

Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report (Updated template October 2022)



**PEACEBUILDING
FUND**  

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to keshni.makoond@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- ☐ Semi-annual
- ☒ Annual
- ☐ Final
- ☐ Other

Date of submission of report *

2022-11-15

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Alicia Peraro - IOM Somalia Programme Support Officer

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Frantz Celestin - IOM Somalia Chief of Mission

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report?

*

☒ yes

☐ no

Did PBF Secretariat or the PBF Focal point in the resident coordinator office review the report?

*

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

☒ yes

☐ no

☐ Not Applicable

Any additional comment from the PBF Secretariat/ RCO Focal point on this report

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border or regional project?

*

☐ yes ☒ no

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

☐ Asia and the Pacific

☐ Central & Southern Africa

☒ East Africa

☐ Europe and Central Asia

☐ Global

☐ Latin America and the Caribbean

☐ Middle East and North Africa

☐ West Africa

Country of project implementation

*

☐ Ethiopia

☐ Kenya

☐ Madagascar

☒ Somalia

☐ South Sudan

☐ Sudan

☐ Other, Specify

Project Title

*

- ☒ 00130612: Bridging the "Youth Gap" – Strengthening Community-Based Reintegration Mechanisms – A IOM/UNICEF Partnership for Community Youth and Youth Formerly Associated with Al-Shabaab
- ☐ 00114230: Dhulka Nabaada (The Land of Peace): Supporting Land Reform in Somalia
- ☐ 00118835: Improving psychosocial support and mental health care for conflict affected youth in Somalia: a socially-inclusive integrated approach for peace building
- ☐ 00113082: Leveraging the Strength of Women in Peace Building and Promoting Gender Sensitivity in the National Programme on Disengaged Combatants
- ☐ 00130704: Making Space for Peacebuilding: Strengthening Participation and Safety of Women Activists and Journalists in South West state and Jubaland, Somalia
- ☐ 00113980: Midnimo II (Unity) - Support for the attainment of durable solutions in areas impacted by displacement and returns in Galmudug and Hirshabelle States
- ☐ 00113011: PBF Secretariat
- ☐ 00118131: Prevention of child recruitment and community-based reintegration of children formerly associated with armed forces and groups
- ☐ 00129735: Promoting Inclusive Action in Peacebuilding (PIAP Initiative)
- ☐ 00096601: Support for Somalia Reconciliation Conferences
- ☐ 00129368: Support Political Transition in Somalia
- ☐ 00119246: Support to mechanisms to prevent and manage conflict during elections
- ☐ 00118635: Support to National Independent Electoral Commission (NIEC) Electoral Dispute Resolution Mechanisms
- ☐ 00113565: Support to stabilization phase 2 (2S2II)
- ☐ 00113621: Supporting reconciliation and state-building processes
- ☐ 00129369: Women, Peace and Protection Programme
- ☐ 00119317: Youth Building Peace in Somalia
- ☐ Other, Specify

Project Start Date

*

2022-02-24

Project end Date

*

2023-08-23

Has this project received an extension?

*

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund *

- ☐ yes
- ☒ no

Recipients

Is the lead recipient a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the lead recipient *

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☒ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UNDPO ☐ Other, Specify

Are there other recipients for this project?

*

- ☐ No other recipients
- ☒ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients recipients

*

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☒ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations ☐ Other, Specify

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

3

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Southern Aid

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

135864

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Partner has established safe space, hired 11 core staff, and provided case management, social connections, and recreational activities (sports, art, religious counselling, and technical and vocation education training (TVET)) to 96 youth and community development projects attended by 40 community members.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Sustainable Development and Reintegration Solutions (SDRS)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

135116

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Partner has established safe space, hired 11 core staff, and provided case management, social connections, and recreational activities (sports, art, religious counselling, and technical and vocation education training (TVET)) to 82 youth and community development projects attended by 45 community members.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☒ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☐ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

INTERSOM

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

446333

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Partner has established safe space, hired core staff, and provided case management, social connections, and recreational activities (sports, art, religious counselling, and technical and vocation education training (TVET)) to 70 youth.

Does the project have an active steering committee?

*

☐ yes

☒ no

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months? Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with?

*

Please limit your response to 275 words

This project supports the Government implement its National Programme for the Treatment and Handling of Disengaged Combatants and Youth at Risk (NP). The NP is implemented by the Defector Rehabilitation Programme (DRP) under the leadership of the Ministry of Internal Security (MOIS, signatory to this project). IOM and UNICEF have worked closely with MOIS at the federal and state levels (Jubaland, Southwest states) to ensure government buy-in to the project. Importantly, IOM and UNICEF have worked closely to set up referral pathways for youth formerly associated with Al-Shabaab (FAWAS), which vary by location.

In Baidoa and Kismayo, the DRP had an existing referral mechanism for youth aged 18-25 FAWAS through the IOM operated rehabilitation centres. While a referral pathway for youth aged 14-17 FAWAS exists via UNICEF's Interim Care Centre (ICC) implemented by INTERSOM in Kismayo, this pathway does not exist in Baidoa as UNICEF closed their ICC in 2021. IOM and UNICEF are working with the MOIS of Southwest State to re-establish a referral pathway for this age group. In Jowhar, a pathway exists for youth aged 14-17 FAWAS through UNICEF's partnership with INTERSOM. However, outreach to 18-25-year-olds FAWAS is complicated because there is no rehabilitation programme in Jowhar and there are security concerns around direct-to-community reintegration. IOM and UNICEF are coordinating with Jubaland MOIS to establish this referral pathway, which has been delayed due to political appointee changes related to Somalia's election of a new president in May 2022. A planned meeting between UNICEF, IOM and MOIS was postponed and is expected to take place in November 2022.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)

IOM: International Organization for Migration	1700000*	1020000*	327007.34*	19.24 %
UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund	1300000*	780000*	713565.25*	54.89 %
TOTAL	3000000	1800000	1040572.59	34.6 9%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **34.69%**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

*

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)?

33

*

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 990000**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

*

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 343388.95**. Is this correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

SO10P0637_Interim Financial Report_2-8_44_5.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☒ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project

*

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☒ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☐ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows?

*

Select all that apply

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☒ None

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of Partners

*

☐ Not started ☐ Initiated ☒ Partially complete ☐ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment

*

☐ Not started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially complete ☒ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines

*



Identification of beneficiaries

*



Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the *status of the project* in terms of implementation cycle, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Please limit your response to 250 words

With intensification of conflict in Hiraan(1), the 2nd location of implementation under UNICEF's responsibility shifted from Dhusamareb to Beletweyne with the IP shifting from Elman Peace to INTERSOM. UNICEF and INTERSOM are finalizing the partnership agreement. Elman Peace will focus on the capacity building component of the 5-pillar methodology with an expert hired for conceptualization, piloting and capacity building. A pilot phase is on-going at Elman Peace; the expert visited Mogadishu in September to assess progress. The training manual is to be finalized by end of October 2022 and training of partners is planned for January 2023.

IOM and UNICEF are finalizing harmonization of project baselines for 3 respondent groups: YFAWAS, community youth, community members. IOM has initiated baseline data collection in Baidoa and Kismayo across all respondent groups, collecting data from 198 respondents at time of reporting. UNICEF is working with a M&E Consultant to finalize harmonization.

An innovative component of this project is bridging the developmental period from childhood through young adulthood by providing co-located services to youth aged 14-25. IOM reached 3 of these 4 target groups but experienced challenges connecting to YFAWAS aged 14-17 in Baidoa and Kismayo. In Jowhar, UNICEF has reached 2 of these 4 target groups but has experienced challenges connecting with YFAWAS aged 18-25.

1.Beletweyne is located in Hiraan region where military operations against AS are expected to create an important case load of children and YFAWAS and other parties to conflict

Summarize the main structural, institutional or societal level change the project has contributed to. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project

Please limit your response to 550 words

N/A as this is for projects within six months of completion

PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.
- In the results table, please be concise, you will have 3000 characters, including blank spaces to provide your responses

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

0 1 ☒ 2 3 4 5 more than 5

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:

Youth formerly associated with AS are socially accepted into the community

Outcome 2:

Marginalized community youth have increased access to opportunities to meaningfully contribute to their communities

Outcome 1: Youth formerly associated with AS are socially accepted into the community

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

☐ 1. Off Track ☒ 2. On Track ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

Efforts to refine project methodologies to address the mental health challenges and reintegration needs of youth formerly associated with AS and those at risk of recruitment continued during the reporting period. Through this project, UNICEF is codifying an innovative mental health programme which prioritises the development of restorative adult-youth relationships between coaches/facilitators/social workers and youth enrolled in the programme. Through these adult-youth relationships, adult role models model safe relationships built on trust, creating a safe emotional space from which youth can grow. A master training programme to build the skillset of a group of master coaches/trainers was piloted at Elman Peace in Mogadishu, with seven coaches taking part in the pilot phase. This informed the development of a training manual for master trainers/coaches which is being finalised in October 2022 and will be rolled out in a training of project partners in January 2023.

During UNICEF's finalization of this methodology, IOM has continued to provide five core activities, including youth leadership, recreational activities (via sports, art, religious counselling, Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET), and safe space activities), social support structures via mentorship from former disengaged combatants, case management, and community development grants to a total of 93 youth formerly associated with AS (42 female, 51 male) and 85 community youth (38 female, 47 male) in Baidoa and Kismayo. UNICEF has provided services to 57 (14 female, 43 male) youth formerly associated with AS aged 14-17 and 13 community youth (6 female, 7 male) aged 14-17 in Jowhar . While it is too early to measure results in terms of social acceptance of youth formerly associated with AS into reintegration communities, initial feedback from community members participating in arts-based social cohesion activities indicate that interactions between community members and youth formerly associated with AS helps to humanize youth formerly associated with AS through shared stories and emotional experiences.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

Gender-based violence and gender norms change activities are integrated into the programme. All activities are designed to provide services for male and female youth formerly associated with AS and marginalized community youth. Services for female youth formerly associated with AS are critical due to the double stigma of gender and association with AS. Additionally, while gender-based violence is widespread across Somalia, female youth who live in areas under AS control are especially at risk due to a lack of access to protection mechanisms. Finally, female youth formerly associated with AS frequently have children, thus programming must be flexible to support this familial structure.

The project is building on lessons learned from three years of IOM's piloting support for women formerly associated with AS by providing gender-sensitive services, including gender-segregated services for female youth to feel safe, proportional representation among staffing and childcare for youth parents. The evaluation of UNICEF's previous PBF-supported project, which informs the current project, provides an additional source of analysis and guidance in how to further integrate gender equality and women empowerment. For example, the evaluation has shown that activities run by UNICEF partners enhance girl-boy relationships, and partners show willingness and commitment to model new, healthy relations amongst girls and boys, a good start to challenge toxic masculinity. As the project is youth-driven, female youth have had a voice in the selection of recreational activities offered. The model provides an important safe space for female youth, who often lack opportunities for social engagement outside the home in Somalia.

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key outcome indicators for **Outcome 1** in the table below

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (3000 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 1: Youth formerly associated with AS are socially accepted into the community**

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress to date	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
	<i>Describe the indicator</i>	<i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	<i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	<i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	<i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.1		0	70%	N/A	As per the result framework, baseline will be provided at 9 months (December 2022) to give appropriate time for harmonization of an evaluation methodology across partners. Evaluation frameworks are under discussion to ensure meaningful data collection in accordance with partner capacity and programme methodology. UNPBF has been briefed of the harmonization delays.

1.2		0	30%	N/A	As above
1.3					
How many outputs does outcome 1 have?					
<div>0</div> <div>1</div> <div>2</div> <div>3</div> <div>4</div> <div>5</div> <div>more than 5</div>					
Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1					
Output 1.1					
Youth formerly associated with AS have improved mental health and resilience to support reintegration at the individual level					
Output 1.2					
Community members are more accepting of youth formerly associated with AS reintegrating in their communities					
For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators					

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: Youth formerly associated with AS have improved mental health and resilience to support reintegration at the individual level	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.1.1		0	70%	N/A	As per the result framework, baseline will be provided at 9 months (December 2022) to give appropriate time for harmonization of an evaluation methodology across partners. Evaluation frameworks are under discussion to ensure meaningful data collection in accordance with partner capacity and programme methodology. UNPBF has been briefed of the harmonization delays.
1.1.2		0	70%	N/A	As above

1.1.3		0	600 (350 over 18 years old and 250 under 18 years old; 400 male and 200 female)	93 (42 female, 51 male) youth formerly associated with AS aged 18-25 in Baidoa and Kismayo; 0 youth formerly associated with AS aged 18-25 in Jowhar. 0 youth formerly associated with AS aged 14-17 in Baidoa and Kismayo; 57 (14 female, 43 male) youth formerly associated with AS in Jowhar. See Steering Committee and Government engagement section for further details.	The project target is 150 youth formerly associated with AS in each of 4 project locations over the 18-month period. Due to delays in setting up a 4th project location under UNICEF, there has been a gap in one project location. See Steering Committee and Government engagement section for further details on variance.
-------	--	---	---	--	--

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Community members are more accepting of youth formerly associated with AS reintegrating in their communities	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
---	---	--	---	---	--

1.2.1		0	30%	N/A	As per the result framework, baseline will be provided at 9 months (December 2022) to give appropriate time for harmonization of an evaluation methodology across partners. Evaluation frameworks are under discussion to ensure meaningful data collection in accordance with partner capacity and programme methodology. UNPBF has been briefed of the harmonization delays.
-------	--	---	-----	-----	--

1.2.2		0	24	2	<p>Civil society organizations (CSOs) in Baidoa and Kismayo have initiated their first community development projects. The objective is for each to conduct a minimum of 6 projects during the project period thus CSOs are on track.</p> <p>Conversations are ongoing regarding harmonization with UNICEF on core activities following initial delays.</p>
1.2.3					
<p>Outcome 2: Marginalized community youth have increased access to opportunities to meaningfully contribute to their communities</p>					
<p>Rate the current status of the outcome progress</p> <p><input type="radio"/> 1. Off Track <input checked="" type="radio"/> 2. On Track <input type="radio"/> 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results</p>					

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

Activities conducted under outcome 1 are preparatory for outcome 2 and reflect the work undertaken to establish reintegration services for marginalised youth. 3 of 4 project locations have established safe spaces operated by civil society organizations with agreed upon systems for youth at risk identified at the community level. At the kick-off meeting in May, partners agreed on a set of susceptibility criteria to identify youth-at-risk of recruitment. These criteria included youth with proximity to AS through family members or friends, tendencies of disappearance, attendance in AS-controlled madrasas, adverse experiences including abuse, youth from villages impacted by airstrikes and youth who are unaccompanied, separated, runaway, or street-involved.

UNICEF, through INTERSOM in Jowhar, uses a community referral mechanism to identify youth-at-risk through existing child protection committees. IOM, through Sustainable Development and Reintegration Solutions (SDRS) in Baidoa and Southern Aid in Kismayo, used a location-based approach using historical programme data to identify the key sections of the city in which youth formerly associated with AS live. Partners conducted sensitization sessions with religious leaders, traditional elders and women's groups to set up referral mechanisms.

For the fourth location (Beletweyne), UNICEF and its partner finalised discussions with local leadership to set up a safe space. Expanding the scope of these reintegration centres to include over 18s is at the inception phase and requires coordination with the MOIS at the national and state levels. Engagement with senior government officials was partly delayed by appointment of a new Minister.

Because a core component of the project is community acceptance, in September, partners in Baidoa and Kismayo initiated a community development grant mechanism in which youth worked to support communities in the implementation of \$1500 community-development projects selected by local communities. In Kismayo, 40 community members proposed 4 projects and ultimately voted to construct 2 pit latrines at the IDP site in Bulla Abliko (construction in process). In Baidoa, 45 community members proposed 13 ideas and ultimately voted to support road maintenance in front of the Baidoa football field in Berdale.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

Similarly to Outcome 1, the design and roll out of gender equitable programming including gender-based violence is integrated into the programme design for both female youth formerly associated with AS and female community youth, with services including gender-segregated services, gender representation in staffing, childcare for youth parents, and female youth influence over recreational activity selection.

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key outcome indicators for **Outcome 2** in the table below

- If the outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 2: Marginalized community youth have increased access to opportunities to meaningfully contribute to their communities

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Indicator progress to date	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
	<i>Describe the indicator</i>	<i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	<i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	<i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	<i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.1		0	30%	N/A	As per the result framework, baseline will be provided at 9 months (December 2022) to give appropriate time for harmonization of an evaluation methodology across partners. Evaluation frameworks are under discussion to ensure meaningful data collection in accordance with partner capacity and programme methodology. UNPBF has been briefed of the harmonization delays.
2.2		0	70%	N/A	As above
2.3					

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

0 1 2 3 4 5 more than 5

0 1 **2** 3 4 5 more than 5

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Marginalized community youth have improved mental health and resilience outcomes at the individual level

Marginalized community youth have improved mental health and resilience outcomes at the individual level

Output 2.2

Community members have a more positive perspective on the contributions of youth in the community

Community members have a more positive perspective on the contributions of youth in the community

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» **Output 2.1**

Output 2.1: Marginalized community youth have improved mental health and resilience outcomes at the individual level	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
---	--	---	--	--	--

2.1.1		0	70%	N/A	As per the result framework, baseline will be provided at 9 months (December 2022) to give appropriate time for harmonization of an evaluation methodology across partners. Evaluation frameworks are under discussion to ensure meaningful data collection in accordance with partner capacity and programme methodology. UNPBF has been briefed of the harmonization delays.
2.1.2		0	70%	N/A	As above

2.1.3		0	600	<p>60 (31 female, 29 male) community youth aged 14-17 in Baidoa, Kismayo, and Jowhar</p> <p>38 (13 female, 25 male) community youth aged 18-25 in Baidoa and Kismayo; 0 in Jowhar. See Steering Committee and Government engagement section for details.</p>	<p>The project target is 150 community youth in each of 4 project locations over the 18-month period. Due to delays in setting up a 4th project location under UNICEF, there has been a gap in one project location.</p> <p>In Baidoa and Kismayo, an initial delay in setting up identification pathways for marginalized community youth led CSO partners to begin providing services to marginalized community youth late, in August 2022. However, it is anticipated that these numbers will be met.</p>
-------	--	---	-----	--	--

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2: Community members have a more positive perspective on the contributions of youth in the community	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.2.1		0	30%	N/A	As per the result framework, baseline will be provided at 9 months (December 2022) to give appropriate time for harmonization of an evaluation methodology across partners. Evaluation frameworks are under discussion to ensure meaningful data collection in accordance with partner capacity and programme methodology. UNPBF has been briefed of the harmonization delays.
2.2.2					
1.2.3					

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next 6 months (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

☒ yes

☐ no

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)

3

1

Event Title:

Community Development Grants – Community Voting Events

*

Date (can be tentative)

2022-12

*

Location (if known)

Baidoa

Kismayo

Jowhar

Beletweyne

Target Audience

*

Select as many as applicable

☐ Senior Government officials

☐ Other Government officials

☐ Civil Society

☐ Youth

☐ Women

☐ Military or Police Personnel

☐ Traditional Leaders

☐ Donors

☐ Businesses/ Private Sector

☐ Former Combattants

☐ Journalists

☐ Artists

☒ Other

Other, please specify Community members	*
Objectives <i>Please limit your response to 150 words</i> To strengthen community acceptance of youth formerly associated with AS and increase meaningful participation of youth in civic life, the project supports the provision of small grants (\$1500) to implement development projects proposed and selected by community members. Two events have occurred already in Baidoa and Kismayo and it is anticipated that an additional six will occur in each location in the next six months. UNICEF is expected to roll out this methodology in Jowhar and Beletweyne.	*
Any other information on the event Once monthly per location	2
Event Title: Labour Market Assessment	*
Date (can be tentative) 2022-11	*
Location (if known) Baidoa Kismayo	
Target Audience <i>Select as many as applicable</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Senior Government officials <input type="checkbox"/> Other Government officials <input type="checkbox"/> Civil Society <input type="checkbox"/> Youth <input type="checkbox"/> Women <input type="checkbox"/> Military or Police Personnel <input type="checkbox"/> Traditional Leaders <input type="checkbox"/> Donors <input type="checkbox"/> Businesses/ Private Sector <input type="checkbox"/> Former Combattants <input type="checkbox"/> Journalists <input type="checkbox"/> Artists <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other 	*

Other, please specify

Community members

*

Objectives

Please limit your response to 150 words

To help inform youth selection of TVET at the CSOs and help youth better plan their livelihoods, IOM is organizing two labour market assessments in November 2022 mapping the private sector, surveying potential employers, observing local markets and understanding consumer demands in Baidoa and Kismayo.

*

Any other information on the event

3

Event Title:

Five Pillar Methodology Training

*

Date (can be tentative)

2023-01

*

Location (if known)

Mogadishu

Target Audience

Select as many as applicable

☐ Senior Government officials

☐ Other Government officials

☒ Civil Society

☐ Youth

☐ Women

☐ Military or Police Personnel

☐ Traditional Leaders

☐ Donors

☐ Businesses/ Private Sector

☐ Former Combattants

☐ Journalists

☐ Artists

☒ Other

*

Other, please specify	*
Programme Coordinators, Social Workers, Mentors, and Sports Coaches of CSOs (Southern Aid, SDRS and INTERSOM)	
Objectives	*
<i>Please limit your response to 150 words</i>	
Under the current project, UNICEF is codifying an existing Mental Health and Psychosocial Support (MHPSS) methodology emphasizing the establishment of emotional and physical safe spaces providing diverse sports and recreational activities and building therapeutic relationships between peers and with trusted adults. A mental health expert has overseen implementation of the pilot through Elman Foundation, a training manual was finalized in October 2022 and a full training is planned for January 2023.	
Any other information on the event	

Human Impact

<p>This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation ii. The impact of the project on their lives iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group 	
How many key stakeholders does this project have?	*
4	1
Key Stakeholder :	*
Ministry of Internal Security (MOIS) – Federal and State Level	
What were the challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation?	*
Distrust of government institutions is a push factor to joining AS, thus strengthening trust in government is imperative for both rehabilitation and reintegration of youth formerly associated with AS and trust building among community youth. Additionally, the National Programme had historically focused on residential rehabilitation and there was a recognized need for increased reintegration support for youth formerly associated with AS.	
What has been the impact of the project on their lives	*
<i>Please limit your response to 350 words</i>	
To build trust of government institutions, the project is supporting the MOIS in the implementation of the National Programme through CSOs to implement community-based reintegration.	
Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group	*
<i>Please limit your response to 350 words</i>	
None for this reporting period	

<p>Key Stakeholder :</p> <p>Community members (receiving communities)</p>	*
<p>What were the challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation?</p> <p>One of the most significant obstacles to reintegration for youth formerly associated with AS is distrust from receiving communities, including potential employers. IOM- commissioned research in 2019 found that although community members believe that former AS associates should be allowed to return home on principle, they are very cautious if the reintegration takes place in their own community.</p>	*
<p>What has been the impact of the project on their lives</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 350 words</i></p> <p>The project works to build trust between youth formerly associated with AS and community members through two mechanisms: involvement of community members in arts-based social cohesion activities and implementation of community development grants, reducing perceptions that Disengagement, Disassociation, Reintegration and Reconciliation (DDRR) services reward perpetrators of violence (1). To date 45 in Baidoa and 40 in Kismayo have participated in the community development project.</p> <p>(1) DPO and DPPA. SOP On Community Violence Reduction. 2021.</p>	*
<p>Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 350 words</i></p> <p>"I am happy to see a youth group helping the community, it is essential and very useful in our society and we expect more from them and we are always ready to work with them" – Adult Male from Berdale Zone in Baidoa, Southwest State</p>	*
<p>Key Stakeholder :</p> <p>Community youth</p>	*
<p>What were the challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation?</p> <p>The drivers for young people engaging in violence are rooted in experiences of injustice, discrimination, corruption, disenfranchisement, exclusive governance structures and abuse by government security forces (1). Due to the civil war and ongoing civil unrest, Somali youth are at increased risk of poverty and under-employment, have poorer health outcomes and have often experienced political exclusion and systemic violence. It is imperative to provide disenfranchised youth with opportunities for self-development.</p> <p>(1) Mercy Corps. Youth and Consequences. 2015.</p>	*
<p>What has been the impact of the project on their lives</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 350 words</i></p> <p>The project has so far reached 98 community youth (46 in Baidoa, 39 in Kismayo, 13 in Jowhar) with case management, recreational activities, adult mentorship and civic engagement.</p>	*
<p>Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group</p> <p><i>Please limit your response to 350 words</i></p> <p>None for this reporting period</p>	*

3

4

Key Stakeholder :

Youth formerly associated with AS

*

What were the challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation?

*

Individuals who disengage from AS often struggle to adjust when returning to their former communities, with factors such as broken family and community relationships, unemployment, and lack of services cited as particular challenges (1). IOM's internal research reveals that the factors that drive recruitment are similar to the factors that impede reintegration and includes distrust within communities.

(1) Juma et al. Communities' perceptions of reintegration of AS returnees in Mombasa and Kwale Counties, Kenya. 2021.

What has been the impact of the project on their lives

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

Community reintegration is critical to prevent (re)recruitment among youth formerly associated with AS while simultaneously building community resilience (1). The project has created opportunities for youth formerly associated with AS to create new narratives for themselves by providing a safe space, case management, recreational activities, supportive relationships and civic engagement to 150 youth (36 in Baidoa, 57 in Kismayo, and 57 in Jowhar).

(1) Holmer. Returning foreign fighters and the reintegration imperative. United States Institute of Peace: Special Report. 2017.

Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

The baseline data collection tool was applied to 93 youth formerly associated with AS in Baidoa and Kismayo. Preliminary results provide insights into the beliefs of these young people. Among 26 beneficiaries in Baidoa, 80% reported that they "agreed" or "strongly agreed" that forgiveness was important (a critical indicator of a change in attitude given AS' emphasis on retribution). SDRS shared the story of a beneficiary in religious counselling who struggled with the theme of forgiveness because he felt that the community had not forgiven him for his involvement with AS. The religious counsellor helped the beneficiary recognize individual responsibility (i.e. that he could only control his own feelings about forgiveness) and to respect others' feelings.

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had

Please limit your response to 650 words

The above four stakeholder groups encompass the full range of human impact of this project, from direct beneficiaries (youth formerly associated with AS and community youth) to indirect beneficiaries (community members and government partners). The project contributes to sustainability efforts in peacebuilding in Somalia by building the capacity of CSOs, whose staff will carry on the skills learned through this project. Additionally, as the average household size in Somalia comprises six individuals, the impact of improved mental health and resilience at the individual level of youth enrolled in the program can be expected to increase peace within families.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc..) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

For photos, please use high resolution JPEG format

Confidential - Female youth in Baidoa play football at a safe space - September 2022-14_46_6.jpeg



File 2

For photos, please use high resolution JPEG format

Confidential - Male youth in Kismayo receive religious counselling - August 2022-14_46_14.jpeg



File 3

For photos, please use high resolution JPEG format

Click here to upload file. (< 5MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

Link 2

Link 3

Monitoring

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

IOM has field offices in Baidoa and Kismayo, and IOM staff have provided bi-weekly monitoring visits to each CSO in these locations. Additionally, international project staff travelled once to each location and met with CSO Programme Coordinators and Mogadishu-based national programme staff conducted one visit to each project location during the reporting period.

Field monitoring visits to Jowhar have not been possible for UNICEF due to security constraints during this reporting period. UNICEF visited Kismayo in September to strengthen the collaboration between INTERSOM (who is referring youth formerly associated with AS aged 14-17 to Southern Aid for reintegration services upon completion of rehabilitation in UNICEF's Interim Care Centres) and Southern Aid. One visit to Elman peace centre in Mogadishu took place, with the MHPSS expert assessing the progress of the pilot phase of the master training program which informed the 5-Pillar training manual.

Do outcome indicators have baselines?

*

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

☒ yes

☐ no

Please provide a brief description

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

Partial - IOM has initiated baseline data collection in Baidoa and Kismayo across all respondent groups, collecting data from 93 youth formerly associated with AS, 85 community youth and 20 community members as of the time of reporting. UNICEF is working with an M&E Consultant to finalize harmonization of data collection tools.

Has the project launched perception surveys or other community-based data collection?

*

☒ yes

☐ no

Please provide a brief description

*

Please limit your response to 350 words

IOM developed a baseline and endline tool to measure changes in knowledge, attitudes, and practices of community members around youth formerly associated with AS and increased inclusion of youth formerly associated with AS into community life. The tool also measures community perceptions of positive contributions of youth to community wellbeing. At the time of reporting, the tool had been applied to 20 community members.

Evaluation

Has an evaluation been conducted during the reporting period?

*

☐ yes

☒ no

Evaluation budget (in USD):

*

40000

If project will end in next six months, describe the evaluation preparations

Please limit your response to 350 words

N/A.

Additional explanation on the evaluation:

UNICEF hired an expert evaluator to conduct an evaluation of the previous PBF-supported project. This expert provided recommendations to improve monitoring and evaluation methodology for the reintegration programme (the evaluation was not funded through the current project). The findings were presented in early October and will be used to inform monitoring of the current programme. A final evaluation will be planned in the last six months of the project.

Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Has the project led to additional funding from other sources? *

- ☐ yes
- ☒ no

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur (Ways in which the project has supported the expansion or creation of programs and policies supporting peace, both within and outside the UN system)? *

- ☐ No catalytic effect
- ☐ Some catalytic effect
- ☐ Significant catalytic effect
- ☐ Very Significant catalytic effect
- ☐ Don't Know
- ☒ Too early to tell

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy. *

- ☒ yes
- ☐ no

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure the sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the duration of the project

Please limit your response to 350 words

This project addresses 2 sustainability issues: long-term community social cohesion and community acceptance of YFAWAS and government capacity to continue to support disengagement from AS. From a local sustainability perspective, the project is implemented through CSOs, building the capacity of local infrastructures in social cohesion methodologies to continue providing these services within the community. Additionally, the youth-led community development activities will remain in place after the project ends. To address the funding sustainability issue brought up by donors, government and other stakeholders (i.e. the need for more cost-effective methods to support the rehabilitation and reintegration of youth formerly associated with AS), the project will utilize robust M&E mechanisms to help inform the future direction of the National Programme. As such, the project will contribute to sustained efforts to maintain peace by reducing the ranks of AS.

Under the mandate of the state-owned National Programme, this project is developed and implemented with the aim of building capacity of local and national institutions to sustain the intervention after the project's end as well as expand the programme to new locations. Capacity building through trainings, knowledge building and documentation of guidelines and best practices will help ensure that government counterparts have knowledge and skills to implement and expand public health violence prevention techniques.

Working through civil society organizations will establish linkages between national and local actors, and build local organizations' and communities' capacities to serve youth formerly associated with AS and marginalized community youth. Where possible, the project will use existing community resources vis-à-vis referrals for basic service provision, not only to ensure cost effectiveness, but to ensure the sustainability of activities by national and local actors.

The provision of services to marginalized community youth is a community violence reduction mechanism to increase community support for rehabilitation and reintegration programmes for youth formerly associated with AS. Close collaboration with local partners and community members to identify attitudes towards youth formerly associated with AS and in the selection and implementation of community development projects ensures that these activities can be carried forward by the community.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

None.

Note: The two photos uploaded are confidential. For security/safety reasons, please do not share externally.

Annex: Please use this space to upload any additional document you may want to the report (ex. Additional detail on indicator reporting)

[Click here to upload file. \(< 5MB\)](#)

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.