Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report (Updated template October 2022)

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to keshni.makoond@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report   *

- ◯ Semi-annual
- ⦿ Annual
- ◯ Final
- ◯ Other

Date of submission of report   *

2022-11-15

Name and Title of Person submitting the report   *

Aisha Lai Head of Office

Name and Title of Person who approved the report   *

Malin Brenk Regional Manager

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- ● yes
- ○ no

Did PBF Secretariat or the PBF Focal point in the resident coordinator office review the report? *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

- ● yes
- ○ no
- ○ Not Applicable

Any additional comment from the PBF Secretariat/ RCO Focal point on this report

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border or regional project? *

- ○ yes ● no

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- ○ Asia and the Pacific
- ○ Central & Southern Africa
- ○ East Africa
- ○ Europe and Central Asia
- ○ Global
- ○ Latin America and the Carribean
- ○ Middle East and North Africa
- ● West Africa

Country of project implementation *

- ○ Benin
- ○ Burkina Faso
- ○ Cote D'Ivoire
- ○ Gambia
- ○ Guinea
- ○ Guinea-Bissau
- ● Liberia
- ○ Mali
- ○ Mauritania
- ○ Niger
- ○ Nigeria
- ○ Senegal
- ○ Sierra Leone
- ○ Togo
- ○ Other, Specify

Project Title *

- ◯ 00118934: Advancing implementation of UNSCRs on Women Peace and Security (WPS) through strengthening accountability frameworks, innovative financing and Gender Responsive Budgeting (GRB)
- ◯ 00129168: Delivering Peace Dividends in Liberia: Consolidating National, Regional and Local Reconciliation and Social Cohesion Opportunities
- ◯ 00128904: Promoting Inclusive Political Participation and Elimination of Violence Against Women in Politics
- ● 00125938: Protection and Support of Enabling Environment for Women Human Rights Defenders and LGBTQI Rights Defenders in Liberia
- ◯ 00113699: Support to LMPTF-PBF Joint Secretariat: UNDP
- ◯ 00125953: Sustainable and inclusive peace in Liberia through promoting women leadership and participation in civic and political life and their strengthened role in conflict resolution
- ◯ 00119682: Sustaining peace & reconciliation through strengthening land governance & dispute resolution mechanisms
- ◯ 00113990: Sustaining peace and improving social cohesion through the promotion of rural employment opportunities for youth in conflict-prone areas
- ◯ 00133452: Promoting Peaceful Electoral Environment and Community Security in Liberia
- ◯ 00131553: Strengthening the agency of young women in peacebuilding processes and land tenure in Liberia
- ◯ Other, Specify

Project Start Date *

2021-02-12

Project end Date *

2022-08-12

Has this project received an extension? *

- ◯ YES, Cost Extension
- ◯ YES, No Cost Extension
- ◯ YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- ● NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ◯ YES, Cost Extension
- ◯ YES, No Cost Extension
- ◯ YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- ⦿ NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund *

- ◯ yes
- ⦿ no

Recipients

Is the lead recipient a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

◯ UN entity

🔘 Non-UN Entity

Please select the lead recipient *

◯ Action Aid   ◯ The African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)

◯ Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)

◯ American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)   ◯ Avocats Sans Frontières

◯ Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium   ◯ Avocats sans frontières Canada   ◯ Christian Aid Ireland

◯ CARE International UK   ◯ The Carter Center, Inc.

◯ Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF   ◯ COIPRODEN

◯ Concern Worldwide   ◯ CORDAID   ◯ CORD Burundi

◯ DanChurchAid   ◯ Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)   ◯ Fund for Congolese Women

◯ Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)   ◯ Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)

◯ Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)   ◯ HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation

◯ Humanity & Inclusion (HI)   ◯ Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)

◯ International Alert   ◯ Interpeace   🔘 Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation

◯ Life and Peace Institute (LPI)

◯ (MDG-EISA) Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar

◯ Mercy Corps   ◯ MSIS-TATAO   ◯ Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)

◯ ONG AZHAR   ◯ OXFAM   ◯ Peace Direct

◯ PNG UN Country Fund   ◯ Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez

◯ Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)   ◯ Saferworld

◯ Search for Common Ground (SFCG)   ◯ SismaMujer   ◯ Tearfund

◯ Trocaire   ◯ World Vision International   ◯ World Vision Myanmar

◯ ZOA   ◯ Other, Please specify

Are there other recipients for this project? *

🔘 No other recipients

◯ Yes, other UN recipients only

◯ Yes, other non-UN recipients only

◯ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

4

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner   *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ⦿ National women's CSO
- ◯ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ◯ Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner   *

Community Health Initiative

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

99500

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Integrated Security Approach and Human Rights Defenders
Kvinna till Kvinna conducted ToT and refresher training on the Integrated Security (IS) Approach for WHRDs, LGBTQIA+ HRDs and the Independent National Commission on Human Rights (INCHR) staff. The result of this training has shown that participants are now having less burnouts because they now have the knowledge and skills to detect and address stress.

Human Rights Based Approach
The HR Groups work closely with the Human Rights Monitors to support with monitoring and follow up on human rights abuses in the communities. As a result, there is increased awareness of HRBA and human rights issues and a coordinated approach to address human rights violations. In addition, HR Groups are now recognised by local authorities and are referred to in case of human rights violations.

Two dialogues were facilitated in each of their respective communities. Partner Organisations conducted HBRA Training, Basic Sexuality Education, Importance of Protection of Women & Rights Defenders, and access to security referral pathways to report abuse or violations cases to, Influential Community Leaders. As approaches differed from community to community, through cross partner organisation collaboration, harmonized strategies or approaches of implementations of activities to ensure sustainability and involvement. Partners also worked during reporting period to finalise activities and create exit plans in hopes to ensure community structures could continue activities after the end of the project. All the forums talked about skills training, under skills training, were Liquid soap making, Clorox production. It was realized that the training has empowered most of the participants to provide funds for community HRGs.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ( ) National youth CSO
- (●) National women's CSO
- ( ) Other National CSO
- ( ) Subnational youth CSO
- ( ) Subnational women's CSO
- ( ) Other subnational CSO
- ( ) Regional CSO
- ( ) Regional Organisation
- ( ) International NGO
- ( ) Governmental entity
- ( ) Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

LIWEN

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

99496

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Integrated Security Approach and Human Rights Defenders
Kvinna till Kvinna conducted ToT and refresher training on the Integrated Security (IS) Approach for WHRDs, LGBTQIA+ HRDs and the Independent National Commission on Human Rights (INCHR) staff. The result of this training has shown that participants are now having less burnouts because they now have the knowledge and skills to detect and address stress.

Human Rights Based Approach
The HR Groups work closely with the Human Rights Monitors to support with monitoring and follow up on human rights abuses in the communities. As a result, there is increased awareness of HRBA and human rights issues and a coordinated approach to address human rights violations. In addition, HR Groups are now recognised by local authorities and are referred to in case of human rights violations.

Two dialogues were facilitated in each of their respective communities. Partner Organisations conducted HBRA Training, Basic Sexuality Education, Importance of Protection of Women & Rights Defenders, and access to security referral pathways to report abuse or violations cases to, Influential Community Leaders.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

....

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ⦿ National women's CSO
- ◯ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ◯ Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

LEGAL

....

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

59995

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Integrated Security Approach and Human Rights Defenders
Kvinna till Kvinna conducted ToT and refresher training on the Integrated Security (IS) Approach for WHRDs, LGBTQIA+ HRDs and the Independent National Commission on Human Rights (INCHR) staff. The result of this training has shown that participants are now having less burnouts because they now have the knowledge and skills to detect and address stress.

Human Rights Based Approach
The HR Groups work closely with the Human Rights Monitors to support with monitoring and follow up on human rights abuses in the communities. As a result, there is increased awareness of HRBA and human rights issues and a coordinated approach to address human rights violations. In addition, HR Groups are now recognised by local authorities and are referred to in case of human rights violations.

Two dialogues were facilitated in each of their respective communities. Partner Organisations conducted HBRA Training, Basic Sexuality Education, Importance of Protection of Women & Rights Defenders, and access to security referral pathways to report abuse or violations cases to, Influential Community Leaders. As approaches differed from community to community, through cross partner organisation collaboration, harmonized strategies or approaches of implementations of activities to ensure sustainability and involvement. Partners also worked during reporting period to finalise activities and create exit plans in hopes to ensure community structures could continue activities after the end of the project. All the forums talked about skills training, under skills training, were Liquid soap making, Clorox production. It was realized that the training has empowered most of the participants to provide funds for community HRGs.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ○ National youth CSO
- ○ National women's CSO
- ○ Other National CSO
- ○ Subnational youth CSO
- ○ Subnational women's CSO
- ○ Other subnational CSO
- ○ Regional CSO
- ○ Regional Organisation
- ○ International NGO
- ● Governmental entity
- ○ Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

INCHR

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

7000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

National Level Advocacy

INCHR held a training to bring key stakeholders on speed to build networking with LGBTIQ, youth, and Women Human Rights Defenders (WHRDs) Groups to enhance collaboration and strengthen coordination ahead of the mid-term Universal Periodic Review (UPR). The specific 3-day training provided awareness, education around discriminator conditions affecting those from marginalized communities and key entry points for national level advocacy for Human Right Defenders.

Does the project have an active steering committee? *

◯ yes

🔵 no

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months? Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with? *

Please limit your response to 275 words

During the reporting period, the Head of Office working in collaboration with the Liberian INGO Forum as the chair of the Coordinating Committee had meetings with government entities. This included meeting with the Ministry of Finance and Developing and Planning's Hon. Augustus Flomo. This high-level consultative meeting with the Deputy Minister Flomo; took place with members from the Coordinating Committee members. Also in this reporting period, there was a localisation technical working group established within the INGO Forum. The Gender Department under INCHR held a 3-day training providing a summary of INCHR engagements in promoting and protecting the rights of individuals from the LGBTQI community. Though, INCHR is a government funded Institution, it does not under either the Executive, Judiciary or the Legislative and it is in partnership with the Global Alliance of National Human Rights Institutions (GANHRI), Economic Community of West African States(ECOWAS) and African Union(AU). Discussions of the Human Rights Based Approach took place which covers the basic principles of Human Rights irrespective of their sexual orientation and identification. The objective of the training was to increase awareness and education surrounding the current discriminatory conditions affecting LGBTIQ in Liberia, human rights defenders, and especially for emerging youth Human Rights Defenders. The training ended with SOGIE issues

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in US $) *Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars*	Transfers to date (in US $) *Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars*	Expenditure to date (in US $) *Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars*	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget *(calculated automatically)*
Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation	495000 *	495000 *	495000 *	100 %

TOTAL	495000	495000	495000	**100%**

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **100%**. Can you confirm that this is correct?   *

⦿ Correct   ◯ Incorrect

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)?   *

92.75

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US $ 459112.5**. Can you confirm that this is correct?   *

⦿ Correct   ◯ Incorrect

Amount expended to date on efforts contributiong to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US $ 459112.5**. Is this correct?   *

⦿ Correct   ◯ Incorrect

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE.   *
The templates for the budget are available here

Financial report 2021_2022-17_4_58.xlsx

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

○ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)

○ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE

● Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

● Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes

○ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes

○ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

○ (1.1) Security Sector Reform

○ (1.2) Rule of Law

○ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration

○ (1.4) Political Dialogue

○ (2.1) National reconciliation

○ (2.2) Democratic Governance

○ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management

○ (3.1) Employment

○ (3.2) Equitable access to social services

○ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity

○ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration

● (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

**Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows?** *

Select all that apply

☑ Gender promotion initiative

☐ Youth promotion initiative

☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions

☐ Cross-border or regional project

☐ None

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of Partners *

| Not started | Initiated | Partially complete | Completed (selected) | Not Applicable |

Staff Recruitment *

| Not started | Initiated | Partially complete | Completed (selected) | Not Applicable |

Collection of baselines *

| Not started | Initiated | Partially complete | Completed (selected) | Not Applicable |

Identification of beneficiaries *

| Not started | Initiated | Partially complete | Completed (selected) | Not Applicable |

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the *status of the project* in terms of implementation cycle, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 250 words

The PROSEED project sought to support women's rights organisations and activists and LGBTQI organisations and activists to claim their rights and help others claim their rights and, in that way, contribute to gender equality The PROSEED project was well-positioned in the communities and completed on August 14th 2022. Across the 11 communities within the four counties the partner organisations have strengthened relationships with both community members and local leaders. The project has completed 98 planned activities. Community structures were established, while each partner organisation has established community exit strategies. The end of project evaluation showed a shift in the mindset of community structures and a better understanding of the human rights-based approach. This shows that there are opportunities in the future in restoring the lines of communication and trust between leaders/influencers and community members and re-establishing community members' ability to recognise each other as 'human beings' regardless of gender, sexual orientation or health conditions, so that issues of joint concern to community members can be resolved peacefully. Though the evaluation showed that total acceptance of LGBTQI rights was not adopted; the foundation of the beginning steps of treating individuals from this population humanely were adopted. The evaluation also found that in general the project was very relevant though different partners implemented activities using different approaches depending on the approach, community dynamics and previous experiences. Future recommendations highlighted the importance of working on community-level advocacy efforts rather than national level advocacy. It was reflected that changing the mind-set of constituents is the first step to ensure national level advocacy can be accepted for individuals of the LGBTQI community.

Summarize the main structural, institutional or societal level change the project has contributed to.
This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project

Please limit your response to 550 words

Therefore, it is likely that the training on a human rights-based approach and the positive relationship between Kvinna till Kvinna and its partners contributed to the positive outcomes achieved at the community level. Multiple factors contributed positively to the outcomes achieved. The project, through CSOs, responded to communities' basic needs for healthcare and the project was implemented in respect of and actively used the existing networks and power structures within communities to spread their message. Though the evaluation found 'Informal governance systems differ from one community to another. A good analysis and understanding of the community governance systems are key to identifying the key influencers and to ensure that all key influencers are on board to deal with the key community challenges, including those of violence and discrimination.' This in turn shows that despite challenges at the community level, key influencers can and if provided with adequate resources can continue to support peacebuilding interventions at a local setting".

PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.
- In the results table, please be concise, you will have 3000 characters, including blank spaces to provide your responses

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration).
Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | more than 5 |

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *

: **Strengthened protection and resilience of Women Rights Defenders and LGBTQI Rights Defenders to safely claim human rights for all and challenge current patriarchal structures.**

Outcome 1: : Strengthened protection and resilience of Women Rights Defenders and LGBTQI Rights Defenders to safely claim human rights for all and challenge current patriarchal structures.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

○ 1. Off Track   ⦿ 2. On Track   ○ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

Please limit your response to 350 words

Integrated Security Approach and Human Rights Defenders
Kvinna till Kvinna conducted ToT and refresher training on the Integrated Security (IS) Approach for WHRDs, LGBTQIA+ HRDs and the Independent National Commission on Human Rights (INCHR) staff. The result of this training has shown that participants are now having less burnouts because they now have the knowledge and skills to detect and address stress.

Human Rights Based Approach
The HR Groups work closely with the Human Rights Monitors to support with monitoring and follow up on human rights abuses in the communities. As a result, there is increased awareness of HRBA and human rights issues and a coordinated approach to address human rights violations. In addition, HR Groups are now recognised by local authorities and are referred to in case of human rights violations.

Two dialogues were facilitated in each of their respective communities. Partner Organisations conducted HBRA Training, Basic Sexuality Education, Importance of Protection of Women & Rights Defenders, and access to security referral pathways to report abuse or violations cases to, Influential Community Leaders. As approaches differed from community to community, through cross partner organisation collaboration, harmonized strategies or approaches of implementations of activities to ensure sustainability and involvement. Partners also worked during reporting period to finalise activities and create exit plans in hopes to ensure community structures could continue activities after the end of the project. All the forums talked about skills training, under skills training, were Liquid soap making, Clorox production. It was realized that the training has empowered most of the participants to provide funds for community HRGs.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 350 words

Kvinna till Kvinna is intentional about addressing women's empowerment, gender quality, and human rights-based approaches to ensure that project participants are reached. Partner organisations were supported to ensure that 80% of the rights holders benefiting from the intervention were women. All of the structures established/strengthened have women as participants and in leadership positions. The Integrated Security Workshops (both ToTs and refresher) targeted a cross-section of women considering intergenerational discussions on women human rights defenders. Additionally, community women were part of the workshop to ensure women's empowerment. The Women Human Rights Defenders session had over 55% of the younger generation, while the LGBTQIA+ session had over 85% of the young generation. For the engagement with WHRDs, older women (40 and above) made up 55% of participants, while young women (28-35) made up 45%. The network's leadership structure did consider both the young and older generations and targeted non-binary people.

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key outcome indicators for **Outcome 1** in the table below

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (3000 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: : Strengthened protection and resilience of Women Rights Defenders and LGBTQI Rights Defenders to safely claim human rights for all and challenge current patriarchal structures.

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress to date	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
....	*Describe the indicator*	*State the baseline value of the indicator*	*State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	*State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	*Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant* ....

| 1.1 | Indicator 1.1 % of HRDs who report one concrete example of how the project has contributed to them feeling more secure in their work (disaggregated by sex, age, location, and stakeholder group) | 0 | 50% | Based on the end of project evaulation, partners reports and follow up meetings, 54% increased in number of HRSs feeling safe and can freelly do their work 30% of Female between the age of 25-35 and 36-49 5% of 50+

12% of LGBTQA+ HRDs bwtween the ages of 25-35, 8% 36-49

Localtion 92% Montsrrado

8% from Grand Bassa

Stakehoders Social worker 40% Lawyers 24% Women Group Leader 28 % Youth leader 24% PWD 8%

*Age Code | none |

1.2	Indicator 1.2 % of leaders who report having developed pledges for action (disaggregated by sex, age, location, and stakeholder group)	0	32	21% - 37out of 173 leaders have openly pledged their support and acceptance of WHRD and LGBTQIA+ HRDs. 18% Males 36-49 and 50+ 3% Females 36-49 and 50+ Stakeholders: Imam 25% Pastor 30% Community Leaders 20% Traditional Leaders 25% Location River Cess 5 Montserrado 5 Grand Bassa 10 Margibi 17	
1.3	Indicator 1.3 % of participants who report participating in developing advocacy plans in their communities (disaggregated by sex, age, location, and stakeholder group)	0	30%	Will be reported in final report No Data disagreed data to date, will be provided in final report.	

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

0   1   2   3   4   5 | more than 5 |

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Output Indicator 1.1.1 Increased knowledge on risk assessment and integrated security strategies among WHRDs and LGBTQI RDs (24 participants, expected 18 self-identifying as women, 6 self-identifying as men)

Output 1.2

Increased capacity to conduct training on risk assessment and Integrated security strategies among 6 staff of INHRC (3 women, 3 men) and 6 staff of the Women Human Rights Defenders Network (6 women); through the training of trainers

Output 1.3

.1.3 # of WHRDs, LGBTQI RDs and youth between 15-29 years, participating in the networking event.

Output 1.4

Indicator 1.2.1 # Dialogue sessions conducted with traditional & community leaders and religious leaders, 65% men, 35% women.

Output 1.5

Indicator 1.2.2 Increased knowledge on HRBA and HR-related content among project participants

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

Indicator 1.2.3 Increased acceptance of WHRDs and LGBTQI RDs among project participants Indicator 1.3.1 Rightsholders' forums and groups report having improved their leadership and structures. Indicator 1.3.2 The targeted rightsholder groups have increased knowledge and skills to raise awareness on women's rights and/or LGBTQI rights in their own communities. Indicator 1.3.3 Support to established forums and community groups to develop action plans

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: Output Indicator 1.1.1 Increased knowledge on risk assessment and integrated security strategies among WHRDs and LGBTQI RDs (24 participants, expected 18 self-identifying as women, 6 self-identifying as men)	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.1.1					
1.1.2					
1.1.3					

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Increased capacity to conduct training on risk assessment and Integrated security strategies among 6 staff of INHRC (3 women, 3 men) and 6 staff of the Women Human Rights Defenders Network (6 women); through the training of trainers	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant* ....
1.2.1	....	....	....	....	....
1.2.2	....	....	....	....	....
1.2.3	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3: .1.3 # of WHRDs, LGBTQI RDs and youth between 15-29 years, participating in the networking event.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.3.1					
1.3.2					
1.3.3					

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4: Indicator 1.2.1 # Dialogue sessions conducted with traditional & community leaders and religious leaders, 65% men, 35% women.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.4.1					
1.4.2					
1.4.3					

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5: Indicator 1.2.2 Increased knowledge on HRBA and HR-related content among project participants	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.5.1					
1.5.2					
1.5.3					

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next 6 months (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

◯ yes

🔘 no

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:
i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
ii. The impact of the project on their lives
iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

How many key stakeholders does this project have? *

0

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had
Please limit your response to 650 words

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc..) to illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

File 1
For photos, please use high resolution JPEG format

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

File 2
For photos, please use high resolution JPEG format

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

File 3
For photos, please use high resolution JPEG format

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

Link 1

Link 2

Link 3

Monitoring

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *
Please limit your response to 350 words
The evaluation was a major monitoring activity that took place with the programme staff during this period. More information of the evaluation will come at the end of the report.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *
If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'
○ yes
● no

Has the project launched perception surveys or other community-based data collection? *
○ yes
● no

Evaluation

Has an evaluation been conducted during the reporting period? *
● yes
○ no

Evaluation budget (in USD): *
18000

If project will end in next six months, describe the evaluation preparations
Please limit your response to 350 words
Exit plans were done with all of the communities.

Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project led to additional funding from other sources? *

- ◉ yes
- ◯ no

If yes, how many additional grants or donors has the project leveraged? *

0

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur (Ways in which the project has supported the expansion or creation of programs and policies supporting peace, both within and outside the UN system)? *

- ◯ No catalytic effect
- ◉ Some catalytic effect
- ◯ Significant catalytic effect
- ◯ Very Significant catalytic effect
- ◯ Don't Know
- ◯ Too early to tell

Please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. ways in which the project has supported the expansion or creation of programs and policies supporting peace, both within and outside the UN system *

Please limit your response to 350 words

Through this project, it was seen that there is an importance for civil society to work with not only human right defenders but civil society organisations that focus specifically on LGBTQI rights. It is through this engagement, that Kvinna till Kvinna was more involved with the two (women and LGBTQI) networks. Furthermore, it was this project that catalytically launched the formalisation of the Women Human Rights Defender's Network. This network is now established and had an official launch after the close of the project. Finally, a small continuation of this project will continue in partnership with the Irish Embassy. This initiative will work with two civil society partners to continue community level Human Rights Based Approach dialogue sessions with community leaders and influencers. It is the expected outcome of this project to be transferred to a local civil society actor at the end of this project. Finally, the current cooperation between SIDA and Kvinna till Kvinna will include new partners that will be from the LGBTQI community to enhance a more inclusive women's movement.

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy. *

- ◉ yes
- ◯ no

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the duration of the project *

Please limit your response to 350 words

Will be discussed in final report.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

no

Annex: Please use this space to upload any additional document you may want to the report (ex. Additional detail on indicator reporting)

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.