

Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report (Update May_2023)



**PEACEBUILDING
FUND**  

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

- ☐ Semi-annual
- ☒ Annual
- ☐ Final
- ☐ Other

Date of submission of report *

2023-11-15

2023-11-15

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Mamadou Salieu Bah- M&E Officer

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Abdel Khader Khdeim- PBF Programme Coordinator

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report?

*

☒ yes

☐ no

Did PBF Secretariat review the report?

*

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

☒ yes

☐ no

☐ Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project?

*

☐ yes ☒ no

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="radio"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="radio"/> East Africa |
| <input type="radio"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="radio"/> Global | <input type="radio"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="radio"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input checked="" type="radio"/> West Africa | |

Country of project implementation

*

- | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Benin | <input type="radio"/> Burkina Faso | <input type="radio"/> Cote D'Ivoire |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Gambia | <input type="radio"/> Guinea | <input type="radio"/> Guinea-Bissau |
| <input type="radio"/> Liberia | <input type="radio"/> Mali | <input type="radio"/> Mauritania |
| <input type="radio"/> Niger | <input type="radio"/> Nigeria | <input type="radio"/> Senegal |
| <input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone | <input type="radio"/> Togo | <input type="radio"/> Other, Specify |

Other, please specify

*

.....

Project Title

*

- ☐ 00113367: Addressing conflict over land and natural resources
- ☐ 00130106: Promoting peace and social cohesion through provision of mental health services and psychosocial well-being of SGBV survivors in The Gambia
- ☐ 00120496: Strengthening Community Access to Justice, Community Policing and Effective SGBV Response
- ☐ 00119440: Strengthening community coping mechanisms against risks of climate induced conflicts and to minimize gender related vulnerabilities and tensions in The Gambia
- ☐ 00119603: Strengthening inclusive citizen engagement for more accountable governance in The Gambia
- ☐ 00113472: Strengthening sustainable and holistic reintegration of returnees in the Gambia
- ☐ 00129530: Support to the implementation and monitoring of the Truth, Reconciliation and Reparations Commission (TRRC) recommendations in The Gambia
- ☐ 00124863: Young women and men as stakeholders in ensuring peaceful democratic processes and advocates for the prevention of violence and hate speech
- ☒ 00134234: Support to a PBF Secretariat in The Gambia to enhance coordination and peacebuilding impact
- ☐ 00134237: Strengthening women's political participation and leadership through reformed legislation community-level leadership and political parties' engagement in The Gambia
- ☐ 00134329: Strengthening the National Infrastructure for Peace to Promote Social Cohesion in The Gambia
- ☐ 00140056: Empowering Gambian youth to voice their demands to duty bearers on issues of governance reconciliation and prevention
- ☐ 00140137: Strengthening Civil Society Organizations to promote gender equality and women's empowerment through implementation of the Action Plan on UNSCR 1325 and the TRRC White Paper Recommendations
- ☐ Other, Specify

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

*

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asia and the Pacific | <input type="checkbox"/> Central & Southern Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> East Africa |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Europe and Central Asia | <input type="checkbox"/> Global | <input type="checkbox"/> Latin America and the Caribbean |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Middle East and North Africa | <input type="checkbox"/> West Africa | |

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2022-12-12

2022-12-12

Project end Date *

2024-01-12

2024-01-12

Has this project received an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

- ☐ YES, Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, No Cost Extension
- ☐ YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions
- ☒ NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

- ☐ yes
- ☒ no

If yes, please select which *

- ☐ National Trust Fund
- ☐ Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

- ☒ UN entity
- ☐ Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

- ☒ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UNDPO ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

.....

Are there other recipients for this project?

*

- ☒ No other recipients
- ☐ Yes, other UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, other non-UN recipients only
- ☐ Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

*

Select all that apply

- ☐ UNDP: United Nations Development Programme ☐ IOM: International Organization for Migration
- ☐ UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- ☐ OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- ☐ UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- ☐ UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees ☐ UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- ☐ FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization ☐ WFP: World Food Programme
- ☐ UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- ☐ UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- ☐ UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme ☐ ILO: International Labour Organization
- ☐ WHO: World Health Organization ☐ PAHO/WHO
- ☐ UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund ☐ UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- ☐ UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- ☐ UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization ☐ ITC: International Trade Centre
- ☐ UN Department of Peace Operations ☐ Other, Specify

Other, Please specify

*

Please select other non-UN recipients

*

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Action Aid | <input type="checkbox"/> The African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> American Friends Service Committee (AFSC) | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium | <input type="checkbox"/> Avocats sans frontières Canada |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Christian Aid Ireland |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CARE International UK | <input type="checkbox"/> The Carter Center, Inc. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF | <input type="checkbox"/> COIPRODEN |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Concern Worldwide | <input type="checkbox"/> CORDAID |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> CORD Burundi |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DanChurchAid | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU) |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Fund for Congolese Women |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP) | <input type="checkbox"/> HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Humanity & Inclusion (HI) | <input type="checkbox"/> Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> International Alert | <input type="checkbox"/> Interpeace |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Life and Peace Institute (LPI) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> (MDG-EISA) Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mercy Corps | <input type="checkbox"/> MSIS-TATAO |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ONG AZHAR | <input type="checkbox"/> OXFAM |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Peace Direct |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PNG UN Country Fund | <input type="checkbox"/> Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM) | <input type="checkbox"/> Saferworld |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Search for Common Ground (SFCG) | <input type="checkbox"/> SismaMujer |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Tearfund |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Trocaire | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision International |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> World Vision Myanmar |
| <input type="checkbox"/> ZOA | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Please specify |

Other, Please specify

*

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

0

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars**

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars</i>	Transfers to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars</i>	Expenditure to date (in US \$) <i>Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars</i>	Implementati on rate as a percentage of total budget (calculated automatically)
UNDP: United Nations Development Programme	361660	253162	146685.48	40.56%
				%
				%
				%
				%

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **40.56%**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

*

☒ Correct ☐ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a %

*

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)?

*

30

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US \$ 108498**. Can you confirm that this is correct?

*

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars

*

106893

Amount expended to date on efforts contributing to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US \$ 44005.64**. Is this correct?

*

☐ Correct ☒ Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars

*

6684.47

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE.

*

The templates for the budget are available [here](#)

151123 PBF Sec Project expense report- The Gambia November 2023-13_24_11.xlsx



Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

- ☐ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)
- ☒ Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE
- ☐ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

- ☒ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes
- ☐ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

- ☐ (1.1) Security Sector Reform
- ☐ (1.2) Rule of Law
- ☐ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration
- ☐ (1.4) Political Dialogue
- ☐ (2.1) National reconciliation
- ☐ (2.2) Democratic Governance
- ☐ (2.3) Conflict prevention/management
- ☐ (3.1) Employment
- ☐ (3.2) Equitable access to social services
- ☐ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity
- ☐ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration
- ☒ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *

Select all that apply

- ☐ Gender promotion initiative
- ☐ Youth promotion initiative
- ☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions
- ☐ Cross-border or regional project
- ☒ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee? *

☒ yes

☐ no

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

1

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with. *

At the UNDAF steering committee meeting held on 8 May 2023, The PBF secretariat interacted with various government officials including the Secretary-General. The ToR for establishing the PBF Joint Steering Committee was endorsed during the meeting.

Deputy Director of PBSO's Visit to The Gambia:

The PBF Secretariat hosted the Deputy Director of PBSO, Awa Dabbo, from 12-15 May 2023. The PBF Secretariat facilitated Awa's interaction with various government officials including the Minister of Justice and the National Human Rights Commission Chairperson.

During the PBSO support mission to the Gambia from 11th to 19th April, the secretariat organised a series of consultation meetings with government and CSO partners on the new SSR project attended by the Deputy Chief of Defence Staff (CDS) of the Gambia Arm Forces. Before the mission, a consultation meeting on the new climate security project was attended by high level officials including the Permanent Secretary of the Ministry of Local Government and Lands.

On 21st August, 2023, the first inaugural meeting of the Joint-Steering Committee took place at the OIC Conference Center. The meeting commenced with opening remarks from the co-chairs, the Secretary-General - Head of the Civil Service, and the United Nations Resident Coordinator ai. Both co-chairs highlighted the importance of the JSC's role in promoting peacebuilding initiatives in The Gambia.

On the 21st and 22nd of August 2023, the PBF Secretariat organized a broad-based consultation on the Gambia's re-eligibility process, how to approach the re-eligibility, what needs to be done and identifying strategic priority areas for further PBF investment in the Gambia, with the Government, CSOs, Private Sector, UN, and NGOs.

The PBF Secretariat has been having frequent meetings with the Ministry of Public Service specifically, the Department of Strategic Planning and Delivery on the re-eligibility process and how it's progressing.

From 14th – 16th November 2023, the PBF Secretariat and the PDA team organized a broad-based consultation to identify key conflict drivers in the Gambia, and small focussed group discussions with the Government, CSOs, Private Sector, UN, and NGOs, on key thematic areas to support the government to develop a compelling re-eligibility application for the next five years.

On 31st August 2023, the PBF Secretariat and the PDA team collaborated with the Office of National Security to organize a broad-based consultation with key ministries and stakeholders on Security Sector Reform in the Gambia.

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

Contracting of partners *

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☐ Partially Completed
☐ Completed ☒ Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☒ Partially Completed
☐ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☒ Partially Completed
☐ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

- ☐ Not Started ☐ Initiated ☒ Partially Completed
☐ Completed ☐ Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.)

Staff Recruitment:

At the beginning of the project in January 2023, the PBF Secretariat had a coordinator and an M&E Officer. An Administrative and Finance associate was recruited in January 2023 and later resigned in April 2023. A new recruitment process was initiated to fill the position of Administrative and finance associate, but all the recommended candidates declined the offer. The PBF programme coordinator also resigned, in April 2023. A new recruitment process was initiated to identify a new PBF Secretariat Coordinator. The PBF Secretariat currently has a new coordinator who started in July with an IPSA contract modality as compared to a NOC modality.

Summarize *the main structural, institutional or societal level change* the project has contributed to. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project where evidence of contribution to outcomes is available if requested

FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION ONLY (550 word limit)

The PBF secretariat has enhanced collaboration and coordination among stakeholders of the peacebuilding programme in the Gambia and ensured that no stakeholder is left behind. This has been achieved through intensified consultative processes towards planning, designing, implementation and monitoring of the peacebuilding programming in the Gambia. For the period under review (January to date) the secretariat successfully organised about ten consultative processes on the identification of priority areas, and planning and designing of new PBF projects, by bringing together key relevant stakeholders from the UN, Government, Civil Society, development partners and beneficiaries. Through this call and action of the secretariat, for a more inclusive process, the UN agencies in the Gambia are today more open to joint programming and inclusion of relevant stakeholders from central government, CSO partners and potential beneficiaries in the processes, which is key to achieving adequate and sustainable impact.

PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration). Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have

1 2 **3** 4 5 more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document

Outcome 1:

Enhanced portfolio and project level programming through effective support from PBF Secretariat on strategic planning, design, implementation, M&E, partnerships and cross-learning

Outcome 2:

Operational PBF Joint Steering Committee supporting strategic guidance, monitoring and advocacy around PBF projects

Outcome 3:

Improved visibility and evidence-based advocacy to support catalytic effects

Outcome 4:

Outcome 5:

Outcome 6:

Outcome 7:

Outcome 8:

Additional Outcomes

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

Outcome 1: Enhanced portfolio and project level programming through effective support from PBF Secretariat on strategic planning, design, implementation, M&E, partnerships and cross-learning

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

☐ 1. Off Track ☒ 2. On Track ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Strengthened portfolio-level support and multi-stakeholder cooperation: The Peacebuilding Secretariat in The Gambia is actively working towards strengthening portfolio-level support and promoting multi-stakeholder cooperation in the country. By adopting a holistic approach, the Secretariat is fostering collaboration among diverse stakeholders, including government agencies, civil society organizations, local communities, and international partners. Through regular consultations, joint planning, and coordination mechanisms, the Secretariat has facilitated the alignment of peacebuilding initiatives and interventions. This collaborative approach not only enhances the effectiveness and impact of peacebuilding efforts but also ensured that interventions are responsive to the needs and priorities of the Gambian people.

Sustained coordination and M&E: The PBF Secretariat supported a field visit to monitor ongoing and concluded PBF-funded projects and other joint interventions in several regions in The Gambia with the Resident Coordinator and several other UN Agencies Country Representatives from June 5th – 8th 2023. The Secretariat has recently (31st Oct 2023) facilitated the participation of members of the PBF JSC at the Tabanding Border Post launching supported through the cross border project of Gambia and Senegal.

On 12 - 13 April 2023, the PBF Secretariat organised a two-day consultation with the government, UN Agencies and CSOs to fine-tune the design of a new PBF-supported project on climate- and land-related conflict in The Gambia. This built upon an initial one-day consultation on March 30 with a broad range of actors to investigate who was doing what in this space and to identify promising entry points for the new project.

On 21st August, 2023, the first inaugural meeting of the Joint-Steering Committee took place at the OIC Conference center. The meeting commenced with opening remarks from the co-chairs, the Secretary-General - Head of the Civil Service, and the United Nations Resident Coordinator ai. Both co-chairs highlighted the importance of the JSC's role in promoting peacebuilding initiatives in The Gambia. On 21st and 22nd of August 2023, the PBF Secretariat organized a broad-based consultation on the Gambia's re-eligibility process, how to approach the re-eligibility, what needs to be done and identifying strategic priority areas for further PBF investment in the Gambia, with the Government, CSOs, Private Sector, UN, and NGOs.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

On May 2nd, 2023, the PBF Secretariat held a consultation with interested UN Agencies on the 2023 Youth Promotion Initiative (YPI) call for proposals to explain the application modalities. The following 5 agencies attended: IOM, ITC, UNDP, UNFPA, and UNICEF. On May 9, the Peacebuilding Team which includes the PBF Secretariat, together with the Youth and Gender Officer at the RCO organized a consultation with Civil Society Organizations (CSOs), and UN Agencies that expressed interest in the Youth Promotion Initiative (YPI) call for proposal from PBF. The focus was on understanding the challenges faced by young people, especially women, and girls in engaging in political processes and identifying strategies to enhance their involvement and widening the civic space for young people in The Gambia. The following stakeholders participated in the consultation: UN Agencies: UNDP, UNFPA, UNICEF, ITC, IOM, UNAIDS. Civil Society Organizations: Catch them Young; FAWEGAM; NYC; NCCE; NYP; Safe Home for Migrants Association; Activista; CPD; GAMCOTRAP; Yakarr Justice; Peace Hub the Gambia; Gambia Participates; Girls' Talk.

Outcome 2: Operational PBF Joint Steering Committee supporting strategic guidance, monitoring and advocacy around PBF projects

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

☐ 1. Off Track ☒ 2. On Track ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

During the reporting period, the PBF Secretariat engaged with key government officials including the Secretary-General on the establishment of a PBF Joint Steering Committee. On 21st August, 2023, the first inaugural meeting of the Joint-Steering Committee took place at the OIC Conference center. The meeting commenced with opening remarks from the co-chairs, the Secretary-General - Head of the Civil Service, and the United Nations Resident Coordinator ai. Both co-chairs highlighted the importance of the JSC's role in promoting peacebuilding initiatives in The Gambia.

The PBF Secretariat recently supported the Resident Coordinator with several other UN Agencies Country Representatives to embark on a field visit to monitor ongoing and concluded PBF-funded projects and other joint interventions in several regions of The Gambia from June 5th – 8th 2023.

On 31st November the PBF Secretariat organised a field visit for the Joint-steering committee to various project locations in the Central River Region.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The PBF Secretariat continued to advocate the participation of women and youth and used every opportunity such as during field visits to monitor ongoing projects, during consultation meetings and project designs. The JSC has a fair gender representation.

Outcome 3: Improved visibility and evidence-based advocacy to support catalytic effects

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

☐ 1. Off Track ☒ 2. On Track ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The PBF secretariat has initiated a discussion with the UN Communication Group on the possibility to collaborate and improve the visibility of the PBF intervention in the Gambia, to showcase the impact and to attract potential support from other development partners to build on the achievements registered. The UN Communication Group (UNCG) was crucial in the recently concluded field visit undertaken by the UN Resident coordinator by way of sharing social media posts and recording multiple videos. To this extent, the PBF secretariat plans to leverage the expertise of the group to improve the visibility of the PBF portfolio in the country. With the support of the UNCG now assured, this will reduce the procurement challenges of hiring the services media company as experienced in 2022, and this allow for the production of more human-impact stories and social media posts showcasing the PBF-funded projects.

The PBF Secretariat's partnership with the UNCG during the field visits enhanced the visibility of the funded projects. This has increased visibility and helped raise awareness about the peacebuilding interventions both within the country and outside through social media. Additionally, the Secretariat emphasized evidence-based reporting, using human impact stories, data, and evaluations to inform policy decisions and as such continued to support projects in their monitoring, evaluation processes, and studies.

see below links to some of the field visit postings:

<https://twitter.com/ungambia/status/1666541820392665090?s=48&t=cOUwpsJMn0fRn1sELRDwgg>

<https://twitter.com/ungambia/status/1666531999597703168?s=48&t=cOUwpsJMn0fRn1sELRDwgg>

<https://twitter.com/ungambia/status/1666375023639003142?s=48&t=cOUwpsJMn0fRn1sELRDwgg>

Collaborating with the UNCG will support UNCT to improve the visibility of PBF activities in the country. This will strengthen advocacy efforts and build a compelling case for not only continued support and investment in peacebuilding but thereby, foster catalytic effects that bring about more peaceful change in The Gambia.

Working with the RCO communication specialist, through him to the UNCG, the secretariat has already put in a procurement request for the printing of visibility materials, the production of which is being guided by the communication team.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 4:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

☐ 1. Off Track ☐ 2. On Track ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 5:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

☐ 1. Off Track ☐ 2. On Track ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 6:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

☐ 1. Off Track ☐ 2. On Track ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 7:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress

*

☐ 1. Off Track ☐ 2. On Track ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 8:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

☐ 1. Off Track ☐ 2. On Track ☐ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please use this text box to describe the *status* of progress (on track with evidence of peacebuilding outcomes, on track or off track), as well as briefly describe the progress and any analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome *

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at the **outcome** level in the table below

- If an outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

» **Outcome 1: Enhanced portfolio and project level programming through effective support from PBF Secretariat on strategic planning, design, implementation, M&E, partnerships and cross-learning**

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	Level of compliance of all PBF projects in The Gambia ensured through the operations of the PBF Secretariat for optimum peacebuilding impact	Secretariat is in place and functional.	Improved coordination and understanding of PBF procedures by concerned stakeholders and enhanced synergies between projects.	On track	
1.2	Level of stakeholders' satisfaction with the PBF Secretariat	Medium	high	On track	
1.3					

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

☐ 1
 ☐ 2
 ☐ 3
 ☒ 4
 ☐ 5
 ☐ more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1

All PBF projects leverage PBF comparative advantage and comply with PBF project management standards in close collaboration with RCO, UNCT/AFPs and PBSO

Output 1.2

Strengthened portfolio-level support and multi-stakeholder cooperation

Output 1.3
Sustained coordination, information-sharing and synergy-building with key partners

Output 1.4
Continuous support to project/portfolio-level monitoring and evaluation

Output 1.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: All PBF projects leverage PBF comparative advantage and comply with PBF project management standards in close collaboration with RCO, UNCT/AFPs and PBSO	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.1.1	# of training or consultation sessions organized or facilitated by the PBF secretariat for project teams on quality design, impl, M&E and result reporting of PBF funded projects.	3 consultations on 2022 projects design targeting UN, govt & CSOs	5 consultations targeting PBF project teams & partners on design, impl, M&E and reporting of PBF funded projects	7 consultations meetings targeting the Govt CSOs, and Agencies have been facilitated by the Secretariat on SRF, climate land conflicts, SSR, YPI, CSOs role	The secretariat has intensified engagement with govt and CSOs besides the UN agencies and 7 consultations have been conduct reaching 163 (99M & 64 F)	

1.1.2	# technical support missions or consultants on PBF programming received	3 (2 mission & 1 consultant - 2019 to date)	2	2	2 support missions (11-19 April) and (14-17 Nov) from PBSO was received on the design of the 2023 project areas (climate/land & SSR and re-eligibility analysis)	
1.1.3	implementing partners and other key partners engaged and capacitated on the PBF portfolio and requirements now with better understanding willingness to take ownership.	3	15 (govt department and CSOs)	- 36 govt depts & CSOs reached (5 Govt departments and 3 CSO on Climate security -- 7 Govt & 11 CSOs on SSR -- 10 CSO on YPI face to face) and 12 were briefed on YPI 2023 online. More were reached during the mission in Nov 14-17	About 36 Govt depts and CSOs engaged through consultations workshops and meetings (presentation, tech mission, to clarify PBF requirements) such engagement have increased awareness, understanding and wiliness to work with PBF projects from both govt depts and CSOs	

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Strengthened portfolio-level support and multi-stakeholder cooperation	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.2.1	Gambia peacebuilding priority areas for 2023 identified and documented through multistage consultations with stakeholders (govt, CSOs UNCT)	0	at least 2 consultations organized (partners, UNCT levels)	3-1 Nov 14th-17th 2023 on conflict analysis on the SRF and 2 consultations on the PBF priority areas at national stakeholder and UNCT level were conducted in Nov & Dec2022 (which fed into strategic report to PBSO)	During the ended Nov 2023 mission, consultations were held to identify the priority areas and conflict driver for the next five years of eligibility involving govt, CSOs and UN	

1.2.2	PBF portfolio evaluation and re-eligibility assessment and renewal process for the Gambia supported	Gambia eligible up to 2023	A Portfolio evaluation and re-eligibility assessment supported.	Draft report for evaluation submitted and 2 consultations on the re-eligibility process (SRF) has been conducted with government, partners and CSO (in August 94- 60M/34F were reached) and in Nov 2023.	Both processes have are progressing and expected to conclude by end of Dec or early 2023	
1.2.3	A report depicting peacebuilding actors, initiatives, projects and gaps identified through a mapping exercise, produced.	0	Mapping report	A draft of the mapping of peacebuilding actors ongoing	mapping data collection of peacebuilding actors progressing, and draft is available.	

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3: Sustained coordination, information-sharing and synergy-building with key partners	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.3.1	Joint Government UN-Donor Steering Committee on PBF established and regular meetings instituted.	0 (no JSC solely on PBF yet, PBF matters used to be discussed under the UNDAF SC)	UNDAF steering committee met on the 8th May and have endorsed the creation of stand alone PBF JSC	JSC established and first meeting held, 2nd meeting will be on the 28th Nov 2023	JSC established and meeting by annually. 2nd meeting will 28th Nov 2023	
1.3.2	# of PBF learning workshops organized for synergy building and learnings from projects	2 (2020 and 2022)	1 annual learning workshop/retreat 2023	scheduled for 29-30th Nov. 2023	scheduled for 29-30th Nov. 2023	usually held during Q4 of each year

1.3.3	A summary booklet of PBF projects' results and lessons produced and disseminated through the annual retreat and other forums Baseline: during 2022 retreat	1 booklet produced, printed to 100 copies and disseminated during 2022	booklet updated and 100 copies printed in the third quarter of 2023	updates on old and new projects collected and requested for the printing of visibility materials submitted.	updates on old and new projects collected and request for the printing of visibility materials submitted procuremnet.	re-legibility process prioritize
-------	---	--	---	---	---	----------------------------------

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4: Continuous support to project/portfolio-level monitoring and evaluation	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.4.1	# of projects' M&E plans/activities (baselines, CBM, field visit missions, and final evaluation) supported or organized by the secretariat	4 field visits supported	5 surveys, CBMs, Field visits & evaluation	1 visit of the JSC at Tabanding Border post supported	3 visits supported (- Cross border project and - RC with UNCG field visit to majority PBF project sites (50% pbf funded) and recent JSC visit. -4 evaluations (gov, youth & RoL projects) supported, plus the Portfolio evaluation	
1.4.2	# of dialogue sessions facilitated between the JSC and sampled beneficiary communities through CBM	0	1	1	JSC has been established and attended the Tabanding border post launching	

1.4.3	Evidence of monitored overarching peacebuilding indicators with relevant partners	0	1report	not done		
-------	---	---	---------	----------	--	--

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

» Outcome 2: Operational PBF Joint Steering Committee supporting strategic guidance, monitoring and advocacy around PBF projects

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	Evidence of increased engagement and enhanced strategic guidance role of the Joint Steering Committee on the PBF portfolio in the Gambia.	medium level	High level	JSC meeting was held on 21st of August and the next meeting is in November	
2.2	No of JSC Meetings and Field Monitoring Visits organized	0	2 (meetings & visits)	1 meeting and 1 field visit	Next meeting 28th Nov
2.3					

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

☒ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Support the Steering Committee and other relevant partners (including at the technical level) to ensure supervision and monitoring of PBF portfolio

Output 2.2

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1: Support the Steering Committee and other relevant partners (including at the technical level) to ensure supervision and monitoring of PBF portfolio	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.1.1	# of meetings and PBF priorities/proposals assessed, and strategic decisions taken at the level of the Joint Steering	no JSC committee	2 meetings and decisions taken by the committees	1	2nd meeting schedule Nov 28th 2023	

2.1.2	# of M&E missions facilitated for Joint Steering Committee members to assess PBF portfolio implementations progress	0	2 missions	1	1	
2.1.3						

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.2.1						
2.2.2						
2.2.3						

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.3.1						
2.3.2						
2.3.3						

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» **Outcome 3: Improved visibility and evidence-based advocacy to support catalytic effects**

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1	Evidence of increased in visibility and collaboration of the PBF programme with stakeholders and more catalytic effects registered.	minimum interaction with other potential donors	more interaction with World bank, EU and other potential donors through RC donor forums with partners showcasing the achievements of the PBF fund	consultation on the climate security project design and SSR consultation were attended by world bank and the Germans. And recent consultation /meeting was attended by EU, UK ECOWAS, Germany, US	
3.2	No of donor forums/pledging events organized	0	1	not yet organized. Q4	hope early next year, as the re-eligibility process overwhelming during this last quarter
3.3					

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?

☒ 1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1

Develop a communication strategy to promote visibility of the PBF activities and results in the country and among a range of stakeholders

Output 3.2

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1: Develop a communication strategy to promote visibility of the PBF activities and results in the country and among a range of stakeholders	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.1.1	Evidence of communication and visibility strategy/plan developed and in use	Ad-hoc plan in use	1 strategy developed with details on communication products	not started		

3.1.2	Evidence of online and offline articles and stories, videos, social media post, TV and radio spots, billboard,	200 booklets of projects results, branded	produce online and offline products	Recent visit of the RC with UNCG and the PBF secretariat, 5 social media posts of joint project funded through PBF, created,		
3.1.3	Evidence of conference organized for networking and partnership building for catalytic effects	0	1 Conference organized.	a specific conference has not yet been organized but EU, UK, Germany, World Bank, ECOWAS and US have been engaged in series of consultations and analysis on PBF related intervention in the Gambia.		

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.2.1						
3.2.2						
3.2.3						

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

1 2 3 4 5 more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1

Output 4.2

Output 4.3

Output 4.4

Output 4.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators <i>Describe the indicator</i>	Indicator Baseline <i>State the baseline value of the indicator</i>	End of Project Indicator Target <i>State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project</i>	Indicator progress for reporting period <i>State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period</i>	Indicator progress to date <i>State the current cumulative value of the indicator since the start of the project</i>	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) <i>Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant</i>
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

*

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives
Event 1	PBF Joint Steering Committee	Nov 2023	hotel	UN, Govt, CSOs, development partners	Second JSC meeting

Event 2	Support PBF portfolio evaluation in the Gambia	June-Nov 2023	nationwide	Govt, beneficiaries, UN, CSOs,	To ensure the Portfolio is completed on time to inform re-eligible and learning (Team was supported to extensively collect data with country and currently reviewing draft report)
Event 3	Map peacebuilding actors and initiatives (UN, Government, CSOs, development partners)	Sept-Nov		UN, Government, CSOs, development partners	To identify thematic and geographic gaps and potential programmatic entry points for PBF supported peacebuilding initiatives.
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organizations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

- The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implementation
- The impact of the project in their lives
- Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group

This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	Key Stakeholder	What were the challenges they faced prior to project implementation? (350 words)	What has been the impact of the project on their lives? (350 words)	Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group (350 words)
1	CSOs	The CSOs were not adequately involve in the PBF project development process	With PBF secretariat, particularly since the start of this project consultation with all relevant stakeholder especially CSO have improve significantly. Now CSO stakeholder are consulted from the conception stages to evalaution	During the recent launching of the GPI2.0 which was well attended by CSOs and government, the executive Director Beakanyang (CSO), Nfamara Jawneh, said, "We are today very delighted to be part the launching of this GPI 2.0 project which was very consultative and involved CSOs from the conception"
2				
3				
4				

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had (650 words)

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

File 1

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 2

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3

OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project

OPTIONAL

Link 1

OPTIONAL

<https://twitter.com/ungambia/status/1666541820392665090?s=48&t=cOUwpsJMn0fRn1sELRDwgg>

Link 2

OPTIONAL

<https://twitter.com/ungambia/status/1666531999597703168?s=48&t=cOUwpsJMn0fRn1sELRDwgg>

Link 3

OPTIONAL

<https://twitter.com/ungambia/status/1666375023639003142?s=48&t=cOUwpsJMn0fRn1sELRDwgg>

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked:

*

Please select up to 3.

- ☐ Enhanced digitization
- ☐ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☐ Strengthened capacities
- ☒ Partnered with Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Even though the secretariat is not directly disbursing funds to CSOs, it makes sure CSOs take up their rightful role in the implementation of PBF projects and that they are involved from the design to implementation and evaluation processes.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The World Bank and PBF are both engaged in land reforms in the Gambia and in recent meetings btw UN and World Bank focus on the overview of their activities in the land sector, future outlook and potential synergies. the PBF team has been engaging with multiple partners such as the EU, Germany, UK, ECOWAS, and the US in a series of events organized by the secretariat to know what is been done by them and how best to avoid duplication but inform them the innovative approaches and interventions which needs to be escalated for more catalytic effects.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The PBF has strengthened partnerships and joint programming within the UN agencies as more than 90% of the PBF-funded projects were jointly developed and implemented by either two or three agencies. Over 50% of the sites visited by the RC during her field visit are PBF funded]

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners)

*

- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☒ Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- ☒ Partnered with local civil society organizations
- ☐ Partnered with local academia
- ☐ Partnered with sub-national entities
- ☒ Partnered with national entities
- ☐ Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain (If IFIs)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The World Bank and PBF are both engaged in land reforms in the Gambia and in recent meetings btw UN and World Bank focus on the overview of their activities in the land sector, future outlook and potential synergies. the PBF team has been engaging with multiple partners such as the EU, Germany, UK, ECOWAS, and the US in a series of events organized by the secretariat to know what is been done by them and how best to avoid duplication but inform them the innovative approaches and interventions which needs to be escalated for more catalytic effects.

Please explain (If UN Agencies)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The PBF has strengthened partnerships and joint programming within the UN agencies as more than 90% of the PBF-funded projects were jointly developed and implemented by either two or three agencies. Over 50% of the sites visited by the RC during her field visit are PBF funded]

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

- ☐ Unemployed persons
- ☐ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)
- ☐ Indigenous communities
- ☐ Persons with Disabilities
- ☐ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)
- ☒ Women
- ☒ Youth
- ☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression
- ☐ People living in and around border areas
- ☐ Persons affected by natural disasters
- ☐ Persons affected by armed conflicts
- ☐ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

- 1. supported the cross border steering community field visit sites in the Gambia**
- 2. supported the RC's field visit with UNCG**
- 3. Facilitated the visit of the JSC to the Tabanding border post in CRR.**

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

- ☒ yes
- ☐ no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

All the outcome indicators had their baseline except one (1b), and for which a rapid assessment was conducted targeting UN agencies and partner CSO.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

meeting readouts, field report, PBF secretariat baseline assessment analysis

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

☐ yes

☒ no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

☐ yes

☒ no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

☐ yes

☐ no

☒ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD): *

Response required

50000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track? (Preparations)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The project will not conduct an evaluation, however, 50000 was allocated for the PBF portfolio evaluation which has been funded by PBSO. Now the possibility of funding conflict analysis in the Foni region of the Gambia is being assessed.

Please mention the focal person accountable for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF, name and email.

Abdel Ghader Khdeim

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Indicate funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started. (y/n) *

- ☐ yes
- ☒ no

If yes, how many additional grants or donors has the project leveraged? *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur? *

- ☐ No catalytic effect
- ☒ Some catalytic effect
- ☐ Significant catalytic effect
- ☐ Very Significant catalytic effect
- ☐ Don't Know
- ☐ Too early to tell

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect i.e. ways in which the project has supported the expansion or creation of programs and policies supporting peace, both within and outside the UN system *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The secretariat is strongly playing the role of coordination of the PBF intervention in the Gambia which has contributed to the effective implementation of PBF-funded projects with significant peacebuilding results. It has also created the necessary platforms through consultations, and made other partners aware of the PBF intervention which has the potential for more catalytic effects.

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy? *

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the duration of the project. *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The PBF Secretariat works in close collaboration with the government through the Department of Strategic Policy and Delivery. The department is part of all processes of the PBF in the country from the identification of priority areas submitted through the strategic report to ensuing consultation on the design of projects to the design and monitoring of processes. Besides, the secretariat ensured that all PBF projects have relevant Government and CSO partners with or through which such projects are implemented creating the necessary structures for follow-ups and continuity after the project ended.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	Cross border Steering Committee monitoring visit		IOM was on the verge of completing most of the infrastructure interventions in the cross-border project while FAO was yet to start as of the time of the visit and was going through designing and seeking clearance them from the HQ. During the visit with the RC most of the sites for IOM were now completed and FAO awaiting procurement to deliver.
Event 2	RC field monitoring visit		Overall, the visited PBF sites have registered positive effect on the beneficiary communities which is expected continue. However, the need for proper handing over of especially the two watering point needs to be done properly with training and refreshers especially for the water management committees on the collection and management the finances charges from cattle owners for sustainability purpose.

Event 3	Joint Steering Committee (JSC) field visit to Tabanding		The JSC under leadership of the RC participated in the launching of the Tabanding border post. The team was supposed to visit several other sites in CRR and LRR, however, due to time constraint the committee could not but a visit is plan for early next year
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF (if on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and try again. If the problem persists, you can contact technical support at the email address below)
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.