

Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report (Update May_2023)



**PEACEBUILDING
FUND**  

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- ☐ Semi-annual
- ☒ Annual
- ☐ Final
- ☐ Other

Date of submission of report *

2023-11-15

2023-11-15

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Paola Piccione, Programme Manager, UNDP (Convening Agency)

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Project Management Support Unit, UNDP (Convening Agency)

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- ☒ yes
- ☐ no

Did PBF Secretariat review the report? *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

- ☐ yes
- ☐ no
- ☒ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

- ☐ yes ☒ no

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- | | | |
|---|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="radio"/> Global | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="radio"/> West Africa | |

Country of project implementation *

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Lebanon | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Libya | <input type="radio"/> Tunisia |
| <input type="radio"/> Yemen | <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify | |

Other, please specify *

Project Title *

- ☒ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

00134328 - Peacebuilding through Community Stabilization in the Southern Libyan Municipalities of Ghat, Sebha and Ubari

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2022-12-16

2022-12-16

Project end Date *

2024-12-15

2024-12-15

Has this project received an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- ☐ yes
- ☒ no

If yes, please select which *

- ☐ National Trust Fund
- ☐ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- ☒ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UNDPO ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- ☐ No other recipients
- ☒ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

Select all that apply

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☒ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☒ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☒ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- ☐ Action Aid
 ☐ The African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- ☐ Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- ☐ American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
 ☐ Avocats Sans Frontières
- ☐ Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
 ☐ Avocats sans frontières Canada
 ☐ Christian Aid Ireland
- ☐ CARE International UK
 ☐ The Carter Center, Inc.
- ☐ Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
 ☐ COIPRODEN
- ☐ Concern Worldwide
 ☐ CORDAID
 ☐ CORD Burundi
- ☐ DanChurchAid
 ☐ Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
 ☐ Fund for Congolese Women
- ☐ Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
 ☐ Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- ☐ Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
 ☐ HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- ☐ Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
 ☐ Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- ☐ International Alert
 ☐ Interpeace
 ☐ Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- ☐ Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- ☐ (MDG-EISA) Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- ☐ Mercy Corps
 ☐ MSIS-TATAO
 ☐ Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- ☐ ONG AZHAR
 ☐ OXFAM
 ☐ Peace Direct
- ☐ PNG UN Country Fund
 ☐ Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- ☐ Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
 ☐ Saferworld
- ☐ Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
 ☐ SismaMujer
 ☐ Tearfund
- ☐ Trocaire
 ☐ World Vision International
 ☐ World Vision Myanmar
- ☐ ZOA
 ☐ Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify *

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

3

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
- ☐ National women's CSO
- ☐ Other National CSO
- ☐ Subnational youth CSO
- ☐ Subnational women's CSO
- ☐ Other subnational CSO
- ☐ Regional CSO
- ☐ Regional Organisation
- ☒ International NGO
- ☐ Governmental entity
- ☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

INTERSOS

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

108595

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Providing child protection, education, youth and women's empowerment services. Through direct implementation and mobile teams.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
☐ National women's CSO
☐ Other National CSO
☐ Subnational youth CSO
☐ Subnational women's CSO
☐ Other subnational CSO
☐ Regional CSO
☐ Regional Organisation
☒ International NGO
☐ Governmental entity
☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Terre de Hommes (TDH)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

110154

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Providing child protection, education, youth and women's empowerment services. Through direct implementation and mobile teams.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ☐ National youth CSO
☐ National women's CSO
☐ Other National CSO
☐ Subnational youth CSO
☐ Subnational women's CSO
☐ Other subnational CSO
☐ Regional CSO
☐ Regional Organisation
☒ International NGO
☐ Governmental entity
☐ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Asarya

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

187946

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Providing human capital enhancement activities. A needs-based assessment and labour market assessment has been produced to tailor targeting.

The implementing partner was responsible for creating a structured training curriculum that covers the necessary skills, knowledge, and resources required for successful project implementation.

The Implementing Partner is responsible for:

- **Delivering training:** conducting training sessions to strengthen participants' capacity in skills identified as missing and needed by the current labour market assessment.
- **Monitoring and evaluating the training according:** assessing the progress and effectiveness of the training program to ensure that it meets its objectives. **Reporting and Documentation:** Maintaining records and documentation of the training activities, progress, and outcomes for accountability and future evidence and best practices generation.

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	1774367	887184	649464	36.6%
				%
IOM: International Organization for Migration	1258692	629346	166297	13.21%

UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund	<div>1001437</div>	<div>500719</div>	<div>420291</div>	41.97%
WFP: World Food Programme	<div>1053530</div>	<div>526765</div>	<div>537946</div>	51.06%
				%
				%
				%
				%
				%
				%
				%
				%
				%
				%
				%

	*	*	*	%
TOTAL	5088026	2544014	1773998	34.87%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **34.87%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)? *

39

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 1984330.14**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

2007369

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 691859.22**. Is this correct? *

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

746522

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. The templates for the budget are available [here](#) *

15.11..2023 Annex D PBF Budget and Expenditure + Commitments - Annual Report-22_3_24.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- ☒ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☒ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☐ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☒ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee? *

☒ yes

☐ no

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

One.

As part of the broader Local Peacebuilding and Resilience Programme (Southern Libya) a project board meeting was held on 10 May 2023. The Project Board includes the Minister of Local Government (co-chair), Minister of Planning (co-chair), Mayors of Municipalities in the South (including Sebha, Ghat and Ubari), UN Resident Coordinator's Office and Development Partners. Planned interventions under the PBF project, such as the PB plans, and progress achieved were discussed. The next project board meeting is expected to take place in early 2024, where progress in 2023 and plans for 2024 will be discussed.

Periodically, the Deputy Representatives (Programme)/Heads of Programmes of the four RUNOs and the RCO meet to review progress, discuss status, and take decisions on project implementation, including approaches to accelerate implementation.

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *

During the reporting period, key engagements with the Government included:

- 1. The convening agency continued to have periodic meetings and provided frequent updates to the Ministry of Local Government (MoLG), the main interlocutor of the project. This engagement continued even as there were changes in senior staff at the MoLG, including the Advisor to the MoLG. The most recent meeting with the Minister of MoLG and team took place on 15 November, 2023.**
- 2. A delegation, including representatives from Recipient UN Organizations (RUNOs) & the Resident Coordinator's office (RCO), visited the Sebha municipality from 5 to 9 March. The mission met with the Acting Mayor & members of the Municipal Council, Governor of the Central Bank of Libya (South Branch), Heads of 13 muhallas, tribal leaders, representatives of civil society organisations including women, youth and IDPs (migrant community leaders were not invited due to the high presence of security apparatus in all meetings), a dean and student representatives of Sebha University.**
- 3. A joint mission to Sebha, by Deputy Special Representative of the Secretary General/Resident Coordinator & Representatives of RUNOs took place on 28 May. The delegation engaged the target municipalities of Ghat, Sebha & Ubari. The mission also met with the security actors who committed to have improved communication and coordination in relation to the UN's operations in the south, including the establishment of the UN hub in the south.**
- 4. In an effort to support the Ministry of Labour and Rehabilitation (MoL) in reinforcing vocational training services in Sabha, IOM and the Head of the TVET Department at the MoL carried out a joint filed visit to Sabha between 27-30 July 2023. IOM also met with the Women Empowerment Office in the target municipalities to address the key challenges faced by women in these areas and explore potential sectors offering job opportunities.**
- 5. UNICEF has established a productive, ongoing dialogue with the MoLG, focusing on the development of social entrepreneurship and peacebuilding modules and exploring avenues for collaboration with municipalities in three specific target areas.**
- 6. WFP continued regular meetings in the targeted municipalities, including with the labour office and women empowerment office and entrepreneurship office.**
- 7. UNDP continued to have on-going engagements with the target municipalities in the consultations and development of the Local Peacebuilding and Development Plans.**

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☒ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☒ Partially Completed
 ☐ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☒ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

- ☐ Not Started
 ☐ Initiated
 ☐ Partially Completed
 ☒ Completed
 ☐ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Based on the project's sequencing strategy, Outcome 1 follows a sequential pattern of conducting a community needs assessment, capacity development, planning, implementation, and monitoring of key local peacebuilding initiatives. To ensure coherence between the outcomes, some planned interventions under Outcome 2 are directly linked to the peacebuilding plans under Outcome 1, for example, the neighbourhoods and beneficiary groups identified in the peacebuilding plans will be invited to join a variety of training and other economic support. For this reason, not all the implementing partners to be engaged under the project are required at the inception stage. The IPs, which are required for activities in 2023 have all been contracted.

In terms of staff recruitment, the project experienced initial setbacks in identifying suitable field staff. Many positions had to be re-advertised. It has been reported that some candidates were unwilling to respond to advertised job opportunities given the security situation in the south that had escalated during the second quarter of 2023. Notwithstanding, all required personnel are identified, except one position in the municipality of Ghat, where after 3 rounds of advertisements and headhunting, no suitable candidate was identified. In the interim, field staff of the other target municipalities (Sebha and Ubari) and regional coordinators for the south are supporting the activities in Ghat.

The results framework was finalized, and all beneficiaries are identified.

Summarize *the main structural, institutional or societal level change* the project has contributed to. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project where evidence of contribution to outcomes is available if requested

FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION ONLY (550 word limit)

Not Applicable

PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have

1 ☒ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document	
Outcome 1: Social cohesion is strengthened in target communities through an inclusive, collaborative peacebuilding process.	*
Outcome 2: Resilient and sustainable livelihoods are created, especially for women & youth, in target municipalities	*
Outcome 3:	*
Outcome 4:	*
Outcome 5:	*
Outcome 6:	*
Outcome 7:	*
Outcome 8:	*
Additional Outcomes If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here	*

Outcome 1: Social cohesion is strengthened in target communities through an inclusive, collaborative peacebuilding process.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

☐ 1. Off Track ☒ 2. On Track ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Since project commencement, PBF RUNOs have collectively strengthened local capacities for conflict management and prevention, in line with the project's implementation strategy. This was initiated by an inter-agency technical mission to the south and which resulted in better identification of local peace structures, potential partners, and stakeholders for comprehensive project engagement.

To ensure community ownership, PBF RUNOs established and empowered robust mechanisms for conflict analysis, management, and prevention. This involved the formulation of Local Peacebuilding and Development Committees (LPDCs) with 93 members across the three target municipalities, ensuring fair representation with 24.2% women and 31.4% youth. Over subsequent months, these committees facilitated inclusive community discussions, engaging diverse stakeholders, such as ordinary citizens, women, the elderly, tribal leaders, local councils, municipal officials, persons with disabilities, and professionals from various sectors. These workshops provided insight into project objectives, social peace, local development, inclusivity, conflict analysis, needs assessments, and the overall process for developing local development and peacebuilding plans. This is expected to become a model for local peacebuilding planning to be replicated by MoLG across Libya.

To further ensure and enhance community involvement as an active agent in the peacebuilding process, peacebuilding skills trainings were conducted across the three target municipalities, resulting in improved abilities to implement conflict mitigation tools.

Through the project's main implementing partners, MoLG and the three municipalities, development of the community-led Local Peacebuilding and Development Plans continues and is to be concluded by November 2023. These plans, pivotal to the project, will guide resource mobilization from both national and international funders, addressing short- to long-term priorities identified by communities through LPDCs and informing planned activities, especially under outcome 2.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

As noted above, female and youth inclusion were significant in the establishment of the LPDCs. It was important to engage a variety of stakeholders and vulnerable groups in the formation of the committees as well as in the community consultations and as participants in the start-up workshops. In some areas, as much as half of the committee is comprised by either women or youth. In the selection of committee members, nominations provided by municipalities comprised a low number of women and youth, thus a higher number of members were selected from among community nominations – where there was a much greater number of women and youth.

LPDCs underwent capacity training to improve responsiveness to community needs and ability to anticipate, avoid and mitigate conflict. A major pillar of this effort is mainstreaming of marginalised and underrepresented groups in the power structure. As such, all training topics emphasised inclusion of diverse stakeholders and integration of gender-specific considerations in all assessments and planning, as well as fostering a collaborative environment instead of top-down decision-making.

Outcome 2: Resilient and sustainable livelihoods are created, especially for women & youth, in target municipalities

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

☐ 1. Off Track ☒ 2. On Track ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

During the reporting period, the RUNOs have undertaken local economic assessments with the aim to discern existing coping strategies, incentives, disincentives, and viable entry points for expanding the active involvement of women and youth in the private sector. In that regard, different assessments covering Sebha, Ubari and Ghat have been initiated ; these include a labour marker assessment and a human centered designed (HCD) study covering the target municipalities. The HCD study aims to develop ideas for the design of innovative solutions to improve access to economic opportunities, employment services, and reducing the linkages and exposure of youth to conflict in the south of Libya. In this regard, interviews are being conducted in Sebha, Ghat and Ubari to map stakeholders and identify different groups based on socio-economic background, access to networks, ethnographic research, gender dynamics, educational level etc.

A variety of livelihoods programmes are being implemented across South Libya to enhance the employability and entrepreneurial capabilities of deprived communities particularly women, girls and adolescents. Livelihoods support includes vocational training, provision of toolkits, government certified training at TVET centres, and business incubation in line with results of the above-mentioned assessments. One training focuses on food service taking place in bakeries for 75 young women and also includes business and managerial skills and will end with toolkit grants to help kickstart a career. Employability and business skills training have been provided for 390 participants. Those trained would benefit from further entrepreneurship training, would receive financial support and would be connected to job opportunities through the Entrepreneurship Office. There are entrepreneurial skills trainings in agriculture specifically targeting women, and life skills and food for training targeting youth.

The focus on skills relevant to both the agricultural and service sectors is strategically corresponding with local economic demands and available job opportunities, as well as other interventions by RUNOs in the same areas. Trained individuals are linked with community-based asset creation projects such as water desalination units, market refurbishment, bakeries and small retail outlets, etc. to create sustainable livelihood opportunities within the community.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

All livelihood interventions are coordinated at the local level with municipal offices in Ghat, Sebha and Ubari, including with the Women's Empowerment and Entrepreneurial offices. This has helped RUNOs to target activities and beneficiaries, and to identify women's associations to consult for gender-specific interventions. RUNOs have conducted meetings with the Women's Empowerment and Entrepreneurship Offices within each municipality to coordinate and ensure involvement in ongoing entrepreneurship support activities targeting women.

A strong gender lens has been applied to ensure that activities delivered are both relevant to marginalised groups including vulnerable women, but also target structural gender gaps.

Outcome 3:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

☐ 1. Off Track ☐ 2. On Track ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.***Outcome 4:**

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

☐ 1. Off Track ☐ 2. On Track ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.***Outcome 5:**

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

☐ 1. Off Track ☐ 2. On Track ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 6:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

☐ 1. Off Track ☐ 2. On Track ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.***Outcome 7:**

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

☐ 1. Off Track ☐ 2. On Track ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

*Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.***Outcome 8:**

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

☐ 1. Off Track ☐ 2. On Track ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

*

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please use this text box to describe the *status* of progress (on track with evidence of peacebuilding outcomes, on track or off track), as well as briefly describe the progress and any analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at the **outcome** level in the table below

- If an outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 1: Social cohesion is strengthened in target communities through an inclusive, collaborative peacebuilding process.**

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	Outcome Indicator 1 a: Availability and capacity of Peacebuilding committees (referral systems) to tackle potential threats to peace in supported municipalities.	Existing peacebuilding systems are complex, with formal and informal mechanisms and partners involved that limit their abilities to tackle potential threats to peace.	Peacebuilding committees capable to tackle potential threats to peace are institutionalized in supported municipalities. Increased number and percentage of peace structures' mediation in resolution of peace threats.	Peacebuilding committees have been established in all 3 municipalities through a nominations process. Training were completed in all 3 municipalities. Draft peacebuilding plans were also completed in all three municipalities.	Not applicable.

1.2	<p>Outcome Indicator 1 b: % Peacebuilding committee members who feel they have the capacity and confidence to contribute to peacebuilding and take action to mitigate a threat to peace in their municipality, if needed (disaggregated by gender and age)</p>	<p>Limited skills and confidence of Peacebuilding committee members to proactively contribute to peacebuilding in their municipality 75% increase in capacity and confidence of Peacebuilding committee members to contribute to peacebuilding in their municipality and mitigate a threat to peace in their municipality (disaggregated by age, gender and municipality).</p>	<p>75% increase in capacity and confidence of Peacebuilding committee members to contribute to peacebuilding in their municipality and mitigate a threat to peace in their municipality (disaggregated by age, gender and municipality).</p>	<p>Baseline from pre-start-up workshop / training assessment (average % for 3 areas): 45.1% of trainees felt very or fairly confident.</p> <p>Ghat (very 7.7%, Fairly 15.4%) Sabha (very 20%, Fairly 13.3%) Ubari (Very 5.4%, Fairly 73.6%)</p> <p>Post-start up workshop / training assessment (average % for 3 areas): 77.4% of trainees felt very or fairly confident</p> <p>Ghat (very 19%, Fairly 52.4%) Sabha (very 13%, Fairly 47.8%) Ubari (Very 64.3%, Fairly 35.7%)</p> <p>Post - Capacity building training: training assessment Average 92.9% (for 3 areas) of trainees felt very or fairly confident.</p> <p>Sabha Total (very 71.4 %, Fairly 39.3%) Woman: Very 40% Fairly 13.3% Youth: Very 6.6% Fairly 6.6%</p> <p>Ubari Total (very 53 %, Fairly</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>
-----	---	---	---	--	-------------------------------

				33.4%) Woman: Very 55.5% Fairly 11.11% Youth: Very 33.33% Fairly 22.22% Ghat Total (Very 25%, Fairly 53.6%) Woman: Very 25% Fairly 0% Youth: Very 25% Fairly 0%	
1.3	Indicator 1 c: % of Peacebuilding committee members who believe their communities have welcoming environment (disaggregated by gender and age).	Communities have somewhat welcoming environments but opportunities for participation of the most vulnerable groups are limited.	At least 30% increase Peacebuilding committee members' assessments that their communities have welcoming environment (40% women, 20% youth across all 3 municipalities).	Baseline from pre-start-up workshop / training assessment - Average 94.9% (for 3 areas) of trainees felt very or fairly confident Ghat (very 38.5%, Fairly 46.2 %) Sabha (80%, very, 20%) Fairly Ubari (Very 52.6%, Fairly 47.4%) Post-start up workshop / training assessment - Average 97% (for 3 areas) of trainees felt very or fairly confident Ghat (very 52.4%, Fairly 42.9 %) Sabha (very 69.6 %, Fairly 26.1%) Ubari (Very 64.3%, Fairly 35.7%)	Not applicable

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Output 1.1: Local capacities enhanced, and mechanisms established to identify, analyse, manage, and reduce violent conflict.

Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Inclusive and participatory local gender-sensitive peacebuilding plans developed and implemented as a mechanism for enhancing social cohesion and peacebuilding

Output 1.3

Output 1.3: Women and girls are empowered to play an active role in the local peacebuilding process

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: Output 1.1: Local capacities enhanced, and mechanisms established to identify, analyse, manage, and reduce violent conflict.	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.1.1	Output Indicator 1.1.1 # of gender-sensitive conflict assessments completed that capture the challenges, needs and priorities of excluded populations, especially women and youth, with additional focus on migrants, children/adolescents and linkages between food security and peace.	Existing conflict assessments for supported municipalities are not comprehensive to capture all dynamic factors affecting peace and include diverse perspectives, such as those of women and migrants.	3 (1 per municipality) comprehensive cross-sectoral gender sensitive conflict studies developed.	Responsibility Party has been identified to conduct conflict assessments. First round to commence in November 2023	Responsibility Party has been identified to conduct conflict assessments. First round to commence in November 2023	Delays in identifying responsible party. In the interim, IOM conducted a review and update of UNDP's conflict assessments for the period January to April 2023.
-------	---	---	---	---	---	--

1.1.2	Output Indicator 1.1.2.1 % of community members included in community structures which benefit from Project's capacity-building interventions and report increased knowledge about the challenges to peace in their municipality and actions to address them (disaggregated by gender, age and municipality)	Community members in community structures have broad knowledge about the challenges to peace in their municipality and potential actions to address them. However, their skills in managing them comprehensively involving all partners are limited.	70% of community members included in community structures who benefitted from the Project's capacity-building interventions report increased knowledge about the challenges to peace in their municipality and actions to address them (disaggregated by gender, age and municipality).	Baseline from pre-start-up workshop / training assessment (average % for 3 areas): 52.8% of trainees felt very or fairly confident Sabha (very 13.3%, Fairly 40%) Ubari (very 15.8%, Fairly 73.7%) Ghat (Very 7.7%, Fairly 7.7%) Post-start up workshop / training assessment - average % (for 3 areas): 77.8% of trainees felt very or fairly confident Sabha (very 8.7%, Fairly 65.2%) Ubari (very 7.1%, Fairly 85.7%) Ghat (Very 14.3%, Fairly 52.4 %) Post-Capacity building training / training assessment (average 92.6% for 3 areas): of trainees felt very or fairly confident Sabha Total (very 39.8%, Fairly 56.4 %) Woman:	Baseline from pre-start-up workshop / training assessment (average % for 3 areas): 52.8% of trainees felt very or fairly confident Sabha (very 13.3%, Fairly 40%) Ubari (very 15.8%, Fairly 73.7%) Ghat (Very 7.7%, Fairly 7.7%) Post-start up workshop / training assessment - average % (for 3 areas): 77.8% of trainees felt very or fairly confident Sabha (very 8.7%, Fairly 65.2%) Ubari (very 7.1%, Fairly 85.7%) Ghat (Very 14.3%, Fairly 52.4 %) Post-Capacity building training / training assessment (average 92.6% for 3 areas): of trainees felt very or fairly confident Sabha Total (very 39.8%, Fairly 56.4 %) Woman:	Not applicable
-------	---	---	--	--	--	----------------

				Very 28.6%, Fairly 15.1% Youth: Very 6.65%, Fairly 0%	Very 28.6%, Fairly 15.1% Youth: Very 6.65%, Fairly 0%	
				Ubari Total (Very 15%, Fairly 71.7 %)	Ubari Total (Very 15%, Fairly 71.7 %)	
				Woman: Very 36.1%, Fairly 5.55% Youth: Very 18.3%, Fairly13.3%	Woman: Very 36.1%, Fairly 5.55% Youth: Very 18.3%, Fairly13.3%	
				Ghat Total (Very 50.7%, Fairly 44.3%)	Ghat Total (Very 50.7%, Fairly 44.3%)	
				Woman: Very 15%, Fairly 5% Youth: Very 12.5%, Fairly4.16%	Woman: Very 15%, Fairly 5% Youth: Very 12.5%, Fairly4.16%	

1.1.3	Output Indicator 1.1.2.2 # children and youth covered by peace awareness raising activities, including through peace kiosks and sport and cultural events	Children and youth targeted by Project's peace awareness activities have limited knowledge about PB and conflict resolution structures, peacebuilding plans and their roles in building peace in the community	500 children and youth are covered by peace awareness raising activities, including through peace kiosks and sports and cultural events (disaggregated by gender, age and municipality).	<p>UNICEF's implementing partner has successfully assembled mobile teams in two key locations, Ubari and Ghat. Prior to commencing any project activities, these teams diligently underwent mandatory training provided by the IPs.</p> <p>Following their training, the mobile teams in Ubari initiated a series of awareness-raising initiatives, marking the start of the project's implementation in the region.</p> <p>To date, the program reached a total of 152 children and youth in these areas, aged 6-12 (60 males, 59 females) aged 13-17 (15 males, 18 females)</p>	<p>UNICEF's implementing partner has successfully assembled mobile teams in two key locations, Ubari and Ghat. Prior to commencing any project activities, these teams diligently underwent mandatory training provided by the IPs.</p> <p>Following their training, the mobile teams in Ubari initiated a series of awareness-raising initiatives, marking the start of the project's implementation in the region.</p> <p>To date, the program reached a total of 152 children and youth in these areas, aged 6-12 (60 males, 59 females) aged 13-17 (15 males, 18 females)</p>	Not applicable.
-------	--	---	---	--	--	------------------------

» **Output 1.2**

Output 1.2: Output 1.2: Inclusive and participatory local gender-sensitive peacebuilding plans developed and implemented as a mechanism for enhancing social cohesion and peacebuilding	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.2.1	Output Indicator 1.2.1 % of community members who were involved in consultations in the process of Peacebuilding Plans development who endorse the priorities identified in their municipality PBs (disaggregated by gender and age).	Lack of comprehensive local Peacebuilding plans for all 3 supported communities developed through complete inclusive participation of all partners.	At least 80% of all community members engaged in the consultation process endorse the PB in their municipality (40% women, 20% youth across all 3 municipalities)	Draft PB plans are prepared by the Committee. Endorsement is expected before December 2023. Draft PB plans are prepared by the Committee. Endorsement is expected before December 2023.	Draft PB plans are prepared by the Committee. Endorsement is expected before December 2023. Draft PB plans are prepared by the Committee. Endorsement is expected before December 2023.	Finalization of PB plans delayed due to challenges related to security in the South of Libya (a trainer from the IP's team was arrested and the team was instructed to halt training in another Municipality where a PB plan is also being developed).

1.2.2	Output Indicator 1.2.2 % and number of initiatives identified in the PB Plan successfully implemented within the project duration	PB Plans do not exist for supported municipalities.	At least 3 initiatives identified in the PB plan are prioritized and implemented (1 per municipality).	Draft Peacebuilding plans exist. Social Cohesion Thematic Group of the Project has discussed activities of the draft plans and are in the process of identifying actions for implementation. These would be submitted to the Local Peacebuilding Development Committee for endorsement to commence implementation. Finalized Peacebuilding Plans are expected before the end of 2023.	Draft Peacebuilding plans exist. Social Cohesion Thematic Group of the Project has discussed activities of the draft plans and are in the process of identifying actions for implementation. These would be submitted to the Local Peacebuilding Development Committee for endorsement to commence implementation. Finalized Peacebuilding Plans are expected before the end of 2023.	Implementing partner experience challenges related to security in the South of Libya (a trainer from the IP's team was arrested and the team was instructed to halt training in another Municipality where a PB plan is also being developed).
1.2.3						

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3: Output 1.3: Women and girls are empowered to play an active role in the local peacebuilding process	Performance Indicator Describe the indicator	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

1.3.1	Output Indicator 1.3.1 % and number of women and girls trained who feel that they can use the skills/tools acquired during their training to help mitigate/prevent conflicts in their communities (disaggregated by age and community)	A limited number of women and girls trained by international partners on skills/tools to mitigate/prevent conflicts in their communities.	70% of the 75 women and girls trained under the Project feel they can use the skills/tools acquired during their training to help mitigate/prevent conflicts in their communities (50% youth across all 3 municipalities).	Pre-training survey: 21 women (78%) trained answered positively: Sabha 6 (50%) Ghat 5 (100%) Ubari 10 (100%) Post-training survey: 20 (74%) of women trained answered positively: Sabha 9 (75%) Ghat 5 (100%) Ubari 6 (60%) Post-Capacity building training / training assessment average 96.1% (for 3 areas): of trainees felt very or fairly confident Sabha Total very 45.3%, Fairly 54.8 % Woman: Very 46.6%, Fairly 6.25% Youth: Very 13.3%, Fairly 0% Ubari Total: very 16.7 %, Fairly 75.1 % Woman: Very 55.55%, Fairly 11.11% Youth: Very 33.33%, Fairly 22.22% Ghat Total: Very 42.9%, Fairly 53.6%	Pre-training survey: 21 women (78%) trained answered positively: Sabha 6 (50%) Ghat 5 (100%) Ubari 10 (100%) Post-training survey: 20 (74%) of women trained answered positively: Sabha 9 (75%) Ghat 5 (100%) Ubari 6 (60%) Post-Capacity building training / training assessment average 96.1% (for 3 areas): of trainees felt very or fairly confident Sabha Total very 45.3%, Fairly 54.8 % Woman: Very 46.6%, Fairly 6.25% Youth: Very 13.3%, Fairly 0% Ubari Total: very 16.7 %, Fairly 75.1 % Woman: Very 55.55%, Fairly 11.11% Youth: Very 33.33%, Fairly 22.22% Ghat Total: Very 42.9%, Fairly 53.6%	Additional capacity building workshops to enhance the role of women in (i) the peacebuilding process; and (ii) as peacebuilding accountability officers to be provided in Quarter 1, 2024.
-------	---	--	---	---	---	---

				Woman: Very 25%, Fairly 0% Youth: Very 16.66%, Fairly 8.33%	Woman: Very 25%, Fairly 0% Youth: Very 16.66%, Fairly 8.33%	
				Total number of women trained in start-up workshops: 27 5 in Ghat 12 in Sabha 10 in Ubari	Total number of women trained in start-up workshops: 27 5 in Ghat 12 in Sabha 10 in Ubari	
				Total number of women trained in related Capacity building training: 67 32 in Ghat 19 in Sabha 16 in Ubari	Total number of women trained in related Capacity building training: 67 32 in Ghat 19 in Sabha 16 in Ubari	
1.3.2	Output Indicator 1.3.2 # Women and youth led CSOs within the Committees who are actively engaged in designing the PB Plan and in monitoring the PB Plan implementation (disaggregated for women led CSOs, youth-led CSOs and by municipality)	Representatives of women-led and youth-led CSOs are not engaged in designing the PB Plans and in monitoring their implementation.	12 members of women-led and youth-led CSOs (at least 2 per municipality, including both youth-led and women-led CSOs where present)	Total number of Committee members who are members of CSOs across 3 Municipalities: 34 Ubari: 13 69.23% women, 61.5% youth Sabha:8 37.5% woman, 25% youth Ghat: 13 15% women, 46.15%youth	Total number of Committee members who are members of CSOs across 3 Municipalities: 34 Ubari: 13 69.23% women, 61.5% youth Sabha:8 37.5% woman, 25% youth Ghat: 13 15% women, 46.15%youth	Additional capacity building workshops to enhance the role of women in (i) the peacebuilding process; and (ii) as peacebuilding accountability officers to be provided in Quarter 1, 2024.
1.3.3						

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

» **Outcome 2: Resilient and sustainable livelihoods are created, especially for women & youth, in target municipalities**

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	Outcome Indicator 2a % increase of Project beneficiaries who believe that private sector employment is a desirable goal (disaggregated by gender, age and municipality)	Limited but slightly increasing interest of Project beneficiaries in private-sector employment	Project training and supports' beneficiaries report 30% increase in their beliefs that private sector employment is a desirable goal (disaggregated by gender, age and municipality).	Substantive training and support are planned for 2024. An inter-agency effort of RUNOs will take place in Q1-Q2 2024 (mid-term review) to collect data on the progress.	Not Applicable.
2.2	Outcome Indicator 2b % of individuals trained on business, economic development and supported with livelihood activities who adopt conflict-sensitive practices (disaggregated by gender, age & municipality)	Project beneficiaries supported with business training and livelihood support are unfamiliar with conflict-sensitive practices.	75% of individuals trained on business, economic development and supported with livelihood activities adopt conflict-sensitive practices (disaggregated by gender, age & municipality).	Substantive training and support are planned for 2024.	Not applicable.
2.3					

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1 ☒ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Output 2.1: Disenfranchised populations (including women, adolescents, youth and migrants) identified in the conflict assessments & local peacebuilding plans are provided with gender-sensitive livelihood opportunities in coordination with the relevant government institutions

Output 2.2

Output 2.2: Private Sector engaged in a conflict-sensitive way to stimulate and facilitate future growth, employment and Public-Private collaborations across the 3 municipalities

Output 2.3**Output 2.4****Output 2.5****Other Outputs**

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1: Output 2.1: Disenfranchised populations (including women, adolescents, youth and migrants) identified in the conflict assessments & local peacebuilding plans are provided with gender-sensitive livelihood opportunities in coordination with the relevant government institutions	Performance Indicator <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>

2.1.1	<p>Output Indicator 2.1.1 % adolescents and youth trained by the Project who feel they are better prepared to enter the licit labour market (disaggregated by gender, age & municipality)</p>	<p>Adolescents and youth are unprepared to enter the licit labour market.</p>	<p>80% of trainees (at least 40% women and 40% youth across all 3 municipalities) feel they are better prepared to enter the licit labour market.</p>	<p>A comprehensive life skills program was launched and is engaging a significant number of adolescents and youth in the municipalities of Ubari and Ghat (1,034 participants). This program is designed to equip participants with essential life skills to enhance their personal development and well-being.</p> <p>Following the successful completion of the life skills sessions, participants will progress to the next phase of their development journey. This phase involves receiving specialized training in two key areas: peacebuilding and entrepreneurship skills modules. Peacebuilding education aims to equip participants with conflict resolution and interpersonal skills, fostering peaceful coexistence</p>	<p>A comprehensive life skills program was launched and is engaging a significant number of adolescents and youth in the municipalities of Ubari and Ghat (1,034 participants). This program is designed to equip participants with essential life skills to enhance their personal development and well-being.</p> <p>Following the successful completion of the life skills sessions, participants will progress to the next phase of their development journey. This phase involves receiving specialized training in two key areas: peacebuilding and entrepreneurship skills modules. Peacebuilding education aims to equip participants with conflict resolution and interpersonal skills, fostering peaceful coexistence</p>	
-------	--	--	--	---	---	--

				<p>within their communities. Simultaneously, entrepreneurship training will provide youth with the tools and knowledge needed to explore economic opportunities and potentially contribute to their local economies.</p> <p>Ghat: 672 total participants 10-12 y/o: 211 males, 197 females) 14+ y/o: 124 males, 139 females)</p> <p>Ubari: 362 total participants 10-12 y/o: 8 males, 6 females 14+ y/o: 140 males, 208 females</p>	<p>within their communities. Simultaneously, entrepreneurship training will provide youth with the tools and knowledge needed to explore economic opportunities and potentially contribute to their local economies.</p> <p>Ghat: 672 total participants 10-12 y/o: 211 males, 197 females) 14+ y/o: 124 males, 139 females)</p> <p>Ubari: 362 total participants 10-12 y/o: 8 males, 6 females 14+ y/o: 140 males, 208 females</p>	
2.1.2	Output Indicator 2.1.2 % of women engaged in Project training and livelihoods activities who report that they improved their food security (disaggregated by age and municipality	Women identified by Project for training and support experience food insecurities due to lacking skills and limited access to livelihood activities.	At least 70% (at least 40% youth across all 3 municipalities) supported through trainings and livelihoods activities report that they improved their food security due to Project supports.	An inter-agency effort of RUNOs will take place in Q1-Q2 2024 (mid-term review) to collect data on the progress.	An inter-agency effort of RUNOs will take place in Q1-Q2 2024 (mid-term review) to collect data on the progress.	RUNO has not reported results to date.

2.1.3	Output Indicator 2.1.3 # of individuals from targeted vulnerable groups provided with vocational trainings and toolkits (disaggregated by gender, age and municipality)	Vulnerable groups cannot access relevant vocational trainings and supports.	45 (100% youth, 30% women) individuals from targeted vulnerable groups provided with vocational trainings and toolkits who find support relevant and effective.	Preparations have commenced for the implementation of a technical vocational training in the bakery and pastry sector in Ubari and Ghat, and training in the e-commerce sector in Sabha for 75 young and un-employed women.	Preparations have commenced for the implementation of a technical vocational training in the bakery and pastry sector in Ubari and Ghat, and training in the e-commerce sector in Sabha for 75 young and un-employed women.	Due to Derna flood, IOM had to reprioritize activities and resources towards the flood response. This caused a delay in implementation of PBF related initiative.
-------	--	--	--	--	--	--

» **Output 2.2**

Output 2.2: Output 2.2: Private Sector engaged in a conflict-sensitive way to stimulate and facilitate future growth, employment and Public-Private collaborations across the 3 municipalities	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.2.1	Output Indicator 2.2.1 # of local economic assessments completed that capture the current coping strategies, incentives and disincentives and potential entry points to expand participation, particularly of women and youth in the private sector	A limited number of focused studies exploring challenges and solutions to enable women and youth access to private sector opportunities	3 (1 per municipality) local economic assessments completed. Human Centre Design Assessment is on-going in all three municipalities, expected to be completed by December 31, 2023	Human Centre Design Assessment is on-going in all three municipalities, expected to be completed by December 31, 2023	Delayed due to sensitive situation in the South. Key Informant Interviews were delayed and took longer than initially anticipated to schedule.	

2.2.2	Output Indicator 2.2.2 # of women and youth who developed new income generation streams due to provision of assets and micro-grants to build new agriculture value chains (disaggregated by age and municipality).	Women and youth experience limited income generation opportunities partly due to a lack of access to assets and micro-grants to build new agriculture value chains.	500 (40% women, 70% youth across all 3 municipalities) who report that they developed new income generation streams due to Project support	An inter-agency effort of RUNOs will take place in Q1-Q2 2024 (mid-term review) to collect data on the progress.	An inter-agency effort of RUNOs will take place in Q1-Q2 2024 (mid-term review) to collect data on the progress.	This initiative is ongoing and therefore the results are not available at the moment.
2.2.3	Output Indicator 2.2.3 # of youth-led start-ups/MSMEs which received a grant from a programme administered through the established municipal business incubator	Youth-led start-ups/MSMEs have limited access to financial supports.	30 start-ups receiving seed grants.	Implementing Partner to deliver grants would be identified in November 2023.	Implementing Partner to deliver grants would be identified in November 2023.	Not applicable

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.3.1						
2.3.2						
2.3.3						

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» Outcome 3:

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1					
3.2					
3.3					

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.1.1						
3.1.2						
3.1.3						

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.2.1						
3.2.2						
3.2.3						

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					
How many outputs does outcome 4 have?					
1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.					
Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4					
Output 4.1					
Output 4.2					
Output 4.3					
Output 4.4					
Output 4.5					
Other Outputs					
If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here					

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives
Event 1	Launch of local peacebuilding and development plans	December 2023	Tripoli or Sebha	Ministry of Local Government, civil society actors, civil servants, municipality members, development partners and donors.	Official endorsement of local peacebuilding and development plans. Awareness raising for the attention of development partners towards resource mobilization.
Event 2	Launch of online Tension Monitoring platform	May 2024	Tripoli or Sebha	Ministry of Local Government, civil society actors, development partners and donors	Official introduction of Tension Monitoring platform. Awareness on objective and benefits of tension monitoring platform.

Event 3	Interagency filed mission to the south	Between December 2023 – Q1 2024	Sebha	Sebha Municipality and local stakeholder and partners	Advance the security and logistic procedures around the establishment of the UN Hub in the south as well as follow up on the implementation of the PBF project through in person engagement with the different programme stakeholder.
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation

ii. The impact of the project in their lives

iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Key Stakeholder	What were the challenges they faced prior to project implementati on? (350 words)	What has been the impact of the project on their lives? (350 words)	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representativ e of each stakeholder group (350 words)

1	Community leaders from Ubari and Bint Baya	<p>The Wadi Alhayat region of South Libya is comprised of three municipalities: Ubari, Algarifa, and Bint Baya. Ubari is positioned 100 kilometres west of Bint Baya. Before 2011, these three were a single municipality, however the region was subsequently divided into three due to resource conflicts. In 2023, a conflict analysis conducted by UNDP recommended rebuilding the trust across the Wadi Alhayat region through joint planning as a key strategy to address and resolve these resource management issues, suggesting a collaborative approach to foster harmony and effective management of resources among the three municipalities. Under the project, in the development of the local peacebuilding and development plans, the municipalities of Ubari and Bint Baya faced engaged in joint planning activities. Prior to this, the experienced conflicts over inadequate public resources, lacked a collaborative framework for effective coordination, and grappled with community tensions and governance</p>	<p>The collaborative spirit of Ubari and Bint Baya went beyond just planning. They committed to implementing synergistic projects, ensuring that any development in one municipality would complement and support the other. This approach marked a significant shift from competition to cooperation, setting a precedent for other regions facing similar challenges. Ubari and Bint Baya have become beacons of hope through joint planning and cooperative actions under the project. They demonstrated that with shared goals, open communication, and a commitment to peace, communities can turn potential conflicts into opportunities for collective prosperity.</p>	
---	--	---	---	--

challenges. These issues were further compounded by economic disparities and environmental sustainability concerns in the region. Both towns also struggled with sensitivity over resource allocation and a lack of collaborative strategies, leading to inefficient resource management and community tensions.

2	Student Union	<p>Before the project, the student union faced several critical challenges. The most pressing issue was the low level of participation among the youth. This lack of engagement was not just in the union's regular activities, but also in broader peacebuilding and development efforts, which are crucial for societal progress. Furthermore, the union faced difficulties in effectively communicating with, and reaching out to the young population. This communication gap led to a disconnection between the union and the youth it represented. As a result, many young people remained unaware of the union's efforts and the importance of their participation in civic and developmental activities.</p>	<p>The interagency technical mission in Q1 2023 to the south enabled RUNOs to closely examine the referenced challenges encountered by Student Union. The implementation of the project in the ensuing period had a transformative impact on the lives of the young people involved with the student union. One of the most significant changes was increased participation and engagement among the youth by 25%. This was achieved through various strategic initiatives focused on outreach, communication, and empowerment. This included the introduction of more inclusive and effective ways of communicating with young people, utilizing platforms and methods that resonated with them. This enhanced communication strategy led to a better understanding among the youth of the union's objectives and the importance of their active involvement in peacebuilding and development. As a result, more young people became involved in the union's activities and initiatives, contributing their ideas and energy. The project also focused on capacity</p>	
---	---------------	--	---	--

			building and providing training and resources to the union members. As a result of these efforts, the student union became a more vibrant, dynamic, and impactful organization. The increased youth participation led to more robust and diverse peacebuilding and development initiatives, reflecting the aspirations and creativity of a broader segment of the youth population.	
3				
4				

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had (650 words)

Harmonizing Efforts: Ubari and Bint Baya's Strategic Planning for Peaceful Resource Management. In a region where managing public resources can often lead to conflicts, the municipalities of Ubari and Bint Baya in Libya have embarked on a commendable journey towards peaceful coexistence and mutual growth. They have engaged in joint strategic planning to mitigate conflicts over public resources management.

Strengthening Institutions: Initiatives focus on strengthening local institutions and governance structures. This helped to build the capacity of governing authorities and civil society organizations to address the needs and concerns of their populations, thereby improving public services and governance for all communities present.

Promoting Gender Equality: RUNOs are placing a strong emphasis on gender equality and the empowerment of women. This focus helped to advance participation of marginalised groups in post-conflict societies, contributing to more inclusive and equitable societies. At the same time, RUNOs have worked with government and non-governmental bodies that support women, thereby promoting their work and improving their capacities.

Reconciliation and Social Cohesion: Efforts detailed in Output 1 focused on peacebuilding and conflict mitigation, which include reconciliation and social cohesion. These activities aim to mend the social fabric, reduce tensions, and promote trust and cooperation among different groups within the affected communities.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1 OPTIONAL Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)
File 2 OPTIONAL Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)
File 3 OPTIONAL Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)
You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project OPTIONAL
Link 1 OPTIONAL
Link 2 OPTIONAL
Link 3 OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

*

Please select up to 3.

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☒ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☐ Strengthened capacities
- ☒ Partnered with Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners)

*

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- ☒ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☒ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☐ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain (If IFIs)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Please explain (If UN Agencies)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- ☒ Unemployed persons
- ☒ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☐ Persons with Disabilities
- ☐ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☒ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☒ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

1. Monitoring activities included:

2. Joint UN technical team field mission to Sehba from 5 to 9 March 2023.

3. Mission by Deputy Special Representative of the Secretary General/Resident Coordinator (DSRSG-RC) and Representatives of RUNOs to Sebha on 28 May.

4. A two-tiered monitoring and coordination structure which included monthly project technical coordination meetings and ad-hoc RUNO deputy/head of program level internal UN steering committee meetings.

5. On-going scanning of reports on current affairs for security and political developments.

6. Review and consideration of conflict analysis of targeted municipalities.

7. Community Mobilizers' participation in project implementation activities, such as PB Committee training.

8. Periodic visits to the training sites by field staff and weekly monitoring forms with updates related to visibility, trainee feedback and location, implementation of feedback recommendations, etc.

9. Third party monitoring reports.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

☒ yes

☐ no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

A comprehensive study was undertaken to establish baselines for those indicators where baselines were not established at the project design stage.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Implementing Partner quarterly reports. Reports of RUNOs field staff – weekly for some activities, such as community mobilizers. In some cases, third party monitoring reports.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

☐ yes

☒ no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

- ☐ yes
- ☒ no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

- ☐ yes
- ☐ no
- ☒ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD): *

Response required

80000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track? (Preparations)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Not Applicable

Please mention the focal person accountable for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF, name and email.

Not Applicable

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Indicate funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started. (y/n) *

- ☒ yes
- ☐ no

If yes, how many additional grants or donors has the project leveraged? *

5

Indicate name of funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project.

Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately

Name of Funder

United Kingdom

Amount in USD

700000

*

2

Indicate name of funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project.

Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately

Name of Funder

USAID

Amount in USD

2000000

*

3

Indicate name of funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project.

Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately

Name of Funder

Germany

Amount in USD

135000

*

4

Indicate name of funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project.

Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately

Name of Funder

Italy

Amount in USD

776000

*

*

5

Indicate name of funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project.

Please enter each funding agent and their contributions separately

Name of Funder *

Government of Libya

Amount in USD *

5000000

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur? *

- ☐ No catalytic effect
- ☐ Some catalytic effect
- ☐ Significant catalytic effect
- ☐ Very Significant catalytic effect
- ☐ Don't Know
- ☒ Too early to tell

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect i.e. ways in which the project has supported the expansion or creation of programs and policies supporting peace, both within and outside the UN system *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the duration of the project. *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Yes. The exit strategy is outlined in the project document. Given that the reporting period covers the first year of the project, specific steps towards sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the duration of the project were not specifically undertaken. However, these were considered in the activities and plans, including for example (i) in the methodology for the development of the peacebuilding plans, which has a strong focus on being participatory and inclusive towards ensuring stakeholders ownership and leadership, not only during development but also during implementation of the plans; (ii) deepening the skills and tools available to LPDCs to competently implement peacebuilding activities and convene community members to participate in development planning that supports local peace and social cohesion; and (iii) in the methodology for life skills and peacebuilding training for youth, peace kiosks will be established at the neighbourhood level, using existing communal spaces and would be serviced by mobile teams of youth champions/community volunteers and local Ministry of Social Affairs social workers thereby embedding continuity beyond the project duration.

The steps outlined in the exit strategy remain relevant and will be undertaken throughout project implementation.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 350 words.

-

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	Joint UN technical team field visit to Sehba from 5 to 9 March 2023	1. The project was introduced to local stakeholders, including the acting mayor and members of the Municipal Council, governor of the Central Bank of Libya (South Branch), Heads of 13 muhallas, tribal leaders, representatives of civil society organisations including women, youth and IDPs and the dean and student representatives of Sebha University; 2. Stakeholders expressed their continued support for the project and requested that close coordination and involvement of the municipality, community leaders and representatives continue to be a guiding principle of project implementation. 3. Mission enabled RUNOs to examine the situation on ground as well as identify and closely engage with potential stakeholder, partners, beneficiaries of the project and which has informed the programme's implementation.	

Event 2	Interagency mission by Deputy Special Representative of the Secretary General/Resident Coordinator and Representatives of RUNOs to Sebha on 28 May.	1. The mission reviewed progress on the UN's peacebuilding and sustainable development efforts in the south of Libya. The delegation, which included representatives from UNDP, UNICEF, WFP, IOM, UNHCR, and UNSMIL, met with civil society and municipal leaders to discuss ongoing and planned work on development and peacebuilding. The discussions highlighted the top priorities of municipal leaders and civil society actors such as restoring infrastructure and improving access to basic services and employment opportunities. 2. The mission also met security officials in the south, who committed to support the UN's operations in the region through effective communication and coordination. 3. The potential location of a UN Hub in Sebha, which is included in the scope of the joint peacebuilding project, was also discussed. Security and municipal officials offered to support the procedures for the establishment of the hub.	
----------------	--	---	--

Event 3	Review of conflict analysis of target municipalities (as of April 2023)	Uncertainty and insecurity for the civil society sector remains a major concern and risk to project implementation. It is reported that CSO activists continue to face threats, intimidation and arrest by the state security apparatus. Agencies are advised to take into account that the setbacks unfolding from this situation may directly or indirectly affect plans and ongoing processes where civil society organisations are centrally or even peripherally involved, and/or where security actors may continue to use such tactics to narrow spaces for CSO participation. Proactive efforts towards deescalating the growing sense of insecurity and improving inter-sectoral relations and communications, particularly engaging key individuals who are in positions to make decisions for or against escalatory tactics, are recommended and are being implemented by the joint PBF programme – see above on 28 May mission by DSRSG-RC and Agencies Representatives to Sebha.	
----------------	--	--	--

Event 4	Monthly project technical coordination meetings	<p>These meetings provide a platform to discuss project status, plans, challenges and mitigation measures. For example, the most recent technical coordination meeting examined:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Acceleration measures to speed up project implementation to realize 75% delivery of Tranche 1 by 30 September 2023. b. Challenges and mitigation measures on project implementation, including the: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identification of suitable field staff - many positions had to be re-advertised. It has been reported that some candidates are unwilling to respond to advertised job opportunities given the security situation in the South. • Current security situation – which can negatively impact stakeholders’ involvement in the consultation process and their participation in the Peacebuilding Committees, thereby delaying the work of these important committees. The project team will continue to leverage the support of, and strong relations with the MoLG and municipalities in mitigating this challenge • 22 May 2023 decree on Civil Society Organization – The Prime Minister issued a decree in relation to the formation of a permanent committee to support and organize the work of CSOs. While the operationalising of this committee may not be immediate, the eventual impact on the functioning of CSOs/INGOs can possibly delay project activities. 	
----------------	--	--	--

Event 5	Monitoring visits by Community Mobilizers	Community mobilizers’ attendance at events such as the peacebuilding committee training. This allows for community mobilizers to have a first- hand experience of what is working, what risks exist and need to be addressed, etc.	
Event 6	Regular follow up visits in Sabha, Ubari and Ghat	• RUNOs consultants and implementing partners are actively engaged in on-site visits and collaborating closely with government agencies and other partners to facilitate their effective coordination and follow-up of crucial activities on the ground. Periodic reports are provided by implementing partners highlighting achievements, challenges, risk and related mitigating strategies. Periodic meetings also took place between RUNOs and Implementing Partners to discuss and navigate the implementation of project activities.	
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF (if on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and try again. If the problem persists, you can contact technical support at the email address below)
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.