Peacebuilding Fund Project Progress Report (Update May_2023)

PROJECT OVERVIEW

Thank you for taking the time to complete the PBF Progress report. For projects with more than one recipient, please consult among co-recipients prior to filling out the form to ensure collaboration on the responses. You can generate a print out of the blank form by clicking on the *print* icon on the top right corner of the page. If you have any questions or require technical assistance in filling out the form, please send an email to gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Click Next below to start

» Report Submission

Type of report *

○ Semi-annual

● Annual

○ Final

○ Other

Date of submission of report *

2023-11-15

2023-11-15

Name and Title of Person submitting the report *

Farah Ismail

Name and Title of Person who approved the report *

Benjamin Moore

Have all fund recipients for this project contributed to the report? *

(•) yes

( ) no

Did PBF Secretariat review the report? *

If there is no PBF secretariat in country, please select "Not applicable". If there is a PBF secretariat, you should normally ensure that they have an opportunity to review.

(•) yes

( ) no

( ) Not Applicable

» Project Information and Geographical Scope

Is this a cross-border project? *

( ) yes (•) no

Please select the geographical region in which the project is implemented

- ○ Asia and the Pacific
- ○ Central & Southern Africa
- ● East Africa
- ○ Europe and Central Asia
- ○ Global
- ○ Latin America and the Caribean
- ○ Middle East and North Africa
- ○ West Africa

*

Country of project implementation

- ○ Ethiopia
- ○ Kenya
- ○ Madagascar
- ○ Somalia
- ● South Sudan
- ○ Sudan
- ○ Other, Specify

*

Other, please specify

....

*

Project Title

- ○ 00130006: Building peace through promoting inclusive and participatory transitional justice processes and mechanisms in South Sudan
- ○ 00130571: Challenging harmful and patriarchal gender norms for better mental health and peace and security, amongst women and girls and communities in the Wunlit Triangle
- ○ 00129661: Community Action for Peaceful Resolution of Housing, Land and Property (HLP) Disputes and Conflicts
- ○ 00113057: Enhancing Women's Access to Land to Consolidate Peace in South Sudan
- ○ 00120688: Gender mainstreaming in Security Sector Reform
- ○ 00129659: Secretariat support to the PBF portfolio in South Sudan
- ○ 00129660: Support to a people-driven and gender responsive permanent constitution making process in South Sudan
- ○ 00118940: Youth Action for Reduced Violence and Enhanced Social Cohesion in Wau, South Sudan
- ○ 00134340: Youth Leading Peace: Establishing participatory and inclusive local and national mechanisms for implementation of Youth Peace and Security Agenda in South Sudan
- ○ 00140011: Women's Leadership and Political Participation During South Sudan's Transitional Period
- ● 00140047: Local Solutions to Build Climate Resilience and Advance Peace and Stability in Bor Pibor and Malakal
- ○ 00140050: Resourcing change: inclusive peacebuilding from the ground up
- ○ Other, Specify

*

Write the 8 digit MPTFO number and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document

EXAMPLE: 00118938: Community-based prevention of violence and social cohesion using innovation for young people in displaced and host communities

....

Please select the geographical region(s) in which the project is implemented *

If the project you are looking for does not appear in the following question, please make sure that you have selected the correct regions. A limited number of cross border projects span multiple geographic regions. For example, a cross border project between Niger and Chad spans both West Africa and Central & Southern Africa

☐ Asia and the Pacific   ☐ Central & Southern Africa   ☐ East Africa

☐ Europe and Central Asia   ☐ Global   ☐ Latin America and the Caribean

☐ Middle East and North Africa ☐ West Africa

Please select the title of the project for which you are submitting the report *

Write the 8 digit MPTFO numbers and Project Title exactly as it appears in the Project Document *

EXAMPLE: 00129699/700: Supporting Cross-Border Cooperation for Increased Community Resilience and Social Cohesion in The Gambia and Senegal

---

Please select the countries where this project is being implemented *

Other, Please specify *

Project Start Date (Date of first transfer) *

2023-02-17

2023-02-17

Project end Date *

2026-02-17

2026-02-17

Has this project received an extension? *

○ YES, Cost Extension

○ YES, No Cost Extension

○ YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions

◉ NO, No Extensions

Will this project be requesting an extension? *

○ YES, Cost Extension

○ YES, No Cost Extension

○ YES, Both Cost and No Cost extensions

◉ NO, No Extensions

Is funding disbursed either into a national or regional trust fund? *

( ) yes

(●) no

If yes, please select which *

( ) National Trust Fund

( ) Regional Trust Fund

Recipients

Is the convening agency a UN agency or a non UN entity? *

(●) UN entity

( ) Non-UN Entity

Please select the convening agency recipient *

( ) UNDP: United Nations Development Programme   (●) IOM: International Organization for Migration

( ) UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund

( ) OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

( ) UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women

( ) UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees   ( ) UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund

( ) FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization   ( ) WFP: World Food Programme

( ) UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme

( ) UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

( ) UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme   ( ) ILO: International Labour Organization

( ) WHO: World Health Organization   ( ) PAHO/WHO

( ) UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund   ( ) UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime

( ) UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services

( ) UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization   ( ) ITC: International Trade Centre

( ) UNDPO   ( ) Other, Specify

Other, Please specify *

Are there other recipients for this project? *

( ) No other recipients

(●) Yes, other UN recipients only

( ) Yes, other non-UN recipients only

( ) Yes, both UN and non-UN recipients

Please select other UN recipients

Select all that apply

- [ ] UNDP: United Nations Development Programme
- [ ] IOM: International Organization for Migration
- [ ] UNICEF: United Nations Children's Fund
- [ ] OHCHR: Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
- [x] UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women
- [ ] UNHCR: United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
- [ ] UNFPA: United Nations Population Fund
- [x] FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization
- [ ] WFP: World Food Programme
- [ ] UNHABITAT: United Nations Human Settlements Programme
- [ ] UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
- [ ] UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme
- [ ] ILO: International Labour Organization
- [ ] WHO: World Health Organization
- [ ] PAHO/WHO
- [ ] UNCDF: United Nations Capital Development Fund
- [ ] UNODC: United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
- [ ] UNOPS: United Nations Office for Project Services
- [ ] UNIDO: United Nations Industrial Development Organization
- [ ] ITC: International Trade Centre
- [ ] UN Department of Peace Operations
- [ ] Other, Specify

*

Other, Please specify

*

Please select other non-UN recipients *

- [ ] Action Aid
- [ ] The African Centre for the Constructive Resolution of Disputes (ACCORD)
- [ ] Agence de Coopération et de Recherche pour le Développement (ACORD)
- [ ] American Friends Service Committee (AFSC)
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières
- [ ] Avocats Sans Frontières Belgium
- [ ] Avocats sans frontières Canada
- [ ] Christian Aid Ireland
- [ ] CARE International UK
- [ ] The Carter Center, Inc.
- [ ] Centre d'étude et de coopération internationale (CECI) - BF
- [ ] COIPRODEN
- [ ] Concern Worldwide
- [ ] CORDAID
- [ ] CORD Burundi
- [ ] DanChurchAid
- [ ] Fundacion Estudios Superior (FESU)
- [ ] Fund for Congolese Women
- [ ] Fundación Mi Sangre (FMS)
- [ ] Fundación Nacional para el Desarrollo de Honduras (FUNADEH)
- [ ] Fundación para la Libertad de Prensa (FLIP)
- [ ] HELVETAS Swiss Intercooperation
- [ ] Humanity & Inclusion (HI)
- [ ] Instituto Holandes para Democracia Multipartidaria (NIMD)
- [ ] International Alert
- [ ] Interpeace
- [ ] Kvinna till Kvinna Foundation
- [ ] Life and Peace Institute (LPI)
- [ ] (MDG-EISA) Institut Electoral pour une Démocratie Durable en Afrique (EISA), bureau de Madagascar
- [ ] Mercy Corps
- [ ] MSIS-TATAO
- [ ] Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)
- [ ] ONG AZHAR
- [ ] OXFAM
- [ ] Peace Direct
- [ ] PNG UN Country Fund
- [ ] Red de Instituciones por los Derechos de la Niñez
- [ ] Sampan'Asa Momba ny Fampandrosoana (SAF/FJKM)
- [ ] Saferworld
- [ ] Search for Common Ground (SFCG)
- [ ] SismaMujer
- [ ] Tearfund
- [ ] Trocaire
- [ ] World Vision International
- [ ] World Vision Myanmar
- [ ] ZOA
- [ ] Other, Please specify

Other, Please specify *

Implementing Partners

To how many implementing partners has the project transferred money to date?

7

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ( ) National youth CSO
- ( ) National women's CSO
- (●) Other National CSO
- ( ) Subnational youth CSO
- ( ) Subnational women's CSO
- ( ) Other subnational CSO
- ( ) Regional CSO
- ( ) Regional Organisation
- ( ) International NGO
- ( ) Governmental entity
- ( ) Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Community Empowerment for Progress Organization (CEPO)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

63250

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Facilitate joint/inter-community and inter-generational climate-informed dialogues designed to address conflict factors and areas of priority as determined by participants (Bor, Pibor)

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ⦿ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

South Sudan Development Agency (SUDA)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

21024

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Livestock (community-based animal health workers), crop production and vegetable production in Malakal

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ○ National youth CSO
- ○ National women's CSO
- ○ Other National CSO
- ○ Subnational youth CSO
- ○ Subnational women's CSO
- ○ Other subnational CSO
- ○ Regional CSO
- ○ Regional Organisation
- ● International NGO
- ○ Governmental entity
- ○ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Veterinaries Sans Frontiers (VSF Germany)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

23011

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Livestock (community-based animal health workers), crop production and vegetable production in Pibor.

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- ( ) National youth CSO
- ( ) National women's CSO
- ( ) Other National CSO
- ( ) Subnational youth CSO
- ( ) Subnational women's CSO
- ( ) Other subnational CSO
- ( ) Regional CSO
- ( ) Regional Organisation
- (●) International NGO
- ( ) Governmental entity
- ( ) Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Norwegian People Aid (NPA)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

19941

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Livestock (community-based animal health workers), crop production and vegetable production in Bor

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

○ National youth CSO

● National women's CSO

○ Other National CSO

○ Subnational youth CSO

○ Subnational women's CSO

○ Other subnational CSO

○ Regional CSO

○ Regional Organisation

○ International NGO

○ Governmental entity

○ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

African Women Empowered (AWE)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

37500

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Raising community awareness on peace and climate change issues as well as the importance of women and girls' participation

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner *

- (●) National youth CSO
- ( ) National women's CSO
- ( ) Other National CSO
- ( ) Subnational youth CSO
- ( ) Subnational women's CSO
- ( ) Other subnational CSO
- ( ) Regional CSO
- ( ) Regional Organisation
- ( ) International NGO
- ( ) Governmental entity
- ( ) Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner *

Empower Youth Africa (EYA)

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date *

37503

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner *

Please limit your response to 175 words

Empower conflict-affected communities to build climate resilience and promote peace. EYA's activities will include capacity building and awarness raising for communities in their Jonglei/GPAA

Please list all of the project's implementing partners and the amounts (in USD) transferred to each to date

Please select the type of organisation which best describes the type of implementing partner	*

- ◯ National youth CSO
- ◯ National women's CSO
- ⬤ Other National CSO
- ◯ Subnational youth CSO
- ◯ Subnational women's CSO
- ◯ Other subnational CSO
- ◯ Regional CSO
- ◯ Regional Organisation
- ◯ International NGO
- ◯ Governmental entity
- ◯ Other

Other, Please specify

What is the name of the Implementing Partner	*
Organization for Peace, Relief and Development (OPRD)	

What is the total amount (in USD) disbursed to the implementing partner to date	*
37440	

Briefly describe the main activities carried out by the Implementing Partner	*
Please limit your response to 175 words	
Empower conflict-affected communities to build climate resilience and promote peace. EYA's activities will include capacity building and awarness raising for communities in Malakal	

Financial Reporting

» Delivery by Recipient

Please enter the total amounts in US dollars allocated to each recipient organization

Please enter the original budget amount, amount transferred to date and estimated expenditure by recipient.

*Please make sure you enter the correct amount. All values should be entered in **US Dollars***

For cross-border projects, group the amounts by agency, even if different country offices are involved. You will have the opportunity to share a more detailed budget in the next section.

Recipients	Total Project Budget (in US $) *Please enter the total budget as is in the project document in US Dollars*	Transfers to date (in US $) *Please enter the total amount transferred to each recipient to date in US Dollars*	Expenditure to date (in US $) *Please enter the approximate amount spent to date in US dollars*	Implementation rate as a percentage of total budget *(calculated automatically)*
IOM: International Organization for Migration	1500000 *	1050000 *	205519.21 *	13.7%
	*	*	*	%
UNWOMEN: United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women	1080700 *	756490 *	160316.94 *	14.83%

FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization	* 1100000	* 770000	* 380583	34.6%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	*	*	*	%
	* 1100000	* 770000	* 380583	%

TOTAL	3680700	2576490	746419.15	**20.28%**

The approximate implementation rate as percentage of total project budget based on the values entered in the above matrix is **20.28%**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

○ Correct   ● Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the approximate implementation rate as a % *

22

» Gender-responsive Budgeting

Indicate what **percentage (%)** of the budget contributes to gender equality or women's empowerment (GEWE)? *

51.83

The dollar amount of the budget contributing to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE) based on percentage entered above and total project budget is **US $ 1907706.81**. Can you confirm that this is correct? *

○ Correct   ● Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *budget amount* allocated to GEWE in US Dollars *

1907603.03

Amount expended to date on efforts contributiong to gender equality or women's empowerment is **US $ 386869.05**. Is this correct? *

○ Correct   ● Incorrect

If it is incorrect, please enter the *expenditure to date* on GEWE in US dollars *

349058.78

ATTACH PROJECT EXCEL BUDGET SHOWING CURRENT APPROXIMATE EXPENDITURE. *
The templates for the budget are available here

IOM PBF Project Budget_Annex D_PBF (1) (2)-20_29_40.xlsx

Project Markers

Please select the Gender Marker Associated with this project *

○ Score 1 for projects that contribute in some way to gender equality, but not significantly (less than 30% of the total budget for GEWE)

● Score 2 for projects that have gender equality as a significant objective and allocate between 30 and 79% of the total project budget to GEWE

○ Score 3 for projects that have gender equality as a principal objective and allocate at least 80% of the total project budget to Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment (GEWE)

Please select the Risk Marker Associated with this project *

○ Risk marker 0 = low risk to achieving outcomes

● Risk marker 1 = medium risk to achieving outcomes

○ Risk marker 2 = high risk to achieving outcomes

Please select the PBF Focus Area associated with this project *

○ (1.1) Security Sector Reform

○ (1.2) Rule of Law

○ (1.3) Demobilisation, Disarmament and Reintegration

○ (1.4) Political Dialogue

○ (2.1) National reconciliation

○ (2.2) Democratic Governance

● (2.3) Conflict prevention/management

○ (3.1) Employment

○ (3.2) Equitable access to social services

○ (4.1) Strengthening of essential national state capacity

○ (4.2) Extension of state authority/Local Administration

○ (4.3) Governance of peacebuilding resources (including PBF Secretariats)

Is the project part of one or more PBF priority windows? *
Select all that apply

☐ Gender promotion initiative

☐ Youth promotion initiative

☐ Transition from UN or regional peacekeeping or special political missions

☐ Cross-border or regional project

☑ None

Steering Committee and Government engagement

Does the project have an active steering committee? *

(●) yes

( ) no

If yes, please indicate how many times the Project Steering Committee has met over the last 6 months?

13

---

*

Please provide a brief description of any engagement that the project has had with the government over the last 6 months. Please indicate what level of government the project has been engaging with.

The International Organization for Migration (IOM) and the UN Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women (UN Women) participated in the South Sudan PBF Steering Committee - established in January 2023 – serving as a coordinating body for strategic oversight and guidance for the planning and implementation of PBF projects in South Sudan.

In addition, since the project started in February 2023, the convening agency has established a Technical Working Group (TWG) consisting of the recipient United Nations (UN) organizations (RUNOs) and Resident Coordinator Office (RCO), in coordination with the UN Climate Security Advisor in South Sudan and his team for regular technical guidance. UNMISS RoLAS is invited to TWG meetings where it was relevant to highlight interlinkages with the Reconciliation Stabilisation and Resilience Trust Fund (RSRTF)-funded project titled: Partnership for Door – Ganon – Maal. A sub-technical working group: the Monitoring and Evaluation Interagency Team (M&E IT) was formed for monitoring and evaluation planning, with the Terms of References (TORs) agreed on in April 2023. Since the project start date, the TWG held 13 meetings (the outcomes of these meetings are summarized in the Monitoring and Oversight Activities table in p.22).

PART I: OVERALL PROJECT PROGRESS

NOTES FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT:

- Avoid acronyms and UN jargon, use general /common language.
- Report on what has been achieved in the reporting period, not what the project aims to do.
- Be as concrete as possible. Avoid theoretical, vague or conceptual discourse.
- Ensure the analysis and project progress assessment is gender and age sensitive.

Please rate the implementation status of the following preliminary/preparatory activities

---

Contracting of partners *

( ) Not Started   ( ) Initiated   (●) Partially Completed

( ) Completed   ( ) Not Applicable

Staff Recruitment *

( ) Not Started   ( ) Initiated   (●) Partially Completed

( ) Completed   ( ) Not Applicable

Collection of baselines *

( ) Not Started   ( ) Initiated   ( ) Partially Completed

(●) Completed   ( ) Not Applicable

Identification of beneficiaries *

( ) Not Started   ( ) Initiated   (●) Partially Completed

( ) Completed   ( ) Not Applicable

Provide any additional descriptive information relating to the status of the project, including whether preliminary/preparatory activities have been completed (i.e. contracting of partners, staff recruitment, etc.) *

With the end of the inception phase as of mid-July 2023 the project team completed the below during this project period:

The project teams established the TWG and the M&E IT and developed the M&E IT ToRs.

IOM completed partnership agreement with CEPO in June 2023, who is now fully operational and has completed a number of activities. Internal recruitment of key project staff was also completed.

FAO has completed signing three letters of Agreement with service providers: SSUDA in Malakal, NPA in Bor, and VSF-G in Pibor. Specific location targeting on thePayam-level under Outcome II is completed after an in-depth analysis process specific selection criteria (IPC1 categorisation) and extensive consultations among project partners, government stakeholders and community members. These are namely the following: Pibor (Kavachoch/Gogolthin Akillo, Lenyris and Manyrang Payams); Bor (Jalle, Baidit, Makuach, Anyidi and Kolnyang Payams); in Malakal (Protection of Civilians site);

UN Women has finalised the selection of implementing partners (IPs) – namely AWE, EYA, OPRD – and has released $112, 443 for partners to start implementing projects. UN Women will hold an induction training for IPs on 17-18 October 2023, to familiarize them with the standard operating procedures (SOPs) for reporting and expectations. UN Women has also signed partnership agreements with IPs in Bor, Pibor, and Malakal. This means that UN Women will be working with these partners to implement the project. The project is expected to begin in November 2023. A call for proposals for the development of a climate-informed, trauma-informed peacebuilding training manual has been made?. This manual will be used in Bor, Pibor, and Malakal to help people learn how to build peace in a way that takes into account the effects of climate change and trauma.

The M&E IT initiated M&E activities and the development of necessary tools for the baseline collection. In April-June 2023, the M&E developed perception survey questions seeking to capture community views on specific issues, such as the prevalence of conflicts within their communities, the main natural resources available and the extent to which these resources are shared with neighbouring communities. The survey also sought to examine the extent to which communities, particularly women, are –engaged in the envisaged dialogues under Outcome I and the role played by each stakeholder in this peacebuilding process. The baseline assessment was completed in August 2023.

The project team is systematically refining the project's intervention strategy in light of the changes in local dynamics, particularly in Jonglei/Greater Pibor Administration Area (GPAA). This process entailed consistent engagement with the Jonglei/GPAA Area Reference Group (ARG) to discuss the breakout of mobilizations and violence between armed youth in Jonglei and GPAA that erupted in December 2022 and continues through the year – albeit intermittently.

Summarize *the main structural, institutional or societal level change* the project has contributed to. This is not anecdotal evidence or a list of individual outputs, but a description of progress made toward the main purpose of the project where evidence of contribution to outcomes is available if requested *
FOR PROJECTS WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF COMPLETION ONLY (550 word limit)
NA

PART II: RESULT PROGRESS BY PROJECT OUTCOME

Describe overall progress under each Outcome made during the reporting period (for June reports: January-June; for November reports: January-November; for final reports: full project duration).
Do not list individual activities. If the project is starting to make/has made a difference at the outcome level, provide specific evidence for the progress (quantitative and qualitative) and explain how it impacts the broader political and peacebuilding context.

- "On track" refers to the timely completion of outputs as indicated in the workplan.
- "On track with peacebuilding results" refers to higher-level changes in the conflict or peace factors that the project is meant to contribute to. These effects are more likely in mature projects than in newer ones.

How many OUTCOMES does this project have *

    1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please write out the project outcomes as they are in the project results framework found in the project document *

Outcome 1: *

Climate informed, gender-age sensitive peace processes effectively resolve or prevent the escalation of disputes, including disputes due to competition over natural resources

Outcome 2: *

Inter-communal peace dividends are promoted through the implementation and operationalization of prioritized actions in Peacebuilding Roadmaps and Action Plans

Outcome 3: *

Enhanced long-term local customary capacities in dispute resolution, including climate-related disputes

Outcome 4: *

Outcome 5: *

Outcome 6: *

Outcome 7: *

Outcome 8:   *

Additional Outcomes   *

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please enumerate the remaining outcomes here

Outcome 1: Climate informed, gender-age sensitive peace processes effectively resolve or prevent the escalation of disputes, including disputes due to competition over natural resources

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

○ 1. Off Track ⦿ 2. On Track ○ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

During the reporting period, CEPO led the facilitation of two youth-led and climate-informed dialogues in Bor and Pibor in September and October 2023, respectively. These dialogues were designed to address conflict factors and areas of priority when it comes to climate action. The presence of the State Ministry of Peacebuilding and the State Advisor of Peace and Human Rights resulted in fruitful interactions with the youth and government representatives and demonstrated the need for more platforms that bring the two together to deliberate over possible avenues for climate action jointly with the government. Overall, the dialogues were a critical opportunity for Civil Society Organisation (CSO)-led community engagement vis a vis government officials. The dialogues also included interventions from person with disability who were key in the discussions as special measures were taken to facilitate their participation throughout the activities (e.g., inclusion of a sign language specialist to translate for persons with hearing disability). A key outcome of these two dialogues was the identification of Peace and Climate Change (PCC) youth ambassadors who will play a central role in the upcoming implementation period of the project.

Furthermore, the project team leveraged the space created by the UNMISS-led dialogue process in Bor and Pibor. In May 2023, UNMISS organised a dialogue among the Murle community in Pibor. This dialogue was a timely opportunity for community members to articulate their views/concerns and jointly agree on efforts to sustain peace and build community resilience across Jonglei State/GPAA. It was also an avenue to provide assistance to the UNMISS-led process in terms of potential follow-up support, in case communities outline priority areas for action which can be responded to under this project. With education and awareness raising identified as a key priority area, community members indeed highlighted the need for a better understanding of the implications of climate change – particularly flooding – on their community. The South Sudan UN Climate Security Advisor took part in the dialogue and provided a presentation on the implications of climate change on human security in Jonglei/GPAA, which was followed by a presentation by IOM on the desired outcomes of this project for GPAA.

In Malakal, IOM carried out eight pre-dialogues in the August-September period. IOM conducted these pre-dialogues with a two-fold objective: (1) contribute to a reduction of tension between the communities in Malakal PoC and Malakal Town; (2) assess communities' level of readiness and acceptance to address conflict dynamics, tension triggers as well as joint problem solving to the rising flood-related risks in a joint forum to be planned to be conducted early November 2023.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

The outcome ensures Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment as well as Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness by designing the activities conducted during this reporting period to be centred around the participation of women and youth. In particular, the CEPO-led dialogues in Bor and Pibor not only ensured a large participation of youth but also allowed the youth to lead the articulation of resolutions during the dialogue process. In doing so, youth priorities were mainstreamed throughout the resolutions (e.g., The Youth of Jonglei need help to advocate for policymaking on climate change and peacebuilding in Jonglei state). However, a key challenge encountered by CEPO during the implementation of the activities under this outcome was the low turnout of women, which is presumed to be due to cultural values. Learning from that, the project team is supporting CEPO in the implementation of the upcoming activities project to ensure that this component specifically is monitored. This is where the role of UN Women is key.

Indeed, UN Women has engaged AWE, a women-led organization, as one of its IPs for the project in Bor. AWE has a strong track record of working with women and girls in South Sudan, ensuring that the project will be implemented from a woman's perspective and that the needs of women and girls will be prioritized. In addition, the EYA is diverse group of young people who will be implementing activities in Pibor. The EYA will ensure that the project will be implemented from a variety of perspectives and that the needs of all young people will be considered. These IPs will come together to support better gender mainstreaming and youth inclusion moving forward under this output.

The development of a gender/age sensitive peacebuilding training manual is planned under this outcome - with support of a Gender Advisor from UN Women. This training manual will also build on IOM, UN Women and FAO's materials from previous peacebuilding and livelihood interventions, integrating climate concerns (e.g., impact of climate shocks on violence), women and youth's leadership opportunities in addressing climate concerns and their role in the management of natural resources, and emergency preparedness.

Outcome 2: Inter-communal peace dividends are promoted through the implementation and operationalization of prioritized actions in Peacebuilding Roadmaps and Action Plans

---

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

( ) 1. Off Track   (●) 2. On Track   ( ) 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

---

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Output 2.1. Inter-communal peace dividends are promoted through the implementation and operationalization of prioritized actions in Peacebuilding Roadmaps and Action Plans

Preliminary steps were taken during the inception phase (February-June 2023) to prepare for interventions envisaged under this outcome, particularly through mapping out the existing natural resources and hotspots where climate risks and conflict threats overlap at the county level in Bor, Pibor and Malakal. Key elements mapped out thus far include land cover classes (e.g., types of crop fields and water sources), flooding tendencies over the past rainy seasons, livestock migration due to floods, grazing land points, and livestock conflict hotspots. In this view, FAO and IOM jointly produced five maps that detailed land cover features and different movement triggers in the region, merging both FAO's land cover and natural resource mapping data with IOM Displacement Tracking Matrix's (DTM) mobility and event tracking data.

To inform upcoming project activities, FAO is leading the development of an ongoing conflict analysis/Data in Emergencies (DIEM), which is jointly being conducted with the national Ministry of Peacebuilding and State Ministries of Peacebuilding. This initiative is aimed at identifying complex conflicts related to cattle raiding and cattle migration, land conflict (territorial boundaries and fishing zones), forest and water management. A report will be available in November 2023. The key findings of this assessment will inform project implementation, other resilience, and peacebuilding related interventions. This will pave the way for the implementation of resilience activities, scheduled to start from 15 November 2023 and cover the dry season.

In addition - and in coordination with UNMISS Civil Affairs Division (CAD) - IOM is leading the development of a study on the transhumance corridor from Southern Jonglei into Eastern and Central Equatoria, building on IOM projects in both locations. This research examines the push and pull factors for changing cattle migration routes along the corridor in order to better understand the root causes of cattle migration, including changing patterns of flooding and its impact on peace and stability across this region. The analysis will provide key recommendations for partners across the Humanitarian-Development-Peace Nexus (HDPN) in order inform their planning and interventions along the transhumance corridor.

Further, FAO has engaged three IPs: SSUDA in Malakal, NPA in Bor, and VSF-G in Pibor. Orientation meetings have been conducted with these IPs covering expectations on the implementation of the project activities and outputs in the respective locations. Subsequently, clear work plans have been defined to pave way for the implementation of resilience activities that will start in November 2023 to cover dry and main season responses.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

While activity implementation under this outcome is not yet initiated, the outcome ensures Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment as well as Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness. This is demonstrated by the targeting criteria set for each Output under this outcome which includes; (1) Preference for females owning livestock because of the role they play in livestock production and due to their under representation; (2) A set percentage (9%) of the targeted 50 CAHWs to be female3; (3) Targeting of female headed households, households affected by flooding, households with people living with disability or chronically ill people; (4) Youth groups.

Outcome 3: Enhanced long-term local customary capacities in dispute resolution, including climate-related disputes

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

◯ 1. Off Track ⦿ 2. On Track ◯ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary

*

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

IOM completed the onboarding of its Customary Law Expert and activity implementation for this outcome was initiated in mid-June 2023 as planned. This expert played a key role in the customary law review process and methodology that was piloted and has demonstrated significant results in existing programming across multiple locations in South Sudan such as Central Equatoria, Abyei Administrative Area, and Western Bahr-El Ghazal.

In June 2023, IOM – jointly with FAO – kick-started the field assessment of the customary laws' status and conditions of the traditional institutions in Bor and Pibor counties. The process included individual interviews and Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) conducted by the IOM and FAO team with selected government officials and civil society representatives. The main objective of the assessment was to establish the status of the customary laws and traditional justice systems in Bor and Pibor and also examine how climate-related risks fit within the existing architecture of customary laws, traditions and practices in Jonglei/GPAA. Climate-related shocks are risk multipliers that can contribute to reinforcing harmful practices and coping mechanisms, particularly in relation to land tenure. Consequently, these initial assessments will culminate in two customary review workshops scheduled to take place in November 2023.

As IOM is also implementing in Jonglei/GPAA the RSRTF-funded Partnerships for Peace project for the period of April 2023 to April 2025, resource efficiencies and complementarities were found between this outcome under this project and the intervention envisaged by UNMISS Rule of Law section (RoLAS) (key actor under the Stabilisation Pillar). Coordination meetings from June to September 2023 have culminated in a collaboration between the IOM and UNMISS RoLAS during the upcoming customary law review workshops – scheduled to take place in November 2023. This collaboration is key given that the outcomes of these review workshops will help complement UNMISS' activities in Jonglei/GPAA, which includes providing trainings to informal justice stakeholders – and thus the reviewed customary law is a key tool to be used and disseminated. Training and capacity building activities for customary law actors is an important follow-up activity that ensures adequate operationalisation and dissemination of the products produced by the customary law review process. Thus, this coordination between IOM and the Stabilisation pillar will allow for cost efficiencies and overall harmonised intervention process across Jonglei/GPAA.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

A signature intervention area of IOM's Customary Law Review (CLR) process is the review of multiple re-enforcing components that address gender-based inequalities. Customary laws often include laws that contradict the South Sudan national constitution, and international norms and standards on gender equality and human rights. Indeed, IOM's CLR process in other locations, - such as Central Equatoria, Abyei Special Administrative Area (ASAA), Unity, Warrap, and Western Bahr-el Ghazal -has demonstrated significant results related to reducing gender inequalities and women's empowerment (e.g., eliminating laws prohibiting women from inheriting land from their deceased husband). While it is recognized that the proposed CLR process will not resolve all gender inequalities, it does establish a process of community-driven discussion on what are acceptable behaviours, customs, norms and traditions, and establish these as community law, a process that is iterative and includes voices of young people, young women and other groups that are often marginalized and excluded from decision-making processes.

During the customary law review assessment conducted in June 2023 in both Bor and Pibor, the team ensured that the views of women and youth were adequately reflected in the data collection process. This was done by scheduling separate FGDs with women representatives and youth representatives and ensuring that the questions and direction of the discussion were gender and age sensitive. The outcome of this was an in-depth assessment of the challenges faced by women and youth in their community and how customs and traditions in both locations can aggravate gender and age-based discrimination. For example, findings from Pibor showed that the increase of bride wealth rates (dowry) to up to 100 cattle, in comparison to around 42 cattle in the past, partially explains the rise in cattle raiding and violent behaviour due to the lack of economic/employment alternatives. This is then aggravated by climate risks that have caused massive death and diseases to cattle. Therefore, a review process of this provision alone, and a potential reduction in dowry can engender positive effects on violence reduction.

Further, the above-mentioned review of Jonglei/GPAA customary law review process will allow for a community-led deliberation of existing harmful customs and practices contributing to gender-based inequalities. Following that, and at the stage where the customary court is restructured and capacitated to better conduct their assigned role (Activity 3.2.6), court membership will be aligned to the Local Government Act and will ensure that a minimum of 35 per cent of members are women.

Outcome 4:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

○ 1. Off Track ○ 2. On Track ○ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

---

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 5:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

◯ 1. Off Track ◯ 2. On Track ◯ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 6:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

◯ 1. Off Track ◯ 2. On Track ◯ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 7:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress *

◯ 1. Off Track ◯ 2. On Track ◯ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results

Progress summary *

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome

Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.

Outcome 8:

Rate the current status of the outcome progress	*
◯ 1. Off Track ◯ 2. On Track ◯ 3. On Track with evidence of peacebuilding results	

Progress summary	*
Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.	

Indicate any additional analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome	
Please limit your response to 3000 characters including spaces.	

If the project has more than 8 outcomes, please use this text box to describe the *status* of progress (on track with evidence of peacebuilding outcomes, on track or off track), as well as briefly describe the progress and any analysis on how Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment and/or Youth Inclusion and Responsiveness has been ensured under this Outcome	*

INDICATOR BASED PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

Using the Project Results Framework as per the approved project document or any amendments- provide an update on the achievement of key indicators at the **outcome** level in the table below

- If an outcome has more than 3 indicators , select the 3 most relevant ones with most relevant progress to highlight.
- Where it has not been possible to collect data on indicators, state this and provide any explanation. Provide gender and age disaggregated data. (300 characters max per entry)

» Outcome 1: Climate informed, gender-age sensitive peace processes effectively resolve or prevent the escalation of disputes, including disputes due to competition over natural resources

Outcome 1	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
1.1	**% of targeted community members who report reduced violence in their community**	**26%**	**50% (50% women 50% men)**	**N/A**	**Outcome indicators will be measured near the end of the project**
1.2	**% of targeted communities willing to cooperate with other communities and engage in joint natural resource management**	**41%**	**30%**	**N/A**	**Outcome indicators will be measured near the end of the project**
1.3	**% of women and youth participants who report being able to hold leading roles during the peace dialogues and the development of Peacebuilding Roadmaps and Actions Plans**	**TBD**	**75%**	**N/A**	**Outcome indicators will be measured near the end of the project. Baseline will be determined after analysis of feedback surveys from participants of activities under Outcome I.**

How many outputs does outcome 1 have?

   1   2   [3]   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 1

Output 1.1
Enhanced local capacities for conflict mitigation and natural resource management for targeted groups including women and youth groups.

Output 1.2

Climate-informed, gender/age sensitive peace and security concerns are articulated in Peacebuilding Roadmaps and Actions Plan

Output 1.3

Lessons learned and synthesis climate-informed peacebuilding approach

Output 1.4

Output 1.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 1 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 1.1

Output 1.1: Enhanced local capacities for conflict mitigation and natural resource management for targeted groups including women and youth groups.	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.1.1	**% of trained women and youth report increased capacity to participate in decision-making related to conflict resolution, particularly climate induced conflict.**	**TBD**	**75%**	**0**	**0**	**Trainings targeting women and youth will commence once the training manual is finalised. Baseline data to be collected and inserted with the completion of the activity.**
1.1.2	**Number of dialogues completed**	**0**	**7**	**4 (2 dialogues in Bor, 2 dialogues in Pibor)**	**4**	**No delays or variance**

1.1.3	Percentage of resolutions resulting from the peace dialogues that include the joint initiatives, joint climate action or joint management of natural resources.	0	20-30%	26%	26%	No delays or variance.

» Output 1.2

Output 1.2: Climate-informed, gender/age sensitive peace and security concerns are articulated in Peacebuilding Roadmaps and Actions Plan	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.2.1	**Number of Peacebuilding Roadmaps and Action plans developed**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**0**	**Workshops to be conducted after the completion of IOM activities under output 1.1**
1.2.2	**% of community representative, women and youth who perceive that their interests and concerns are adequately addressed in the Peacebuilding Roadmaps and Actions plans**	**TBD**	**75%**	**0**	**0**	**Baseline data to be collected and inserted with the completion of the activity.**

1.2.3	Number of agreements to commit made by line ministries to monitor Peacebuilding Roadmaps and Actions Plans implementation	0	3 (per location)	0	0	0

» Output 1.3

Output 1.3: Lessons learned and synthesis climate-informed peacebuilding approach	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.3.1	**Number of areas within and outside South Sudan where report's lessons learned, and recommendation are applicable**	**0**	**1(minimum)**	**Climate security and peacebuilding expert recruitment in progress**	**0**	**No delays or variance**
1.3.2	**% of 'Youth Peace Ambassadors" who perceive they would be able to influence positive change in their community by advancing peacebuilding and cooperation**	**TBD**	**75%**	**0**	**0**	**No delays or variance**

1.3.3	Number of events, media engagements including social media during the project	0	3	1	1	No delays or variance. Youth Peace Ambassadors were engaged during the visit of delegation from the Netherlands to Bor.

» Output 1.4

Output 1.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.4.1						
1.4.2						
1.4.3						

» Output 1.5

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
1.5.1						
1.5.2						
1.5.3						

Output 1.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*

» Outcome 2: Inter-communal peace dividends are promoted through the implementation and operationalization of prioritized actions in Peacebuilding Roadmaps and Action Plans

Outcome 2	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
2.1	**% of targeted community members who report improved ability to adapt to climate threats such as floods without major qualitative change to their lifestyle**	**5%**	**75%**	**N/A**	**Outcome indicators will be measured near the end of the project**
2.2	**Number of Peacebuilding Roadmaps and Actions Plans that have started implementation**	**0**	**3**	**N/A**	**Outcome indicators will be measured near the end of the project**
2.3					

How many outputs does outcome 2 have?

1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 2

Output 2.1

Inter-communal peace dividends are promoted through the implementation and operationalization of prioritized actions in Peacebuilding Roadmaps and Action Plans

Output 2.2

Output 2.3

Output 2.4

Output 2.5

Other Outputs
If Outcome 2 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 2.1

Output 2.1: Inter-communal peace dividends are promoted through the implementation and operationalization of prioritized actions in Peacebuilding Roadmaps and Action Plans	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*

2.1.1	Number of updated maps of natural resources and hotspots of climate and conflict threat	0	3 maps (Bor, Malakal and Pibor)	5 maps, including 3 FAO land cover maps. 1 conflict analysis (in progress)	5 maps	FAO and IOM merged land cover and natural resource data with IOM Displacement Tracking Matrix's (DTM) mobility and event tracking data and produced 2 additional maps No delay or variance.
2.1.2	Number of trainings provided	0	13	1 training of trainers on conflict and tenure disputes for states government officials (4 participants from Bor, 5 from Pibor and 2 from Malakal) was organized from 20-23 September 2023. All training will be completed by February 2024.	1	No delay or variance.
2.1.3	% of youth representatives who perceive improved knowledge and technical skill in EWEA for conflict and climate threats	TBD	75%	0	0	No delay or variance. Activity implementation will start on 15 November 2023. Indicator progress will be reported in the next project report.

» Output 2.2

Output 2.2:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.2.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
....						
2.2.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.2.3	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 2.3

Output 2.3:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.3.1	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.3.2	....	....	....	....	....	....
2.3.3	....	....	....	....	....	....

» Output 2.4

Output 2.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.4.1						
2.4.2						
2.4.3						

» Output 2.5

Output 2.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
2.5.1						
2.5.2						
2.5.3						

» Outcome 3: Enhanced long-term local customary capacities in dispute resolution, including climate-related disputes

Outcome 3	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
3.1	**% of community members who perceive they can rely on customary institutions for dispute resolution**	**35%**	**75%**	**N/A**	**Outcome indicators will be measured near the end of the project**
3.2	**% of community members who perceive they can rely on customary institutions for resolving dispute arising from competition over scarce natural resources**	**36%**	**75%**	**N/A**	**Outcome indicators will be measured near the end of the project**
3.3	**% of women and youth who feel they can rely on customary institutions to defend their rights and needs**	**31%**	**75%**	**N/A**	**Outcome indicators will be measured near the end of the project**

How many outputs does outcome 3 have?
1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 3

Output 3.1
Possible pathways for mainstreaming climate considerations customary laws and practices are reviewed and documented in knowledge product

Output 3.2
Strengthened community structures/foundations for gender-responsive and climate-informed dispute resolution

Output 3.3

Output 3.4

Output 3.5

Other Outputs

If Outcome 3 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators

» Output 3.1

Output 3.1: Possible pathways for mainstreaming climate considerations customary laws and practices are reviewed and documented in knowledge product	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.1.1	**Number of knowledge products that successfully identify current gaps and opportunities to mainstreaming climate change in customary laws and practices in Pibor and Bor and outlines an implementation methodology for Output 3.2**	0	2	0	0	**No delays or variance**

3.1.2						
3.1.3						

» Output 3.2

Output 3.2: Strengthened community structures/foundations for gender-responsive and climate-informed dispute resolution	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.2.1	**CLR methodology reviewed and endorsed by reference group**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**No delays or variance**
3.2.2	**Number of assessments that successfully outline the status of customary systems, map stakeholders and outline any mitigation actions required prior CLR process**	**0**	**2**	**2**	**2**	**No delays or variance**
3.2.3	**Number of review workshops conducted**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**No delays or variance**

» Output 3.3

Output 3.3:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.3.1						
3.3.2						
3.3.3						

» Output 3.4

Output 3.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.4.1						
3.4.2						
3.4.3						

» Output 3.5

Output 3.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
3.5.1						
3.5.2						
3.5.3						

» Outcome 4:

Outcome 4	Performance Indicators	Indicator Baseline	End of Project Indicator Target	Current Indicator progress	Reasons for Variance/ Delay (if any)
4.1					
4.2					
4.3					

How many outputs does outcome 4 have?

   1   2   3   4   5   more than 5.

Please list up to 5 of most relevant outputs for outcome 4

Output 4.1
....

Output 4.2
....

Output 4.3
....

Output 4.4
....

Output 4.5
....

Other Outputs
If Outcome 4 has more than 5 outputs, please enumerate the remaining outputs here
....

For each output, and using the, project results framework, provide an update on the progress made against 3 most relevant output indicators
....

» Output 4.1

Output 4.1:	Perform ance Indicator s *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reportin g period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.1.1						
4.1.2						
4.1.3						

» Output 4.2

Output 4.2:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.2.1						
4.2.2						
4.2.3						

» Output 4.3

Output 4.3:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.3.1						
4.3.2						
4.3.3						

» Output 4.4

Output 4.4:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.4.1						
4.4.2						
4.4.3						

» Output 4.5

Output 4.5:	Performance Indicators *Describe the indicator*	Indicator Baseline *State the baseline value of the indicator*	End of Project Indicator Target *State the target value of the indicator at the end of the project*	Indicator progress for reporting period *State the current value of the indicator for the reporting period*	Indicator progress to date *State the current cummulative value of the indicator since the start of the project*	Reasons for Variance / Delay (if any) *Explain why the indicator is off track or has changed, where relevant*
4.5.1						
4.5.2						
4.5.3						

*

If the project has more than 4 outcomes, use this space to describe progress on progress on indicators for the remaining outcomes

PART III: Cross-Cutting Issues

Is the project planning any significant events in the next six months? (eg. national dialogues, youth congresses, film screenings, etc.)

If yes, please state how many, and for each, provide the approximate date of the event and a brief description, including its key objectives, target audience and location (if known)	Event Description	Tentative Date	Location	Target Audience	Event Objectives
Event 1	**Inception Meeting**	**November 2023**	**Juba, South Sudan**	**IOM, FAO and UNW programme staff as well as focal points from all IPs**	**As the onboarding of all IPs is complete, this event provides an opportunity to review progress during this reporting period, extract lessons learned and walk the IPs through the implementation guidelines for the remainder of the implementation period.**

Event 2	**Validation and dissemination of conflict analysis report findings**	**November 2023**	**Juba, South Sudan**	**Government and state government and project partners**	**FAO will present findings of the conflict analysis and share key messages in terms of climate change and resilient livelihoods and other programmatic recommendations.**
Event 3					
Event 4					

Human Impact

This section is about the human impact of the project. Please state the number of key stakeholders (including but not limited to: Civil Society Organziations, Beneficiaries, etc.) of the project, and for each, please briefly describe:

i. The challenges/problem they faced prior to the project implemantation
ii. The impact of the project in their lives
iii. Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representative of each stakeholder group
This is an optional question. You may leave it unanswered if not relevant

Human Impact	**Key Stakeholder**	**What were the challenges they faced prior to project implementati on? (350 words)**	**What has been the impact of the project on their lives? (350 words)**	**Provide, where possible, a quote or testimonial from a representativ e of each stakeholder group (350 words)**

1	**Cattle keepers in Mundri West County-Jonglei**	**Early September 2023, unknow disease which has killed hundreds of cows in Mundri West County and Jonglei**	**FAO jointly with the Ministry of Livestock and Fisheries and the relevant state ministry mobilized a disease investigation mission**	
2	**GPAA Ministry of Peacebuilding/**	**Capacity to deal with complex and inter/intra-communal conflicts related to land conflict (territorial boundaries, grazing areas and fishing areas), cattle raiding/ cattle migration and forest management, etc.**	**Training of trainers on conflict analysis and tenure disputes was an opportunity for participant share their experience and develop and understand typologies of conflict in the context of South Sudan**	**"This is my fist time to learn and discuss other states officials how we can manage conflict. This was sharing learning workshop and unique in my life", Chairman Peacebuilding, GPAA**
3				
4				

In addition to the stakeholder specific impact described above, please use this space to describe any additional human impact that the project has had (650 words)

Following the implementation of activities under Outcome I (Output 1.1) as reported in subsequent sections, the team from CEPO collected feedback from the participating community members in Bor and Pibor through post-activity feedback surveys as well as through the focus group discussions that took place during a visit conducted by a delegation from the Kingdom of the Netherlands to Bor town on 13 September 2023. In the post-activity survey in Bor, 62.1% of respondents reported that the dialogue successfully addressed grievances and root causes of conflict in Jonglei state. Further, 79.3% of respondents feel that they have a better understanding of how climate change triggers conflict in Jonglei State. In addition, during the visit of the Dutch delegation, some of the participating youth stated that the dialogue on climate change and peace conducted by CEPO with the support of IOM is very important for the community members to help mitigate conflict related to cattle migration due to climate change in Jonglei State. They also stated that the project is unique and innovative due to the involvement of the youth in the discussion of the issues affecting the community of Jonglei State and its neighboring communities, especially through the elements of youth ambassadors who volunteer to work the project team in tackling issues related to climate change and peacebuilding in Jonglei State. The project brings more hope for state and local authorities and the hope it will help to mitigate complex conflicts in their areas. Similar human impact stories for Malakal resulting from the dialogue processes under Output 1.1 is attached as PDF file below.

You can also upload upto 3 files in various formats (picture files, powerpoint, pdf, video, etc.) to illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

File 1
OPTIONAL

Aug23NTF-Malakal Peace Mission III Report (August 2023) reviewed (1)-21_52_59.pdf

File 2
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

File 3
OPTIONAL

Click here to upload file. (< 10MB)

You can also add upto 3 links to online resources which illustrate the human impact of the project
OPTIONAL

Link 1
OPTIONAL

Link 2
OPTIONAL

Link 3
OPTIONAL

Please tick the applicable change based on above narrative.

How we worked: *

Please select up to 3.

- ☑ Enhanced digitization
- ☑ Innovative ways of working
- ☐ Mobilized additional resources
- ☐ Improved or initiated policy frameworks
- ☐ Strengthened capacities
- ☑ Partnered with Civil Society Organizations
- ☐ Expanding coalitions & galvanizing political will
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- ☐ Strengthened partnerships with UN Agencies

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

FAO biometric beneficiaries' registration is planned on November 2023.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

A key outcome of under Outcome I was the identification of Peace and Climate Change (PCC) youth ambassadors who will play a central role in the upcoming implementation period of the project. These ambassadors are key for the joint dissemination of resolutions of the dialogues they have participated in their respective locations to raise awareness – in collaboration with CEPO – on the interlinkages between climate related risks (e.g., floods, drought etc.) and insecurity and the importance of dialogue in creating opportunities to cooperate in the face of these security risks. The engagement of these ambassadors is in and of itself an innovative peacebuilding tool as they will be jointly engaged despite differences and current disputes between their respective communities – particularly for those from GPAA/Jonglei side. This process thus prepares these young ambassadors to become future agents of change who will utilize their gained awareness and understanding of the climate change and peacebuilding to advocate and promote for security as entry points for trust-building and cooperation on a common purpose across other areas affected by climate change in South Sudan.

Please explain

Please limit your response to 350 words.

In line with localisation goals to promote project sustainability and local ownership, the project is engaging a 5 local CSOs out of 7 implementing partners. The project is also engaging other CSO during implementation who are not fund recipients but support the project cause. For instance, the engagement of the local organisation responsible for accommodating people with disabilities during activities under Outcome I was a key achievement.

Who are we working with (in addition to the implementing partners) *

- [ ] Strengthened partnerships with IFIs
- [x] Strengthened partnerships within UN Agencies
- [ ] Partnered with local civil society organizations
- [ ] Partnered with local academia
- [ ] Partnered with sub-national entities
- [ ] Partnered with national entities
- [ ] Partnered with local volunteers

Please explain (If IFIs)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Please explain (If UN Agencies)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The project is platform for systematic coordination between FAO, IOM, UNWOMEN, UNMISS as well as other UN agencies operating within the same area and scope (e.g., WFP). Also, as follow-up on the resolutions and recommendations of the National Livestock Conference of September 2023, FAO and UNMISS CAD HQ are working on joint actions plans on cattle migration across the country.

In addition, and as previously mentioned, the project team leveraged the space created by the UNMISS-led dialogue process in Bor and Pibor. In May 2023, UNMISS organised a dialogue among the Murle community in Pibor. With education and awareness raising identified as a key priority area, community members indeed highlighted the need for a better understanding of the implications of climate change – particularly flooding – on their community. The project team is also leveraging the opportunities created by the(RSRTF)-funded project titled: Partnership for Door – Ganon – Maal, which aligns with this project in terms of area of intervention and timeframe. In partnership with the Jonglei State Ministry of Peacebuilding, the project team plans to build on the convergence of these two peacebuilding initiatives (the RSRTF focusing on violence reduction while the PBF focusing on climate-sensitive peacebuilding) by conducting a climate-informed dialogue at the grassroot level in locations where the RSRTF peace dissemination process has reached (either Ayod, Duk or Twic East) and ensure the inclusion of stakeholders already engaged by the RSRTF.

Leave No one Behind

Select all beneficiaries targeted with the PBF resources as evidenced by the narrative *

Mandatory

☑ Unemployed persons

☑ Minorities (e.g. race, ethnicity, linguistic, religion, etc.)

☑ Indigenous communities

☑ Persons with Disabilities

☑ Persons affected by violence (e.g. GBV)

☑ Women

☑ Youth

☐ Minorities related to sexual orientation and/or gender identity and expression

☑ People living in and around border areas

☑ Persons affected by natural disasters

☑ Persons affected by armed conflicts

☑ Internally displaced persons, refugees or migrants

PART IV: Monitoring, Evaluation and Compliance

» Monitoring

Please list monitoring activities undertaken in the reporting period *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

During the reporting period, the M&E IT was established, and its TORs were developed in April 2023. The M&E IT functions as an internal structure responsible for monitoring the progress of the project through data collection, analysis, reporting and feedback.

From July 2023 to August 2023, the M&E IT completed data collection for the baseline survey in Bor, Pibor and Malakal.

Do outcome indicators have baselines? *

If only some of the outcome indicators have baselines, select 'yes'

◉ yes

○ no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

A joint baseline assessment in the three locations was conducted from July 2023 to August 2023. The baseline survey captured baselines for outcome-level indicators. The M&E IT will conduct community feedback interviews to validate the baseline data captured by the survey.

Elaborate on what sources of evidence have been used to report on indicators (and are available upon request) *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

IOM-FAO mapping report (natural resources and hotspots of climate and conflict threats in Bor, Pibor and Malakal) CEPO dialogue reports/resolutions in Bor and Pibor UNMISS dialogue reports in Bor and Pibor IOM Cattle migration study Customary law assessment reports in Bor and Pibor Baseline concept note and baseline findings report

---

Has the project launched outcome level data collection initiatives? e.g. perception surveys *

○ yes

◉ no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

Has the project used or established community feedback mechanisms? *

◉ yes

○ no

Please provide a brief description *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

FAO has feedback mechanism e.g., the Accountability for Affected Populations (AAP), the Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM) and the associated hotlines.

» Evaluation

Is the project on track to conduct its evaluation? *

◉ yes

○ no

○ Not Applicable

Evaluation budget (in USD): *

Response required

65000

If project will end in next six months, and the overall project budget is above 1.5 million, is your upcoming evaluation on track? (Preparations)

Please limit your response to 350 words.

N/A

Please mention the focal person accountable for sharing the final evaluation report with the PBF, name and email.

N/A

» Catalytic Effect

Catalytic Effect (financial): Indicate funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started. (y/n) *

○ yes

● no

If yes, how many additional grants or donors has the project leveraged? *

Catalytic Effect (financial): Indicate funding agent and amount of additional non-PBF funding support that has been leveraged by the project since it started. (y/n) *

Catalytic Effect (non-financial): Has the project enabled or created a larger or longer-term peacebuilding change to occur? *

- ◯ No catalytic effect
- ⦿ Some catalytic effect
- ◯ Significant catalytic effect
- ◯ Very Significant catalytic effect
- ◯ Don't Know
- ◯ Too early to tell

If relevant, please describe how the project has had a (non-financial) catalytic effect i.e. ways in which the project has supported the expansion or creation of programs and policies supporting peace, both within and outside the UN system *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

As previously mentioned, this project leverages the opportunities created by its alignment with the location and timeframe of the RSRTF-funded project titled: Partnership for Door – Ganon – Maal, which is also at the inception phase and will begin operation in the subregion of Jonglei State and the GPAA starting from April 2023. While it is too early to determine the catalytic effect created by this alignment, the convergence of these two peacebuilding initiatives (one focused on violence reduction while the other focusing on climate-sensitive peacebuilding) can be an entry point to crowd-in resources from other donors to strengthen this multifaceted peacebuilding intervention in Jonglei/GPAA and complement it in areas where financial capacities are not sufficient to provide long-term support (e.g., developmental funding for improving road access).

This potential is indeed demonstrated by an ongoing resource mobilisation effort jointly between IOM, WFP, UNOPS and UNIDO to secure a $26M funding from the African Development Bank (AfDB) for a three-year developmental project in the area of GPAA and neighbouring Eastern Equatoria. This joint project aims to leverage the peace dividends generated by catalytic nexus peace initiatives such as RSRTF and PBF and crowd-in funding for climate adaptation and road access interventions.

For Jonglei/GPAA, while it is early to claim that the project has created a larger peacebuilding change, it is noteworthy to mention, as above, that the project aligns with RSRTF and UNMISS efforts, thus fitting into the wider system where peacebuilding interventions converge in specific locations throughout the same timeframe and can reinforce one another. This is in alignment with the Ministry of Peacebuilding's 'holistic approach' outlined in the second PBF Joint-Steering Committee (JSC) meeting where the Minister called for better coordination among actors operating in the same areas to truly realize a catalytic effect over the long term. As reported under outcome I, the project leveraged the space created by CAD in both Bor and Pibor through the two dialogues they conducted in both locations which pointed, and a number of resolutions related at devising joint solutions for climate risks. As reported under outcome III, the project also supported the expansion of the RSRTF program efforts under the Stabilisation Pillar by leading a customary law review process and producing a tool that will be leveraged by rule of law partners in the area.

Sustainability

Does the project have an explicit exit strategy?

Please describe any steps that have been taken to ensure sustainability of peacebuilding gains beyond the duration of the project. *

Please limit your response to 350 words.

The coordination efforts undertaken by the project team in Jonglei/GPAA with other actors such as UNMISS (CAD, RoLAS) as well as actors under the RSRTF project (i.e., WFP, Peace Canal, VSF-G, UNMISS RoLas, UNHCR, UNDP) set the groundwork for achieving sustainable results in this location in particular as communities as well as local authorities are engaged in a harmonized and multifaceted peacebuilding process that seeks to create the necessary conditions for a reduction of violence in this area. For instance, ensuring that IOM and UNMISS RoLAS (operating under RSRTF) devise a harmonised approach when it comes to engaging informal justice actors in Jonglei/GPAA is a key measure that will contribute to project sustainability. This is mainly because these coordinated efforts will allow for stronger alternative dispute resolution mechanism – particularly for climate-related dispute such as housing/land disputes or marriage and cattle-related disputes. In addition, the rationale behind engaging four PCC ambassadors in Bor, Pibor and Malakal is to create a robust youth-led coordination mechanism that will lead climate-related discussions – mindful of its implications on community cohesion – at the national level. The aim would be to identify ambassadors from across South Sudan that will form a well capacitated coordination body or "youth council" which will lead and continue advocacy efforts beyond the project period.

In addition, the project team is currently coordinating with the UNDP Climate Security team who plans to conduct systematic trainings on climate security for national representatives and CSOs – in collaboration with the UN Climate Security Advisor. This is an essential measure and the project team will ensure that national local partners are engaged in this endeavour. Similarly on localisation, the project team is working closely with selected IPs and provides regular capacity building in terms of drafting, M&E, finance and other essential project implementation skills.

Are there any other issues concerning project implementation that you want to share, including any capacity needs of the recipient organizations?
Please limit your response to 350 words.

During consultative meetings with the Ministry of Peacebuilding, interest in replicating the project interventions in Eastern Equatoria has been systematically reiterated, particularly in response to the impact of drought, flooding and irregular rainfall on human security in this region. Throughout implementation, the project team will extract lessons learned from the interventions implemented in Bor, Pibor and Malakal in an attempt to assess applicability of the project's intervention strategy in Eastern Equatoria and entry points for interventions in the near future.

In addition, it is noteworthy to mention that Malakal is witnessing violent incidents that started on 28 May 2023 due to dispute between two women from Nuer and Chollo communities at a water point, which led to a breakout of attacks and killings between the two communities in the Malakal PoC as well as the displacement of Nuer communities from Malakal PoC to Malakal Town. As the situation is becoming increasingly volatile and sensitive, IOM and CEPO explored over the period of May-July 2023 avenues for the project to contribute to diffusing tensions and deescalating violence in Malakal PoC/Malakal Town in the upcoming period. This has culminated in the planning of the pre-dialogues conducted in August and the planned dialogue series for November 2023.

In addition, during the customary law assessment (outcome III) and while interacting with the relevant government stakeholders as well as the community, there was a great appetite, particularly in Jonglei to expand the customary law review process beyond the counties of "Greater Bor" area – as agreed to during project development – and conduct a similar process for counties beyond Bor County where different ethnic communities reside (i.e., Nuer). This will allow for a customary law review process that is comprehensive and inclusive of all communities in Jonglei State. Similarly, in Pibor/GPAA, there's also a great demand to expand the review process beyond the county and include ethnicities that are not represented within that area. Consequently, the outcome of this inclusive process can only then be elevated on the national level as a complete customary law reference and will be presented to the state legislature for adoption and processed for a warrant of establishment by the Chief Justice.

In terms of capacity needs of recipient organizations, recruitment within all three agencies is ongoing to close any capacity gaps in terms of project management and implementation. Any additional capacity concerns will be identified as implementation progresses.

Monitoring and Oversight Activities

Please describe any key event related to monitoring and oversight. Please click next if no activities have yet taken place.

Monitoring and oversight activities	Name of the Event	Summary	Key Findings
Event 1	**Internal meetings between the project manager, head of field offices and partnership unit**	**IPs and location of interventions are identified.**	**IPs and location of interventions are identified.**

Event 2	Internal meeting between implementation team and M&E that led to the development of an output and activity tracking dashboard which will track progress monthly	This dashboard will be used for continuous implementation tracking throughout the project life cycle to check if activities are implemented according to the set plan and are leading to the expected outputs so that any slippage or deviations are identified for adaptation and course corrections in good time.	This dashboard will be used for continuous implementation tracking throughout the project life cycle to check if activities are implemented according to the set plan and are leading to the expected outputs so that any slippage or deviations are identified for adaptation and course corrections in good time.
Event 3			
Event 4			
Event 5			
Event 6			
Event 7			
Event 8			

Final Steps

- Please save a pdf copy of the form by clicking on the *Printer* icon on the top right corner of the page.
- A dialogue box will appear: Please select the A4 size and portrait orientation.
- Click "prepare" and save the document as a PDF (if on first attempt, the generated page is not readable, close the pop up page and try again. If the problem persists, you can contact technical support at the email address below)
- **Please upload the pdf version of the report as well as your financial report in excel format on the MPTF-O gateway.**

If you encounter any difficulty in filling the form or generating the print-out for MPTFO gateway, please contact Gabriel Velastegui gabriel.velasteguimoya@un.org

Thank You. You have finished the report. Please Click on the SUBMIT button below. When the report is submitted, a confirmation note will appear on a yellow banner on top of the page. This can take a few seconds.