (a) to coordinate and accelerate formulation, approval and publication of a costed Socio-Economic Response Plan based on the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response
- (b) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals; and
- (c) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

Narrative Reporting at the conclusion of the project

1. Each RCO receiving the funds is required to report against the following questions.

#	Question	Response
1	<p>Please explain how the funding provided under this project was leveraged to “coordinate and accelerate” formulation, approval, and publication of a costed SERP in your country.</p> <p>[Respondents are required to report the OVERALL results achieved and to briefly summarize the undertaken activities]</p>	<p>The MPTF funding helped the RCO Mongolia to ensure a comprehensive and integrated COVID-19 response in country and initiate an integrated coordination structure for COVID-19 pandemic, co-chaired by the Deputy Prime Minister and the United Nations Resident Coordinator at the strategic decision-making level.</p> <p>Additionally, in order to support households, businesses, governments and international organizations in tracking evolution of COVID-19 situation and making right decisions, the UN Mongolia has developed the COVID-19 website for Mongolia http://covidmongolia.mn/ to monitor in ‘real time’ the COVID-19 situation in the country and its economic and social impact on people and businesses. It was aimed to serve as a one-stop-shop to integrate all information related to the COVID-19 situation in Mongolia, including links to studies and surveys implemented by the UN agencies, including those consolidated in the UN Socio-Economic Response Plan and also development partners. The website was transferred to the Government of Mongolia and integrated in E-Mongolia system.</p> <p>The funding also helped support the State Emergency Committee’s Risk Communications and Community Engagement (RCCE) group with additional financial support of MNT 90 million namely from the UNICEF and FAO, as well as funding the recruitment of two communications consultants at the RCCE group. These consultants contributed to</p>

		creation of communications messages and contents to raise public health awareness through various communications channels.
2	Were the funds used to support the proposal development for any of the Covid-19 MPTF calls? <i>[If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]</i>	The funds were mainly used for advocacy and coordination among the UN agencies, and partnership with the government and development partners, which have resulted in development of new project ideas and mobilization of additional resources from the UK Embassy to support Mongolians stranded abroad.
3	Were the funds used to build a pipeline of implementable programs? <i>[If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]</i>	The funds were used for coordination purposes among the UN agencies and with the development partners and the government, which led to development of a pipeline of three comprehensive programmes to implement the SERP. The pipeline was presented by the UNCT to potential donors at different platforms, including through direct communication by the Resident Coordinator to ambassador and heads of development agencies.
4	<i>Please provide the project delivery rate. [If this is less than 75%, please explain the reasons for having the reported rate]</i>	86.47%
5	Learning and Reflection on the use of funds. <i>[Please also share at least two suggestions for improving the UN coordination to implement the SERPs.]</i>	The RC/O appreciates the funding provided by MPTF that helped to enhance its role in coordination of the COVID-19 response and engage with multiple stakeholders to support the Government of Mongolia. Our advocacy efforts and ability to engage with the Deputy Prime Minister's Office on the COVID-19 response, helped the UN to come up with a pipeline of strategic joint programmes and mobilize resources. At the same time, it would be appreciated if the funds provision for development of a pipeline is also accompanied by technical support from the MPTF to countries in mobilizing resources and advocating with donors. Another suggestion is not to limit funds to development of pipeline programmes, as having a pipeline of joint programmes doesn't guarantee that the UNCT is able to mobilize resources and agencies are more inclined to do funds raising bilaterally. There should be more focus strengthening coordination and partnership with different stakeholders, rather than pipeline development.

If applies, briefly list how the allocation was spent by product:

#	Title of product	Approximate USD
1.	Services to projects-CO staff	1,473.84
2.	Local Consult-short term-tech	13,762.97
3.	Local Consult-Security	19.26
4.	Scv Co-Social Services, Social Sci	27,188.84
5.	Hospitality Catering	145.91

6.	Services to projects-GOE	631.64
7.	Learning costs	180.06
8.	Realized Loss	21.75
9.	Realized Gain	-190.20

Reporting for Project 00123032 for SERPs implementation

(Budget per project USD 53,000)

As per the MOU signed with UNDP,

The allocation to each country of the First Call for Proposals will directly support the work of UN Resident Coordinators in jumpstarting and coordinating implementation of the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response with national governments and across partners. This allocation can be used to support

- (a) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals and
- (b) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

As per the SG Designate email to the RCs informing them of the allocation:

- (a) to coordinate and accelerate formulation, approval and publication of a costed Socio-Economic Response Plan based on the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response
- (b) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals; and
- (c) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

Narrative Reporting at the conclusion of the project

1. Each RCO receiving the funds is required to report against the following questions.
- 2.

#	Question	Response
1	Please explain how the funding provided under this project was leveraged to “coordinate and accelerate” formulation, approval, and publication of a costed SERP in your country. [Respondents are required to report the OVERALL results achieved and to briefly summarize the undertaken activities]	The SERP was developed jointly by UNDP and the RCO. It followed extensive consultation with Government, development partners and other UN agencies. The SERP was shaped by the UNDP-led Socio-Economic Impact Assessment of COVID on Papua New Guinea. This assessment highlighted the key challenges faced by Papua New Guinea as it dealt with the impacts of COVID on various sectors and the subsequent and associated lock downs handed down by Government. The SERP drew on these consultations and the data produced during the socio-economic impact assessment to highlight key opportunities to build forward better. Of particular focus were, services; infrastructure; stimulus of micro, small and medium enterprises.
2	Were the funds used to support the proposal development for any of the Covid-19 MPTF calls? [If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]	No. The work delivered was drawn on extensively by UNDP to mobilise resources to drive potential economic stimulus, shape macro-economic policy and highlight opportunities to improve the delivery of basic social services to urban, peri-urban, rural and remote areas across the country.
3	Were the funds used to build a pipeline of implementable programs? [If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]	No.

4	<i>Please provide the project delivery rate. [If this is less than 75%, please explain the reasons for having the reported rate]</i>	100%
5	Learning and Reflection on the use of funds. <i>[Please also share at least two suggestions for improving the UN coordination to implement the SERPs.]</i>	The funds offered an opportunity to deliver an important initiative. This work took forward the findings of the socio-economic impact assessment and provided a framework to respond. Implementation of the SERP was reported in the UNCT's Annual Results Report.

If applies, briefly list how the allocation was spent by product:

#	Title of product	Approximate USD
1.	SERP	\$45,000
2.	Communications and outreach	\$8,000

Reporting for Project 00123032 for SERPs implementation

(Budget per project USD 53,000)

UN RCO Tajikistan

As per the MOU signed with UNDP,

The allocation to each country of the First Call for Proposals will directly support the work of UN Resident Coordinators in jumpstarting and coordinating implementation of the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response with national governments and across partners. This allocation can be used to support

- (a) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals and
- (b) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

As per the SG Designate email to the RCs informing them of the allocation:

- (a) to coordinate and accelerate formulation, approval and publication of a costed Socio-Economic Response Plan based on the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response
- (b) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals; and
- (c) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

Narrative Reporting at the conclusion of the project

1. Each RCO receiving the funds is required to report against the following questions.

2.

#	Question	Response
1	Please explain how the funding provided under this project was leveraged to “coordinate and accelerate” formulation, approval, and publication of a costed SERP in your country. [Respondents are required to report the OVERALL results achieved and to briefly summarize the undertaken activities]	COVID-19 had a devastating impact on the socio-economic life of Tajikistan. In addition to COVID-19 MPTF Fund received in mid-2020 for public health response, additional allocation was made available for the UN RC Office (UNRCO) to coordinate and support the preparation Integrated Socioeconomic Response Framework (ISEF) and the implementation of projects proposals under the ISEF. Received allocation has been spent to generate evidence of the negative impact of COVID-19 on: migrants, who got stuck in labour destination countries, flow of remittances, impact on food systems, MSMEs and the use of digital solutions for continues business, updating country CCA based on received data. ISEF implementation during June 2020-December 2021 has been co-led by UNDP and UNRCO.
2	Were the funds used to support the proposal development for any of the Covid-19 MPTF calls? [If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]	The findings from the analysis informed the preparation of the joint UN project to support abandoned migrant families. This joint initiative is supported by the Migration MPTF.

3	Were the funds used to build a pipeline of implementable programs? <i>[If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]</i>	Building on the work of the ISEF, the UNCT has further developed joint initiatives across a range of priority areas such as youth and peacebuilding, financing development, migration, and prevention of gender-based violence.
4	<i>Please provide the project delivery rate. [If this is less than 75%, please explain the reasons for having the reported rate]</i>	100 % funds delivered
5	Learning and Reflection on the use of funds. <i>[Please also share at least two suggestions for improving the UN coordination to implement the SERPs.]</i>	With the funds, we were able to better draw from UNRCO's in-house technical expertise. The funds allowed us to generate evidence and do a rigorous analysis of the socioeconomic impact of COVID-19 which was critical in the preparation and coordination of ISEF. The allocated funds also helped to inform future programming, including the preparation of the UNSDCF.

If applies, briefly list how the allocation was spent by product:

#	Title of product	Approximate USD
1.	Preliminary Assessment Digital Solutions for MSMEs	3,000
2.	Analyses of COVID-19 impact to migrants and policy brief	17,000
3.	CCA preparation with ISEF data	3,780
4	COVID impact on Food. Food Systems analysis and dialogues	12,400
5	Reporting and printing ISEF materials	4,000
9	Other cost (translation, events etc.)	9820
	<i>UNDP GMS 7%</i>	<i>3,500</i>
	Total	53,500

Reporting for Project 00123032 for SERPs implementation

(Budget per project USD 53,000)

As per the MOU signed with UNDP,

The allocation to each country of the First Call for Proposals will directly support the work of UN Resident Coordinators in jumpstarting and coordinating implementation of the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response with national governments and across partners. This allocation can be used to support

- (a) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals and
- (b) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

As per the SG Designate email to the RCs informing them of the allocation:

- (a) to coordinate and accelerate formulation, approval and publication of a costed Socio-Economic Response Plan based on the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response
- (b) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals; and
- (c) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

Narrative Reporting at the conclusion of the project

1. Each RCO receiving the funds is required to report against the following questions.
- 2.

#	Question	Response
1	Please explain how the funding provided under this project was leveraged to “coordinate and accelerate” formulation, approval, and publication of a costed SERP in your country. [Respondents are required to report the OVERALL results achieved and to briefly summarize the undertaken activities]	<p>The United Nations family has supported a range of programmes in the country over the past two decades, including Timor-Leste’s Drivers of Growth and Sectoral Transformation study led by IGC and coordinated by RCO Timor-Leste. Most importantly, the new Cooperation Framework recognises the collective development efforts of the Government of Timor-Leste, the United Nations development system, development partners, the private sector and civil society in positively changing the lives of the Timorese people. It sets out how Timor-Leste can accelerate progress to achieve the Sustainable Development Goals and realise the aspirations set out in the national Strategic Development Plan (SDP), driven by its overarching principle of inclusiveness, with all Timorese having the opportunity to contribute to, participate in, and benefit from national development.</p> <p>In 2019 the Government prepared its first Voluntary National Review of the SDGs. One of the conclusions from that was that while Timor has a clear, well-understood Strategic Development Plan (2011-2030) for achieving the SDGs, one missing thing is an accompanying plan for diversifying the economy and creating sustainable jobs for citizens. For Timor-Leste to achieve its aim of becoming a Middle Income Country (MIC) by 2030, the importance of having a clear plan for economic diversification is vital for the country’s future.</p>

		<p>With the emergence of the COVID 19 crises, the process of economic diversification slowed down and lost priority in the government agenda.</p> <p>With this in mind, in UNRC Office approached the International Growth Centre – a highly reputable academic partnership between two leading British universities (LSE and the University of Oxford) that specialises in providing independent policy advice based on rigorous evidence on sustainable economic growth options for countries. RCO coordinated and collaborated with partners and over several months to complete the study. Earlier in 2021, the paper was finalised and printed.</p> <p>The paper presents some practical ideas about how economic diversification in Timor-Leste could progress in the framework of the COVID 19 crises. The paper could be used to generate a wider national discussion on this priority issue. From that national discussion, if it was possible to develop a broad, cross-party consensus on the national ‘Vision’ for sustainable growth in Timor-Leste and key priorities, it would then be possible to develop a multi-year action plan with clear milestones.</p>
2	<p>Were the funds used to support the proposal development for any of the Covid-19 MPTF calls? <i>[If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]</i></p>	<p>With support from the UN COVID-19 Response and Recovery MPTF, the UN was able to provide technical expertise and catalytic funding to ensure that the Government’s social cash transfer scheme reached the most vulnerable and marginalized groups. The UN played a strategic coordination role and brought in new development partners, resulting in coordinated support from the development partners. A total of 298,816 households were reached through the scheme, which represents approximately 94% of all low-income households in Timor-Leste.</p>
3	<p>Were the funds used to build a pipeline of implementable programs? <i>[If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]</i></p>	<p>UN Timor-Leste has also been supporting the Government’s COVID-19 socio-economic response and recovery efforts, including the planning, implementation and monitoring of the Government COVID-19 social protection programmes. The Government of Timor-Leste’s COVID-19 subsidies represent one of the highest rates of government spending in the Asia-Pacific region as a percentage of GDP. These include a social cash transfer scheme targeting the low-income households, which was implemented during the first months of the State of Emergency, and a universal food basket/food voucher programme, which is currently ongoing.</p>
4	<p><i>Please provide the project delivery rate. [If this is less than 75%, please explain the reasons for having the reported rate]</i></p>	<p>100%</p>
5	<p>Learning and Reflection on the use of funds. <i>[Please also share at least two suggestions for improving the UN coordination to implement the SERPs.]</i></p>	<p>The UN also brought in 13 women’s groups and 1 LGBTI rights organization (the only one in-country) to monitor the scheme to allow for evidence-based advocacy for inclusive and participatory COVID-19 response and recovery efforts. It is envisaged that this model of joint monitoring by women’s and LGBTI organizations, focusing on monitoring the impact of social protection programmes on the most</p>

		marginalized groups, can be replicated for future monitoring of other COVID-19 response and recovery measures.
--	--	--

If applies, briefly list how the allocation was spent by product:

#	Title of product	Approximate USD
1.		
2.		
3.		

Reporting for Project 00123032 for SERPs implementation

(Budget per project USD 53,000)

As per the MOU signed with UNDP,

The allocation to each country of the First Call for Proposals will directly support the work of UN Resident Coordinators in jumpstarting and coordinating implementation of the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response with national governments and across partners. This allocation can be used to support

- (a) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals and
- (b) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

As per the SG Designate email to the RCs informing them of the allocation:

- (a) to coordinate and accelerate formulation, approval and publication of a costed Socio-Economic Response Plan based on the UN Framework for Immediate Socio-Economic Response
- (b) the preparation of proposals for the UN COVID-19 MPTF Calls for Proposals; and
- (c) to build a pipeline of implementable programmes that meet urgent needs and immediate responses related to COVID-19 and that align with the UN Framework.

Narrative Reporting at the conclusion of the project

Each RCO receiving the funds is required to report against the following questions.

#	Question	Response
1	<p>Please explain how the funding provided under this project was leveraged to “coordinate and accelerate” formulation, approval, and publication of a costed SERP in your country.</p> <p>[Respondents are required to report the OVERALL results achieved and to briefly summarize the undertaken activities]</p>	<p>With the help of the funding, the RCO facilitated the elaboration, coordination, and dissemination (design, translation, and publishing) of the SERP and its subsequent implementation reports.</p> <p>In May 2020, sixteen UN entities and six IFIs (WB, IFC, ADB, EBRD, IMF, and IsDB) delivered a Consolidated Multilateral Socio-Economic Response and Recovery Offer to the Government of Uzbekistan (the SERP) outlining consolidated deliverables to address the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic.</p> <p>The Offer highlighted ongoing and planned support by twenty-two participating partners in seven key areas, with the overarching aim of cushioning the knock-on effects on millions of people’s lives, their livelihoods and the real economy, thereby preserving momentum and progress towards the SDGs</p> <p>The funding was used both to provide technical support to the coordination of the SERP, as well as substantive initiatives to inform the SERP and CCA update to reflect the impact of COVID-19. In particular, a portion of the funding was spent on consultancy to update the Development Finance Assessment (DFA) to see how the development finance needs and flows changed due to the pandemic.</p>

2	Were the funds used to support the proposal development for any of the Covid-19 MPTF calls? <i>[If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]</i>	The funds were used to support proposal development capacity of the UN Country Team in ensuring that the proposal incorporates the impacts of COVID-19. With the funds, we engaged a proposal development expert and conducted a proposal development workshop for the UNCT to help them in developing pipeline proposals.
3	Were the funds used to build a pipeline of implementable programs? <i>[If so, please explain the specific activities undertaken.]</i>	As part of the SERP, UN agencies developed up to 9 project proposal for funding, which we annexed to the USD3.9 billion Socio-Economic Response and Recover Offer (the SERP).
4	<i>Please provide the project delivery rate. [If this is less than 75%, please explain the reasons for having the reported rate]</i>	For the allocated funds, we had a 98.5% project delivery (USD 49,250/USD 50,000).
5	Learning and Reflection on the use of funds. <i>[Please also share at least two suggestions for improving the UN coordination to implement the SERPs.]</i>	The funds helped the team increase its capacity to engage stakeholders in the process of updating and developing documents in support of the SERP. Further, the funds allowed the team to further explore digital technologies to make the collaboration more efficient considering the various constraints in 2020 at the onset of the pandemic. The team thanks the fund for its flexibility in utilizing the allocation in support of the country context. This flexibility allowed the team to be able to coordinate work better by ensuring that the most urgent needs were addressed such as enhancing proposal development capacity of the team.

If applies, briefly list how the allocation was spent by product:

#	Title of product	Approximate USD
1.	Incorporation of COVID-19 impacts to the Common Country Analysis	20,000.00
2.	COVID-19 data and survey analysis as part of SERP	9,000.00
3.	Workshop for COVID-19 sensitive proposals	5,000.00
4.	COVID-19 and digitalization workshop	7,000.00
5.	COVID-19 and green recovery workshop	7,000.00
6.	Translation and design	1,250.00