

Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report (Update May_2023)



**PEACEBUILDING
FUND** 

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- Semi-annual
 Annual
 Final
 Other

Date of submission of report *

2023-11-14

2023-11-14

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Sukai B. Cham, National Programme Officer, Migration Management

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Stephen Matete, Programme Coordinator, Migration Management

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- yes
 no

Did PBF Secretariat review the report? *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

- yes
 no
 Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

- yes no

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="radio"/> Global | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="radio"/> West Africa | |

Country of project implementation *

Other, please specify *

.....

Project Title *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

.....

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

- 00130614/5/6: AILP : Appui aux Initiatives Locales de promotion de la Paix
- 00114134/5: Appui aux initiatives transfrontalières de dialogue communautaire avec les acteurs de la sécurité et de la justice pour la consolidation de la paix au Mali et au Niger
- 00129231/2: Building cross border peace and strengthening sustainable livelihoods of cattle herders and crop farmers in Sierra Leone and Guinea
- 00106947/8/9: Burkina Faso/Niger/Mali: contribution to the United Nations Strategy for the Sahel
- 00128878/9: Consolider la Cohésion Sociale transfrontalière entre la Côte d'Ivoire et la Guinée pour une meilleure compréhension et anticipation des risques et le renforcement de la confiance et de la collaboration entre les acteurs locaux
- 00119702/3: Cross border engagement between Cote d'Ivoire and Liberia to reinforce social cohesion and border security – Phase II
- 00125153/4: Gestion des conflits et renforcement de la résilience agro-pastorale à la frontière Mauritanio-Malienne
- 00113700/1: Jeunes et paix: "Une approche transfrontalière entre le Mali et le Burkina-Faso"
- 00120376/7/8: Programme d'appui à la prévention des conflits et de l'extrémisme violent dans les zones frontalières du Bénin, du Burkina et du Togo
- 00120162/4/5: Promotion d'une transhumance pacifique dans la région du Liptako-Gourma
- 00129587/8: Renforcer la gouvernance des zones frontalières pour consolider la cohésion sociale et prévenir les conflits
- 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal
- 00140260_1_2: Programme d'appui à la prévention des conflits et de l'extrémisme violent dans les zones frontalières du Bénin du Burkina Faso et du Togo Phase 2
- 00119957_8: Femmes et gestion des conflits liés aux ressources naturelles
- 00133730_1: Projet transfrontalier d'appui au renforcement de la sécurité communautaire à la gestion et la prévention des conflits liés à la transhumance et la gestion des ressources naturelles
- 00140187_8: Projet relatif à la promotion de la gouvernance transfrontalière inclusive et au renforcement de la résilience des populations en vue d'atténuer les risques sécuritaires dans les espaces frontaliers
- Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

- Benin
- Burkina Faso
- Cote D'Ivoire
- Gambia
- Guinea
- Guinea-Bissau
- Liberia
- Mali
- Mauritania
- Niger
- Nigeria
- Senegal
- Sierra Leone
- Togo
- Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2021-11-14

2021-11-14

Project end Date *

2023-12-14

2023-12-14

Has this project received an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- yes
- no

If yes, please select which *

- National Trust Fund
- Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- UN entity
- Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
- UNDPO Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

*

Are there other recipients for this project?

*

- No other recipients
- Yes, other UN recipients only
- Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

*

Select all that apply

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
- UN Department of Peace Operations Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

*

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid | <input type="checkbox"/> The African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC) | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada | <input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK | <input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc. | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF | <input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide | <input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID | <input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP) | <input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI) | <input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> International Alert | <input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace | <input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI) | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> (MDG-EISA) Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps | <input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO | <input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR | <input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM | <input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund | <input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampanandrosoana (SAF/FJKM) | <input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG) | <input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer | <input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ZOA | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify | |

Other, Please specify *

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

5

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Department of Forestry

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

18565

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

The Department of Forestry has conducted a series of activities including- the sensitization of communities on transboundary fire protection approaches in which a manual was produced: Community-level sensitization and training on participatory forest management approaches; these addressed matters related to participatory forest management including community forests management aspects; and the development of three (3) Management Plans on: Natural Forest Resources Management, Forest Fire Management & Range Land Management Plan. Additionally, three (3) Training Manuals have been developed to cover Range Land Management, Forest Fire Management and Joint Forest Park & Community Forest Management.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Department of Livestock Services

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

15000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

The Department of Livestock Services conducted consultative processes to facilitate the mapping of transhumance corridors and re-demarcation/marketing of livestock tracks and grazing areas. Establish local conventions on sustainable grazing and natural resources management. The Department of Livestock, partnering with Plant Protection Services has collaborated to conduct a joint sensitization and training for the staff on plant and animal pest surveillance cross-border regulatory frameworks. The training aimed to update the training manuals in use as well as develop visibility materials for the border points.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Department of Water, Forests, Hunting and Soil Conservation (DEFCCs)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

24482

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

The Department of Water, Forests, Hunting, and Soil Conservation (DEFCCs). has carried out the related activities in the 4 target areas of the project, relying on its decentralized services. The following activities were carried out, in conjunction with sub-prefects, mayors, village chiefs, and community leaders:

- **Training of 8 municipal border fire management committees and 4 natural resources management committees.**
- **Raising awareness among border communities about the protection and cross-border management of forest fires through information/training sessions.**
- **Development of 4 fire management plans and 4 natural resource management plans.**
- **Organization with communities of tree planting campaigns of 1,250 plants per area (shade, fruit, and ornamental) at the village level, along roads and tracks, and in community spaces and public squares.**
- **Identification and mapping of border forest fire hotspots.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

NGO Africa Issues (AFEX)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

13951

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

The NGO Afrique Enjeux is the FAO implementation partner for the target area of Kolda, particularly in the commune of Bounkiling. Its activities are as follows:

- **Creation in each of the 2 target villages of 4 Dimitra clubs of around twenty members each bringing together adult women and men, young girls and young boys/ Training of teams of project CD facilitators and 16 club leaders participatory communication, gender and management of Dimitra clubs;**
- **Development and dissemination of awareness messages on forest policy, and the regulations of The Gambia and Senegal and other priority themes linked to the project (forum and community radio, use of traditional communicators);**
- **Capacity building of livestock personnel in cross-border animal pest control practices and cross-border regulatory frameworks for livestock and raising awareness among border communities.**
- **Development of local conventions on sustainable grazing and management of pastoral resources within the community.**

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

NGO Forum for Endogenous Sustainable Development (FODDE)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

14528

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

The NGO FODDE is the FAO implementation partner for the target area of Kolda, particularly in the commune of Bounkiling. Its activities are as follows:

- **Creation in each of the 2 target villages of 4 Dimitra clubs of around twenty members each bringing together adult women and men, young girls and young boys/ Training of teams of project CD facilitators and 16 club leaders participatory communication, gender and management of Dimitra clubs;**
- **Development and dissemination of awareness messages on forest policy, and the regulations of The Gambia and Senegal and other priority themes linked to the project (forum and community radio, use of traditional communicators);**
- **Capacity building of livestock personnel in cross-border animal pest control practices and cross-border regulatory frameworks for livestock and raising awareness among border communities;**
- **Development of local conventions on sustainable grazing and management of pastoral resources.**

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Total Project Budget (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget <i>(calculated automatically)</i>
IOM: International Organization for Migration	2150000	1505000	1352320.84	62.9%
				%
FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization	1650000	1150000	933521.98	56.58%
				%

TOTAL	3800000	2655000	2285842.82	60.1 5%
--------------	----------------	----------------	-------------------	--------------------------

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **60.15%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)? *

30.42

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1155960**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 695353.39**. Is this correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

Final- PBF Cross-border Annual Financial Report- November 2023-10_28_49.xlsx

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee? *

- yes
- no

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

Yes, the project has two steering committee structures; the National Project Steering Committees for each country and a Cross-Border Steering Committee established for both countries. Over the last six months, two national steering committee meetings have been held in The Gambia on 05th September and Senegal on 17th October 2023 respectively.

*

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

Two national steering committee meetings were held in both The Gambia and Senegal (September and October) to provide project progress updates to members of the Project Steering Committee. The meetings also created a platform for members to raise concerns about the project implementation and provide technical guidance for durable solutions. Annexes 1: (a) Minutes of NPSC meeting – The Gambia (b) Minutes of NPSC meeting - Senegal

On the 6th and 31st of October 2023, IOM supported The Gambia Immigration Department (GID) in inaugurating the Darsilami and Tabanding border crossing points respectively. The inauguration was organized to kickstart the full operationalization of the newly renovated and constructed border posts fitted with the Migration Information and Data Analysis System [MIDAS] equipment which is used to electronically register travelers. The event also prompted the official handing over of the installed MIDAS system, along with furniture and border security equipment.

IOM and FAO in Senegal held consultations with the Commission National de Gestion des Frontières which represents the government in the implementation of all activities. A partnership protocol between a program of the Senegalese government (Programme d'urgence de modernization des axes et territoires frontaliers) and IOM was made to allow the construction of the Seleti police station and the equipment of the Joint Customs and Pata Police station.

IOM The Gambia and IOM Senegal in coordination with the local border authorities of the two countries had dialogue sessions to establish the cross-border inter-agency coordination committee (CBIACC) at three located land border posts of Giboro-Seleti, Misera-Senoba, and Tabanding-Pata. The cross-border inter-agency border coordination committee will strengthen the institutional, technical, and operational capacities of border agencies, and increase their cooperation, coordination, and regular information exchange for effective cross-border security. Annex 2: Report of the cross-border inter-agency coordination committee meetings.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners

*

- Not Started
 Initiated
 Partially Completed
 Completed
 Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment

*

- Not Started
 Initiated
 Partially Completed
 Completed
 Not Applicable

Collection of baselines

*

Not Started

Initiated

Partially Completed

Completed

Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries

*

Not Started

Initiated

Partially Completed

Completed

Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Regarding outcome 3, the support grants for youth and women-led green livelihood initiatives were validated in October 2023. The validation was conducted in close coordination with the Department of Community Development (DCD) and rural extension workers from; Departments of Livestock and Agriculture. The validation provided IOM Gambia an opportunity to report the findings of the alternative green livelihood assessment to the targeted border communities (Giboro, Dasilami, Misera and Tabanding), finalize their green livelihood priorities, and identify final beneficiaries. IOM is currently working with the implementing partners to commence the implementation of the green livelihood activities.

The NGOs under contract sent IOM Senegal their grant applications. In order to validate the beneficiaries, IOM Senegal carried out a field mission in October and November to meet with them and check that the desired activity fell within the scope of the project. The identified NGOs are; ASAPAID for the region of Ziguinchor, Enfance et Paix for the region of Sedhiou, Pencum Bambuk for the region of Kaffrine, FODDE for the region of Kolda.

For FAO Senegal, the late start of activities does not yet make it possible to perceive all the expected changes. However, the project has promoted progress, particularly in natural resource management, including: the official establishment a Local Consultation Committee in each of the 4 target areas of the project, chaired by the Sub-Prefect, representative of the State and Mayors, village chiefs and various community leaders. This committee meets regularly to ensure inclusive governance and coordination of natural resource management.

Summarize *the main structural, institutional or societal level change* the project has contributed to. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project where evidence of contribution to outcomes is available if requested

FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION ONLY (550 word limit)

The joint training of border communities has enhanced their capacity and willingness to engage with border authorities in addressing concerns of crime within their communities. During the training, communal cross-border WhatsApp groups were created by border officials and representatives of community members where collectively border security concerns are raised and addressed. This platform is currently very active and helps members to discuss and exchange information about community security concerns, find solutions, and increase mutual.

In The Gambia, construction and renovation works at the two project sites in Tabanding and Darsilami border posts, have been completed and are operational. This has helped officials deal with day-to-day border challenges; office congestion, poor and inadequate furniture, lack of IT equipment, and inadequate air conditioning and fans. The installation and operation of the Migration Data Analysis System (MIDAS) in these two border posts has led to improved data collection and enabled GID to easily analyze migration data and provide informed and accurate policy decisions in the prevention of cross-border crimes.

The findings of the alternative green livelihood assessment report have helped project stakeholders to develop an in-depth understanding of the green livelihood activities carried out by the targeted communities in both Senegal and The Gambia which evolve mainly around crop production (gardening, and farming), rearing of animals (cattle, poultry, and small ruminants) and to a lesser extent, beekeeping, agroforestry, and salt production. The assessment also revealed that all the targeted border communities heavily depend on crop production as a source of livelihood and as a result, engage in gardening during the dry season and farming (on a larger scale) during the rainy season.

The Department of Forestry supported targeted border communities with tree planting to halt deforestation and restore their degraded landscapes. The same targeted communities benefitted from support in forming ten fire management committees with each committee led by an executive. Annex 3: Department of Forestry Monitoring Report.

Trainings on community forestry, Joint Forest Park Management, and range management were conducted by the Department of Forestry. A total of 300 (M-136 F- 164) participants improved their understanding of the following concepts: community forestry, integrating forest park and rangeland management, and preserving tranquillity in border settlements - Annex 4 (a) Community forestry, Joint Forest Park Management & Rangeland Management report (b) Range land Training Manual. In addition, awareness-raising activities were led by traditional communicators through songs and dramas. Annex 5: Training Manual on forest fire.

PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *

Enhanced cross-border engagement amongst communities and border authorities for improved border management

Outcome 2: *

Enhanced cross-border natural resource management through a community-based participatory approach

Outcome 3: *

Improved community resilience through the promotion of inclusive dialogue processes and support for alternative green livelihoods

Outcome 4: *

Outcome 5: *

Outcome 6: *

Outcome 7:

*

Outcome 8:

*

Additional Outcomes

*

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

Outcome 1: Enhanced cross-border engagement amongst communities and border authorities for improved border management

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Project Consultation & Coordination

In August, IOM Senegal brought together the project's local consultation committees in Senoba, Pata, and Saly Escale to submit a community involvement policy to the committee members. The members approved the creation of welfare and citizenship committees, and the mayor's office drew up a municipal decree establishing these committees. These committees made up of volunteer members, will have three main objectives: (i) to monitor and warn of any threats; (ii) to act as a link between the FDS and the communities; and (iii) to maintain peace and social cohesion with the neighboring country, Gambia. Annex 6: Presentation to the communities for the establishment of the Citizenship and Welfare Committee

Three community forums were held by IOM Senegal in June in Pata, Bogal and Seleti. These forums brought together the communities and the border authorities, with the aim of strengthening the bonds of trust between these two actors. At the end of these meetings, the border communities were able to gain a better understanding of the mission of the defence and security forces and to be made aware of the difficulties these forces have in carrying out their mission. The border authorities, for their part, were also able to gain a better understanding of the situations experienced by their communities on the borders. Annex 7: Mission report

In the region of Kaffrine, a local project consultation committee has been set up, chaired by the administrative authority (i.e., the sub-prefect) who brings together the defence, security forces, the community (i.e., the mayor) and all the associations representing the different community groups for coordination meetings.

Construction Work

Darsilami and Tabanding border posts were inaugurated on 6th and 31st October 2023. The project supported the construction of the border post in Tabanding and renovated the Darsilami border post. Furthermore, both border posts are fully equipped with MIDAS, furniture, and border security gadgets.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The construction of the Tabanding border post also included the construction of staff quarters. The staff quarters have apartments specifically built for female officers and another designated for male officers. Each apartment has its own toilet.

Outcome 2: Enhanced cross-border natural resource management through a community-based participatory approach

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

- **A total of 30,000 seedlings were planted in two planting cycles at the 10 border intervention communities of Dassilami, Dimbaya, Giboro, Jenuh Kunda, Kafuta Tumbung, Misira, Seno Bajonkeh, Munkutalla, Wassu & Mbayen Wollof in two phases. Thirteen species of trees; Khaya senegalensis, Ceiba pentandra, Gmalina aboria, Parkia biglobosa, Anacardium occidentale, Bombax costatum, Accia albida, Pterocarpus erinaceous, Adansonia digitata, Detarium senegalensis, Cassia siemea, Cola Cordifolia and Cordyla Africana. Annex 8: tree planting report**
- **74km of cattle tracks identified Procurement of 15,000 cattle tags & 35 applicators completed.**
- **The Department of Forestry supported and trained the intervention communities and villagers on good environmental governance this entailed the development of community charters and manuals of good environmental governance. Annex x training manual on good environmental governance, Annex 9: Training manual for forest fire, Annex 10: Training manual on Forestry Response to Conflict and Disaster, Annex 11: Community charter for Junung Kunda (samples)**
- **Training for 40 law enforcement personnel stationed at or within border posts and communities were held from the 18- 19 October on the forest legislative frameworks and sensitization for timber and forest products dealers (16- 17 October) of The Gambia. Annex 12(a): Report on Sensitization (Senegal); 12 (b): Law Enforcements Training Report (The Gambia); 12(c): Sensitization Training of Timber Dealers**
- **200 community leaders (50 per target area) were made aware of the protection and cross-border management of forest fires through full-day information/awareness sessions. Annex 13: Awareness Raising Report on Forest Fires**
- **8 municipal committees for fighting forest fires (2 per target zone) have been revitalized or set up. Annex 14: Fire Fighting Committees**
- **4 municipal natural resource management committees were created in the target areas (with 15 members each).**
- **4 natural resource management plans were developed (1 per target area);**
- **4 missions to identify cross-border fire hot spots and collect information from surrounding communities were organized, which enabled the development of 4 digital maps identifying cross-border forest fire hot spots. Annex 15: Mapping of Forest Fire Hotspots**
- **Communities were mobilized to plant 1,250 plants per area (5,000 plants in total), with technical support from the decentralized services of the Department of Water and Forests and collaboration at border posts with the Gambia forestry services. Annex 16: Reforestation Campaign**

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

In all the meetings held the communities are requested to have a 50% minimum representation of women at all gatherings. The female participants were encouraged and given a special period in the meeting for them to speak and amplify their needs, observations, and voices. This has encouraged honest and open dialogue with the implementing partners as well as with the men within their communities.

The site for the borehole in Sanda is located next to a farm that is used during the farming season. The owner was engaged in the possibility of women using the land in the dry season to plant vegetables. The community was very receptive as the lack of water would not be an issue once the water system was working.

Women make up 53% of the community cross-border fire management committees, and men 47%. Reasons cited include the fact that women are more engaged and concerned with managing and protecting forests. Women are recognized to be more engaged in sustainable forest management than males, and they take part in activities like tree planting in greater numbers.

Outcome 3: Improved community resilience through the promotion of inclusive dialogue processes and support for alternative green livelihoods

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

In The Gambia, local consultation committees have been established in Giboro, Dasilami, Misera and Tabanding. The committees comprise of the village Alkalos, Village Development Committee (VDC) chairpersons, religious leaders, women groups (kafos) and youth groups. Members of these committees have already validated the green livelihood initiatives in their respective communities and have identified groups receiving livelihood grant support. The alternative green livelihoods assessments were carried out in the three targeted regions in The Gambia (West Coast Region, Lower River Region and Central River Region). 144 people were interviewed (M= 65, F=79). Annex 17(a): Alternative Green Livelihood Assessment report

In Senegal, as part of the support for alternative green livelihoods for border communities for their adaptation and increased social cohesion targeting women and young people, based on the Alternative green livelihoods assessments that were carried out, the NGO in each locality has identified 20 beneficiaries in border communities with a green business plan to improve their resilience. These beneficiaries are mainly women and young people.

In the Gambia, the targeted community of Missera is being supported to re-establish a disused garden. The project has thus far supported facilitating the transfer of land ownership to the Missira Women’s Garden. The site for the garden was digitally mapped and designs for the construction with access for disabled persons have been completed. The civil works have commenced on site.

A capacity needs assessment was conducted by the Department of Agriculture which informed the training to be delivered to the women in June. In anticipation of the construction work to be done, the women in the community with the support of the men cleared the entire site for the garden of shrubs. 145 women have been identified in the village that will directly benefit from the trainings to be delivered in June and also to support in food preservation techniques and value addition to the garden produce. All the farm inputs for the garden have been procured and await the completion of the garden and storage shed for them to be formally handed over to the community.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The alternative green livelihood assessments in Senegal and The Gambia adopted an inclusive approach for data collection. The data collecting teams made sure views from a representative sample of community members, maintaining a gender balance for each Focus Group Discussion (FGD) to the extent possible. The focused groups comprised of; women engaging in green livelihood activities, community leaders, Village Development Committee (VDC), women group and youth representatives who are effectively contributing to social and community development. As such, in The Gambia, a good representation of about 55% were women as they form a huge percentage of those involved in these sectors in the targeted border communities. The remaining 45% constituted male community leaders, youths. This created a huge balance and women were free to participate and share their experiences, likewise, their male counterparts. Annex 18: Alkalo Land Transfer for Women and Youth In addition, the Community of Missira were guided on constituting an executive for the vegetable scheme, with recommendations that the key positions are held by women as they are the primary producers (however men can support the executive as mobilizers). All financial collection is to be done by the women. The women executive consists of:

- 1. Lady President; 2. Vice President; 3. Secretary; 4. Assistant Secretary; 5. Auditor, and 6. Cashier.**
- 2. The transfer and ownership documents are in the custody of the Lady President.**
- 3. Trainings for 40 women in the community will also be trained on horticultural production and 30 in food preservation & processing.**

A training was held for 150 women in the community to “Capacity Building on Cooperative Education & Establishment of Formal Cooperative Structure” to abridge the organizational management gaps within the unregistered cooperative society of the intervention community of Misera and recognizes the importance of the formation of organizing farmer groups (cooperatives) to boost production in both quality and quantity.

Outcome 4:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 5:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 6:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 7:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 8:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please use this text box to describe the *status* of progress (on track with evidence of peacebuilding outcomes, on track or off track), as well as briefly describe the progress and any analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome *

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at the **outcome** level in the table below

- If an outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
 - Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)
-

» Outcome 1: Enhanced cross-border engagement amongst communities and border authorities for improved border management

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	<p>% of cross border authority officials (including inter-agency border coordination committee members) demonstrating improved capacities in handling transnational organized crimes, conflict resolution and mediation practices by being able to identify triggers of conflict and jointly address the conflicts for peaceful co-existence within border communities (Senegal & The Gambia)</p>	20%	Increase by 50%	<p>Baseline data collection and activities under this outcome indicator completed. The pre-test survey shows that the highest score for participants before the mediation and conflict resolution training was 9, estimating 45 in percent (45%). From the post-training results, the highest score was 15, estimated to 75 in percent (75%). This demonstrated there has been improvement in knowledge among the participants.</p>	NA

1.2	% Targeted community members who have crossed the border in the last 6 months (disaggregated by sex) and reporting experience conflict/tension with border authorities. (Senegal & The Gambia)	80% Female 60% Male	Decrease by 50%	Baseline data collection and report completed. Activities under this outcome indicator completed: Psychosocial baseline assessments, trainings of border communities to report concerns and crime.	NA
1.3					

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 2 3 4 **5** more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Strengthening communication and capacity building of border officials in communicating, mediating, building trust, conflict resolution, developing effective approaches to addressing community concerns, and conveying the public's concerns to law enforcement management and other stakeholder

Output 1.2

Enhance border operational capacities through improved infrastructure, equipment and trainings of border officials on organized cross-border crime, document security, and protection of vulnerable migrants to improve effectiveness of service delivery and community

Output 1.3

Enhance community cross-border partnerships through rebuilding trust between the law enforcement and the community and encouraging communities to work collaboratively with border authorities in setting priorities and developing and implementing local anti-cross-border crime strategies/plans

Output 1.4

Community engagement and mobilization events to promote a collaborative relationship between communities and law enforcement agencies to improve social cohesion and peaceful coexistence in cross-border communities

Output 1.5

Strengthen inter-agency and cross-border cooperation and exchanges among border authorities in both countries national and local levels for improved border coordination mechanisms to address cross border crime

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

<p>Output 1.1: Strengthening communication and capacity building of border officials in communicating, mediating, building trust, conflict resolution, developing effective approaches to addressing community concerns, and conveying the public's concerns to law enforcement management and other stakeholder</p>	<p>Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i></p>	<p>Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p>	<p>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p>

1.1.1	% of border officials reporting improved knowledge, skills, and awareness on the right approaches to address community concerns about cross-border crime (Senegal & The Gambia)	20%	Increase by 25%	92% reporting improved knowledge on the right approaches to address community concerns about cross-border crime, representing a 72% increase.	Trainings completed.	
1.1.2	Number of targeted community members reporting improved knowledge on how to engage with border authorities to report concerns about crime (Senegal & The Gambia)	10%	Increase by 50%	100% reporting improved knowledge representing a 90% increase.	Trainings completed.	
1.1.3						

» Output 1.2

<p>Output 1.2: Enhance border operational capacities through improved infrastructure, equipment and trainings of border officials on organized cross-border crime, document security, and protection of vulnerable migrants to improve effectiveness of service delivery and community</p>	<p>Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i></p>	<p>Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p>	<p>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p>

1.2.1	Border post established to support effective service delivery and address cross-border crime (The Gambia)	0	1	Construction of one new border post in Tabanding (Central River REgion, The Gambia) completed	Activity completed.	
1.2.2	Number of border posts equipped (e.g., furniture, IT equipment) (Senegal & The Gambia)	0	8	Refurbishment of the Darsilami border post completed. Construction of the Tabanding post completed and both borders equipped with furniture and border security equipment (The Gambia). The equipment of 9 border post were delivered, only remaining the border post of Seleti which is still under construction (Senegal)	Activity near completion pending the completion of construction work in Seleti, Senegal.	

1.2.3	MIDAS installed for improved migration data collection at border crossing point to inform risk analysis for policy decision making & to avoid cross-border crime (The Gambia)	0	1	MIDAS installed in Tabanding and Darsilami in May 2023.	Activity completed	
-------	--	---	---	--	---------------------------	--

<p>Output 1.3: Enhance community cross-border partnerships through rebuilding trust between the law enforcement and the community and encouraging communities to work collaboratively with border authorities in setting priorities and developing and implementing local anti-cross-border crime strategies/plans</p>	<p>Performance Indicator <i>Describe the indicator</i></p>	<p>Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p>	<p>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p>

1.3.1	Number of cross-border community engagement/partnerships plans created to rebuild trust between authorities and community members (Senegal & The Gambia)	0	4	Psychosocial assessments in Senegal and The Gambia completed and Department of Community Development are finalizing consultations in The Gambia to inform community engagement plan.	Psychosocial assessments in Senegal and The Gambia completed 6 welfare and citizenship committee were established in the 3 localities.	
1.3.2	Number of cross border engagement/partnership plans with at least 50% implementation rate (Senegal & The Gambia)	0	2 over a 1-year period	Ongoing. With the community engagement plans being finalized, this will inform this cross-border partnership. Committees have been set up in both countries with an accelerated workplan put in place to roll out activities.	Activity in progress	Activity expected to be completed between November and December 2023.
1.3.3						

» Output 1.4

<p>Output 1.4: Community engagement and mobilization events to promote a collaborative relationship between communities and law enforcement agencies to improve social cohesion and peaceful coexistence in cross-border communities</p>	<p>Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i></p>	<p>Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p>	<p>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p>

1.4.1	Number of cross border social mobilization events / awareness raising conducted to build trust and promote dialogue between border and cross border authorities and communities for peacebuilding (Senegal & The Gambia)	0	16	Ongoing Community engagement for creation of Community Protection and Safety Committees (CPSCs) to proceed with the implementation of Community Engagement Forum and social mobilization and awareness events	Activity in progress	In order to respond to the real needs of the committees, the themes addressed during the awareness-raising campaigns will be derived from the results of the psychosocial baseline assessment.
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

» Output 1.5

<p>Output 1.5: Strengthen inter-agency and cross-border cooperation and exchanges among border authorities in both countries national and local levels for improved border coordination mechanisms to address cross border crime</p>	<p>Performance Indicator <i>Describe the indicator</i></p>	<p>Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p>	<p>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p>

1.5.1	Number of border coordination mechanisms, established by inter-agency and cross-border cooperation committee (to reduce organized immigration cross border crimes). (Senegal & The Gambia)	0	4	6 - Darsilami, Giboro, Misera and Tabanding, in The Gambia; and Ziguinchor, Kolda and Sédhiou in Senegal have formed inter-agency committees.	Activity completed.	
1.5.2	Number of cross-border inter-agency coordination committees functional to support the exchange information and address immigration crimes (linked to the national structures). (Senegal & The Gambia)	0	3	3 cross-border-coordination committee meetings were held in Giboro, Misera and Tabanding in October 2023	In progress	
1.5.3						

» Outcome 2: Enhanced cross-border natural resource management through a community-based participatory approach

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	% of conflict/tension report over illegal cross-border transportation of timber, charcoal, and transhumance activities for the past one year (Gambia & Senegal)	0	80% reduction of conflicts due to illegal production and transportation of timber and charcoal in The Gambia and Senegal.	Baseline data collection - Currently nonavailability and/or weak data and statistics on illegal cross-border transportation of timber, charcoal, and transhumance activities.	
2.2	% Community members in targeted border communities reporting reduced Conflicts over the origin and spread of transboundary forest fires among border communities in Gambia and Senegal	NA	75% reduction on transboundary forest fires in the project intervention sites.	Baseline data collection completed. Activities completed contributing to this outcome: Gambia has formed 4 cross border committees in each region comprising 20 men and 20 women.	Progress indicator will be available after the bush fire season aprox in April

2.3	Cooperation among border communities (Gambia & Senegal) through forest and landscape restoration promoted.	NA	Annual tree planting exercises by border communities (Gambia & Senegal) conducted with the involvement of 300 stakeholders (150 men and 150 women) in Gambia and Senegal.	Baseline data collection completed. Activities completed contributing to this outcome: 1 tree planting exercise conducted by Gambia with the involvement of 300 stakeholders (150 men and 150 women) in Gambia and Senegal.	
-----	--	----	---	--	--

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1 2 3 **4** 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Joint border communities' solutions to address continued deforestation and forest degradation developed and implemented

Output 2.2

Increased awareness and capacity of law enforcement agents including forestry officers at border posts, and other actors (border communities and timber and forest products associations/dealers) on the Forest Policy, Forest Act and Regulations of The Gambia and Senegal to improve compliance mechanism to address illegal logging and charcoal production and trade across the border

Output 2.3

Technical capacity of staff of the Department of Livestock Services (DLS) and Plant Protection Services (PPS) on IPM and cross-border livestock disease surveillance enhanced

Output 2.4

Livestock monitoring and management systems to mitigate theft and cattle rustling by both the authorities and local border communities strengthened/introduced

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» **Output 2.1**

<p>Output 2.1: Joint border communities' solutions to address continued deforestation and forest degradation developed and implemented</p>	<p>Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i></p>	<p>Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p>	<p>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p>
<p>2.1.1</p>	<p>Number of cross-border forest and sylvo-pastoral fire management plans established for the border areas (Gambia & Senegal) where the project intervenes</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>12</p>	<p>Ongoing - Consultation in 10 communities. Draft manual of fire prevention management ready for validation.</p>	<p>Rangeland Management plan & Natural Resource Management Plans developed for the 4 cluster communities</p>	

2.1.2	Number of communities sensitized on project implementation strategies	0	10	10	Activity completed - FAO project partners jointly conducted community consultations and introduction of the project to the three local authorities and ten direct beneficiary communities from the 19-27 of September 2022.	
2.1.3	% of incidents of fires in identified hotspots	5	50% decrease	Ongoing - Training completed (136 M 168F trained) covering Forest Management Approaches.	Baseline data collection completed.	Fire out breaks are seasonal, proper assessment of the impact ongoing.

<p>Output 2.2: Increased awareness and capacity of law enforcement agents including forestry officers at border posts, and other actors (border communities and timber and forest products associations/dealers) on the Forest Policy, Forest Act and Regulations of The Gambia and Senegal to improve compliance mechanisms</p>	<p>Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i></p>	<p>Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p>	<p>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p>

sm to address illegal logging and charcoal production and trade across the border						
2.2.1	Number of sensitization sessions held for timber and charcoal dealers on forest legislative frameworks of The Gambia and Senegal	0	4	2 in Gambia-1 sensitization and training sessions held for law enforcement authorities at borders posts and 1 Sensitize timber and forest products dealers and their affiliated associations on the forest legislative frameworks of The Gambia and Senegal participant from Lower River Region, Central River Region & West Coast Region	Two, 2 day training sessions held 27. 40 law enforcement officers 27m 13f - (67%/33%), 40 timber & forest product dealers 24m 16f - (60%/40%)6 manuals developed and total 90 printed for the trainings	
2.2.2	Number of awareness-raising radio discussions aired at community radios in Senegal and The Gambia	0	20	300 men and women sensitized	Activity completed	

2.2.3	Number of border villages who have expressed interest in in community forest and/or Joint Forest Park Management for sustainable forest management in The Gambia and Senegal	0	8 letters of Interest	Ongoing - Consultations in 10 project intervention areas. Interest also shown by the community of Pata in Central River Region South	Activity in progress 10 consultations held	
-------	---	----------	------------------------------	---	---	--

» Output 2.3

<p>Output 2.3: Technical capacity of staff of the Department of Livestock Services (DLS) and Plant Protection Services (PPS) on IPM and cross-border livestock disease surveillance enhanced</p>	<p>Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i></p>	<p>Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p>	<p>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p>
<p>2.3.1</p>	<p>Number of livestock and plant protection staff reporting improved knowledge and skills in cross-border pest and livestock disease surveillance and cross-border regulatory frameworks</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>40 (20 men and 20 women)</p>			

2.3.2	Provision of the equipment to the Department of Livestock Services and the Plant Protection Services in The Gambia	0	Yes	Provision of Computers, GPS, to the Department of Livestock Services and the Plant Protection Services in The Gambia	Activity Completed.	
2.3.3						

» **Output 2.4**

<p>Output 2.4: Livestock monitoring and management systems to mitigate theft and cattle rustling by both the authorities and local border communities strengthened/introduced</p>	<p>Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i></p>	<p>Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p>	<p>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p>
2.4.1	<p>Number of cattle tagged in the project intervention areas in The Gambia</p>	500	1000	<p>Ongoing - 15000 Tags received - 5000 tags per region.</p>	<p>Activity in progress. 15000 Tags received - 5000 tags per region</p>	
2.4.2	<p>A watering point (borehole and drinking troughs) provided at a border areas of the project in The Gambia</p>	2	3	<p>Construction and development of the watering points are underway.</p>	<p>Activity in progress. The borehole site and watering points (2) mapped & designs completed procurement process, site work is on going.</p>	

2.4.3	Number of local grazing conventions developed and adopted by local authorities in the intervention areas	0	8	Ongoing - Corridors and cattle tracks in Lower River Region & Central River Region North identified and digitally mapped.	Activity in progress.	WCR community consultations ongoing as there is severe encroachment on existing routes.
-------	---	----------	----------	--	------------------------------	--

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» Outcome 3: Improved community resilience through the promotion of inclusive dialogue processes and support for alternative green livelihoods

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1	% border community members who have received direct livelihood's assistance, demonstrating resilience through increased household income generation.	10%	Increase by 25%	Related Activities started: Assessment for Alternative Green Livelihoods completed in Senegal and Gambia.	
3.2	% of border community members (disaggregated by sex) reporting improved cross-border relations as a result of joint community livelihoods activities	0	60%	Data collection to be conducted immediately after the livelihood support grants are given in both countries.	
3.3	% of livelihoods of those who benefited from livelihoods supported mini grants not considering taking part in cross-border criminal activities (M/f)	0	100	Data collection to be conducted immediately after the livelihood support	

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Support for alternative green livelihoods for border communities for their adaptation and increased social cohesion targeting women and youth

Output 3.2

Support for alternative green livelihoods for border communities for their adaptation and increased social cohesion targeting women and youth

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» **Output 3.1**

<p>Output 3.1: Support for alternative green livelihoods for border communities for their adaptation and increased social cohesion targeting women and youth</p>	<p>Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i></p>	<p>Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p>	<p>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p>
<p>3.1.1</p>	<p>Number of cross-border communities receiving livelihood support as a peace dividend</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>Activity Ongoing.</p>		

3.1.2	Number of cross border youths (under 35 years) and women receiving support grants for green livelihoods initiatives	0	120 (youths; 60 and women;60)	Mapping out of potential beneficiaries ongoing.	The completion of the livelihood activities at national level to be carried out first before the cross-border. National support grants are to be completed by the end of November.	
3.1.3						

» Output 3.2

<p>Output 3.2: Support for alternative green livelihoods for border communities for their adaptation and increased social cohesion targeting women and youth</p>	<p>Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i></p>	<p>Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i></p>	<p>End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i></p>	<p>Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i></p>	<p>Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i></p>
<p>3.2.1</p>	<p>Number of community gardens established and or rehabilitated</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>1st Training for 150 women held 5th June 2023. Construction work in progress</p>	<p>Activity in progress. Ongoing - Procurement process commenced. 2 consultations held with beneficiary community. Site identified and legally transferred. Procurement completed. Construction work in progress.</p>	<p>Clearance of site designs from HQ to ensure designs were to a high standard caused delays. As well as procuring the services of a contractor.</p>

3.2.2	Number of women provided with vegetable seeds on refund mechanism	0	80	Ongoing - all items procured	Activity ongoing.	Once the garden is completed all equipment and tools will be handed over.
3.2.3	Number of honey producers (disaggregated by gender) trained in value addition	0	100 &70 f/30m)	Ongoing - Procurement of equipment in progress	Activity in progress.	Honey extractors not available locally. Items will be sourced from Senegal. Additionally, this is a key joint activity where training will be done jointly.

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» **Outcome 4:**

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Perform ance Indicator s <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reportin g period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

*

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives
Event 1	Identification and mapping of transboundary forest fire hot spots	13th November 2023	Gambia	All border communities in the intervention areas. Trained community members especially fire management committees	Map existing and identify new hotspot areas especially fire-prone areas on the Gambia-Senegal border. The mapping exercise will determine the areas for controlled early burning

Event 2	Exchange visit of Gambia forestry official and border communities	27th November 2023	Senegal	7 regional staff from the intervention communities, project focal point, 3 technical staff, 4 community members	Opportunity for both departments to build on collaborations to address cross-border forest fires, and learn of best practices for community forest management, enforcement regulations, policies etc technical staff will also finalize cross-border monitoring protocols for forest resources
Event 3	Controlled Early Burning	November through December 2023	Central River Region (CRR) North (November) Lower River Region (LRR) & West Coast River (WCR) (December)	All border communities in the intervention areas. Trained community members especially fire management communities	Reduce the spread of cross-border forest fires
Event 4	Cross-border Project Steering Committee Meeting	December 2023	The Gambia	Government Institutions GM and SN, CSOs, PBF Secretariat Project Implementation Team (UN Agencies)	Jointly analyze the level of project implementation to provide technical guidance and address country-specific bottlenecks to fast-track implementation of project activities

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Key Stakeholder	What were the challenges they faced prior to project implementation? (350 words)	What has been the impact of the project on their lives? (350 words)	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group (350 words)
1	Border Officials in The Gambia (Gambia Immigration Department)	Border officials at the point of entry's had low capacity, knowledge, and skills in advanced border management operations (i.e., using MIDAS system) and had inadequate border facilities to carry out duties effectively.	Border officials improved their capacities in using MIDAS. MIDAS has reduced processing time of traveller information as well as waiting times, leading to increased efficiency in border management operations overall.	Capturing of traveller's information and functions of secondary inspectors' topic were addressed thoroughly. There were no topics that were not addressed." - GID official Giboro "I would want to recommend that more and frequent trainings be conducted to continue improving the capacities and skills of the officials operating the MIDAS" - GID official Darsalami

<p>2</p>	<p>Border authorities in The Gambia and Senegal</p>	<p>Border authorities have encountered cases of conflict/crimes within communities and across borders in the past and have been part of some conflict resolution among border communities. Authorities also expressed that some members of the community report the incidence of the crimes to them. However, there knowledge and capacities on addressing community concerns appropriately, and initiating conflict resolution practises was low.</p>	<p>After attending trainings, authorities expressed that their level of knowledge on mediation conflict resolution, trust building and the approaches to address community concerns about cross-border crime has improved.</p>	<p>"I wish to thank the initiator of this program and wish continuation for the beneficiary of our two nations, specifically officers working along the borders" - Civil servant. "This training and development are one of the most meaningful ways to build employee resilience and higher productivity and quality" - GID. "The training was really good, and it has absolutely improved my capacity in the areas covered, more in the area of mediation and fraud. The knowledge, skills and behaviours attained will enable me to function effectively and efficiently in my area of responsibility" - Gambia Police Force</p>
-----------------	--	--	--	---

3	Community members in The Gambia and Senegal (Village Development Community and Chiefs)	Community members have faced conflict in their communities (including cross-border conflicts) and usually report to border authorities. They strongly believe that border authorities have a role to play in reducing cross-border crime, but their knowledge on how to properly communicate with border officials to report concerns about crime and their trust towards them was low.	After attending trainings, community expressed that their knowledge has improved on how to properly engage with border authorities and report concerns about crime. All expressed that they could identify the triggers and beginnings of crime, are comfortable speaking to border officials and expressing their concerns freely, and lastly, have the confidence, knowledge, and skills to effectively report crimes.	"The training will help build peace and trust among the border communities and that will lead to them living in peace and harmony and will fasten unity amongst them, and borders will be secured as the communities will have trust and security and will report any threats to the security" - Chairperson, Village Development Committee
4				

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had (650 words)

IOM and FAO have recruited 9 United Nations volunteers for this project. These young people from the targeted communities were unemployed. This project allowed them to have a monthly salary for the duration of the project. Moreover, they had access to all the training required for the United Nations agents and are registered in the UNV platform which would allow them to be recruited by any United Nations agency that implements an activity in their locality. In addition, community members have built their capacities on how to report crime or concerns to border officials, as well as identify triggers of conflict and crime. Some community members trained hold leadership positions under Village Development Committee's - which are local groups recognized by the authorities to lead and provide local development initiatives in each community. They expressed that they have experienced or encountered crime or conflict within and across the border in past, confirmed that their understanding of conflict resolution improved, and stated that they are comfortable to speak to border officials and express their concerns.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

[Click here to upload file. \(< 10MB\)](#)

File 2

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

Link 2

OPTIONAL

Link 3

OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

*

Please select up to 3.

- Enhanced digitization
- Innovative ways of working
- Mobilized additional resources
- Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- Strengthened capacities
- Partnered with Civil Society Organizations
- Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The project has offered a host of capacity-building initiatives to community members, border officials, government officials, and project partners on key concepts of border security and natural resource management to enhance social cohesion and increase knowledge on how to handle these issues.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The project has enhanced coordination and partnership among the UN agencies through the various consultation dialogues held during the course of project implementation.]

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) *

- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- Partnered with local civil society organizations
- Partnered with local academia
- Partnered with sub-national entities
- Partnered with national entities
- Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain (If IFIs)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Please explain (If UN Agencies)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- Unemployed persons
- Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- Indigenous communities
- Persons with Disabilities
- Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- Women
- Youth
- Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- People living in and around border areas
- Persons affected by natural disasters
- Persons affected by armed conflicts
- Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

- **Pre and post training surveys to assess the knowledge increase of border authorities and communities on Mediation, Conflict Resolution, Document Security and ECOWAS Free Movement Protocols.**
- **Psychosocial qualitative assessments (through Focus Group Discussions) to solicit in-depth insights from community members and officials on safety and security at the borders to inform activities.**
- **Alternative Livelihoods Assessment in Senegal (through Focus Group Discussions) to solicit in-depth insights from community members on the current and desired livelihood activities to inform activities under Outcome 3.**
- **Surveys with officials trained in MIDAS to assess their knowledge retention of MIDAS after receiving training and after MIDAS installation to assess improvement in processing times.**
- **Observation monitoring of border post activities by project implementation teams.**
- **Desk review of activity documents (e.g., training reports, meeting minutes, M&E Joint Visit report)**
- **Joint M&E visit led by project staff and the NPSC members of The Gambia (led by the Office of the President) to assess the progress made in achieving expected results.**
- **Department of Forestry Monitoring Report on activities carried out with the first disbursement of LOA finds.**

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

yes

no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

A consultant was commissioned to carry out a baseline assessment. The consultancy required the collection of current quantitative and qualitative data to provide information that would serve as the base for monitoring the progress of the project and compare what happens before and after the project has been implemented. The baseline included conclusions and recommendations to inform programming.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The following sources of evidence have been used: • Baseline Assessment • Pre and post training surveys • Psychosocial qualitative assessments • Alternative Livelihoods Assessment (Senegal) • Surveys with officials trained in MIDAS. • Observation monitoring of border post activities. • Desk review of activity documents (• Joint M&E visit findings.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

yes

no

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The baseline data collection included perception surveys with project beneficiaries and stakeholders across the ten borders communities - The Gambia and Senegal. In addition, the perception surveys completed as part of the psychosocial assessment will be used to inform output level activities related to community engagement, which feed into achieving outcome indicators.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms?

- yes
- no

Please provide a brief description

Please limit your response to 350 words.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation?

- yes
- no
- Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD):

Response required

21499

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track? (Preparations)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

No - the evaluation is off track from original planned date. A No Cost Extension (additional 6 months) has been requested for by the project team after approval was given to proceed t by the Cross Border Steering Committee noting the delay in implementation and some activities still pending completion. However, if the NCE is approved, the independent final evaluation of the project will be conducted during this period.

Please mention the focal person accountable for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF, name and email.

Tijan Njie- tnjie@iom.int

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Indicate funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started. (y/n) *

- yes
 no

If yes, how many additional grants or donors has the project leveraged? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur? *

- No catalytic effect
 Some catalytic effect
 Significant catalytic effect
 Very Significant catalytic effect
 Don't Know
 Too early to tell

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect i.e. ways in which the project has supported the expansion or creation of programs and policies supporting peace, both within and outside the UN system *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The project has created the platform to further discuss the land demarcation and boundary issues that exist in most border communities in The Gambia and Senegal. These formed part of discussions during both the national and cross-border project steering committee meetings highlighting the need for the leadership in both countries to have first-hand information and devise ways to address these issues to be able to mitigate potential increased conflicts

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the duration of the project. *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Project addresses a need identified by the communities and authorities

The project's activities and outputs addresses the needs that have been identified by the target communities and authorities themselves. This helps to demonstrate community ownership over the project and indicates that the community will place a high value on the project's activities and outputs. An essential element in demonstrating sustainability. So far, the project has followed a bottom-up approach in the series of consultations done. Consultations were conducted both at the national and regional levels in both countries targeting direct beneficiaries of the project involving both governments at the office of the presidents for political buy-in and at technical level with respective Ministries and Departments. A steering committee has been proposed in the project both at the national and the cross-border who provide technical and advisory support to the project including linkages with ongoing government programmes to sustain proposed activities. For example, inter-agency border coordination committees are Government led coordination platforms that will continue to exist way beyond the project life for continued inter-agency cooperation among law enforcement officials at the borders.

Local project governance group.

The project engages with Village Development Committees (VDCs) to establish a local community governance group to oversee some of the project's activities, and this committee will continue after the project. Establishing and/or working through existing community institutions is an effective way to encourage sustainability. If new institutions are created, to the extent possible, they should build on or be connected to existing community committees, or institutions.

The citizenship and welfare committees set up in Senegal are under the supervision of the Mayor's office. This will enable the committees to be included in the local authority's budget at the end of the project, enabling its members to continue their peace-building activities and maintain social cohesion whilst ensuring sustainability.

Target Implementing Partner with Ongoing Activities in the Target Area

The project has been implemented by partners that have ongoing activities in the project's target communities, and so the project's interventions are incorporated into the regular and ongoing work of the implementing partner. The implementing partner will continue to be present in the community even after the project has closed.

Operations and Maintenance Plan

For all the equipment and infrastructure donated, elaborate capacity building for beneficiaries for its use and maintenance will be completed to ensure its sustainable use way beyond the project period.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	Joint M&E visit (observation monitoring, Focus Group discussions (FGDs), interviews with project beneficiaries and local stakeholders)	The joint monitoring team comprised of members from the project steering committee visited the project intervention sites to assess the level of project implementation.	The joint monitoring team comprised of members from the project steering committee visited the project intervention sites to assess the level of project implementation. Key Findings: - The GID officers at MIDAS Training Lab highlighted some of the challenges they face in maintaining and scaling up the system, particularly the issue of inadequate budget allocation from the Government for MIDAS roll-out across the ports of entry. - The local authorities in the region and the community leaders of the village expressed delight in the construction of the official border post in Tabanding, indicating that the post will help in managing the movement of people at the border and improve security.

<p>Event 2</p>	<p>Psychosocial Assessments (FGDs)</p>	<p>The M&E teams used Focus Group Discussions to collect responses from 250 border community members and border officials who understand the border context and have knowledge of border management across the two countries</p>	<p>Similar challenges and threats faced by communities at the border were evidenced across the four sites: lack of international demarcation boundaries, porosity of borders (leading to land encroachment), issues with understanding and compliance to ECOWAS Free movement protocol. - The communities that are practicing elements of community policing strategies are doing better in border conflict management than those without any strategies in place - The relationship between authorities and the communities across the four sites is overall positive and cordial (some hesitancy from the Giboro community on sharing information) - There is evidence of conflict resolution and mediation practices being implemented by the community members, with traditional leaders acting as key facilitators and responsible for settling cases before involving the local authorities. Senegal Key Findings: - Porosity of borders, inadequate control, lack of electricity, land disputes due to non-demarcation of borders, and timber and drug trafficking are the main threats at the border. - - Defence and security forces generally resolve conflicts through weak community involvement through mediation by local, customary, and religious authorities. - Communities and authorities must play the</p>
-----------------------	--	--	--

role of monitoring and warning of threats. - There is a low level of communication between communities and authorities. This fosters misunderstanding and distancing between communities and authorities on border issues. - The level of knowledge of communities on the functioning of borders is very satisfactory. - Ongoing communication between communities, authorities and defense and security forces can promote good border security management. Recommendations are provided based on findings to inform community engagement plans.

<p>Event 3</p>	<p>Border Officials MIDAS and document security surveys</p>	<p>IOM The Gambia M&E team conducted phone surveys with 17 officials</p>	<p>IOM The Gambia M&E team conducted phone surveys with 17 officials. Key Findings: - 77% with MIDAS at their station reported that the average waiting time shortened after the installation of MIDAS. - 88% stated the training was adequate to effectively execute their functions at the border. - 76% rate their expertise / experience /knowledge of MIDAS after the training as 'High' or 'Very High' and 100% stated their performance has improved in MIDAS and document security & protection of Vulnerable Migrants (VM). - 100% agreed that MIDAS has contributed to improving wider immigration and border security challenges in the country and that they have a better understanding of their role in ensuring reliable reporting and data on migration flows.</p>
-----------------------	--	---	---

<p>Event 4</p>	<p>Conflict Resolution and trust building training</p>	<p>Pre and post training surveys for First and second Joint training on mediation conflict resolution and trust building</p>	<p>Pretest (officials) - 56% stated their level of expertise/experience/knowledge on mediation conflict resolution and trust building is 'neutral/average', 18% stated 'high', 14% 'very high', 8% stated 'very low' and 4% 'very low'. - 54% stated their level of expertise/experience/knowledge of the right approaches to address community concerns about cross-border crime is 'neutral/average', 20% stated 'high', 12% 'very high', 12% 'low' and 2% 'very low'. - 64% have encountered cases of conflict/crimes within communities and or across borders; 42% have been part of conflict resolution among border communities in the past; 34% stated that community members usually report the incidence of the crime to them. Post test (officials) - 92% stated their level of expertise/experience/knowledge on mediation conflict resolution and trust building is 'high' or 'very high' after training. - 92% states their level of expertise/experience/knowledge of the right approaches to address community concerns about cross-border crime is 'high' or 'very high' after training. - 100% stated their knowledge improved on the approaches to address community concerns about cross border crime; 100% stated the training was relevant/useful; 100% stated they are more motivated to work on</p>
-----------------------	--	--	--

mediation, conflict resolution and trust building initiatives and will use knowledge and skills gained from the training in your daily work with border communities. Pre-test (community members) - 57% community members stated that they have faced conflict or crime in their community. - 86% community members stated they have encountered cross-border conflicts/crimes specifically. - 71% stated crimes are usually reported by community members to border authorities. - All think border authorities have a role to play in reducing cross-border crime. - 57% stated their experience or knowledge on how to properly communicate with border officials to report concerns about crime is "neutral/average", 29% 'low' or 'very low' and 14% 'high'. Post test (community members) - 89% stated their knowledge on how to properly work with border officials to report crime concerns after training is 'high' or 'very high'. - As a result of training, all stated they are more motivated to use the knowledge gained on conflict resolution with border authorities to report crimes. - All agreed to the following statements: "I can identify the triggers and beginnings of crime/conflict in my community and in cross-border areas/communities/ I am comfortable speaking to

		<p>border officials and expressing my concerns freely/ I believe that the resolution of conflicts and crimes should be carried out jointly with the authorities/ I have the confidence, knowledge and skills to effectively report crimes in my community' I have a good understanding of my role in the community in conflict mediation processes"</p>
--	--	--

<p>Event 5</p>	<p>Department of Forestry Monitoring Report</p>	<p>Interviews with members of the Cross-border fire management committees - president, vice president, treasurer, secretary, auditor, adviser</p>	<p>There is a balance representation of the people constituting the Cross-border fire management committee (that is between male and female). - Fire crosses both the Gambia and Senegal and fifty percent of the respondents are said that fire coming from Gambia to Senegal is the most frequent. - Members stated the committee formulated in their clusters would improve the surveillance of forest fire occurrences within their clusters. It will also facilitate the mobilization of community members in case of a forest fires outbreak. Participants who attended sensitization of border communities on participatory forest management approaches: - All were able to identify some of the key areas discussed during the sensitization: forest fires management and prevention, deforestation, illegal charcoal burning, wild honey collection, forest management and protection, etc. - They felt the sensitization they received would earn them knowledge on how to sustainably manage their forest cover and rangelands. - They felt the sensitization is very important to the effective management of their environment particularly the forest cover. Traditional communicators who attended training: - All the respondents stated that the training of traditional communicators is very important when it</p>
-----------------------	---	---	--

			comes to effective forest or environmental management because the voice of the traditional communicators would be heard by many people who are ignorant about the management affairs of the forest. - The training would improve communities understanding on how to sustainably manage and protect the forest cover and environment within their surroundings through dissemination of key forest management practices in public gatherings and social events. - They stated that the discussions were very fruitful because the areas discussed focused on how to sustainably manage the forest cover.
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF (if on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and try again. If the problem persists, you can contact technical support at the email address below)
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.
