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UNCT/MCO: Burundi

Reporting Period: 1 January - 31 December 2022

JP title: Assessing the Impact of the Ukraine-Russia Crisis on Burundi’s Economy and population and developing country’s capacity to analyze shock ‘impact and build scenario for decision and resilience.

Thematic SDG Areas: Food systems transformation;SDG localization;

PUNOS: UNDP, WFP

Stakeholder partner: National Government; Sub-national Governments; Private sector;

Gender Marker: Gender-responsive (for example, the JP aimed to respond to specific gendered needs, such as linking social assistance with GBV response services or maternal health support)

Resident Coordinator Name: Agbor, John (RC a.i.)

Resident Coordinator Email: jagbor@unicef.org

Annual Progress

Overall JP self-assessment of 2022 progress:

Above expectation (expected annual results fully achieved and additional progress made)

Overall Progress

All the expertise to support the 3 components of the projects was recruited and the key following work done so far:

i) data collection, indicator generating and analysis

ii) Household survey and qualitative data collection (Key informants: Major importers/factories/transport Cie/ consumer associations and institutions etc.)

iii) Stakeholders’ consultations done

Analysis of collected data are ongoing and two reports will be available to be submitted and discussed during a policy dialogue. to be organized in 2023
SDG Acceleration progress towards the SDGs, focusing on the main SDG targets

It is expected that the transmission channels of the shock of the Ukrainian crisis on the Burundian economy are identified;
- The effects of this crisis on: (i) the living conditions of households (urban/rural) including social impacts, fiscal balances and growth prospects are assessed.
- Appropriate responses to the crisis are identified from scenario analysis
- Recommendations for well-targeted economic and social policies are provided to the Burundian government to mitigate the economic and social effects of the crisis;
- the capacity of National Statistical Office and the Forecasting Unit of the Ministry in charge of finance to assess shock impact and design scenario and choose optimal scenario to mitigate effects is reinforced.

The planned outcome are aligned with national priorities and SDG 1 SDG 2, SDG 3, SDG 5, SDG 7, SDG10 and will reinforce the achievement of the strategic result 4 on preventing and managing risk and crisis and strategic result 5 on promoting food security.

Work is in progress and UNCT Burundi will be able to give a better picture at the end of project.

Constraints that were encountered and any adjustments that were made to strengthen the relevance and effectiveness of the JP and the coherence and coordination of UN system support.

During implementation project team face challenges in colecting data as anticipated by making the Burundi National Statistique Office partner for the project implementation. Project team do not anticipate well the time it take to sign a memorandum of understanding between UN WFP and INSTEEBU before starting the implementation of the project as financial resources need to be transferred to the implementing entities. The modelling component of the project also required Ministerial level interactions which was not planned. Change in Cabinet composition in Burundi was the main reason this ministerial authorization was needed. The project Team was able to secure a no cost extension which was instrumental in undertaking the remaining portion of the workplan.

Next steps, scaling and sustainability [up to half a page]

the first draft of the report of the impact assessment on food security will be validated in march 2023 as well as the macroeonomic level impact.

The modelling component has started and project Team will conduct the capacity building training for Burundi’s experts in March 2023. This last component of the project will produce its first results 4 months after the closing of the projects. Steps are taken to ensure ownership by the government and this is the reason we are facing delays.

Strategic Partnerships and Communications

Explain how diverse stakeholders were engaged with the JP

Sub national government are parts of the work as they were consulted during the assessments. The Private sector is supporting the project as technical consultants

Key meetings and events organized

| JP steering committee/ Strategic partners/ donors | Kick-off meeting programme board meeting event |

Priority Cross-cutting Issues

Cross-cutting results/issues

Data were collected during the macroeconomic assessment and household assessment taking into account gender perspective. The house hold survey has ended in december and reporty is being drafted.
**How did the JP apply the Gender Marker**

The JP is Gender-responsive (for example, the JP aimed to respond to specific gendered needs, such as linking social assistance with GBV response services or maternal health support). Evidence, data collection and analysis (e.g. gender assessments of programmes; policy briefs, costing for scale-up of social services); Capacity development (e.g. training of social workers, local governments, local communities);

**JP address the below cross-cutting issues and principles of leaving no one behind**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human Rights</th>
<th>Persons with disabilities</th>
<th>Youth</th>
<th>Environmental and social standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Contribution to enhancing SDG Financing**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drafted a bill, strategy, and/or approved a law increasing the fiscal space for the policy in focus</th>
<th>Produced financing, costing, diagnostic and savings in the feasibility analyses as a management of basis to invest or increase spending on the SDGs</th>
<th>Improved efficiency (cost savings) in the management of programmes/schemes as a basis to increase spending or increase spending on the SDGs</th>
<th>Improved effectiveness (value for money; i.e. social impact of $1 spent) of spending</th>
<th>Drafted policies/regulatory frameworks or developed tools to incentivize private sector investment on the SDGs</th>
<th>Structured new financial instruments (public, private or blended) to leverage additional funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**How and in which area your JP contributed to enhancing SDG financing**