Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report (Update May_2023)

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

○ Semi-annual

● Annual

○ Final

○ Other

Date of submission of report *

2023-11-14

2023-11-14

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Amal Taha, Project Manager

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Slobodan Tadic, Senior Programme Manager

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report?   *

- ⦿ yes
- ◯ no

Did PBF Secretariat review the report?   *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

- ⦿ yes
- ◯ no
- ◯ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project?   *

- ◯ yes   ⦿ no

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

○ Asia and the Pacific   ○ Central & Southern Africa   ◉ East Africa

○ Europe and Central Asia   ○ Global   ○ Latin America and the Caribean

○ Middle East and North Africa ○ West Africa

Country of project implementation *

○ Ethiopia   ○ Kenya   ○ Madagascar

○ Somalia   ○ South Sudan   ◉ Sudan

○ Other, Specify

Other, please specify *

....

Project Title *

○ 00119468: Building Sustainable Peace and Social Cohesion in Tawilla Locality, North Darfur

○ 00130002: Building the MHPSS-Gender-Peacebuilding nexus: fostering wellbeing, non-violent, gender equitable masculinity and social restoration with young people in West Darfur, Sudan.

○ 00130052: Darfuri Youth Empowerment in Civic Spaces to Advance Peacebuilding

○ 00119467: Durable Solutions for forced displacement in West Darfur

○ 00119469: East Darfur: Assalaya-Sheiria-Yassin Triangle of Peace and Coexistence

○ 00121172: PBF secretariat and peacebuilding project Sudan

○ 00130005: Refugee and IDP Profiling Towards Sustainable Peace and Durable Solutions in Darfur

○ 00125917: Strengthening the Political and Peacebuilding Role of Women in Sudan's Transition

○ 00130705: Sudan Youth Citizen Observer Network: Strengthening Youth's Role as Peacebuilders and Promoters of Civic Space in Sudan's Transition

● 00125403: Support to the Sudanese Peace Process

○ 00128019: Supporting Sustainable Peace in Blue Nile State through Gender-Responsive Natural Resource Governance, Inclusive Conflict Resolution Mechanisms and Climate-Resilient Livelihoods

○ 00119470: Transition to Sustainable Peace in Central Darfur

○ 00119471: Transition to Sustainable Peace in South Darfur

○ 00131661: Peacebuilding and Human Rights in Support of Durable Solutions for IDPs and Affected Communities: The Right to Adequate Housing in West Darfur

○ 00133949: Strengthening Capacities for Peace and Social Cohesion in Kassala and Red Sea States Sudan

○ 00133950: Strengthening the Security - Climate Nexus in Gedaref Sudan

○ 00140081: Empowering Women Civil Society Leaders as Peacebuilders

○ 00140082: Empowerment of youth for peace and effective political participation Red Sea State Sudan.

○ Other, Specify

*

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

☐ Asia and the Pacific   ☐ Central & Southern Africa   ☐ East Africa

☐ Europe and Central Asia   ☐ Global   ☐ Latin America and the Caribean

☐ Middle East and North Africa ☐ West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

---

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2021-01-05

2021-01-05

Project end Date *

2024-04-05

2024-04-05

Has this project received an extension? *

○ YES, Cost Extension

⦿ YES, No Cost Extension

○ YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions

○ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

○ YES, Cost Extension

○ YES, No Cost Extension

○ YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions

⦿ NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund?   *

  ◉ yes

  ◯ no

If yes, please select which   *

  ◉ National Trust Fund

  ◯ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity?   *

  ◉ UN entity

  ◯ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient   *

  ◉ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme   ◯ IOM: International Organization for Migration

  ◯ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund

  ◯ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

  ◯ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women

  ◯ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees   ◯ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund

  ◯ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization   ◯ WFP: World Food Programme

  ◯ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme

  ◯ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

  ◯ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme   ◯ ILO: International Labour Organization

  ◯ WHO: World Health Organization   ◯ PAHO/WHO

  ◯ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund   ◯ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime

  ◯ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services

  ◯ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization   ◯ ITC: International Trade Centre

  ◯ UNDPO   ◯ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify   *

....

Are there other recipients for this project?   *

○ No other recipients

⦿ Yes, other UN recipients only

○ Yes, other non-UN recipients only

○ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients   *

Select all that apply

☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme   ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration

☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund

☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women

☑ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees   ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund

☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization   ☐ WFP: World Food Programme

☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme

☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme   ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization

☐ WHO: World Health Organization   ☐ PAHO/WHO

☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund   ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime

☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services

☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization   ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre

☐ UN Department of Peace Operations   ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify   *

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- [ ] Action Aid
- [ ] The African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- [ ] Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- [ ] American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- [ ] Avocats sans frontières Canada
- [ ] Christian Aid Ireland
- [ ] CARE International UK
- [ ] The Carter Center, Inc.
- [ ] Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- [ ] COIPRODEN
- [ ] Concern Worldwide
- [ ] CORDAID
- [ ] CORD Burundi
- [ ] DanChurchAid
- [ ] Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- [ ] Fund for Congolese Women
- [ ] Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- [ ] Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- [ ] Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- [ ] HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- [ ] Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- [ ] Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- [ ] International Alert
- [ ] Interpeace
- [ ] Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- [ ] Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- [ ] (MDG-EISA) Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- [ ] Mercy Corps
- [ ] MSIS-TATAO
- [ ] Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- [ ] ONG AZHAR
- [ ] OXFAM
- [ ] Peace Direct
- [ ] PNG UN Country Fund
- [ ] Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- [ ] Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- [ ] Saferworld
- [ ] Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- [ ] SismaMujer
- [ ] Tearfund
- [ ] Trocaire
- [ ] World Vision International
- [ ] World Vision Myanmar
- [ ] ZOA
- [ ] Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify *

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

4

1

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ○ National youth CSO
- ○ National women's CSO
- ○ Other National CSO
- ○ Subnational youth CSO
- ○ Subnational women's CSO
- ○ Other subnational CSO
- ○ Regional CSO
- ○ Regional Organisation
- ○ International NGO
- ○ Governmental entity
- ● Other

Other, Please specify

Academic Institution

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Peace Research Institute/ Khartoum University

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

75050

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Engaged a team of national experts to support UNITAMS and UNCT on the peacebuilding in complementarity with the PBF programmatic activities under Outcomes 2 of PBF Secretariat project".
Provided capacity building support to Peace and Development Centers (PDCs) Darfur, Kordufan, and East regions in complementarity with the PBF Secretariat Project.
Facilitated a participatory and an inclusive community dialogue and reconciliation fora in conflict-affected states in partnership with PDCs.

2

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ◯ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ⬤ Other

Other, Please specify

Consultancy Firm

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Voluntas Advisory Aps- Denmark

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

79000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Provided technical inputs to the Crisis Risk Dashboard (CRD) methodological framework.
Designed and produced possible scenario analysis, situational report, and the online for the dashboard layout revision in coordination with UNDP HQ.
Provided technical support to the early warning features.
Provided technical support to the Peacebuilding assessments including survey data work, produced state and locality profiles, and creating dissemination materials

3

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

○ National youth CSO

○ National women's CSO

○ Other National CSO

○ Subnational youth CSO

○ Subnational women's CSO

○ Other subnational CSO

○ Regional CSO

○ Regional Organisation

○ International NGO

○ Governmental entity

● Other

Other, Please specify

UN Agency

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

United Nations System Staff College

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

13856.5

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Develop thematic conflict sensitivity materials in partnership with PBF – Phase 2

4

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ○ National youth CSO
- ○ National women's CSO
- ○ Other National CSO
- ○ Subnational youth CSO
- ○ Subnational women's CSO
- ○ Other subnational CSO
- ○ Regional CSO
- ○ Regional Organisation
- ● International NGO
- ○ Governmental entity
- ○ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Norwegian Refugee Council

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

774466

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Supported displacement-affected communities in North Darfur and West Darfur to claim and exercise their housing, land, and property (HLP) rights, enjoy improved access to services and protection through increased access to legal documentation (national identity cards, birth registration, marriage registration) and peaceful resolution of disputes over land and natural resources through the provision of information, counselling, legal assistance (ICLA) and training services' providers on HLP rights including collaborative dispute resolution (CDR) and legal identity and civil documentation.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in US $) *Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars*	Transfers to date (in US $) *Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars*	Expenditure to date (in US $) *Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars*	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget *(calculated automatically)*
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	2893620 *	2025534 *	1448493.61 *	50.06%
	*	*	*	%
UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees	1106380 *	774466 *	1004000 *	90.75%

	*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....	....
	*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....	....
	*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....	....
	*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....	....
	*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....	....
	*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....	....
	*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....	....
	*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....	....
	*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....	....
	*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....	....
	*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....	....
	*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....	....
	*	*	*	%
....	....	....	....	....

TOTAL	4000000	2800000	2452493.61	**61.3 1%**

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **61.31%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

(●) Correct   (○) Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)? *

30

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US $ 1200000**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

(○) Correct   (●) Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

1234245

Amount expended to date on efforts contributiong to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US $ 735748.08**. Is this correct? *

(○) Correct   (●) Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

807127.36

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *
The templates for the budget are available here

copy_of_3._pbf_project_document_template_2020_annex_d_project_budget_english[17]-2_40_30.xlsx

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project   *

- ○ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ● Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ○ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project   *

- ○ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ○ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ● Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project   *

- ○ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ○ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ○ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ○ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ○ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ○ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ● (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ○ (3.1) Employment
- ○ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ○ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ○ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ○ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows?   *
Select all that apply

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☑ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☐ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee?   *

- ◉ yes
- ○ no

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

The project encountered difficulties in coordinating with the steering committee due to the national crisis set off by the military coup of 25 October 2021 and most recently the war between the Sudanese Armed Forces (SAF) and the paramilitary Rapid Support Forces (RSF) that started 15 April 2023 both of which resulted in the absence of a civilian-led government. The Steering Committee thus has not met since the October 2021 military coup.

Despite these challenges, the project ensured consistent communication and coordination with UNDP, UNHCR, the Peace Commissioner, UNITAMS Peacebuilding Unit, the Darfur Permanent Ceasefire Committee (DPCC) and PBF Secretariat in Sudan.

*

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

Since 25th October 2021, the country has been embroiled in a political crisis that has hindered the establishment of a new Prime Minister and a civilian-led government. This situation has limited opportunities for engagement with the government and has been exacerbated by the conflict that erupted between SAF and RSF in April 2023.

In spite of these obstacles, the project persisted in offering technical and advisory assistance to the Office of the Peace Commissioner (PC) prior to the current armed conflict (the national level position of the Peace Commissioner was established under the Juba Peace Agreement (JPA) and was created under the Transitional Government of Prime Minister Hamdok).

Furthermore, it maintained regular contact with UN agencies' field offices and the Wali's office in North Darfur state (in anticipation of the implementation of a reprogrammed Outcome 3 as per 10 April PBF approval). This approach ensured that the project's objectives were pursued effectively even amidst political instability. These discussions aimed to identify joint strategies to support the agricultural season in the state. Despite the recent conflict, these engagements have continued to identify emerging needs and potential solutions for supporting the winter agricultural season in North Darfur (in anticipation of the implementation of the reprogrammed Outcome 3 as submitted in September 2023 project amendment request, currently pending PBF approval) which is crucial to prevent historical conflict between farmers and pastoralists in the state.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

---

Contracting of partners   *

◯ Not Started   ◯ Initiated   ⦿ Partially Completed

◯ Completed   ◯ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment   *

◯ Not Started   ◯ Initiated   ⦿ Partially Completed

◯ Completed   ◯ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines   *

◯ Not Started   ◯ Initiated   ◯ Partially Completed

◯ Completed   ⦿ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries   *

◯ Not Started   ◯ Initiated   ⦿ Partially Completed

◯ Completed   ◯ Not Applicable

*

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

· **In November 2022, UNDP and UNHCR had to revise the original project and request a 6-month no-cost extension to align the project's outputs and activities with the constraints imposed by the October 2021 military takeover and delays in the implementation of the JPA. The reprogrammed project was approved by the PBF on the 10 April 2023 and the war between SAF and RSF broke out on the 15 April necessitating yet another reprogramming. Both the October 2021 military takeover and the 15 April war have been highly disruptive to peacebuilding programming and implementation in Sudan**

· **Despite some progress, the current war significantly impacts the feasibility and relevance of the reprogrammed activities and interventions approved in early April, as well as engagement with key stakeholders such as the PC and DPCC. Operational and security challenges were encountered, particularly in North Darfur where a new outcome was proposed as part of the first reprogramming and initial no-cost extension. To adapt to the new context, UNDP requested a second project reprogramming in September 2023 (reflecting also the recalibration of UNDP's footprint and staffing needs) which is pending PBF's approval. The operational context/security dynamics since April 2023 has challenged engagement with implementing partners and beneficiaries and also resulted in the absence of a baseline survey**

*

Summarize *the main structural, institutional or societal level change* the project has contributed to. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project where evidence of contribution to outcomes is available if requested

FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION ONLY (550 word limit)

· **The Peacebuilding Assessments, a collaborative effort of UN Sudan led by UNITAMS and UNDP supported through this project, was successfully concluded in March 2023. It offers data on and comprehensive state-level analyses of conflict dynamics in North and South Darfur, South Kordofan, Blue Nile, Kassala, and Red Sea. The analysis helped UN Sudan and its partners to collectively understand the root causes, triggers and impacts of conflicts, to design evidence-based peacebuilding programmes, and to inform policy recommendations through inclusive, participatory and community-based approaches. Results of the assessments were validated through a series of regional workshops in March and early April 2023 in South and North Darfur and Blue Nile and South Kordofan states. Results remain relevant in the current context as they offer, a valuable data source on pre-war conflict drivers for the six states assessed and constitute the most recent peacebuilding focused data collection that took place in the states now directly or indirectly affected by the war.**

· **The project contributed to the efforts of the RC/HC and the Durable Solutions Working Group to support the development of the North Darfur Durable Solutions Strategy (2022 – 2026). This strategy aligns with normative, policy framework and international standards. It intends to resolve displacement issues by improving living conditions, fostering social cohesion, building capacities and mitigating environmental effects.**

· **The project supported 15,322 (10,210F; 5,112M) displacement-affected communities in North and West Darfur to access their housing, land, and property (HLP) rights, improved access to services and protection through increased access to legal documentation, and peaceful resolution of disputes over land and natural resources.**

· **The project also supported awareness-raising sessions on HLP rights and on legal identity and civil documentations (LCD) in various camps reaching 12,560 (8,802F; 3,758M) adults. Moreover, the project assisted 4,866 (1,882F; 2,984M) individuals to obtain their national numbers, birth certificates, and marriage certificates in collaboration with the Judiciary and the Civil Registry offices.**

· **The assessment report on women HLP rights in four localities of North and West Darfur state has been completed by the project. It analyzed the social and cultural obstacles and difficulties that women encounter in accessing HLP rights during and after displacement and explored possible ways to achieve durable solutions. The report also suggested some actions to enhance women's empowerment, assistance, and advocacy to challenge the discriminatory and patriarchal practices that undermine HLP rights, and to engage with the government. A summary note of five pages was also prepared and both documents will be shared with UN agencies, and humanitarian and development partners.**

PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration).
Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have   *

    1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:   *

Peacebuilding, peacemaking and implementation of peace agreements advance progress toward a peaceful and democratic transition.

Outcome 2:   *

Sudan has an 'infrastructure for peace' established and engaged in peacemaking and peacebuilding at national and sub-national levels.

Outcome 3:   *

Coexistence between farmers and pastoralist in North Darfur is increasingly improving, with stable relations between them, underwritten by strengthened application of the rule of law and mechanisms/ structures in place to maintain achievements

Outcome 4:   *

Outcome 5:   *

Outcome 6:   *

Outcome 7: *

Outcome 8: *

Additional Outcomes *

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

Outcome 1: Peacebuilding, peacemaking and implementation of peace agreements advance progress toward a peaceful and democratic transition.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

◉ 1. Off Track   ◯ 2. On Track   ◯ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Prior to this reporting period (until end 2022), the project team successfully completed most of the activities and outputs under Outcome 1 through providing technical support to the National Peace Commission and the Darfur Permanent Ceasefire Committee – 2 key commissions provided for in the JPA. In addition, the project facilitated JPA talks led by UNITAMS ensuring inclusive engagement with CSOs, especially women, and leading effective communication of JPA terms and provisions to key stakeholders and the public, particularly in Darfur in collaboration with the Peace Commission.
The conflict that erupted in April 2023 has made it impossible to continue the remaining activities under this outcome, which aimed to further assist the Darfur Permanent Ceasefire Committee and to establish a radio station in Blue Nile. The remaining funds for these activities have been reallocated in the revised project document submitted to the PBF in September 2023.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

NA

Outcome 2: Sudan has an 'infrastructure for peace' established and engaged in peacemaking and peacebuilding at national and sub-national levels.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

○ 1. Off Track   ○ 2. On Track   ◉ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

*

Progress summary

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The project has concluded six comprehensive peacebuilding assessments in conflict affected states and contributed to the development of the durable solutions strategy for North Darfur state.

The project has developed a hate speech toolkit that offers a variety of tools and resources to help recognize and effectively counter hate speech, mis/disinformation using a behaviorally informed, human-centred approach. The toolkit development was supported by Conflict Prevention and Peacebuilding and Innovation units from UNDP regional hub and reviewed by a wide range of actors including the Oslo Governance Center. The toolkit builds on the experience of the PBF Youth Promotion Initiative project in Darfur implemented by UNDP and UNHCR, where youth used Behavioural Insights and design thinking to create online solutions.

The project in partnership with the PBF Secretariat and UNSSC, developed three additional training modules on community engagement, durable solutions and Darfur for HDP Nexus actors in Sudan. These modules complemented the five modules of the Conflict Sensitivity and Peacebuilding in Sudan Course developed in 2022 and enrich the learning journey through new thematic areas. Moreover, a Training of Trainers was held on 12th – 16th March 2023 for 26 participants from UN agencies and CSOs.

Individuals who self-identified for counselling services have received advice on how to overcome structural/ administrative barriers to fulfil their HLP rights. The project utilized radio shows to spread awareness on legal identity and civil documentation to a wider audience in North Darfur in collaboration with the judiciary and civil registry office who reviewed key messages as well as the final radio show scripts.

The project conducted a mapping of dispute resolution structures and conflict analysis in North and West Darfur states to gain a better understanding of the issues and ongoing dynamics of HLP issues, actors, drivers/triggers of HLP-related conflicts in North and West Darfur. From the mapping exercise. CDR structures were identified and were provided with training and materials on HLP rights to increase their knowledge and skills on how to amicably resolve arising HLP issues within their respective communities. According to the pre and post-test evaluations, there was a 20% increase in participants' knowledge.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The project successfully conducted a negotiation skills training from March 12-14, 2023, for the Women, Peace, and Security network members in East Sudan that has been established in 2022 with the support of the programmatic activities under the PBF Secretariat project. The training was facilitated by the Clingendael Institute, a globally recognized diplomatic training academy. The training was attended by 26 women leaders from various women-led organizations, initiatives, and movements within the WPS network were 19 out of 21 reported that they can effectively participate after the training in negotiation processes. The training equipped women with the necessary skills for mediation and negotiation, so that they can play a more active role in peacebuilding, challenge the stereotypes that limit women's potential and opportunities in local mediation, and promote social change through inclusive negotiation processes.

Male youth participation across the different activities was noted to be considerably low within the IDPs camps (Alsalam, Abu Shouk and Zamzam camps) even though the lack of access to documentation has limited their chances of accessing employment opportunities, different services in addition to limiting their ability to enforce their HLP rights. The limited opportunities for male youth within the camps was noted to increase the likelihood of travelling outside the borders of Sudan to join armed groups or engage in gold mining which has resulted in the death of many. Throughout the project, NRC worked to identify alternative ways of engaging male youth within Alsalam, Abu Shouk and Zamzam camps that could also contribute to peaceful co-existence and social cohesion among the youths in the camp by organizing a football match. During the match days, information and counselling sessions were provided targeting male youth. In West Darfur, the low male participation was evident during the harvesting season as most men were reported to have left for the farms. Due to security considerations, there were limited alternative community engagement opportunities however, efforts such as door to door campaigns by paralegals were initiated to ensure engagement with all target groups.

Outcome 3: Coexistence between farmers and pastoralist in North Darfur is increasingly improving, with stable relations between them, underwritten by strengthened application of the rule of law and mechanisms/ structures in place to maintain achievements

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

(•) 1. Off Track   ( ) 2. On Track   ( ) 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Since the reprogrammed outcome 3 was approved by PBF on the 10 April 2023 and the armed conflict erupted on the 15 April 2023, implementation of this new outcome had not yet commenced when the war broke out and the project team could not move beyond consultations with local authorities. Most of the planned activities are now no longer viable in the current context and have been subject to another round of reprogramming (submitted September 2023, pending approval)
The conflict affected the security conditions, access to beneficiaries and operational capacities in the state and Darfur region as a whole. As a result, the project team had to revise the implementation strategy and adjust the scope of the project to East Sudan, Gedarf State in the latest reprogrammed document that UNDP submitted to the PBF on September 2023. However, UNDP continued its engagement with North Darfur authorities to fulfil its commitment and explore possible solutions to continue support the agriculture season and the dire needs of communities in the state particularly farmers and nomads. Thus, adequate support has been maintained to continue support winter agriculture season in North Darfur in complementarity with PBF, Youth Promotion Initiative project in the state.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

NA

Outcome 4:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

( ) 1. Off Track   ( ) 2. On Track   ( ) 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 5:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

○ 1. Off Track   ○ 2. On Track   ○ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 6:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

○ 1. Off Track   ○ 2. On Track   ○ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 7:

......

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

○ 1. Off Track   ○ 2. On Track   ○ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

......

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

......

Outcome 8:

......

Rate the current status of the outcome progress   *

○ 1. Off Track   ○ 2. On Track   ○ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary   *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

......

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

......

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please use this text box to describe the *status* of progress (on track with evidence of peacebuilding outcomes, on track or off track), as well as briefly describe the progress and any analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome   *

......

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments-provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at the **outcome** level in the table below

- If an outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Peacebuilding, peacemaking and implementation of peace agreements advance progress toward a peaceful and democratic transition.

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	**# of relevant commissions of Juba Peace Agreement are established and functional.**	**No relevant commissions established**	**2**	**2 JPA commissions have been supported, the National Peace Commission and Darfur Permeant Ceasefire Committee**	**The escalation of violence the region in April 2023 and prior delays in JPA implementation posed a significant challenge in achieving this outcome**

| 1.2 | # of community members aware about JPA terms and provisions at different levels (sub national and national support) | Unknown levels of popular support for peace agreement and peace process (2020) | 30,000 –in Darfur Region (including 40% women) 25,000 local communities and IDPs 2,000 community leaders and native administration 2,000 CSOs (including women and youth groups) 1,000 Government officials | - The project in collaboration with the National Peace Commissioner produced and distributed 10,000 copies of the JPA document across the five regional JPA tracks, reaching a wide audience of stakeholders and beneficiaries.
- The project developed a track-specific summary of the JPA document in consultation with the JPA signatories and under the guidance of the Peace Commissioner, to highlight the key points and commitments of each track.
- The project delivered four high-level workshops in Darfur in collaboration with the JPA signatories, the Peace Commissioner and the government, where 1,200 stakeholders were briefed on the JPA and its implementation. | The political situation in the country has deteriorated since the Oct 2021 event, which disrupted the governance system and the implementation of JPA. The JPA in the East track has been suspended, and the local communities in different regions have not received the expected peace dividends from the agreement. This has led to frustration and mistrust among the stakeholders and has hampered the efforts to raise awareness about JPA in different regions of the country. The situation worsened in April 2023, when a new outbreak of violence occurred.

Note: the current security situation does not permit reporting against this indicator in line with what is included in the project amendment request |

1.3					

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Mechanisms and processes of JPA architecture supported

Output 1.2

Advocacy and Communications campaign conducted

Output 1.3

Support provided to peace talks with non-signatory armed groups.

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: Mechanisms and processes of JPA architecture supported	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.1.1	**# of equipment and technical support provided to the PC**	**None, to inadequate support provided**	**PC equipped with necessary IT equipment (laptops, printers, ...) and technical support and tool for communication (communication specialist and website)**	**None**	**The project has provided IT equipment to the PC to help it function effectively once its organizational structure is settled, and to be aligned with the transitional government's digital vision prior to Oct 2021 events. However, the ongoing conflict on April 2023 and the uncertain future of the JPA pose challenges on the impact of this activity.**	**NA**

1.1.2	# of equipment and technical support provided to the PCC	inadequate support provided	PCC equipped with necessary IT equipment (laptops, printers, ...) and tools for communication and community outreach	None	The escalation of violence in the region in April 2023 prevented implementation of this activity.	NA
1.1.3						

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Advocacy and Communications campaign conducted	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
....	....	....	....	....	....	....

| 1.2.1 | # of community members reported understanding JPA terms and provisions | Weak public understanding of provisions of JPA (2020) | 15,000 –in Darfur Region (including 40% women) 10,000 local communities and IDPs 2,000 community leaders and native administration 2,000 CSOs (including women and youth groups) 1,000 Government officials | None | The project supported the development of the NPC website, which is ready for launch and intended to offer communication and outreach services to the communities involved in the peace process and to the public. The projects supported printing and distributing 10,000 JPA copies across the five track regions. Additionally, high-level workshops have been held in Darfur to raise awareness and support for the agreement among key stakeholders, as a necessary step for its successful implementation. Note: the current security situation does not permit reporting against this indicator in line with what is included in the project amendment request | NA |

1.2.2	Equipment and capacities established to raise awareness on JPA and peace process in Blue Nile	0	Installation of MW Peace radio station	None	None	The escalation of violence in the region in April 2023 prevented implementation of this activity.
1.2.3	# of community members in Darfur reported understanding of PCC mandate and role	0	15,000 –in Darfur Region (including 40% women) 10,000 local communities and IDPs 2,000 community leaders and native administration 2,000 CSOs (including women and youth groups) 1,000 Government officials	None	The project has supported the design and development of the PCC visual identity in consultation with UNITAMS, the government of Sudan and the signatories of the JPA. Additionally, the project supported to produce and disseminate communication and outreach materials to its five offices in Darfur.	The escalation of violence in the region in April 2023 prevented implementation of this activity

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3: Support provided to peace talks with non-signatory armed groups.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.3.1	**# of parties express satisfaction with UNITAMS/UNCT facilitation**	**SPLM-N Abdelaziz Al-Hilu has requested UNITAMS/UNCT inputs to mediation effort, to inform process and decision-making of parties**	**70% of surveyed individuals**	**None**	**Support provided to the Technical Secretariat of the Juba Peace Talks through UNITAMS**	**Note: the data on this indicator cannot be collected under the current political and security situation, but it could be measured by UNITAMS reports which are relevant and reliable.**
1.3.2						
1.3.3						

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

» Outcome 2: Sudan has an 'infrastructure for peace' established and engaged in peacemaking and peacebuilding at national and sub-national levels.

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	**# of CSOs, including women-led and youth-led groups, actively promoting social cohesion in target 'hotspots' participating in early warning systems and/or engaged regularly in conflict resolution dialogue and processes**	**Unknown number of CSOs currently supporting**	**50**	**95 CSOs in addition to 22 Women led CSOs**	**NA**
2.2	**# of assessments and joint analysis supported by UNITAMS and UNCT to enhance joint understanding of conflict dynamics and drivers**	**0**	**7**	**7 peacebuilding assessments have been completed.**	**NA**
2.3	**# of sub-regional initiatives for conflict prevention and responses, attended by Sudanese officials**	**0**	**4**	**NA**	**The escalation of violence the region in April 2023 and prior delays in JPA implementation posed a significant challenge in achieving this outcome**

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Civil society mobilised and capacitated

Output 2.2

Key elements of the evidence-base for peacebuilding in place

Output 2.3

Relevant regional and international capacities for peace enhanced

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1: Civil society mobilised and capacitated	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*

| 2.1.1 | # of forums and workshops organized for CSOs to contribute to peacemaking and peacebuilding processes | TBD | 80 | 3 workshops have been conducted including the conflict sensitivity training of trainers, Negotiation workshop for women-led organizations, and Durable Solutions strategy validation workshop | 44 peacebuilding dialogues confidence building fora have been conducted in partnership with Peace Research Institute (PRI) and Peace and Development Centers (PDCs) in the five Darfur states, West and South Kordofan, Blue Nile, and East Sudan States of Kassala, and Gedarif during the period from September to November 2022, to strengthen social cohesion and build consensus around JPA and local peace issues utilizing initial data and issues highlighted in the peacebuilding assessment (where applicable) | The escalation of violence in the region in April 2023 posed a significant challenge to the project outcome and disrupted the planned activities |

| 2.1.2 | # of women groups capacitated to reflect the gender issues and concerns in peacemaking and implementation processes (2021-2023) Baseline: TBD | TBD | 20 | 22 women led organizations and networks capacitated to effectively participate in peace processes | NA | NA |
| 2.1.3 | # of CSOs trained on, and mainstreaming conflict sensitivity in their programing and implementation | 0 | 1500 participated in the conflict sensitivity online modules (English and Arabic versions) | 522 participants | NA | The violence outbreak in April 2023 has limited access to the necessary digital infrastructure for connecting to online materials |

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2: Key elements of the evidence-base for peacebuilding in place	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.2.1	**# of CDR structures mapping assessments conducted in North and West Darfur States**	0	1 assessment	1 assessment	**1 assessment conducted in North and West Darfur**	2
2.2.2	**# of assessments conducted on women's access to HLP rights**	**0**	**1**	**1 assessment completed**	**1 report on women's access to HLP**	**NA**
2.2.3	**# of individuals (disaggregated by group, gender and age) who received support (information, counselling and legal assistance including collaborative disputes) on HLP, and legal and personal documentation.**	**0**	**10,000 (80% IDPs)**	**9,288 (8,050 F & 1,238 M) IDPs reached**	**4,866 (1,882F; 2,984M) reached with civil documentations.** **15,322 (10,210F; 5,112M) reached with HLP rights** **12,560 (8,802F; 3,758M) reached with ICLA.**	**NA**

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3: Relevant regional and international capacities for peace enhanced	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.3.1	**# of IGAD workshops/ consultations at sub national level and national organized**	**0**	**4**	**NA**	**NA**	**The escalation of violence in the region in April 2023 prevented implementation of this activity**
2.3.2	**# of conflict sensitivity materials developed**	**0**	**3**	**3 online conflict sensitivity materials are currently under development in partnership with the PBF and UNSSC**	**NA**	**NA**
2.3.3	**# of capacities developed to facilitate conflict sensitivity training**	**0**	**25 peace ambassadors are trained**	**26 participants from UN agencies and CSOs capacities has been developed through a TOT in partnership with UNSSC and PBF**	**NA**	**NA**

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Perform ance Indicator s _Describe the indicator_	Indicator Baseline _State the baseline value of the indicator_	End of Project Indicator Target _State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project_	Indicator progress for reportin g period _State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period_	Indicator progress to date _State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project_	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) _Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant_
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Perform ance Indicator s _Describe the indicator_	Indicator Baseline _State the baseline value of the indicator_	End of Project Indicator Target _State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project_	Indicator progress for reportin g period _State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period_	Indicator progress to date _State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project_	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) _Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant_
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» Outcome 3: Coexistence between farmers and pastoralist in North Darfur is increasingly improving, with stable relations between them, underwritten by strengthened application of the rule of law and mechanisms/ structures in place to maintain achievements

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1	**Increase of confidence of communities along migratory routs in conflict prevention and management mechanisms.**	**TBC**	**Significant increase in community confidence**	**None**	**The escalation of violence in the region in April 2023 prevented implementation of activities planned under this outcome.**
3.2	**# of incidents between farmer and pastoralist as a result of competition over land and natural resources**	**TBD**	**reduced/decreased number of violence incidents along migratory routs**	**None**	**The escalation of violence in the region in April 2023 prevented implementation of activities planned under this outcome.**
3.3					

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Conflict management capacities are strengthened around migratory routes

Output 3.2

Social cohesion promoted between farmers and pastoralist's communities through peacebuilding and stablization interventions

Output 3.3

....

Output 3.4

....

Output 3.5

....

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

....

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1: Conflict management capacities are strengthened around migratory routes	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.1.1	**# of committees, mechanisms and structures supported and capacitated**	**0**	**5-committees (one per locality)**	**None**	**None**	**The escalation of violence in the region in April 2023 prevented implementation of activities planned under this output.**
3.1.2	**# of kilometers mapped to support demarcation and conflict resolution,**	**0**	**300 linear Kilometers of migratory routes demarcated.**	**None**	**None**	**The escalation of violence in the region in April 2023 prevented implementation of activities planned under this output.**

3.1.3	# of workshops on conflict resolution and peaceful coexistence for state and nonstate actors held,	0	10-workshops (2-per locality)	None	None	The escalation of violence in the region in April 2023 prevented implementation of activities planned under this output.

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2: Social cohesion promoted between farmers and pastoralist's communities through peacebuilding and stablization interventions	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.2.1	**# of social cohesion events/measures implemented in targeted locations**	**0**	**20**	**None**	**None**	**The escalation of violence in the region in April 2023 prevented implementation of activities planned under this output.**
3.2.2	**# of infrastructure and services rehabilitated/constructed/provided in the targeted locations**	**0**	**5**	**None**	**None**	**The escalation of violence in the region in April 2023 prevented implementation of activities planned under this output.**
3.2.3						

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Perform ance Indicator s _Describe the indicator_	Indicator Baseline _State the baseline value of the indicator_	End of Project Indicator Target _State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project_	Indicator progress for reportin g period _State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period_	Indicator progress to date _State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project_	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) _Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant_
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Perform ance Indicator s _Describe the indicator_	Indicator Baseline _State the baseline value of the indicator_	End of Project Indicator Target _State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project_	Indicator progress for reportin g period _State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period_	Indicator progress to date _State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project_	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) _Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant_
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performanc e Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

....

Output 4.1

....

Output 4.2

....

Output 4.3

....

Output 4.4

....

Output 4.5

....

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

....

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

....

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes *

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives
Event 1	The project team has submitted a project revision to the PBF in September 2023. The approval of this revision will determine the planned activities for the next 6 months of project implementation.				

Event 2					
Event 3					
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation
ii. The impact of the project in their lives
iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group
This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	**Key Stakeholder**	**What were the challenges they faced prior to project implementation? (350 words)**	**What has been the impact of the project on their lives? (350 words)**	**Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group (350 words)**

1	**26 participants from the UNCT, NNGOs and Academia backgrounds**	**Prior to the training, participants reported that while they have a limited understanding of key theories of conflict sensitivity, they were struggling to implement the theory into practice throughout their day to day operations and work in the humanitarian, development and peace fields.**	**After the training, participants reported that they were able to advise and guide their immediate colleagues, within their respective organizations to apply principle of do no harm and conflict sensitivity in programming, as well as to help to analyse the context and develop conflict sensitive interventions accordingly.**	**" It was a wonderful workshop and your facilitators were very skilled, knowledgeable and helpful. I have just completed outcome result harvesting with the project beneficiaries in Kordofan state. I was able to better prepare for it and was able to conduct it in a more conflict sensitive manner. Thanks a lot to you and your team, really appreciated." - Ali - SFCG**
2	**26 participants from women led organizations, networks and activists**	**Before attending the training workshop, many women expressed that they lacked the confidence and skills necessary to engage in negotiation processes.**	**19 out of 21 women responded that they can now confidently participate in negotiation process, formulate informed negotiation strategies, and they can contribute constructively to the inclusion of youth and women in the process**	**- Many conflicts of various kind happen in the community where I work, its my intention to apply negotiation techniques whenever I have the opportunity. Ishraga, Babiker Bedri Scientific Association for Women's Studies, Red Sea - We have tribal disputes in our region, we can try as much as possible to bring the points of view closer and reconcile conflicting parties through the skills we developed. Ghada, Jassmar Organization, Kassala**
3				

4				
......	......	......	......	......

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had (650 words)

Due to the ongoing conflict, we are unable to access the records and documentation that we normally produce for the project. These documents are also important for monitoring and evaluation purposes, but they are stored in a location that is currently unreachable. We apologize for any inconvenience this may cause

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

File 1
OPTIONAL

Together-We-Talk-3_36_9.pdf

File 2
OPTIONAL

Sudan - Info Product - CRD-3_36_22.pdf

File 3
OPTIONAL

Negotiation Trainig Workshop for Women from East Sudan - 12 to 14 Mar 2023-3_37_58.mp4

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

Link 1
OPTIONAL

Link 2
OPTIONAL

Link 3
OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked: *

Please select up to 3.

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☑ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☑ Strengthened capacities
- ☐ Partnered with Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The project has contributed to several policy framework that would lay the ground for future discussions on peace agreement, JPA priority implementation and peacebuilding strategies. This includes the UN joint peacebuilding assessments, North Darfur Durable Strategy, and gender sensitive research and thematic papers strategies on the HLP rights of IDPs and refugees

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The project made a substantial contribution to strengthening the capacities of diverse stakeholders, particularly academic institutions and CSOs engaged in peacebuilding. The project produced materials that were tailored to the specific contexts, enabled regular dialogue and exchange of expertise, and established a roster of experts who were strategically located and could offer assistance to various peace partners.]

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners)   *

☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs

☑ Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies

☑ Partnered with local civil society organizations

☑ Partnered with local academia

☑ Partnered with sub-national entities

☑ Partnered with national entities

☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain (If IFIs)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Please explain (If UN Agencies)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The project enhanced the collaboration and joint understanding among UN agencies on peacebuilding needs and conflict drivers through the peacebuilding assessments. In addition, the project built a solid partnership among UN agencies on conflict sensitivity principles - especially at the field level- through the development of the conflict sensitivity materials that engaged most of their staff in the process, in the TOT roaster and community of practice. Furthermore, the strengthened UN commitment to the DS working group to support DS strategy for North Darfur state

---

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative   *

Mandatory

☐ Unemployed persons

☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)

☐ Indigenous communities

☐ Persons with Disabilities

☐ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)

☑ Women

☑ Youth

☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression

☐ People living in and around border areas

☐ Persons affected by natural disasters

☑ Persons affected by armed conflicts

☐ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

A field mission was conducted in North Darfur to authenticate the Noth Darfur Durable Solutions Strategy.

Post-training feedback sessions were carried out to assess the effectiveness of the Women Negotiation training.

The project has conducted an analysis on available reliable secondary data sources offered by UN agencies in Sudan for the CRD with the aim to identify data gaps and ensure cost effectiveness measures to direct data collection. The system in its current state provides conflict monitoring tool and data related to incidents from the 18 states against political, economic, environmental, social and security dimensions.
An automation plan for data integration from the identified open sources had been developed and process is ongoing. A prediction module has been applied to the political and security dimensions and is being extended to other dimensions as well. The prediction module had already indicated Khartoum as a high hazard area before the violence erupted in April 2023. The CRD project has provided various data and analytics for UNITAMS monthly incidents analysis that has been shared with other UN agencies. It has also provided data for informed discussions with peace partners like Data for Change.
The aftermath of the April 2023 crisis has sparked a lot of interest and concern among various stakeholders. To address this, several products are being developed to present the data on the situation in the affected areas, such as the levels of armed violence, human rights violations, civil unrest, displacement, and refugee flows. These products aim to provide accurate and timely information for decision-making and humanitarian response.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

○ yes

◉ no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The project utilizes a range of tools and engages with various stakeholders to gather feedback on its activities, which serves as evidence for indicator reporting. These include: The Peace Commissioner and PCC Representatives: Their insights and reflections on the support they have received, along with project documentation, provide valuable feedback. The project also evaluates certain activities based on the products and reports that are produced and shared directly with UN agencies and peace partners. These products include: · Conflict Sensitivity Modules · Peacebuilding Assessments · Housing, Land, and Property (HLP) Right Assessments · Durable Solutions Strategy · Crisis Risk Dashboard In addition to these, project reports on learning events and statements from beneficiaries also contribute to the evidence base for indicator reporting. Collectively, these tools, stakeholders, and resources provide a comprehensive foundation for indicator reporting

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys   *

○ yes

⦿ no

Please provide a brief description   *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?   *

○ yes

⦿ no

Please provide a brief description   *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?   *

⦿ yes

○ no

○ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD):   *

Response required

60000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track? (Preparations)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The project acknowledges the challenges of evaluating most of the first and second project Outcomes and its related activities and outputs in the current context, where accessibility to beneficiaries, safety, and connectivity are compromised. Therefore, the project will rely on available tools and stakeholders that can provide feedback on the activities, such as the Peace Commissioner, PCC representatives, members of the peace centers, and CSOs that the project has engaged in reachable areas. The project will also evaluate some activities based on the products and reports produced and shared directly with UNCT and peace partners, such as the conflict sensitivity modules, peacebuilding assessments, HLP right assessments, and durable solutions strategy. The main limitation will be evaluating the communication and advocacy to JPA and wider communities, and HLP public awareness campaigns, especially in Darfur. Moreover, the situation may also affect the sustainability of these interventions. UNDP and UNHCR are seeking to work closely with the PBF on this and to learn from similar PBF experiences and lessons learned to design the final evaluation of the project.

Please mention the focal person accountable for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF, name and email.

Amal Taha, amal.taha@undp.org

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Indicate funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started. (y/n)   *

○ yes

◉ no

If yes, how many additional grants or donors has the project leveraged?   *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur? *

○ No catalytic effect

○ Some catalytic effect

◉ Significant catalytic effect

○ Very Significant catalytic effect

○ Don't Know

○ Too early to tell

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect i.e. ways in which the project has supported the expansion or creation of programs and policies supporting peace, both within and outside the UN system *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The peacebuilding assessments and Darfur Strategy (DS) for North Darfur will serve as foundations for future UN joint peacebuilding programming. These policy frameworks will contribute to the future peace process and Joint Peace Agreement (JPA) implementation priority, once a resolution to the current conflict is achieved.
The learning materials developed by the project will enhance resources on peacebuilding for Sudan's peace partners.
The CRD is offering an automated conflict monitoring and early warning tool which will serve HPD partners to prevent and mitigate conflicts more effectively and efficiently.
Support to JPA commissions and communication that has been provided by the project is essential for building trust, cooperation and generate political will among the parties, which will enable a smooth and successful implementation of the JPA once a democratic civilian government is established in Sudan.

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the duration of the project. *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

A comprehensive exit and sustainability strategy has been incorporated into the updated project document submitted to the PBF

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 350 words.

In response to the challenges faced during project implementation, a revised project document with proposed project amendments has been submitted to the PBF by the project team

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	**UN Sudan Peacebuilding Assessment Validation, Dissemination and Follow-up Working Sessions - Blue Nile Region – April 2023**		**The recommendation of the peacebuilding assessment was validated by the main stakeholders who participated in the validation workshop. The workshop was very interactive and productive, with lively debates and constructive feedback. The validation workshop was an important step to ensure the quality and relevance of the peacebuilding assessment.**
Event 2	**Negotiation workshop for Women from East Sudan**		**The workshop was attended by a joint team from the project, Clingendeal and the Dutch embassy, who were responsible for monitoring and overseeing its progress. They prepared and shared detailed evaluation reports that captured the outcomes and impacts of the workshop.**

Event 3	**Due to the ongoing conflict, we are unable to access the records and documentation that we normally produce for the project. These documents are also important for monitoring and evaluation purposes, but they are stored in a location that is currently unreachable. We apologize for any inconvenience this may cause**		
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF (if on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and try again. If the problem persists, you can contact technical support at the email address below)
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.