

Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report (Updated template October 2022)



**PEACEBUILDING
FUND** 

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to keshni.makoond@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- Semi-annual
- Annual
- Final
- Other

Date of submission of report *

2022-11-16

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Kellee Jacobs, FAO Reporting Specialist

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Ezana Kassa, FAO Head of Programme

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- yes
 no

Did PBF Secretariat or the PBF Focal point in the resident coordinator office review the report? *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

- yes
 no
 Not Applicable

Any additional comment from the PBF Secretariat/ RCO Focal point on this report

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border or regional project? *

- yes no

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- Asia and the Pacific Central & Southern Africa East Africa
 Europe and Central Asia Global Latin America and the Carribean
 Middle East and North Africa West Africa

Country of project implementation *

- Ethiopia Kenya Madagascar
 Somalia South Sudan Sudan
 Other, Specify

Project Title

*

- 00130612: Bridging the "Youth Gap" – Strengthening Community-Based Reintegration Mechanisms – A IOM/UNICEF Partnership for Community Youth and Youth Formerly Associated with Al-Shabaab
- 00114230: Dhulka Nabaada (The Land of Peace): Supporting Land Reform in Somalia
- 00118835: Improving psychosocial support and mental health care for conflict affected youth in Somalia: a socially-inclusive integrated approach for peace building
- 00113082: Leveraging the Strength of Women in Peace Building and Promoting Gender Sensitivity in the National Programme on Disengaged Combatants
- 00130704: Making Space for Peacebuilding: Strengthening Participation and Safety of Women Activists and Journalists in South West state and Jubaland, Somalia
- 00113980: Midnimo II (Unity) - Support for the attainment of durable solutions in areas impacted by displacement and returns in Galmudug and Hirshabelle States
- 00113011: PBF Secretariat
- 00118131: Prevention of child recruitment and community-based reintegration of children formerly associated with armed forces and groups
- 00129735: Promoting Inclusive Action in Peacebuilding (PIAP Initiative)
- 00096601: Support for Somalia Reconciliation Conferences
- 00129368: Support Political Transition in Somalia
- 00119246: Support to mechanisms to prevent and manage conflict during elections
- 00118635: Support to National Independent Electoral Commission (NIEC) Electoral Dispute Resolution Mechanisms
- 00113565: Support to stabilization phase 2 (2S2II)
- 00113621: Supporting reconciliation and state-building processes
- 00129369: Women, Peace and Protection Programme
- 00119317: Youth Building Peace in Somalia
- Other, Specify

Project Start Date

*

2021-12-16

Project end Date

*

2023-06-14

Has this project received an extension?

*

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- yes
- no

If yes, please select which? *

- National Trust Fund
- Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the lead recipient a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- UN entity
- Non-UN Entity

Please select the lead recipient? *

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization
- PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- ITC: International Trade Centre
- UNDPO
- Other, Specify

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- No other recipients
- Yes, other UN recipients only
- Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients recipients *

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
- UN Department of Peace Operations Other, Specify

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

1

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Shaqodoon Organization

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

163177

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Overall Responsibilities:

- 1. Facilitate and organize Youth-led Teambuilding & Community Planning Exercises;**
- 2. Link Gamification Application developed by FAO to Online Platform; Youth-led Water Project Implementation;**
- 3. Plan and facilitate Integrated Resource Management and Operational/Environmental Sustainability Meetings.**

Activities carried out so far:

A. Community Mobilization Activities: A series of mobilization events were held with the Buufow, Shalambod youth and women's groups, local elders, district and local administrations (including cross visits and consultations aimed at trust building);

B. Gamification Software Development: Participatory gamification design workshops were held and a wireframe of software was developed.

Does the project have an active steering committee? *

yes

no

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months? *

1

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months? Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with? *

Please limit your response to 275 words

The PIAP project team held the first official virtual meeting of the Project Steering Committee (PSC) in March 2022 with government stakeholders, the donor, and joint-implementing organizations. The purpose was to provide a thorough briefing of the project, determine key roles and responsibilities of the PSC, and identify next steps – all of which were successfully done. Due to security concerns, the meeting was held virtually instead of in Mogadishu as was originally planned.

The second (but first in-person) meeting held in Mogadishu in July 2022 aimed to bring PSC members together physically and for them to become acquainted with each other's roles in the delivery of the project (a priority highlighted by PIAP's primary government stakeholder, the Southwest State Ministry of Youth and Sports (MoYS)). It also aimed to continue engaging the PSC as part of the project's commitment to continued governance coordination. During the meeting, PSC members were updated on project progress and the group generated feedback regarding technical and contextual developments in Marka District of the Lower Shabelle region.

Throughout the project, FAO and IOM have had regular, mainly ad-hoc, bilateral meetings with the implementing partner, the MoYS, Ministry of Agriculture, the Ministry of Interior in South West State, and the Governor of Lower Shabelle.

At field level, IOM and the NGO Shaqodoon remain in constant communication with the SWS Director General in the MoYS, the Marka district administration, and the local administrations of Buufow and Shalambod. This consistent engagement has kept the government and local authorities informed of project progress and ensured a platform exists upon which governing authorities can influence implementation plans and adaptive programming.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget <i>(calculated automatically)</i>
FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization	525000 *	367500 *	252718.32 *	48.14 %
IOM: International Organization for Migration	975000 *	682500 *	250399.55 *	25.68 %
TOTAL	1500000	1050000	503117.87	33.54%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **33.54%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct
 Incorrect

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)? *

32.3423300447046

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 485134.95**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 162720.04**. Is this correct? *

Correct Incorrect

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

For Submission_PBF Annual Progress Report FAO_IOM 15.Nov.22-12_6_3.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *


Select all that apply

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None


PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of Partners *




Staff Recruitment *




Collection of baselines

*



Identification of beneficiaries

*



Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the *status of the project* Briefly outline the *status of the project* in terms of implementation cycle, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 250 words

- 1. FAO and IOM held a joint Project Steering Committee/Technical Working Group meeting in Mogadishu on 26 July.**
- 2. Alongside Shaqodoon, the project team held various mobilization events (meetings with local stakeholders to sensitize participants and the wider community on the project) with the Buufow and Shalambod youth and women's groups, local elders, district and local administrations, and the SWS DG MoYS. These culminated in a cross-community event where communities (youth and other local stakeholders from Buufow and Shalambod) interacted. They learned about each other through ice breaker games, learned about each other's communities, discussed areas of tension, potential ways of resolving tension, peacebuilding, and the process they will go through together to design peacebuilding projects. Communities were also organized into mixed groups (comprising of members from different clans, home areas and genders) that will identify priority communal infrastructure to be rehabilitated.**
- 3. A participatory gamification design workshop called "Apply Play in Peacebuilding" was held with Shaqodoon in Mogadishu from 28- 29 August. Participants co-designed a mobile application that will enable mixed community groups to have a healthy competition in the identification of communal assets for rehabilitation. The application will support the review of: entries made by the teams against the criteria of assets (irrigation canals, livestock and human crossings, water catchments) that are shared among previously conflicting groups; significant contributions made jointly by the groups to support some of the infrastructure works; and assets with the greatest positive impact on the community.**

Summarize the main structural, institutional or societal level change the project has contributed to. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project

Please limit your response to 550 words

N/A as per the reporting template guidance, as the project is not within 6 months of completion.

*

PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.
- In the results table, please be concise, you will have 3000 characters, including blank spaces to provide your responses

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

0 1 2 3 4 5 more than 5

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document *

Outcome 1: *

Horizontal Trust – Inter-communal and inter-clan tensions surrounding water management are mitigated through collaborative efforts by young people in Marka district.

Outcome 2: *

Vertical Trust - Regulatory frameworks and institutions are strengthened by young people to ensure their effective role in sustaining fair and inclusive water resource management.

Outcome 1: Horizontal Trust – Inter-communal and inter-clan tensions surrounding water management are mitigated through collaborative efforts by young people in Marka district.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

Please limit your response to 350 words

The key factor to realizing Outcome 1 is the establishment of trust between and among conflicting communities. To this end, the project team has been established and is working closely with inclusive project teams (including representation from different clans: Biyomal in Buufow and Habargidir in Shalambod) at the local level, including youth associations, women's groups and a local implementing partner. During various mobilization events, the project team has been able to cultivate a sense of shared belonging among communities through the sharing of experiences and discussing common problems and solutions. This is slowly creating room for shared interaction and is expected to result in shared trust. In support of this and as an example, the project team held a mobilization event with representatives from Buufow and Shalambod youth and women's groups on 17 July. On 14 -17 August, the project team also conducted a larger mobilization and sensitization activity with 120+ participants, including Internally Displaced Persons (IDPs), youth and women's groups, community associations, local clan elders, and religious leaders from the two communities. Efforts to bring these groups together has been successful so far due to inclusive targeting, awareness and deliberate inclusion of minority sub-clans, social groups and elders.

In addition, the transparency employed by the project teams regarding the benefits envisioned in the project, and how/why peace dividends will be distributed evenly to benefit all communities equally, has established trust in IOM and FAO. The development of the gamification application, which is ongoing, will culminate in healthy competition between mixed groups (groups made up of individuals from different and previously conflicting groups). Through this game application, water infrastructure assets will be prioritized and selected for rehabilitation by these communities. The process of deliberate inclusion of these communities in the design, identification and ultimately rehabilitation of shared infrastructure will strengthen cooperation and collaboration on the use of these assets towards social cohesion.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 350 words

The design of the project envisioned active participation of young people and women in conflict resolution and in peacebuilding among conflicting communities in Marka district. To this end and in consultation with communities targeted and government authorities, the project team identified both youth-led and women-led informal organizations as representative community teams in this project. In at least one case, in Buufow, one youth association is also itself led by a woman named Deeqo Doyow. The targeting process was consultative to ensure that not only conflicting groups, but also minority groups in hard-to-reach areas, were included as active participants. Regular interaction with these groups and with communities in general has enabled the project team to ensure that the women's and young people's voices are considered in the planning, review and monitoring of the project.

From November 8 - 9, FAO convened an interactive Conflict Sensitivity Programme Clinic in Mogadishu aimed at lessening potential negative impacts of the PIAP while enhancing its peace contributions. Participants of the workshop included the PBF Secretariat representative for Somalia, government partners and FAO. In addition, Marka-based IOM and Shaqodoon colleagues were in attendance and, based on their close interactions with Shalambod and Buufow communities thus far, shared in-depth viewpoints of youth groups, women's groups, water management committee (WMC) and District Peace and Security Committee (DPSC) members and local district authorities. Key recommendations from the Clinic will inform PIAP's adaptive programming approach, including how regulatory frameworks and institutions can engage young men and young women to ensure their role in conflict-sensitive water resource management. Examples of recommendations from the Clinic and to be considered throughout the project are: to develop the capacity of stakeholders (young men, women and elders) in the sustainable management of resource based conflicts; to equip project beneficiaries with leadership skills in order to promote effective participation in the governance of water resources; to increase the frequency of community gatherings in order to build trust; to increase the involvement of elders and local administrators in addressing disputes and conflicts.

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key outcome indicators for **Outcome 1** in the table below

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (3000 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Horizontal Trust – Inter-communal and inter-clan tensions surrounding water management are mitigated through collaborative efforts by young people in Marka district.

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.1	% of target community respondents who perceive that inter-clan tensions over water resources have reduced.	28%	38%	N/A	Pending endline evaluation
1.2	% of beneficiaries reporting collaboration across clans on water resource management.	27%	37%	N/A	Pending endline evaluation
1.3	% of cost invested by community contributions to water infrastructures that benefit all target communities.	0%	10%	N/A	Pending endline evaluation

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

- 0 1 **2** 3 4 5 more than 5

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Inter-communal teambuilding exercises and joint community-based planning conducted in Shalambod and Buufow with participation of Association members.

Output 1.2

Inter-clan collaboration on water infrastructure prioritization and realization is extended to the wider community in Shalambod and Buufow

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: Inter-communal teambuilding exercises and joint community-based planning conducted in Shalambod and Buufow with participation of Association members.	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress to date	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any)
	<i>Describe the indicator</i>	<i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	<i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	<i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	<i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.1.1	% of target participants reporting on willingness and ability to collaborate with other team members from other communities.	0%	100%	N/A	Pending activity-level monitoring which will be conducted towards the end of the project.
1.1.2					
1.1.3					

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Inter-clan collaboration on water infrastructure prioritization and realization is extended to the wider community in Shalambod and Buufow	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.2.1	% of community members in target areas participating in processes and/ or platforms used to rank projects based on peacebuilding criteria.	0	25%	N/A	Pending endline evaluation
1.2.2	# of youth-led water projects developed and realized jointly for collective use.	0	6	N/A	Pending endline evaluation
1.2.3					

Outcome 2: Vertical Trust - Regulatory frameworks and institutions are strengthened by young people to ensure their effective role in sustaining fair and inclusive water resource management.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

1. Off Track
 2. On Track
 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

Please limit your response to 350 words

To establish vertical trust between communities, the local authorities and other governance structures (within the Lower Shabelle administration including: Governor Najah, SWS MoYS, Ministry of Agriculture and Ministry of Interior), the project team has actively involved the authorities and continue to encourage their active participation in the project and interaction with community groups. The regular consultation sessions held with local authorities at the project community and Marka district levels have ensured buy-in of the project and support, which is critical to achieve project sustainability whereby a shared vision and good working relationships are established between the community and the authorities, and where community grievances are taken up and addressed by the authorities in a timely and participatory manner. The stakeholder groups engaged by the project who will participate in Integrated Resource Management and Operational/Environmental Sustainability meetings have been consulted and included through the initial planning and the implementation that took place from July - October.

The government (local administrations and the Director General of MoYS from SWS) has also been active in cross-community events and mobilization sessions, which has ensured continued support of these groups by the authorities. Activities to further strengthen this relationship will be conducted in the coming months where the project teams will support additional interactive sessions between communities and the authorities on inclusive resource management committees.

*

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 350 words

An analysis conducted by the project team revealed that the District Peace and Safety Committee (DPSC) with which this project is engaged, has become a trusted vehicle for women's political and legal representation in Marka district. This project will continue to leverage this growing institutional credibility of the DPSC to deepen accountability mechanisms contained within the Operational and Environmental Sustainability Plans as they will be jointly developed by inclusive community groups and government.

*

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key outcome indicators for **Outcome 2** in the table below

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 2: Vertical Trust - Regulatory frameworks and institutions are strengthened by young people to ensure their effective role in sustaining fair and inclusive water resource management.

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

2.1	% of community members reporting effective management of water resources	32%	42%	N/A	Pending endline evaluation
2.2	% of community members reporting participation of young people in decision making regarding water resources	37%	47%	N/A	Pending endline evaluation
2.3					

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

0 1 2 **3** 4 5 more than 5

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Youth-led Resource Management and Operational/Environmental Sustainability Plans developed and implemented by Integrated Committee

Output 2.2

Regulatory platform on water resources established and operationalized by Marka District Peace and Safety Committee

Output 2.3

Common Social Accountability Platform (CSAP) deployed across Marka that facilitates direct engagement between community members, young people and local authorities.

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» **Output 2.1**

Output 2.1: Youth-led Resource Management and Operational/Environmental Sustainability Plans developed and implemented by Integrated Committee	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.1.1	Youth responsive Resource Management and Operational/Environmental Sustainability Plans Developed by Integrated Committee	0	6	N/A	Activity planned for Q4 and Q5
2.1.2	Youth responsive Resource Management and Operational/Environmental Sustainability Plans operationalized by integrated committee	0	6	N/A	Activity planned for Q4 and Q5
2.1.3	# of local authorities with increased understanding of the importance of processes to ensure the inclusivity of natural resource management	0	5	N/A	Pending endline evaluation

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2: Regulatory platform on water resources established and operationalized by Marka District Peace and Safety Committee	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.2.1	# of functional engagement/communication channels between young people and the local authorities regarding water resources	0	6	3	
2.2.2					
1.2.3					

» Output 2.3

--

Output 2.3: Common Social Accountability Platform (CSAP) deployed across Marka that facilitates direct engagement between community members, young people and local authorities.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.3.1	# of questions asked by community listeners of CSAP radio show concerning water resources and answered by local authority and young people invitees	0	10	N/A	Activity planned for Q4 and Q5
2.3.2					
2.3.3					

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next 6 months (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

yes

no

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

3

1

Event Title:

Radio Activities

*

Date (can be tentative)

2022-12

*

Location (if known)

Marka District

Target Audience

Select as many as applicable

Senior Government officials

Other Government officials

Civil Society

Youth

Women

Military or Police Personnel

Traditional Leaders

Donors

Businesses/ Private Sector

Former Combattants

Journalists

Artists

Other

*

Objectives

Please limit your response to 150 words

This will be a range of radio programs that aim to reinforce inter-communal cooperation over natural resources, as well as disseminate conflict prevention and resolution messages. Radio will also be utilized to sensitize the broader community on the project itself and engage them on the selection of water infrastructure rehabilitation projects. An FAO-led radio messaging workshop engaging Shaqdoon, IOM and government stakeholders took place on 10 November as part of taking into account local context considerations and securing stakeholder buy-in. Participants generated key topics of interest, key interviewees to contact and prepared a first draft of possible episodes for the radio program. Subsequent messaging workshops are planned in December with Youth Association members and essential local district and community groups.

Any other information on the event

Event Title:

Projects listed on Sokaab/ Mixed team advocacy begins

Date (can be tentative)

2022-12

Location (if known)

Marka District

Target Audience

Select as many as applicable

- Senior Government officials
- Other Government officials
- Civil Society
- Youth
- Women
- Military or Police Personnel
- Traditional Leaders
- Donors
- Businesses/ Private Sector
- Former Combattants
- Journalists
- Artists
- Other

Other, please specify

*

Project Team

Objectives

*

Please limit your response to 150 words

Once each mixed team has a project listed on the Sokaab website, teams will begin advocacy for their project

Any other information on the event

3

Event Title:

*

Project awards and implementation

Date (can be tentative)

*

2022-01

Location (if known)

Marka District

Target Audience

*

Select as many as applicable

- Senior Government officials
- Other Government officials
- Civil Society
- Youth
- Women
- Military or Police Personnel
- Traditional Leaders
- Donors
- Businesses/ Private Sector
- Former Combattants
- Journalists
- Artists
- Other

Other, please specify

*

All in the two project communities

Objectives

Please limit your response to 150 words

The top 6 projects that receive the most votes will be identified for implementation.

Any other information on the event

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project on their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

How many key stakeholders does this project have?

6

Key Stakeholder :

Buufow Women’s group member/Isho Caddane Xassan

What were the challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation?

There were no business transactions between Buufow and Shalambod due to the rivalry that halted the movement of goods and therefore trade between these two groups.

What has been the impact of the project on their lives

Please limit your response to 350 words

Following the cross-community event that was conducted and the series of youth mobilization and sensitizations, “now we are able to seek market for our vegetables and animal products”.

Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

Please limit your response to 350 words

Isho said that, “We had difficult times where our only source of market was Marka, thanks to Shaqodoon we have Shalambod as another alternative”.

Key Stakeholder :

Buufow Youth group member/ Mawlid Macalin Cali

What were the challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation?

There was no interaction of people from Buufow and Shalambod and no movement of people and goods between the two communities for the last eight years.

What has been the impact of the project on their lives

Please limit your response to 350 words

The movement of people from the two locations has improved significantly and people can travel without fear of retaliation.

*

Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

Please limit your response to 350 words

Mawlid said, "I was astonished to find out that people relationship has turned so fast that people travel freely and with no fear."

*

3

Key Stakeholder :

IDP from Buufow surrounding area- Shufeerri IDP Camp/ Hassan Ali Abdi

*

What were the challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation?

Before the project, Internally Displaced People had challenges accessing their livelihood because the interaction with the communities in Shalambod was not good.

*

What has been the impact of the project on their lives

Please limit your response to 350 words

The movement of the IDP population has improved tremendously and IDPs are able to seek their livelihood in both locations.

*

Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

Please limit your response to 350 words

Hassan said, "We had a series of challenges in accessing our daily livelihood from both locations due to fear of interaction from both the communities."

*

4

Key Stakeholder :

Shalambod Women's group member/ Halima Mohamed Noor

*

What were the challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation?

Before the project, people from both Buufow and Shalambod never used to visit each other despite the fact they had relatives in both communities.

*

What has been the impact of the project on their lives

Please limit your response to 350 words

After the project started the community interactions and mixing of the youth, the movement of people has changed, and the community has a new ray of hope.

*

Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

Please limit your response to 350 words

Halima said, "We are anticipating the movement of people will be better, as it is now, than ever before."

*

5

Key Stakeholder :

Shalambod Youth group member/ Mohamed Ali Omar

*

What were the challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation?

Before the project, the communities had trouble living together peacefully despite the fact that they depend on each other for many items.

*

What has been the impact of the project on their lives

Please limit your response to 350 words

After the project started and the communities were sensitized on the importance of interactions and working towards achieving peaceful coexistence, the life of the people is improving gradually.

*

Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

Please limit your response to 350 words

Mohamed said, "Buufow and Shalambod had tremendous challenges and working to resolve the disputes between the two communities was close to impossible. Thanks to Shaqodoon, that made it possible for the two communities to interact again."

*

6

Key Stakeholder :

IDP from Shalambod surrounding area- Tawakal IDP Camp/ Kaltumo Hassan Ahmed

*

What were the challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation?

Before the project had started the residents of the IDP camps were not selected for cash for work activities that had been conducted at both locations.

*

What has been the impact of the project on their lives

Please limit your response to 350 words

Following the initiation of the project, the IDPs feel that they are part and parcel of the community that reside in the project locations, and they are anticipating their share in the pie will be provided.

*

Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

Please limit your response to 350 words

Kaltumo said, "IDPs were not given any consideration for taking part in the community events which lead to challenges in airing out their views."

*

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had

Please limit your response to 650 words

No human impact stories are available to report on during this reporting period. A human impact story will be provided in the next report. However, please find some pictures below from the community mobilization sessions held, and a Press Release published.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc..) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

For photos, please use high resolution JPEG format

Photo 1 PBF AR 15.Nov.22-14_17_23.jpg



File 2

For photos, please use high resolution JPEG format

Photo 2 PBF AR 15.Nov.22-14_17_29.jpg



File 3

For photos, please use high resolution JPEG format

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

<https://bit.ly/3ITJs7L>

Link 2

<https://www.fao.org/africa/news/detail-news/en/c/1599729/>

Link 3

Monitoring

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 350 words

The project team completed a baseline data collection exercise that involved the use of Focus Group Discussions (FGDs), Key Informant Interviews (KIIs) and a household survey. Focus Group Discussions held during baseline assessment preparation also allowed project teams to review and respond to concerns raised among beneficiaries concerning programming within and without the scope of this award, as mentioned in the baseline assessment report (shared as an Annex in the previous report). The baseline report was completed with technical input from IOM and FAO monitoring and evaluation teams.

In addition, the project team held initial mobilization meetings (including discussions to probe conflict sensitivities) with the youth and women's groups in Buufow and Shalambod on 26 May 2022.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

yes

no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words

All outcome indicators have a baseline figure provided in this report. These figures are derived from a baseline assessment that was conducted by the project team.

Has the project launched perception surveys or other community-based data collection? *

yes

no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words

The baseline data collected was community based and included perception-based indicator questions as outlined in the baseline assessment report.

Evaluation

Has an evaluation been conducted during the reporting period? *

yes

no

Evaluation budget (in USD): *

0

If project will end in next six months, describe the evaluation preparations *

Please limit your response to 350 words

N/A as the project is not within six months of the end date.

Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project led to additional funding from other sources? *

- yes
 no

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur (Ways in which the project has supported the expansion or creation of programs and policies supporting peace, both within and outside the UN system)? *

- No catalytic effect
 Some catalytic effect
 Significant catalytic effect
 Very Significant catalytic effect
 Don't Know
 Too early to tell

Please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect, i.e. ways in which the project has supported the expansion or creation of programs and policies supporting peace, both within and outside the UN system *

Please limit your response to 350 words

The model for collaborative natural resource management across clan divides (developed initially under this project) is now being applied in two additional areas (Hirshabelle and Galmudug) by IOM with funds from a different resource partner.

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy. *

- yes
 no

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the duration of the project *

Please limit your response to 350 words

The project will produce operational and environmental sustainability plans to be endorsed by key local stakeholders, including the District Peace and Safety Committee and the district and local administrations. The plans will ensure conflict mitigation measures are in place relating to the collaborative management and use of local water resources across clans living in the target area.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Security and access to certain areas have presented a challenge to programming and the project has experienced changes and delays as a result. However, through careful consultation with local authorities and stakeholders, the project team is able to continue with implementation. As an example, the field-based engineer has encountered difficulties in accessing some tertiary canals for the assessments required to inform the project proposals (to be preloaded on to the gamification devices). He tried to rectify this challenge by engaging local community members to conduct basic measurements of the canal, however, this information may prove to be technically insufficient and/or unreliable. To mitigate this, the project team has engaged Somali FAO engineers who are available and ready to resolve this challenge. The engineers have been able to step in and provide both their remote and field level support in order to deliver on the technical commitments of the PIAP Initiative across both outcomes.

Annex: Please use this space to upload any additional document you may want to the report (ex. Additional detail on indicator reporting)

[Click here to upload file. \(< 5MB\)](#)

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.