

Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report (Update May_2023)



**PEACEBUILDING
FUND** 

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velastegui@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- Semi-annual
- Annual
- Final
- Other

Date of submission of report *

2023-11-15

2023-11-15

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Serena Arcone/ Andrew Hanley

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Usha Rao, Merewalesi Laveti

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

- yes
 no

Did PBF Secretariat review the report? *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

- yes
 no
 Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

- yes no

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="radio"/> Global | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="radio"/> West Africa | |

Country of project implementation *

Other, please specify *

Project Title *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

- 00122865/6/7: Climate Security in the Pacific
- Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

- Kiribati
- Marshall Islands
- Myanmar
- Papua New Guinea
- Philippines
- Solomon Islands
- Sri Lanka
- Tuvalu
- Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2020-07-17

2020-07-17

Project end Date *

2023-07-16

2023-07-16

Has this project received an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- YES, Cost Extension
- YES, No Cost Extension
- YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- yes
- no

If yes, please select which *

- National Trust Fund
- Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- UN entity
 Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme IOM: International Organization for Migration
 UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
 OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
 UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
 UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
 FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization WFP: World Food Programme
 UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
 UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
 UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ILO: International Labour Organization
 WHO: World Health Organization PAHO/WHO
 UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
 UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
 UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ITC: International Trade Centre
 UNDPO Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

- No other recipients
 Yes, other UN recipients only
 Yes, other non-UN recipients only
 Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

*

Select all that apply

- UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- IOM: International Organization for Migration
- UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- WFP: World Food Programme
- UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- ILO: International Labour Organization
- WHO: World Health Organization
- PAHO/WHO
- UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- ITC: International Trade Centre
- UN Department of Peace Operations
- Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

*

Please select other non-UN recipients

*

- Action Aid
- Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- Avocats Sans Frontières
- Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- Avocats sans frontières Canada
- Christian Aid Ireland
- CARE International UK
- The Carter Center, Inc.
- Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- COIPRODEN
- Concern Worldwide
- CORDAID
- CORD Burundi
- DanChurchAid
- Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- Fund for Congolese Women
- Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- International Alert
- Interpeace
- Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- (MDG-EISA) Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- Mercy Corps
- MSIS-TATAO
- Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- ONG AZHAR
- OXFAM
- Peace Direct
- PNG UN Country Fund
- Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampanandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- Saferworld
- Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- SismaMujer
- Tearfund
- Trocaire
- World Vision International
- World Vision Myanmar
- ZOA
- Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify

*

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

2

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Tuvalu Department of Climate Change

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

22376

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Outer island mission expenses

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- National youth CSO
- National women's CSO
- Other National CSO
- Subnational youth CSO
- Subnational women's CSO
- Other subnational CSO
- Regional CSO
- Regional Organisation
- International NGO
- Governmental entity
- Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Fuligafou Tuvalu

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

40000

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Tree planting, coral restoration

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Total Project Budget (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in US \$) <i>(calculated automatically)</i>	Implementati on rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	* 2567630	* 2567630	* 2481032.40	96.63%
	*	*	*	%
IOM: International Organization for Migration	* 632370	* 632370	* 632370	100%
	*	*	*	%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **97.29%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)? *

15

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 480000**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 467010.36**. Is this correct? *

Correct Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

UNDP Final Report-Nov 23-Final Report_Submission VS-15_44_32.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- (1.2) Rule of Law
- (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- (1.4) Political Dialogue
- (2.1) National reconciliation
- (2.2) Democratic Governance
- (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- (3.1) Employment
- (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- Gender promotion initiative
- Youth promotion initiative
- Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- Cross-border or regional project
- None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee? *

- yes
 no

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

In the last 6 months, there has been one meeting of the Project Steering Committee

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *

Consistent engagement with government counterparts has taken place over the last 6 months via national and regional level events, with both national level counterparts (e.g., department of climate change, fisheries, agriculture, etc.) as well as local governments, mostly engaged during implementation of community level interventions. Constant collaboration, communication and coordination has been possible at national level through the engagement of national coordinators in the three respective project countries, additional events and dialogue have taken place at regional which included the participation of government representatives (e.g., national level climate security assessment in January, Foresight Exercise, among others). Government counterparts are members of the project Board with mostly the department of Climate Change being the focal point in respective countries (usually director level or designated officer attend these meetings). A project board meeting has been carried out in December 2022 and the final project Board meeting has occurred on 14th July 2023, confirming project closure.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

- Not Started Initiated Partially Completed
 Completed Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

- Not Started Initiated Partially Completed
 Completed Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

- Not Started Initiated Partially Completed
 Completed Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

Not Started

Initiated

Partially Completed

Completed

Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) *

The project is now operationally closed. The project had completed recruitment of key PMU staff and country coordinators in the three respective countries. The project had conducted a baseline survey during its first year of implementation.

Summarize *the main structural, institutional or societal level change* the project has contributed to. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project where evidence of contribution to outcomes is available if requested *

FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION ONLY (550 word limit)

With the aim of empowering low-lying atoll nations, the project has strengthened national and regional capacity to address climate security priorities as well as enhancing the ability of key stakeholders to understand, articulate, and mitigate security threats related to climate change. First off, to understand climate related risks perceptions and local priorities, the project conducted informal and inclusive consultations with local communities in the three countries. This also helped enhance the understanding of local communities to actively engage in the climate security discourse, especially the most vulnerable communities, such as women and persons with disabilities. The inclusive consultations helped identify key climate-related security risks and allowed the selection, design and implementation of initiatives at the community level to build resilience and address the climate security priorities. A total of 1,500 individuals were consulted in the three countries, with a balanced representation of both women and men. The project has implemented community level interventions that while addressing what was identified by community themselves as their number one priority through these different consultations, had implemented conflict mitigation measures. The project also supported institutionalization of climate security considerations in the three project countries and furthermore, it helped inform national and regional policy making, including by producing dedicated climate-security risk assessments for the project countries as well as a regional Pacific Climate Assessment Guide (PCSAG). The project has served as convening platform for actors working in the climate security related spaces, bringing them together and forging strategic collaborations. The project has established a dedicated regional community of Practice on climate security, the Pacific Climate Security Network of Experts (PCSN). The project helped enhance advocacy and related capacities of Pacific Island Nations to combat climate change, focusing on the climate impact on peace and human security. The use of innovative communication promoted under the project has helped convening certain messages related to climate security to different targeted audience, at local, national, regional and even global level.

PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1: *

Atoll states and regional actors assess and are empowered to address security threats of climate change.

Outcome 2: *

Strengthened understanding, articulation and addressing of key climate-related security risks with a focus on atoll nations and key climate security areas emerging in the region.

Outcome 3: *

Stronger advocacy by atoll nations and Pacific island countries in global fora combatting climate change through greater emphasis on its impact on peace and security

Outcome 4: *

Outcome 5: *

Outcome 6: *

Outcome 7: *

Outcome 8:

*

Additional Outcomes

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

*

Outcome 1: Atoll states and regional actors assess and are empowered to address security threats of climate change.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The project supported institutionalization of climate security considerations in the three project countries by including it in the scope of work of the three national level technical committee (TAC in Tuvalu, TTEC in RMI and KNEG in Kiribati), composed by representatives of different government departments as well as from local organizations. Consultations with the governments and national stakeholders have been conducted in the three countries and analysis conducted on better consideration for climate security into the national policies and budgetary processes. Engagement with CANCC Secretariat has been pursued including through organizing a dedicated event at the Palau Conference in April 2022, providing equipment for strengthening CANCC capacities at local level and capacity building for COP27 and pre-COP and post-COP events. However, the current administration for RMI, which is the current chair of the CANCC, has not prioritized budgeting commitments towards support to CANCC due to other political priorities. The project has forged strategic partnerships, including with key regional institutions such as the Pacific Islands Forum Secretariat (PIFS). It has been providing advisory services to the PIFS and this has allowed the integration of certain climate security related considerations into relevant fora, strategic dialogues and policy documents, including in development of the 2050 implementation plan that is currently being undertaken. As part of this very important collaboration, a Pacific Climate Security Assessment Guide has been jointly developed and launched as part of the project. Coordination with regional organizations such as SPC and SPREP, among others was also enhanced through the project activities. Furthermore, better alignment with other ongoing work at regional level was pursued including with IOM, ESCAP and ILO who are currently working on a Regional Climate Mobility Framework, with PIFS, under the PCCSMH project.

*

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

All consultation and survey processes were inclusive of women and youth. In Kiribati, 60% of the 116 respondents that took part in the perception baseline survey were women. In the Republic of Marshall Islands, 41% of baseline survey respondents were women. Also, 53% of 63 respondents to the baseline survey in Tuvalu were women. Some specific gender related aspects were explored with gender specific surveys in the 3 atoll countries.

*

Outcome 2: Strengthened understanding, articulation and addressing of key climate-related security risks with a focus on atoll nations and key climate security areas emerging in the region.

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The project undertook a broader perception baseline survey at its initial phase targeting different groups, including representatives of communities, national governments and regional organizations. The survey yielded a strong response, with 90% of the 230 respondents recognizing climate change as a destabilizing factor for peace and stability. The project conducted informal and inclusive consultations with local communities in the three countries. The inclusive consultations helped identify key climate-related security risks and allowed the selection, design and implementation of initiatives at the community level to build resilience and address the climate security priorities. A total of 1,500 individuals were consulted in the three countries, with a balanced representation of both women and men. The community-based interventions, targeting food and livelihoods security in the three countries, with a focus on fisheries and agriculture sectors, had been completed and missions to monitor results as well as to collect lessons learnt undertaken, towards end of the project implementation. The project has supported the OB office in Kiribati to strengthen their capacities to secure maritime boundaries through provision of dedicated software and equipment and facilitating a capacity building intervention, in collaboration with SPC. In a survey undertaken at the end of project, 98% of the respondents agreed that the project has taken meaningful steps toward addressing the climate security risks in their country. Furthermore, the project helped inform national and regional policy making, including by producing dedicated climate-security risk assessments for the project countries as well as a regional Pacific Climate Assessment Guide (PCSAG). The national level assessments helped to identify the main national level climate security risks and priorities through pathways as well as suggest entry points for mitigating those identified risks. The PCSAG on the other side, developed as result of strong collaboration between the Pacific Island Forum Secretariat and the project, and in consultation with key regional and national level entities (UN, CROP agencies, PIF governments, NGOS, among others), has developed a context specific methodology that countries in the region can use to develop their own climate related security analysis and support appropriate responses based on national priorities. In addition, the project has developed 2 deep dive assessments on “the limits of adaptation” and on “Gender and Climate Security in the Pacific”, led by IOM. The project has established a dedicated regional community of Practice on climate security, the Pacific Climate Security Network of Experts (PCSN). This network which includes the UN, PIFS, academia, CROP agencies, and CSOs has successfully facilitated information sharing, generated and disseminated knowledge, mobilized relevant expertise, promoted joint collaborations and identified areas of interventions.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The consultations organized by the project team during the national and regional climate security risk assessment workshops are strongly integrating gender equality aspects. Furthermore, a dedicated deep dive assessment on Gender and Climate Security has been developed. The assessment investigates specifically the cross sectionalism of certain climate related security risks and how they intersect and exacerbate existing vulnerabilities and power dynamics.

All consultation and survey processes were inclusive of women and youth. In Tuvalu, 50% of 510 people who were consulted and engaged in awareness raising events were women. Pilot initiative in Mejjatto was designed and implemented by a women's group. Representatives of women constituencies were part of national level technical working group and part of the consultations for the development of policy documents.

Outcome 3: Stronger advocacy by atoll nations and Pacific island countries in global fora combatting climate change through greater emphasis on its impact on peace and security

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The project helped enhance advocacy and related capacities of Pacific Island Nations to combat climate change, focusing on the climate impact on peace and human security. The project has supported, organized and facilitated several high-level discussions, panel discussions, including at the post COP-26 event with Pacific Ambassadors in New York, the Group of Friends on Climate and Security, the second PCSN meeting, the EU-UN Dialogue on Prevention, Stabilization and Peacebuilding, the high-level Pacific Climate Security Dialogue, and presented high-level summaries of the climate security risk assessments at a UNFCCC COP27 side event. More recently, the project had the opportunity to talk about Pacific challenges in a dedicated session of the Global Pacific Climate Security Network of experts. All these initiatives had supported efforts of Pacific representatives in their negotiations, creating a bridge between the region and the outside and helping channeling certain messages, supporting overall Pacific negotiation efforts. The use of innovative communication promoted under the project has helped convey certain messages related to climate security to different targeted audience, at local, national, regional and even global level. The project has produced innovative communication products, including google earth story, human interest stories and podcasts, that had featured interviews with notable figures like the Minister for the Ministry of Finance in Tuvalu, Honorable Seve Paeniu, as well as representatives from CSOs, including youth voices. At the local level, the development of comic books for school children on climate security as well the diffusion of radio programme associated with radios distribution, had allowed the simplification of certain climate related challenges at the local level. The project engaged a consortium of consultants to develop a Pacific-tailored methodology for addressing climate-related conflicts, focusing on multi-party and culturally specific processes. Collaborating with CSOs involved in conflict prevention and peacebuilding, such as Conciliation Resources, PACSIA, and Transcend Oceania, the project tried to define a methodology for conflict resolution that can account for challenges that are climate related, adding to the complexity of more utilized mediation techniques and practices. The project has been actively engaging key stakeholders and counterparts in identifying key regional and country level priorities that can be supported into a new phase of project intervention. A dedicated meeting of the Pacific Climate Security Network has been organized to discuss and gain inputs from key organizations representatives. Furthermore, key bilateral consultations have been undertaken, including with SPC, PIFS, SPREP, CSM, Government of Tuvalu, RCO, IOM, among others to identify needs, validate priorities and explore areas for synergies.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The project Team has contributed with inputs to a global brief specifically exploring the nexus between climate security and Youths. By bringing the Pacific perspectives into the discourse, the project has made sure that certain considerations and the specificity of the risks for Pacific people are accounted for. The brief is ongoing and will likely be finalized towards end of the year.

The youth-led organization Pacific Islands Students Fighting Climate Change (PISFCC) highlighted the project's significance in amplifying youth voices and addressing climate security in the Pacific. At the local level, the development of comic books for school children on climate security as well the diffusion of radio programme associated with radios distribution, had allowed the simplification of certain climate related challenges at the local level and translated climate security risks into a language that could be easily accessible and interiorized by local communities, including different ages groups.

Outcome 4:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 5:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 6:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 7:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 8:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

1. Off Track 2. On Track 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please use this text box to describe the *status* of progress (on track with evidence of peacebuilding outcomes, on track or off track), as well as briefly describe the progress and any analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome *

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at the **outcome** level in the table below

- If an outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 1: Atoll states and regional actors assess and are empowered to address security threats of climate change.**

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	Number of countries demonstrating progress towards establishing cross governmental recommendations/mechanisms on addressing climate security	0	3	3	
1.2	Extent of CANCC members understanding of regional and national climate security issues	TBD	90%	90%	
1.3	Extent of PIFS members understanding of regional and national climate security issues	TBD	90%	90%	

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

Output 1.2

Output 1.3

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» **Output 1.1**

Output 1.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.1.1						
1.1.2						
1.1.3						

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.2.1						
1.2.2						
1.2.3						

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.3.1						
1.3.2						
1.3.3						

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

» **Outcome 2: Strengthened understanding, articulation and addressing of key climate-related security risks with a focus on atoll nations and key climate security areas emerging in the region.**

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	<p>Percentage of national stakeholders who consider that the security threats linked to climate change for their country are clear and mitigation measures have been identified (disaggregated by gender)</p>	<p>Tuvalu Baseline (female): 36% Target: 80% Baseline (men): 60% Target: 80% Kiribati Baseline (female): 35% Target: 80% Baseline (men): 36% Target: 80% Marshall Islands Baseline (female): 35% Target: 80% Baseline (men): 41% Target: 80%</p>	80	<p>Tuvalu Female: 76% Male: 78% Kiribati Female: 83% Male: 81% RMI Female: 75% Male: 77%</p>	

2.2	Percentage of women and youth who consider their needs are reflected in the assessment and mitigation measures	<p>Tuvalu Baseline (female): 66% Target: 80%</p> <p>Baseline (men): 62% Target: 80%</p> <p>Kiribati Baseline (female): 60% Target: 80%</p> <p>Baseline (men): 75 % Target: 80%</p> <p>Marshall Islands Baseline (female): 62% Target: 80%</p> <p>Baseline (men): 58% Target: 80%</p>	80	<p>Tuvalu Female: 85% Male: 83%</p> <p>Kiribati Female: 84% Male: 83%</p> <p>RMI Female: 82% Male: 84%</p>	
-----	--	--	----	---	--

2.3					
-----	--	--	--	--	--

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

- 1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Output 2.2

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.1.1						
2.1.2						
2.1.3						

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2:	Performance Indicator <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.2.1						
2.2.2						
2.2.3						

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3:	Performance Indicator <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.3.1						
2.3.2						
2.3.3						

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» Outcome 3: Stronger advocacy by atoll nations and Pacific island countries in global fora combatting climate change through greater emphasis on its impact on peace and security

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1	Percentage of country representatives and project stakeholders that consider that the Pacific Islands are better equipped to advocate in international fora	TBD	80	70%	
3.2	Percentage of country and regional representatives who considered that the project has increased the visibility of climate security on global fora.	0	80	82%	
3.3	Number of Pacific Atoll Islands Leaders' statements advocating at the global level combatting climate change and addressing its impact on peace and security	0	3	3	

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» **Output 3.1**

Output 3.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.1.1						
3.1.2						
3.1.3						

» **Output 3.2**

Output 3.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.2.1						
3.2.2						
3.2.3						

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» **Output 3.5**

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» **Outcome 4:**

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

.....

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» **Output 4.1**

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

*

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives
Event 1	N/A				
Event 2					
Event 3					
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- ii. The impact of the project in their lives
- iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Key Stakeholder	What were the challenges they faced prior to project implementation? (350 words)	What has been the impact of the project on their lives? (350 words)	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group (350 words)
1	Community members	Challenges in food security and water security, limited knowledge of how this contributes to risks in their human security, peaceful management of islands resources.	Community appreciated the local interventions aiming at improving local capacities for food security and community management of shared resources.	In the words of Arbi Rubon and the people of Ebon, "only a united community can overcome conflict and adapt to the impacts of climate change. To build resilience, we need to harmonize not just with nature but with each other."

<p>2</p>	<p>Local organizations</p>	<p>Limited knowledge and engagement into climate security related space and discourse.</p>	<p>The project has enabled them to shape and contribute to what climate security means for communities.</p>	<p>The voice of youth has been reflected on the podcast Catching the last wave, in which Caleb Pollard and Belyndar Rikimani of the youth-led organization Pacific Islands Students Fighting Climate Change (PISFCC), said that the project “can help youth to address climate security in the Pacific and expand our voice with resources and expertise through collaboration with young people”.</p>
<p>3</p>	<p>Government representatives</p>	<p>Poor understanding of what climate security means in their local contexts, national policies not informed by climate security risks, weak capacities to advocate for climate security priorities into regional and global fora.</p>	<p>The project has strengthened understanding and contextualization of implications of climate change impacts on local security. The project has developed dedicated capacity building and conducted analysis to unpack all interrelated dimensions of climate security into local contexts. The project has also provided resources, capacities, opportunities and connections to bring national climate security priorities into relevant regional and global fora.</p>	<p>Hon. Seve Paeniu, Ministry of Finance of Tuvalu mentioned during an episode of the podcast "Catching the last wave": "the project has provided assessment capabilities to identify certain climate related vulnerabilities and make some proposed options to address and mitigate those vulnerabilities."</p>

4	Regional organizations	Weak capacities to integrate relevant climate security considerations into policy discourse as well as to advocate for climate security priorities into relevant fora.	The project has provided dedicated capacities to the Pacific Islands Forum, providing advisory services. This has enabled, through strengthened cooperation to undertake joint initiative, develop joint policy documents (Pacific Climate Security Assessment Guide) and to contribute to create common messages, narratives and advocacy into relevant fora, to bring pacific challenges.	Dr Filimon Manoni, Acting Secretary General of the Pacific Islands Forum, emphasised the Pacific Climate Security Assessment Guide's profound significance, stating, "It provides deeper insights into the nature of climate-induced risks on security and highlights the existential threat of climate change in our Blue Pacific Continent."
---	------------------------	--	---	--

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had (650 words)

The project has implemented community level interventions that while addressing what was identified by community themselves as their number one priority through these different consultations, had implemented conflict mitigation measures. In the island of Marakei, in Kiribati, the project has rehabilitated fishponds and promoted communal access and management of islands resources by involving different local stakeholders, under the lead of the Island Council, including through a dedicated MoU and working in close collaboration with island elders, which are key local decision makers.

In the Island of Mejatto in RMI, the project has accompanied the introduction of climate resilient agricultural production with the undertaking of capacity building initiatives targeting community members on social and emotional learning. The initiative aimed at preventing the insurgence of future local conflicts while also manage climate anxiety in targeted communities, which are so heavily affected by climate impacts. At national level, the project had supported the government of Kiribati in their efforts to complete demarcation of unsolved maritime boundaries and secure their territory, by providing dedicated equipment and software, capacity building and institutional support, partnering with SPC which is a lead regional organization in the matter.

In Tuvalu, one of the major achievements of the project was the formulation of the first ever Tuvalu Climate Security Risk Assessment Profile. The findings of the profile will help the Government of Tuvalu, particularly national actors and our development partners to identify, understand and address climate-related security risks. Another major project achievement was the successful implementation of the food cubes gardening pilot initiative on Nui Island. The project successfully distributed and installed 400 food cubes on Nui Island. The pilot initiative was well received by the local community as it contributes to improving local food security, women empowerment and the fact that every household on Nui Island benefits from the pilot initiative.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

<https://www.undp.org/pacific/stories/climate-induced-relocation-and-land-disputes-stories-tuvalu>

Link 2

OPTIONAL

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fvFLWL1-9-Y&t=8s>

Link 3

OPTIONAL

<https://www.undp.org/pacific/news/disability-and-climate-change-story-hope-tuvalu>

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked: *

Please select up to 3.

- Enhanced digitization
- Innovative ways of working
- Mobilized additional resources
- Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- Strengthened capacities
- Partnered with Civil Society Organizations
- Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The project has provided capacity building to national level government counterparts and regional institutions. Key activities included provision of materials and equipment, dedicated capacity building initiatives in areas related to peaceful mediation as well as on maritime boundaries demarcation (Kiribati) and finally, community capacity building on climate security concepts through inclusive consultations.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The project has promoted and expanded partnerships with CSOs organizations both at national level, e.g., the youth led organization Fuligafou in Tuvalu, and at regional level with key conflict prevention and resolution organization such as PACSIA, Transcend Oceania, Conciliation Resources. This has allowed to bring new partnerships and expertise into the project intervention, while relying on networks and connections of strategic organizations that had invested heavily on peacebuilding in the region.

Furthermore, by building up the Pacific Climate Security Network the project has created a platform for different entities working in the climate security space (NGOs, academia, UN, CROP agencies, etc.) to convene, share knowledge and contribute to common initiatives and dialogues.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) *

- Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- Partnered with local civil society organizations
- Partnered with local academia
- Partnered with sub-national entities
- Partnered with national entities
- Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain (If IFIs)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Please explain (If UN Agencies)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

UNDP implemented this project in partnership with IOM.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- Unemployed persons
- Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- Indigenous communities
- Persons with Disabilities
- Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- Women
- Youth
- Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- People living in and around border areas
- Persons affected by natural disasters
- Persons affected by armed conflicts
- Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The project conducted weekly monitoring meetings with the project team, biannual and monthly oversight meetings with RCO and UNDP management, and financial monitoring meetings with the larger team once a week and once a month. Dedicated meetings were organized to solve specific arising issues, such as e.g., procurement related. On site monitoring visits were organized by the specific country focal point to support and speed up project implementation. The project management team from Fiji travelled to Tuvalu in May 2023 and Kiribati in June 2023 to collect lessons learnt and validate project results. Additionally, IOM had field staff in all three countries that were regularly monitoring project implementation.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

yes

no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Baselines have been determined and included at project design stage and in the project result framework. A baseline perception survey was also conducted to gain insights into baseline values which were then used as a comparison to judge progress toward project objectives.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Progress report, workshops and events reports, BTORs, meetings minutes, lessons learnt report in addition to comprehensive surveys and consultation with community members.

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

yes

no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The project undertook a broader perception baseline survey at its initial phase targeting different groups, including representatives of communities, national governments and regional organizations. The survey yielded a strong response, with 90% of the 230 respondents recognizing climate change as a destabilizing factor for peace and stability. Participants expressed concerns about the disappearance of their land, droughts, limited resources, and migration, emphasizing that atolls face unique challenges requiring special and urgent support from partners. They highlighted the importance of in-person training and access to knowledge resources for better understanding climate security threats. In the concluding stages of the project, a perception survey was developed that was used to compare results from the initial baseline survey following the implementation of the project activities.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

yes

no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

While no formal community feedback mechanisms have been established through the project, community concerns have been regularly received and addressed through the presence of country coordinators and strong linkages with national counterparts and local leaders. Furthermore, lesson learnt initiatives were conducted.

» **Evaluation**

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

yes

no

Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD): *

Response required

60000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track? (Preparations) *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The Final Evaluation commenced September 2022 and has been completed by December 2022. The report has been completed and management responses concluded. As part of the recommendations of the evaluation, the project has been extended for additional 6 months.

Please mention the focal person accountable for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF, name and email.

Merewalesi Laveti, merewalesi.laveti@undp.org

» **Catalytic Effect**

Catalytic Effect (financial): Indicate funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started. (y/n) *

yes

no

If yes, how many additional grants or donors has the project leveraged? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur? *

- No catalytic effect
- Some catalytic effect
- Significant catalytic effect
- Very Significant catalytic effect
- Don't Know
- Too early to tell

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect i.e. ways in which the project has supported the expansion or creation of programs and policies supporting peace, both within and outside the UN system *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Internally within UNDP, the project has brought the two main programme teams closer i.e., the Resilience and Sustainable Development and Effective Governance teams. The two teams have conceptualized ideas for the second phase of climate security and discussion on a portfolio approach bringing different teams together is ongoing.

Furthermore, while under the current phase of the project, relations with PIFS have been enhanced the project is exploring possibility of working closer to CROPS agencies, including PIFS, SPREP and SPC in moving towards a next phase of implementation.

IOM will continue to work with UNDP for regional opportunities for further funding, as well as at a National Level to continue partnerships with the communities that have started their work on Climate Security.

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the duration of the project. *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The strong partnerships with PIFS throughout the project duration will allow to continue some of the regional level work, including the rolling out of the Pacific Climate Security Assessment Guide. At national level, the ownership and constant collaboration with government counterparts, including identification, engagement and constant collaboration with government project focal points within focal departments, will ensure the smooth transition of project developed resources, activities and results. Having ownership over the work from an early stage is the best way to ensure sustainability of the project and this was a priority throughout in each of the three countries. Arrangements for the receiving of pending procured items have been made for Kiribati and Tuvalu and will allow receiving and distributions of materials to final users. Handing over of materials and equipment have been conducted. Agreement on ensuring monitoring and sustainability of undertaken community interventions has been reached with relevant government counterparts.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Project implementation has been affected by limited capacities and geographical constraints typical of the Pacific context. Challenges in HR processes mostly, in the three project targeted countries, as well as in the procurement of goods and services has been experienced regularly and solutions found on a case-by-case basis.

For a multi-country project like this which presents a totally new concept and with a cross-border approach, a 24-month duration is short. Such type of project requires at least a 3-year timeframe to achieve the results and have a tangible impact. Some countries with limited capacity and smaller bureaucracy than other partner countries, cannot go along with their pace of project implementation. In that case also a longer timeframe is recommended to achieve the results in totality.

Climate change and climate security are broad concepts that require continuous technical and funding support to the national stakeholders to further enhance and institutionalize their capacities enabling them to face the potential challenges of climate security in future, and to develop effective policies and strategies for their respective countries.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings

<p>Event 1</p>	<p>Lesson learnt and monitoring mission to Tuvalu.</p>	<p>The PMU travelled to Tuvalu (Funafuti) in May 2023.</p>	<p>Stakeholders and Participants agreed that the project adequately reflected climate security risks and priorities in Tuvalu. Food cubes were utilized, and each household actively used 2 each. All community members felt adequately heard throughout all stages/activities of the project. It was noted that the food cubes that were introduced did help in reducing the threat of food insecurity although improvements could be made. Moving forward for a potential phase 2 of the project, several suggestions were made. A major issue that needs to be addressed is water security. All the communities were provided with these food cubes but lacked water to water the plants. Water security is a serious concern in Tuvalu as they mainly rely on rainwater and droughts are becoming more common and severe. A more holistic approach needs to be taken in phase two. For more effective implementation in the future, it was suggested to ensure local community members were included in all stages of project implementation. It was also suggested to take adaptation measures such as Food cubes away from people who are not using them and redistribute them to people who will.</p>
-----------------------	--	--	--

<p>Event 2</p>	<p>Lesson learnt and monitoring mission to Kiribati.</p>	<p>The PMU travelled to Kiribati (Tarawa) in June 2023.</p>	<p>Some concerns were raised over the selection of ponds for the fishery activities. Questions were asked over why the ponds that were included in the pilot activities were chosen. Need for supply chain development from fishery activities to food processing. Trials were already done with some success but there is a need for better communication and relations/connections between the two sectors. Need for MOU for all equipment that is supplied from the project to centers. Will ensure all equipment is accounted for and being used effectively. After a group discussion, participants agreed that the project adequately reflected the country's climate security priorities and risks. Additionally, although the project did address the countries climate security priorities there are still some other concerns that need to be addressed such as Water Security. The consensus from the group discussions were that initially the communities felt that they were adequately consulted and engaged in the process but as the project developed and implementation efforts ramped up, there was no follow up consultation. The need for continued support and engagement with the community was highlighted. The visibility of the project also needs to be increased. The only people that really know about it are those in OB. Need for an in-country comms officer.</p>
-----------------------	--	---	---

Event 3	Lessons learned and close out event in RMI	IOM project team with CCD and Mejatto implementing local community CSO partners.	The meeting provided an overview to the stakeholders of project deliverables including The Climate Security Risk Profile, Deep Dive Assessments, CANCC support, 7 site visit reports, baseline assessment. The local community members from Mejatto had an open discussion session from their local perspective on the pilot project on aeroponics. No major concerns were raised regarding the implementation of the project. The main recommendation is to continue the works on climate security in close coordination with the CCD office. There are opportunities for economies of scale with the pilot project to other locations ensuring that the local expertise in Mejatto is used for other projects so knowledge is not lost. There was a recommendation to expand the Social and Emotional Learning curriculum in future projects.
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF (if on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and try again. If the problem persists, you can contact technical support at the email address below)
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.
